

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No.	MO-0025810
Owner:	City of Washington
Address:	405 Jefferson Street, Washington, MO 63090
Continuing Authority:	Same as above
Address:	Same as above
Facility Name:	Washington Wastewater Treatment Plant
Facility Address:	200 Kingsland Drive, Washington, MO 63090
Legal Description:	See Page 2
UTM Coordinates:	See Page 2
Receiving Stream:	See Page 2
First Classified Stream and ID:	See Page 2
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	See Page 2

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

See Page 2

This permit authorizes only wastewater and stormwater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 621.250 RSMo, Section 640.013 RSMo and Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

October 1, 2016
Effective Date


Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources

March 31, 2021
Expiration Date


John Madras, Director, Water Protection Program

FACILITY DESCRIPTION (continued):

Outfall #001 – POTW – SIC #4952

The use or operation of this facility shall be by or under the supervision of a Certified “B” Operator.

Influent pump station / screening / grit chambers / 4 vertical loop reactors / 2 final clarifiers / UV disinfection / effluent pump station / 2 anaerobic digesters / 2 aerobic digesters / sludge filter belt press / sludge is hauled to a solid waste landfill or land applied

Design population equivalent is 40,000.

Design flow is 4.0 million gallons per day.

Actual flow is 2.3 million gallons per day.

Design sludge production is 1,120 dry tons/year.

Legal Description: NE ¼, NE ¼, Sec. 25, T44N, R1W, Franklin County
UTM Coordinates: X=677200, Y=4268426
Receiving Stream: Missouri River (P)
First Classified Stream and ID: Missouri River (P) (1604) 303(d) List
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10300200-0601)

Permitted Feature SM1 – Instream Monitoring

Instream monitoring location – Upstream – See Special Condition #22

Receiving Stream: Missouri River (P)
First Classified Stream and ID: Missouri River (P) (1604) 303(d) List
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10300200-0601)

Permitted Feature SM2 – Instream Monitoring

Instream monitoring location – Downstream – See Special Condition #22

Receiving Stream: Missouri River (P)
First Classified Stream and ID: Missouri River (P) (1604) 303(d) List
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10300200-0601)

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-1. FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	PAGE NUMBER 3 of 10
		PERMIT NUMBER MO-0025810

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective on **October 1, 2016** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/weekday***	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		45	30	twice/week	composite**
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		45	30	twice/week	composite**
<i>E. coli</i> (Note 1, Page 4)	#/100mL		1030	206	once/week	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*		*	once/month	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE NOVEMBER 28, 2016. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
Chloride	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
Aluminum, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
Iron, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
Zinc, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
Copper, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
Nickel, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
Trichloroethylene	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
1,1-dichloroethylene	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
1,2-trans-dichloroethylene	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
1,2-cis-dichloroethylene	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED QUARTERLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE JANUARY 28, 2017.

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MINIMUM		MAXIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
pH – Units *****	SU	6.0		9.0	twice/week	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE NOVEMBER 28, 2016.

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-2. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS			PAGE NUMBER 4 of 10
				PERMIT NUMBER MO-0025810

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective on **October 1, 2016** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (Note 2)	TU _a	*			once/year	composite**

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ANNUALLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE OCTOBER 28, 2017.

Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (Note 3)	TU _c	*			once/permit cycle	composite**
--	-----------------	---	--	--	-------------------	-------------

WET TEST REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE OCTOBER 28, 2020.

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** A 24-hour composite sample is either composed of flow-proportional samples collected using a variable frequency technique at a facility defined flow rate or composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.
- *** Once each weekday means: Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday.
- **** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.
- ***** See table below for quarterly sampling requirements.

Note 1 - Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

Note 2 – The Acute WET test shall be conducted once per year during the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 5th year of the permit cycle. See Special Condition #20 for additional requirements.

Note 3 –The Chronic WET test shall be conducted during the 4th year of the permit cycle. See Special Condition #21 for additional requirements.

**TABLE B.
INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS**

The facility is required to meet a removal efficiency of 85% or more as a monthly average. The monitoring requirements shall become effective on **October 1, 2016** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. To determine removal efficiencies, the influent wastewater shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:

SAMPLING LOCATION AND PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L	once/month	composite**
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	once/month	composite**

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE NOVEMBER 28, 2016.

- ** A 24-hour composite sample is either composed of flow-proportional samples collected using a variable frequency technique at a facility defined flow rate or composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.
- ***** See table below for quarterly sampling requirements.

Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	Months	Effluent Quarterly Parameters	Report is Due
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

PERMITTED FEATURE SM1	TABLE C-1. INSTREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	PAGE NUMBER 5 of 10
		PERMIT NUMBER MO-0025810

The monitoring requirements shall become effective on **October 1, 2016** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit.

PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				
		DAILY MAXIMUM		MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter *****	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED QUARTERLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE JANUARY 28, 2017.

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ***** See table below for quarterly sampling

Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	Months	Total Nitrogen & Total Phosphorus	Report is Due
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28th

PERMITTED FEATURE SM2	TABLE C-2. INSTREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
------------------------------	--

The monitoring requirements shall become effective on **October 1, 2016** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit.

PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				
		DAILY MAXIMUM		MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Hardness, Total	mg/L	*		*	once/month	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE NOVEMBER 28, 2016.

- * Monitoring requirement only.

D. STANDARD CONDITIONS

In addition to specified conditions stated herein, this permit is subject to the attached Parts I, II, & III standard conditions dated August 1, 2014, May 1, 2013, and March 1, 2015, and hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

E. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit establishes final ammonia limitations based on Missouri's current Water Quality Standard. On August 22, 2013, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published a notice in the Federal Register announcing of the final national recommended ambient water quality criteria for protection of aquatic life from the effects of ammonia in freshwater. The EPA's guidance, Final Aquatic Life Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia – Fresh Water 2013, is not a rule, nor automatically part of a state's water quality standards. States must adopt new ammonia criteria consistent with EPA's published ammonia criteria into their water quality standards that protect the designated uses of the water bodies. The Department of Natural Resources has initiated stakeholder discussions on how to best incorporate these new criteria into the State's rules. A date for when this rule change will occur has not been determined. Also, refer to Section VI of this permit's factsheet for further information including estimated future effluent limits for this facility. It is recommended the permittee view the Department's 2013 EPA criteria Factsheet located at <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2481.htm>.

E. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

2. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test including acute and chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests, or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
 - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.
 - (d) Incorporate the requirement to develop a pretreatment program pursuant to 40 CFR 403.8(a) when the Director of the Water Protection Program determines that a pretreatment program is necessary due to any new introduction of pollutants into the Publicly Owned Treatment Works or any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced. The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.
3. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field. This does not include instream monitoring locations.
4. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability.
5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period. For instream samples, report as "no flow" if no stream flow occurs during the report period.
6. Water Quality Standards
 - (a) To the extent required by law, discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
 - (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
 - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.
7. Changes in existing pollutants or the addition of new pollutants to the treatment facility

The permittee must provide adequate notice to the Director of the following:

- (a) Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of CWA if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
- (b) Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
- (c) For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on:
 - (1) the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and
 - (2) any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

E. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

8. Reporting of Non-Detects:
 - (a) An analysis conducted by the permittee or their contracted laboratory shall be conducted in such a way that the precision and accuracy of the analyzed result can be enumerated.
 - (b) The permittee shall not report a sample result as “Non-Detect” without also reporting the detection limit of the test. Reporting as “Non Detect” without also including the detection limit will be considered failure to report, which is a violation of this permit.
 - (c) The permittee shall provide the “Non-Detect” sample result using the less than sign and the minimum detection limit (e.g. <10).
 - (d) Where the permit contains a Minimum Level (ML) and the permittee is granted authority in the permit to report zero in lieu of the < ML for a specified parameter (conventional, priority pollutants, metals, etc.), then zero (0) is to be reported for that parameter.
 - (e) See Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, #4 regarding proper detection limits used for sample analysis.
 - (f) When calculating monthly averages, one-half of the minimum detection limit (MDL) should be used instead of a zero. Where all data are below the MDL, the “<MDL” shall be reported as indicated in item (c).
9. It is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law to fail to pay fees associated with this permit (644.055 RSMo).
10. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. If a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9 is needed, the permittee shall submit a written request to the Department for review and, if deemed necessary, approval.
11. The permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The recommended guidance is the US EPA’s Guide For Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation, And Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document number EPA 305-B-05-002) or the Departments’ CMOM Model located at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/docs/cmom-template.doc>. For additional information regarding the Departments’ CMOM Model, see the CMOM Plan Model Guidance document at <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2574.htm>.

The permittee shall also submit a report to the St. Louis Regional Office annually, by January 28th, for the previous calendar year. The report shall contain the following information:

 - (a) A summary of the efforts to locate and eliminate sources of excessive infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
 - (b) A summary of the general maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
 - (c) A summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the upcoming calendar year. This list shall include locations (GPS, 911 address, manhole number, etc.) and actions to be taken.
12. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility unless they meet the criteria in 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2.b. Bypasses are to be reported to the St. Louis Regional Office or by using the online Sanitary Sewer Overflow/Facility Bypass Application, located at: <http://dnr.mo.gov/modnrcag/> during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. Blending, which is the practice of combining a partially-treated wastewater process stream with a fully-treated wastewater process stream prior to discharge, is not considered a form of bypass. If the permittee wishes to utilize blending, the permittee shall file an application to modify this permit to facilitate the inclusion of appropriate monitoring conditions.
13. The facility must be sufficiently secured to restrict entry by children, livestock and unauthorized persons as well as to protect the facility from vandalism.
14. At least one gate must be provided to access the wastewater treatment facility and provide for maintenance and mowing. The gate shall remain closed except when temporarily opened by; the permittee to access the facility, perform operational monitoring, sampling, maintenance, mowing, or for inspections by the Department. The gate shall be closed and locked when the facility is not staffed.

E. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

15. At least one (1) warning sign shall be placed on each side of the facility enclosure in such positions as to be clearly visible from all directions of approach. There shall also be one (1) sign placed for every five hundred feet (500') (150 m) of the perimeter fence. A sign shall also be placed on each gate. Minimum wording shall be SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITY—KEEP OUT. Signs shall be made of durable materials with characters at least two inches (2") high and shall be securely fastened to the fence, equipment or other suitable locations.
16. An Operation and Maintenance (O & M) manual shall be maintained by the permittee and made available to the operator. The O & M manual shall include key operating procedures and a brief summary of the operation of the facility. The manual must also include the technique used for flow proportional sampling.
17. An all-weather access road shall be provided to the treatment facility.
18. The discharge from the wastewater treatment facility shall be conveyed to the receiving stream via a closed pipe or a paved or rip-rapped open channel. Sheet or meandering drainage is not acceptable. The outfall sewer shall be protected against the effects of floodwater, ice or other hazards as to reasonably insure its structural stability and freedom from stoppage. The outfall shall be maintained so that a sample of the effluent can be obtained at a point after the final treatment process and before the discharge mixes with the receiving waters.
19. Land application of biosolids shall be conducted in accordance with Standard Conditions III and a Department approved biosolids management plan. Land application of biosolids during frozen, snow covered, or saturated soil conditions in accordance with the additional requirements specified in WQ426 shall occur only with prior approval from the Department.
20. Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:
 - (a) Freshwater Species and Test Methods: Species and short-term test methods for estimating the acute toxicity of NPDES effluents are found in the most recent edition of *Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* (EPA/821/R-02/012; Table IA, 40 CFR Part 136). The permittee shall concurrently conduct 48-hour, static, non-renewal toxicity tests with the following species:
 - o The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2000.0).
 - o The daphnid, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2002.0).
 - (b) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control sample and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping. Where upstream receiving water is not available or known to be toxic, other approved control water may be used.
 - (c) Test conditions must meet all test acceptability criteria required by the EPA Method used in the analysis.
 - (d) The Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) for this facility is 9% with the dilution series being: 81%, 27%, 9%, 3%, and 1%.
 - (e) All chemical and physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% effluent concentration.
 - (f) All chemical analyses shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form. The parameters for chemical analysis include Temperature (°F), pH (SU), Conductivity (µmohs/cm), Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L), Total Residual Chlorine (mg/L), Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L), Total Alkalinity (mg/L), and Total Hardness (mg/L).
 - (g) The facility must submit a full laboratory report for all toxicity testing. The report must include a quantification of acute toxic units ($TU_a = 100/LC_{50}$) reported according to the test methods manual chapter on report preparation and test review. The Lethal Concentration 50 Percent (LC_{50}) is the effluent concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the test organisms at a specific time.
21. Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:
 - (a) Freshwater Species and Test Methods: Species and short-term test methods for estimating the acute toxicity of NPDES effluents are found in the most recent edition of *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms* (EPA/821/R-02/013; Table IA, 40 CFR Part 136). The permittee shall concurrently conduct 7-day, static, renewal toxicity tests with the following species:
 - o The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (Survival and Growth Test Method 1000.0).
 - o The daphnid, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Survival and Reproduction Test Method 1002.0).
 - (b) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control sample and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping. Where upstream receiving water is not available or known to be toxic, other approved control water may be used.
 - (c) Test conditions must meet all test acceptability criteria required by the EPA Method used in the analysis.

E. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (d) The Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) for this facility is 1% with the dilution series being: 27%, 9%, 3%, 1%, and 0.33%.
- (e) All chemical and physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% effluent concentration.
- (f) All chemical analyses shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form. The parameters for chemical analysis include Temperature (°F), pH (SU), Conductivity (µmohs/cm), Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L), Total Residual Chlorine (mg/L), Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L), Total Alkalinity (mg/L), and Total Hardness (mg/L).
- (g) The facility must submit a full laboratory report for all toxicity testing. The report must include a quantification of chronic toxic units ($TU_c = 100/IC_{25}$) reported according to the *Methods for Measuring the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* chapter on report preparation and test review. The 25 percent Inhibition Effect Concentration (IC_{25}) is the toxic or effluent concentration that would cause 25 percent reduction in mean young per female or in growth for the test populations.

22. Receiving Water Monitoring Conditions

- (a) In-stream receiving water samples should be taken at appropriate locations. The upstream receiving water sample should be collected at a point upstream from any influence of the effluent, where the water is visibly flowing down stream. The downstream receiving water sample should be collected at a point no closer than one-quarter mile from the outfall, where the water is visibly flowing down stream. In the event that a safe, accessible location is not present at the locations, a suitable location can be negotiated with the Department. Samples should be taken at least four feet from the bank or from the middle of the stream (whichever is less) and 6-inches below the surface.
- (b) When conducting in-stream monitoring, the permittee shall record observations that include: the time of day, weather conditions, unusual stream characteristics (e.g., septic conditions, algae growth, etc.), the stream segment (e.g., riffle, pool or run) from where the sample was collected. These observations shall be submitted with the sample results.
- (c) Samples shall not be collected from areas with especially turbulent flow, still water or from the stream bank, unless these conditions are representative of the stream reach or no other areas are available for sample collection. Sampling should not be made when significant precipitation has occurred recently. The sampling event should be terminated and rescheduled if any of the following conditions occur:
 - If turbidity in the stream increases notably; or
 - If rainfall over the past two weeks exceeds 2.5 inches or exceeds 1 inch in the last 24 hours
- (d) Always use the correct sampling technique and handling procedure specified for the parameter of interest. Please refer to the latest edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater for further discussion of proper sampling techniques. All analyses must be conducted in accordance with an approved EPA method. Meters shall be calibrated immediately (within 1 hour) prior to the sampling event.
- (e) Please contact the Department if you need additional instructions or assistance.

23. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan:

Facility SIC codes found in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14) and/or 10 CSR 20-6.200(2) shall implement a SWPPP and must be prepared and implemented 180 days after the effective date of the permit. The SWPPP must be kept on-site and should not be sent to the department unless specifically requested. The SWPPP must be reviewed and updated every five (5) years or as site conditions change (see Rationale and Derivation: antidegradation analysis and SWPPP in the fact sheet). The permittee shall select, install, use, operate, and maintain the Best Management Practices prescribed in the SWPPP in accordance with the concepts and methods described in: *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (EPA 833-B-09-002) published by the EPA in February 2009 (www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/industrial_swppp_guide.pdf). The SWPPP must include:

- (a) A listing of specific contaminants and their control measures (or BMPs) and a narrative explaining how BMPs are implemented to control and minimize the amount of contaminants potentially entering stormwater. The BMPs should be designed to treat the stormwater up to the 10 year, 24 hour rain event.
- (b) For new, altered, or expanded stormwater discharges, the SWPPP shall identify reasonable and effective BMPs while accounting for environmental impacts of varying control methods. The antidegradation analysis must document why no discharge or no exposure options are not feasible. The selection and documentation of appropriate control measures shall serve as an alternative analysis of technology and fulfill the requirements of antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)]. Failure to implement and maintain the chosen BMP is a permit violation. For further guidance, consult the antidegradation implementation procedure at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/docs/AIP050212.pdf>.
- (c) The SWPPP must include a schedule for once per month site inspections and brief written reports. The inspection report must include precipitation information for the entire period since last inspection, as well as observations and evaluations of BMP effectiveness. Throughout coverage under this permit, the facility must perform ongoing SWPPP review and revision to incorporate any site condition changes.
 - i. Operational deficiencies must be corrected within seven (7) calendar days.
 - ii. Minor structural deficiencies must be corrected within fourteen (14) calendar days.

E. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- iii. Major structural deficiencies must be reported to the regional office within seven (7) days of discovery. The initial report shall consist of the deficiency noted, the proposed remedies, the interim or temporary remedies (including the general timing of the placement of the interim measures), and an estimate of the timeframe needed to wholly complete the repairs or construction. The permittee will work with the regional office to determine the best course of action, including but not limited to temporary structures to control stormwater runoff. The facility shall correct the major structural deficiency as soon as reasonably achievable.
 - iv. All actions taken to correct the deficiencies shall be included with the written report, including photographs.
 - v. Inspection reports must be kept on site with the SWPPP and maintained for a period of five (5) years. These must be made available to department and EPA personnel upon request.
- (d) A provision for designating an individual to be responsible for environmental matters.
- (e) A provision for providing training to all personnel involved in material handling and storage, and housekeeping of maintenance and cleaning areas. Proof of training shall be submitted on request of the department.
24. Permittee shall adhere to the following minimum Best Management Practices (BMPs):
- (a) Prevent the spillage or loss of fluids, oil, grease, fuel, etc. from vehicle maintenance, equipment cleaning, or warehouse activities and thereby prevent the contamination of stormwater from these substances.
 - (b) Provide collection facilities and arrange for proper disposal of waste products including but not limited to petroleum waste products, and solvents.
 - (c) Store all paint, solvents, petroleum products and petroleum waste products (except fuels), and storage containers (such as drums, cans, or cartons) so that these materials are not exposed to stormwater or provide other prescribed BMPs such as plastic lids and/or portable spill pans to prevent the commingling of stormwater with container contents. Commingled water may not be discharged under this permit. Provide spill prevention control, and/or management sufficient to prevent any spills of these pollutants from entering waters of the state. Any containment system used to implement this requirement shall be constructed of materials compatible with the substances contained and shall also prevent the contamination of groundwater.
 - (d) Provide good housekeeping practices on the site to keep trash from entry into waters of the state.
 - (e) Provide sediment and erosion control sufficient to prevent or control sediment loss off of the property to comply with general water quality criteria, effluent limits, or benchmarks. This could include the use of straw bales, silt fences, or sediment basins, if needed.
 - (f) Ensure adequate provisions are provided to prevent surface water intrusion into the storage basin, to divert stormwater runoff around the storage basin, and to protect embankments from erosion.
25. The permittee shall implement and enforce its approved pretreatment program in accordance with the requirements of 10 CSR 20-6.100. The approved pretreatment program is hereby incorporated by reference.

The permittee shall submit to the Department on or before March 31st of each year a report briefly describing its pretreatment activities during the previous calendar year. At a minimum, the report shall include the following:

- (a) An updated list of the Permittee's Industrial Users, including their names and addresses, or a list of deletions and additions keyed to a previously submitted list. The Permittee shall provide a brief explanation of each deletion. This list shall identify which Industrial Users are subject to categorical pretreatment Standards and specify which Standards are applicable to each Industrial User. The list shall indicate which Industrial Users are subject to local standards that are more stringent than the categorical Pretreatment Standards. The Permittee shall also list the Industrial Users that are subject only to local Requirements;
- (b) A summary of the status of Industrial User compliance over the reporting period;
- (c) A summary of compliance and enforcement activities (including inspections) conducted by the Permittee during the reporting period; and
- (d) Any other relevant information requested by the Department.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(j)(2)(ii), the permittee shall submit to the Department a written technical evaluation of the need to revise local limits under 40 CFR 403.5(c)(1) along with the application for renewal of this permit.

**MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FACT SHEET
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL
OF
MO-0025810
WASHINGTON WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT**

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of stormwater from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Major

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: POTW - SIC #4952

Facility Description:

Influent pump station / screening / grit chambers / 4 vertical loop reactors / 2 final clarifiers / UV disinfection / effluent pump station / 2 anaerobic digesters / 2 aerobic digesters / sludge filter belt press / sludge is hauled to a solid waste landfill or land applied

Have any changes occurred at this facility or in the receiving water body that effects effluent limit derivation?

- No.

Application Date: 09/11/2012

Expiration Date: 01/17/2013

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE
#001	6.2	Secondary	Domestic

Facility Performance History:

The facility failed to meet effluent limits for Fecal Coliform on the June and September 2009, October 2013, and April 2015 Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs). The facility failed to meet effluent limits for Oil & Grease on the May, August, and September 2008, March 2009, and April 2013 DMRs. The facility failed to meet effluent limits for Total Suspended Solids on the March 2011 DMR. This facility was last inspected on May 24, 2011. The conditions of the facility at the time of inspection were found to be satisfactory.

Comments:

Changes in this permit include the addition of Trichloroethylene, 1,1-dichloroethylene, 1,2-trans-dichloroethylene, 1,2-cis-dichloroethylene, Total Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus, and a once per permit cycle Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity Test (WET), and the removal of Temperature, Cadmium, Chromium III, Chromium VI, Lead, Silver, Cyanide, and Phenol. See Part VII of the Fact Sheet for further information regarding the addition and removal of effluent parameters. Special conditions were updated to include the addition of inflow and infiltration reporting requirements, reporting of Non-detects, bypass reporting requirements, pretreatment requirements, and the addition of instream monitoring requirements. The previous permit contained a design sludge production value based on the usage of the Cannibal Solids Reduction Process, which the facility no longer uses as the system did not work as desired. The design sludge production was recalculated based on the design PE of 40,000 multiplied by 0.028 (sludge multiplier), which provides a design sludge production of 1,120 dry tons per year.

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

- This facility is required to have a certified operator.

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], the permittee shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Owned or operated by or for a

- Municipalities

- Federal agency

- County

- Public Sewer District

- State agency

- Private Sewer Company regulated by the Public Service Commission

- Public Water Supply Districts

Each of the above entities are only applicable if they have a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200) or fifty (50) or more service connections.

This facility currently requires an operator with a “B” Certification Level. Please see **Appendix - Classification Worksheet**. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

Operator’s Name: John D. Zimmermann
 Certification Number: 2278
 Certification Level: A

The listing of the operator above only signifies that staff drafting this operating permit have reviewed appropriate Department records and determined that the name listed on the operating permit application has the correct and applicable Certification Level.

- This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

Part III– Operational Monitoring

- As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4)], the facility is not required to conduct operational monitoring.

- As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4)], the facility is required to conduct operational monitoring.

Part IV – Receiving Stream Information

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE: OUTFALL #001

WATER-BODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	12-DIGIT HUC	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
Missouri River	P	1604	AQL, WBC-B, SCR, HHP, IRR, LWW, DWS, IND	10300200-0601	0

*As per 10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the department defines the Clean Water Commission’s water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and 1st classified receiving stream’s beneficial water uses to be maintained are in the receiving stream table in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)].

Uses which may be found in the receiving streams table, above:

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)1.:

AQL = Protection of aquatic life (Current narrative use(s) are defined to ensure the protection and propagation of fish shellfish and wildlife, which is further subcategorized as: **WWH** = Warm Water Habitat; **CDF** = Cold-water fishery (Current narrative use is cold-water habitat.); **CLF** = Cool-water fishery (Current narrative use is cool-water habitat); **EAH** = Ephemeral Aquatic Habitat; **MAH** = Modified Aquatic Habitat; **LAH** = Limited Aquatic Habitat. This permit uses AQL effluent limitations in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A for all habitat designations unless otherwise specified.)

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)2.: Recreation in and on the water

WBC = Whole Body Contact recreation where the entire body is capable of being submerged;

WBC-A = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming uses and has public access;

WBC-B = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming;

SCR = Secondary Contact Recreation (like fishing, wading, and boating).

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)3. to 7.:

HHP (formerly HHF) = Human Health Protection as it relates to the consumption of fish;

IRR = Irrigation for use on crops utilized for human or livestock consumption;

LWW = Livestock and wildlife watering (Current narrative use is defined as LWP = Livestock and Wildlife Protection);

DWS = Drinking Water Supply;

IND = Industrial water supply

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)8-11.: Wetlands (10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A currently does not have corresponding habitat use criteria for these defined uses)

WSA = Storm- and flood-water storage and attenuation; WHP = Habitat for resident and migratory wildlife species;

WRC = Recreational, cultural, educational, scientific, and natural aesthetic values and uses; WHC = Hydrologic cycle maintenance.

10 CSR 20-7.031(6): **GRW** = Groundwater

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES:

RECEIVING STREAM (C, E, P, P1)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)*		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Missouri River (P)	23854	24274	26358

* - Data from USGS Gauge Station 06935965 Missouri River at St. Charles, MO

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS TABLE:

MIXING ZONE (CFS) [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(II)(a)]			ZONE OF INITIAL DILUTION (CFS) [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(II)(b)]		
1Q10	7Q10	30Q10	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
5963.5	6068.5	6589.5	62	62	62

RECEIVING STREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

Facilities with a design flow greater than 100,000 gallons per day are required to sample their effluent quarterly for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)7. Upstream monitoring for these parameters is necessary to determine background concentrations in order to complete calculations related to future effluent limit derivation where necessary or appropriate.

Downstream sampling for Total Hardness is necessary as the permit contains monitoring requirements for metals that are hardness dependent.

Permitted Feature SM1. (Upstream)

Permitted Feature SM2. (Downstream)

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality

No stream survey has been conducted for the receiving stream relating to the discharge from the facility

Part V – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

- The facility discharges to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility, and has submitted an alternative evaluation.

- The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(o); 40 CFR Part 122.44(l)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

- Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.

- Information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance (other than revised regulations, guidance, or test methods) and which would have justified the application of a less stringent effluent limitation at the time of permit issuance.

- Temperature, Cadmium, Chromium III, Chromium VI, Lead, Silver, Cyanide, and Phenol were removed as the permit writer did not observe a reasonable potential to violate water quality standards.
- This permit changes WET test requirements for the facility from a pass/fail requirement to monitoring only for toxic units. This change reflects modifications to Missouri's Effluent Regulation found at 10 CSR 20-7.015. 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii) requires the Department to establish effluent limitations that control all parameters which have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standard, including state narrative criteria. The previous permit imposed a pass/fail limitation without collecting sufficient data to make a reasonable potential determination. Furthermore, the method of reporting associated with the pass/fail limitation prevented the Department from gathering the data necessary to make a finding of reasonable potential. Implementation of the toxic unit monitoring requirement will allow the Department to implement numeric acute criteria in accordance with water quality standards established under §303 of the CWA.

ANTIDegradation:

In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. Degradation is justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharging activity after determining the necessity of the discharge.

- No degradation proposed and no further review necessary. Facility did not apply for authorization to increase pollutant loading or to add additional pollutants to their discharge.

- This permit contains new and/or expanded discharge, please see **APPENDIX FOR ANTIDegradation ANALYSIS**.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)], ...An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, a statement waiving preferential status from each existing higher preference authority, providing the waiver does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.

BIOSOLIDS & SEWAGE SLUDGE:

Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address: <http://extension.missouri.edu/main/DisplayCategory.aspx?C=74>, items WQ422 through WQ449.

- Permittee land applies biosolids in accordance with Standard Conditions III and a Department approved biosolids management plan.

- This condition is not applicable to the permittee for this facility.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

- The facility is currently under enforcement action.

- The facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORTS:

On July 30, 2013, EPA proposed the Clean Water Act National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, which requires electronic reporting of NPDES information rather than the currently-required paper-based reports from permitted facilities. To comply with the upcoming federal rule, the Department is asking all permittees to begin submitting discharge monitoring data online. For permittees already using the Department's eDMR data reporting system, those permittees will be required to exclusively use the eDMR data reporting system.

- The permittee/facility is currently using the eDMR data reporting system.

- The permittee/facility is not currently using the eDMR data reporting system. To sign up for the eDMR system, visit the Department's eDMR page at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/edmr.htm>.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee's pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users,
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

- This permittee has an approved pretreatment program in accordance with the requirements of [40 CFR Part 403] and [10 CSR 20-6.100] and is expected to implement and enforce its approved program.

- The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any given pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

- A RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see **APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS**.

- A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals.

- Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

- Equivalent to Secondary Treatment is 65% removal [40 CFR Part 133.105(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) AND INFLOW AND INFILTRATION (I&I):

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as untreated sewage releases and are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(11)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSOs result from a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that can either allow wastewater to backup within the collection system during dry weather conditions or allow excess stormwater and groundwater to enter and overload the collection system during wet weather conditions. SSOs can also result from lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs include overflows out of manholes, cleanouts, broken pipes, and other into waters of the state and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Inflow and Infiltration (I&I) is defined as unwanted intrusion of stormwater or groundwater into a collection system. This can occur from points of direct connection such as sump pumps, roof drain downspouts, foundation drains, and storm drain cross-connections or through cracks, holes, joint failures, faulty line connections, damaged manholes, and other openings in the collection system itself. I&I results from a variety of causes including line breaks, improperly sealed connections, cracks caused by soil erosion/settling, penetration of vegetative roots, and other sewer defects. In addition, excess stormwater and groundwater entering the collection system from line breaks and sewer defects have the potential to negatively impact the treatment facility.

Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(13) mandates that the Department issue permits for discharges of water contaminants into the waters of this state, and also for the operation of sewer systems. Such permit conditions shall ensure compliance with all requirements as established by sections 644.006 to 644.141. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains provisions requiring proper operation and maintenance of all facilities and systems of treatment and control. Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(15) instructs the Department to require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities. To ensure that public health and the environment are protected, any noncompliance which may endanger public health or the environment must be reported to the Department within 24 hours of the time the permittee becomes aware of the noncompliance. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains the reporting requirements for the permittee when bypasses and upsets occur. The permit also contains requirements for permittees to develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permit requires that the permittee submit an annual report to the Department for the previous calendar year that contains a summary of efforts taken by the permittee to locate and eliminate sources of excess I & I, a summary of general maintenance and repairs to the collection system, and a summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system for the upcoming calendar year.

- At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA's Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002) or the Departments' CMOM Model located at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/docs/cmom-template.doc>. For additional information regarding the Departments' CMOM Model, see the CMOM Plan Model Guidance document at <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2574.htm>. The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used to evaluate a collection system's management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium, and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

- This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

Per 644.051.4 RSMo, a permit may be issued with a Schedule of Compliance (SOC) to provide time for a facility to come into compliance with new state or federal effluent regulations, water quality standards, or other requirements. Such a schedule is not allowed if the facility is already in compliance with the new requirement, or if prohibited by other statute or regulation. A SOC includes an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit. *See also* Section 502(17) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR §122.2. For new effluent limitations, the permit includes interim monitoring for the specific parameter to demonstrate the facility is not already in compliance with the new requirement. Per 40 CFR § 122.47(a)(1) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(11), compliance must occur as soon as possible. If the permit provides a schedule for meeting new water quality based effluent limits, a SOC must include an enforceable, final effluent limitation in the permit even if the SOC extends beyond the life of the permit.

A SOC is not allowed:

- For effluent limitations based on technology-based standards established in accordance with federal requirements, if the deadline for compliance established in federal regulations has passed. 40 CFR § 125.3.
- For a newly constructed facility in most cases. Newly constructed facilities must meet applicable effluent limitations when discharge begins, because the facility has installed the appropriate control technology as specified in a permit or antidegradation review. A SOC is allowed for a new water quality based effluent limit that was not included in a previously public noticed permit or antidegradation review, which may occur if a regulation changes during construction.
- To develop a TMDL, UAA, or other study associated with development of a site specific criterion. A facility is not prohibited from conducting these activities, but a SOC may not be granted for conducting these activities.

In order to provide guidance to Permit Writers in developing SOCs, and attain a greater level of consistency, on April 9, 2015 the Department issued an updated policy on development of SOCs. This policy provides guidance to Permit Writers on the standard time frames for schedules for common activities, and guidance on factors that may modify the length of the schedule such as a Cost Analysis for Compliance.

- This permit does not contain a SOC.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of stormwater discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (Document number EPA 833-B-09-002) [published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in February 2009], BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Stormwater Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of stormwater discharges.

- 10 CSR 20-6.200 and 40 CFR 122.26 includes treatment works treating domestic sewage or any other sewage sludge or wastewater treatment device or system, used in the storage treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal or domestic sewage, including land dedicated to the disposal of sewage sludge that is located within the confines of the facility, with a design flow of 1.0 mgd or more, or are required to have an approved pretreatment program under 40 CFR part 403, as an industrial activity in which permit coverage is required.

In lieu of requiring sampling in the site-specific permit, the facility is required to develop and implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan. A facility can apply for conditional exclusion for "no exposure" of industrial activities and materials to stormwater by submitting to the Department a completed NPDES Form 3510-11 – No Exposure Certification for Exclusion from NPDES Stormwater Permitting. That document and additional information may be found at <http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/npdes/stormwater/Conditional-No-Exposure-Exclusion.cfm>. Upon approval of the "No Exposure", the permit can be modified to remove the SWPPP requirements. If the facility chooses to retain the conditional exclusion for "no exposure", the facility is required to renew the "No Exposure" exemption during the permit renewal period by submitting NPDES Form 3510-11 with Form B2.

- At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

- This operating permit is drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

- This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

- Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C_e = \frac{(Q_e + Q_s)C - (Q_s \times C_s)}{(Q_e)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration C_e = effluent concentration
Cs = upstream concentration Q_e = effluent flow
Q_s = upstream flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used

- Wasteload allocations were not calculated.

WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

- A WLA study including model was submitted to the Department.

- A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

- The permittee is required to conduct WET test for this facility.

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing is also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following MCWL apply: §§644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; 644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc...); and 644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by facilities meeting the following criteria:

- Facility is a designated Major.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- Facility that exceeds its design population equivalent (PE) for BOD₅ whether or not its design flow is being exceeded.
- Facility (whether primarily domestic or industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃)
- Facility is a municipality with a Design Flow ≥ 22,500 gpd.
- Other – please justify.

- At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility.

40 CFR 122.41(M) - BYPASSES:

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from "bypassing" untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(G) states a bypass means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending, to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from

its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri's Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar devices designed for peak wet weather flows.

- Bypasses occur or have occurred at this facility.

- This facility does not anticipate bypassing.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

- This facility discharges to a 303(d) listed stream.

- Missouri River is listed on the 2008 Missouri 303(d) List for *E. coli*.

- This facility is considered to be a source of or has the potential to contribute *E. coli*. This permit includes disinfection requirements. Once a TMDL is developed, the permit may be modified to include WLAs from the TMDL.

- This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

- This facility discharges to a stream with an EPA approved TMDL. The TMDL for the Missouri River is for PCBs and Chlordane. This facility is not considered a source of the pollutants.

Part VI –2013 Water Quality Criteria for Ammonia

Upcoming changes to the Water Quality Standard for ammonia may require significant upgrades to wastewater treatment facilities.

On August 22, 2013, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) finalized new water quality criteria for ammonia, based on toxicity studies of mussels and gill breathing snails. Missouri's current ammonia criteria are based on toxicity testing of several species, but did not include data from mussels or gill breathing snails. Missouri is home to 69 of North America's mussel species, which are spread across the state. According to the Missouri Department of Conservation nearly two-thirds of the mussel species in Missouri are considered to be "of conservation concern". Nine species are listed as federally endangered, with an additional species currently proposed as endangered and another species proposed as threatened.

The adult forms of mussels that are seen in rivers, lakes, and streams are sensitive to pollutants because they are sedentary filter feeders. They vacuum up many pollutants with the food they bring in and cannot escape to new habitats, so they can accumulate toxins in their bodies and die. But very young mussels, called glochidia, are exceptionally sensitive to ammonia in water. As a result of a citizen suit, the EPA was compelled to conduct toxicity testing and develop ammonia water quality criteria that would be protective if young mussels may be present in a waterbody. These new criteria will apply to any discharge with ammonia levels that may pose a reasonable potential to violate the standards. Nearly all discharging domestic wastewater treatment facilities (cities, subdivisions, mobile home parks, etc.), as well as certain industrial and stormwater dischargers with ammonia in their effluent, will be affected by this change in the regulations.

When new water quality criteria are established by the EPA, states must adopt them into their regulations in order to keep their authorization to issue permits under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). States are required to review their water quality standards every three years, and if new criteria have been developed they must be adopted. States may be more protective than the Federal requirements, but not less protective. Missouri does not have the resources to conduct the studies necessary for developing new water quality standards, and therefore our standards mirror those developed by the EPA; however, we will utilize any available flexibility based on actual species of mussels that are native to Missouri and their sensitivity to ammonia.

Many treatment facilities in Missouri are currently scheduled to be upgraded to comply with the current water quality standards. But these new ammonia standards may require a different treatment technology than the one being considered by the permittee. It is important that permittees discuss any new and upcoming requirements with their consulting engineers to ensure that their treatment systems are capable of complying with the new requirements. The Department encourages permittees to construct treatment technologies that can attain effluent quality that supports the EPA ammonia criteria.

Ammonia toxicity varies by temperature and by pH of the water. Assuming a stable pH value, but taking into account winter and summer temperatures, Missouri includes two seasons of ammonia effluent limitations. The permit contains monitoring only requirements:

Under the new EPA criteria, where mussels of the family Unionidae are present or expected to be present, the estimated effluent limitations for a facility in a location such as this that discharges to a receiving stream the mixing consideration listed in Part IV of the Fact Sheet will be:

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)
Summer	26	7.8	0.7	3.4
Winter	6	7.8	2.3	13

Summer: April 1 – September 30

Chronic WLA: $C_e = ((6.2 + 6589.5)0.7 - (6589.5 * 0.01))/6.2$
 $C_e = 734.05 \text{ mg/L}$

Acute WLA: $C_e = ((6.2 + 62)3.4 - (62 * 0.01))/6.2$
 $C_e = 37.3 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 734.05 \text{ mg/L} (0.584) = 428.94 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.36, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]

$LTA_a = 37.3 \text{ mg/L} (0.157) = 5.84 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.36, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

$MDL = 5.84 \text{ mg/L} (6.70) = 37.3 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.36, 99th Percentile]

$AML = 5.84 \text{ mg/L} (1.48) = 8.5 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.36, 95th Percentile, n =30]

Winter: October 1 – March 31

Chronic WLA: $C_e = ((6.2 + 6589.5)2.3 - (6589.5 * 0.01))/6.2$
 $C_e = 2436.16 \text{ mg/L}$

Acute WLA: $C_e = ((6.2 + 62)13 - (62 * 0.01))/6.2$
 $C_e = 142.90 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 2436.16 \text{ mg/L} (0.567) = 1381.29 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.44, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]

$LTA_a = 142.90 \text{ mg/L} (0.149) = 21.32 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.44, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

$MDL = 21.32 \text{ mg/L} (6.70) = 142.9 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.44, 99th Percentile]

$AML = 21.32 \text{ mg/L} (1.48) = 31.5 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.44, 95th Percentile, n =30]

Summer – 37.3 mg/L daily maximum, 8.5 mg/L monthly average.

Winter – 142.9 mg/L daily maximum, 31.5 mg/L monthly average.

These estimated limits above are based in part on the actual performance of the plant at the time of the drafting of this permit and should not be construed as future effluent limitations. Future effluent limits, based on the EPA's 2013 water quality criteria for ammonia, will depend in part on the actual performance of the facility at the time the permit is renewed.

Operating permits for facilities in Missouri must be written based on current statutes and regulations. Therefore permits will be written with the existing effluent limitations until the new standards are adopted. To aid permittees in decision making, an advisory will be added to permit Fact Sheets notifying permittees of the expected effluent limitations for ammonia. When setting schedules of compliance for ammonia effluent limitations, consideration will be given to facilities that have recently constructed upgraded facilities to meet the current ammonia limitations.

For more information on this topic feel free to contact the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program, Water Pollution Control Branch, Operating Permits Section at (573) 751-1300.

Part VII – Effluent Limits Determination

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri’s Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall’s Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)] Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]
 Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)] All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]
 Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]
 Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]

OUTFALL #001 – MAIN FACILITY OUTFALL

Effluent limitations derived and established in the below Effluent Limitations Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit.

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Flow	MGD	1	*		*	*/*	1/week-days	monthly	T
BOD ₅	mg/L	1		45	30	45/30	2/week	monthly	C
TSS	mg/L	1		45	30	45/30	2/week	monthly	C
<i>Escherichia coli</i> **	#/100mL	1, 3		1030	206	Fecal 1000/400	1/week	monthly	G
Ammonia as N	mg/L	2, 3	*		*	*/*	1/month	monthly	G
Oil & Grease	mg/L	1, 3	15		10	15/10	1/month	monthly	G
Trichloroethylene	µg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
1,1-dichloroethylene	µg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
1,2-trans-dichloroethylene	µg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
1,2-cis-dichloroethylene	µg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Chloride	mg/L	7	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Aluminum, TR	µg/L	7	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Iron, TR	µg/L	7	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Zinc, TR	µg/L	7	*		*	*/*	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Copper, TR	µg/L	7	*		*	*/*	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Nickel, TR	µg/L	7	*		*	*/*	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity	TUa	1, 9	*			***	1/year	annually	C
Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity	TUc	1, 9	*			***	1/permit cycle	1/permit cycle	C
PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Minimum		Maximum	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type
pH	SU	1	6.0		9.0	6.0-9.0	2/week	monthly	G

* - Monitoring requirement only.

** - #/100mL; the Monthly Average for *E. coli* is a geometric mean.

*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

**** - C = 24-hour composite

G = Grab

T = 24-hr. total

E = 24-hr. estimate

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 9. WET Test Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 6. Water Quality Model | 10. Multiple Discharger Variance |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 7. Best Professional Judgment | |
| 4. Antidegradation Review | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL | |

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- **Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.
- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅).**
 - Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Effluent Limits Determination.**
- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS).**
 - Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Effluent Limits Determination.**
- **Escherichia coli (E. coli).** Monthly average of 206 per 100 mL as a geometric mean and Weekly Average of 1030 per 100 mL as a geometric mean during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), to protect Whole Body Contact Recreation (B) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(C). An effluent limit for both monthly average and weekly average is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d). The Geometric Mean is calculated by multiplying all of the data points and then taking the nth root of this product, where n = # of samples collected. For example: Five E. coli samples were collected with results of 1, 4, 6, 10, and 5 (#/100mL). Geometric Mean = 5th root of (1)(4)(6)(10)(5) = 5th root of 1,200 = 4.1 #/100mL.
- **Total Ammonia Nitrogen.** Monitoring Requirement only. The facility did not show a reasonable potential to violate Water quality standards.
- **Oil & Grease.** Conventional pollutant, effluent limitation for protection of aquatic life; 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L daily maximum.
- **Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen.** Monitoring required for facilities greater than 100,000 gpd design flow per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)7. Total Nitrogen shall be determined by testing for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) and Nitrate + Nitrite and reporting the sum of the results (reported as N). Nitrate + Nitrite can be analyzed together or separately.
- **pH.** -6.0-9.0 SU. pH limitations [10 CSR 20-7.015] are protective of the water quality standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(E)], due to the buffering capacity of the mixing zone.
- **Chloride.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has industrial sources that have the potential to discharge this pollutant to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Chloride.
- **Trichloroethylene.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has a site where groundwater treatment of Trichloroethylene and other related constituents are removed by solvent separation and air-stripping and the resulting treated water are discharged to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Trichloroethylene.
- **1,1-dichloroethylene.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has a site where groundwater treatment of Trichloroethylene and other related constituents are removed by solvent separation and air-stripping and the resulting treated water are discharged to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for 1,1-dichloroethylene.
- **1,2-trans-dichloroethylene.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has a site where groundwater treatment of Trichloroethylene and other related constituents are removed by solvent separation and air-stripping and the resulting treated water are discharged to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for 1,2-trans-dichloroethylene.
- **1,2-cis-dichloroethylene.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has a site where groundwater treatment of Trichloroethylene and other related constituents are removed by solvent separation and air-stripping and the resulting treated water are discharged to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for 1,2-cis-dichloroethylene.

Metals

- **Aluminum, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has industrial sources that have the potential to discharge this pollutant to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Aluminum (Total Recoverable).

- **Iron, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has industrial sources that have the potential to discharge this pollutant to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Iron (Total Recoverable).
- **Zinc, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has industrial sources that have the potential to discharge this pollutant to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Zinc (Total Recoverable).
- **Copper, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has industrial sources that have the potential to discharge this pollutant to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Copper (Total Recoverable).
- **Nickel, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility has industrial sources that have the potential to discharge this pollutant to the wastewater treatment plant. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Nickel (Total Recoverable).

Whole Effluent Toxicity

- **Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards. /

Classified P with other than default Mixing Considerations, the AEC% is determined as follows:

$$\text{Acute AEC\%} = \{[(\text{design flow}_{\text{cfs}} + \text{ZID}_{7\text{Q}10}) / \text{design flow}_{\text{cfs}}]^{-1}\} \times 100 = ((6.2 + 62) / 6.2)^{-1} \times 100 = 9\%$$

- **Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards.

Classified P with other than default Mixing Considerations, the AEC% is determined as follows:

$$\text{Chronic AEC\%} = \{[(\text{design flow}_{\text{cfs}} + \text{MZ}_{7\text{Q}10}) / \text{design flow}_{\text{cfs}}]^{-1}\} \times 100 = ((6.2 + 6068.5) / 6.2)^{-1} \times 100 = 1\% \text{ (rounded up)}$$

- **Parameters Removed.** Temperature, Cadmium, Chromium III, Chromium VI, Lead, Silver, Cyanide, and Phenol were removed as the permit writer did not observe a reasonable potential to violate water quality standards.

Sampling Frequency Justification:

Sampling and Reporting Frequency was retained from previous permit except for Ammonia, Trichloroethylene, 1,1-dichloroethylene, 1,2-trans-dichloroethylene, and 1,2-cis-dichloroethylene which was set to quarterly. Weekly sampling is required for *E. coli*, per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)6.A.

WET Test Sampling Frequency Justification. WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring*. It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.

Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity

- No less than **ONCE/YEAR:**
 - Facility is designated as a Major facility or has a design flow \geq 1.0 MGD.
 - Facility incorporates a pretreatment program and dilution of the receiving stream is 100x or greater.

Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity

- No less than **ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE:**
 - POTW facilities with a design flow of greater than 1.0 million gallons per day, but less than 10 million gallons per day, shall conduct and submit to the Department a chronic WET test no less than once per five years.

Sampling Type Justification:

As per 10 CSR 20-7.015, BOD₅, TSS, and WET test samples collected for mechanical plants shall be a 24 hour composite sample. A 24-hour composite sample is either composed of flow-proportional samples collected using a variable frequency technique at a facility defined flow rate or composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device. Grab samples, however, must be collected for pH, Ammonia as N, *E. coli*, Oil & Grease, and Total Phosphorus. This is due to the holding time restriction for *E. coli*, the volatility of Ammonia, and the fact that pH cannot be preserved and must be sampled in the field. As Ammonia, Oil & Grease, and Total Phosphorus samples must be immediately preserved, these samples are to be collected as a grab.

PERMITTED FEATURE #SM1 – INSTREAM MONITORING (UPSTREAM)

The monitoring requirements established in the below Monitoring Requirements Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including the monitoring requirements listed in this table..

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G

* - Monitoring requirement only.

*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

**** - C = 24-hour composite

G = Grab

M = Total Measured / Measured

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 4. Antidegradation Review | 7. Best Professional Judgment |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 6. Water Quality Model | 9. WET Test Policy |

PERMITTED FEATURE #SM1 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

- Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen.** Facilities with a design flow greater than 100,000 gallons per day are required to sample their effluent quarterly for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)7. Upstream monitoring for these parameters is necessary to determine background stream concentrations in order to complete calculations that determine instream nutrient loading.

Sampling Frequency Justification:

The sampling and reporting frequency for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen has been established to match the required sampling frequency of these parameters in the effluent.

Sampling Type Justification

As Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen samples must be immediately preserved; these samples are to be collected as a grab.

PERMITTED FEATURE #SM2 – INSTREAM MONITORING (DOWNSTREAM)

The monitoring requirements established in the below Monitoring Requirements Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including the monitoring requirements listed in this table..

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Total Hardness	mg/L	1, 3	*		*	***	monthly	monthly	G

* - Monitoring requirement only.

*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

**** - C = 24-hour composite

G = Grab

M = Total Measured / Measured

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 4. Antidegradation Review | 7. Best Professional Judgment |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 6. Water Quality Model | 9. WET Test Policy |

PERMITTED FEATURE #SM2 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

- Total Hardness.**

Sampling Frequency Justification:

The sampling and reporting frequency for Total Hardness has been established to match the required sampling frequency of the metals parameters in the effluent.

Sampling Type Justification

As Total Hardness samples must be immediately preserved; these samples are to be collected as a grab.

Part VIII – Cost Analysis for Compliance

Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo, when issuing permits under this chapter that incorporate a new requirement for discharges from publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer systems or publicly owned treatment works, or when enforcing provisions of this chapter or the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., pertaining to any portion of a publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer system or [publicly owned] treatment works, the Department of Natural Resources shall make a “finding of affordability” on the costs to be incurred and the impact of any rate changes on ratepayers upon which to base such permits and decisions, to the extent allowable under this chapter and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. This process is completed through a cost analysis for compliance. Permits that do not include new requirements may be deemed affordable.

- The Department is required to determine “findings of affordability” because the permit applies to a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for a publically-owned treatment works.

Cost Analysis for Compliance - The Department has made a reasonable search for empirical data indicating the permit is affordable. The search consisted of a review of Department records that might contain economic data on the community, a review of information provided by the applicant as part of the application, and public comments received in response to public notices of this draft permit. If the empirical cost data was used by the permit writer, this data may consist of median household income, any other ongoing projects that the Department has knowledge, and other demographic financial information that the community provided as contemplated by Section 644. 145.3. See **Appendix – Cost Analysis for Compliance**

Part IX – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:

The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is that all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together will all expire in the same fiscal year. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the Department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future. Renewal applications must continue to be submitted within 180 days of expiration, however, in instances where effluent data from the previous renewal is less than 4 years old, that data may be re-submitted to meet the requirements of the renewal application. If the permit provides a schedule of compliance for meeting new water quality based effluent limits beyond the expiration date of the permit, the time remaining in the schedule of compliance will be allotted in the renewed permit.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing. The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit. For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

- The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from August 26, 2016 to September 26, 2016. No responses received.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: JUNE 13, 2016

COMPLETED BY:

BRANT FARRIS, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST III
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
OPERATING PERMITS SECTION - DOMESTIC WASTEWATER UNIT
(660) 385-8019
brant.farris@dnr.mo.gov

Appendices

APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET:

ITEM	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
Maximum Population Equivalent (P.E.) served (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt./10,000 PE or major fraction thereof.	4
Maximum: 10 pt Design Flow (avg. day) or peak month; use greater (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt. / MGD or major fraction thereof.	4
EFFLUENT DISCHARGE RECEIVING WATER SENSITIVITY:		
Missouri or Mississippi River	0	
All other stream discharges except to losing streams and stream reaches supporting whole body contact	1	
Discharge to lake or reservoir outside of designated whole body contact recreational area	2	
Discharge to losing stream, or stream, lake or reservoir area supporting whole body contact recreation	3	3
PRELIMINARY TREATMENT - Headworks		
Screening and/or comminution	3	3
Grit removal	3	3
Plant pumping of main flow (lift station at the headworks)	3	3
PRIMARY TREATMENT		
Primary clarifiers	5	
Combined sedimentation/digestion	5	
Chemical addition (except chlorine, enzymes)	4	
REQUIRED LABORATORY CONTROL – performed by plant personnel (highest level only)		
Push – button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, Settleable solids	3	
Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, titrations, solids, volatile content	5	5
More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	7	
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph	10	
ALTERNATIVE FATE OF EFFLUENT		
Direct reuse or recycle of effluent	6	
Land Disposal – low rate	3	
High rate	5	
Overland flow	4	
Total from page ONE (1)	----	25

APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET (CONTINUED):

ITEM	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
VARIATION IN RAW WASTE (highest level only) (DMR exceedances and Design Flow exceedances)		
Variation do not exceed those normally or typically expected	0	
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100 to 200 % in strength and/or flow	2	2
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200 % in strength and/or flow	4	
Raw wastes subject to toxic waste discharge	6	
SECONDARY TREATMENT		
Trickling filter and other fixed film media with secondary clarifiers	10	
Activated sludge with secondary clarifiers (including extended aeration and oxidation ditches)	15	15
Stabilization ponds without aeration	5	
Aerated lagoon	8	
Advanced Waste Treatment Polishing Pond	2	
Chemical/physical – without secondary	15	
Chemical/physical – following secondary	10	
Biological or chemical/biological	12	
Carbon regeneration	4	
DISINFECTION		
Chlorination or comparable	5	
Dechlorination	2	
On-site generation of disinfectant (except UV light)	5	
UV light	4	4
SOLIDS HANDLING - SLUDGE		
Solids Handling Thickening	5	
Anaerobic digestion	10	
Aerobic digestion	6	6
Evaporative sludge drying	2	
Mechanical dewatering	8	
Solids reduction (incineration, wet oxidation)	12	
Land application	6	6
Total from page TWO (2)	----	33
Total from page ONE (1)	---	25
Grand Total	---	58

- A: 71 points and greater
- B: 51 points – 70 points
- C: 26 points – 50 points
- D: 0 points – 25 points

APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS:

Parameter	CMC*	RWC Acute*	CCC*	RWC Chronic*	n**	Range max/min	CV***	MF	RP Yes/No
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Summer) mg/L	12.1	2.95	1.5	0.04	21.00	7.19/0.01	1.36	4.50	NO
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Winter) mg/L	12.1	4.12	3.1	0.05	20.00	9.2/0.01	1.44	4.92	NO
Cadmium, TR	10.0	0.28	0.4	0.00	30.00	2.5/1	0.43	1.22	NO
Chromium III, TR	3122.4	1.13	149.3	0.01	30.00	7/1	0.48	1.77	NO
Chromium VI, Dissolved	15.0	0.63	10.0	0.01	30.00	7/2.5	0.37	0.99	NO
Copper, TR	26.3	6.73	16.5	0.08	30.00	33/2.4	0.72	2.24	NO
Lead, TR	191.6	0.44	7.5	0.00	30.00	5/3.7	0.05	0.97	NO
Nickel, TR	827.8	9.96	92.0	0.11	30.00	35.5/1	1.11	3.09	NO
Zinc, TR	211.4	11.89	211.4	0.13	30.00	84.2/11	0.36	1.55	NO
Cyanide	22.0	0.45	5.0	0.01	30.00	5.6/2.5	0.22	0.89	NO
Silver, TR	12.0	0.23	NA	NA	28.00	2/0.75	0.17	1.25	NO
Phenol	10200.0	2.53	2560.0	0.03	30.00	25/5	0.30	1.11	NO

N/A – Not Applicable

* - Units are (µg/L) unless otherwise noted.

** - If the number of samples is 10 or greater, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent. If the number of samples is < 10, then the default CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.

*** - Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the sample set by the Mean of the same sample set.

RWC – Receiving Water Concentration. It is the concentration of a toxicant or the parameter toxicity in the receiving water after mixing (if applicable).

n – Is the number of samples.

MF – Multiplying Factor. 99% Confidence Level and 99% Probability Basis.

RP – Reasonable Potential. It is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors including, as a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii).

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2). A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request.

APPENDIX – ALTERNATIVE: Map



APPENDIX – COST ANALYSIS FOR COMPLIANCE:

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Cost Analysis for Compliance
(In accordance with RSMo 644.145)**

**Washington WWTP, Permit Renewal
City of Washington
Missouri State Operating Permit #MO-0025810**

Section 644.145 RSMo requires the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to make a “finding of affordability” when “issuing permits under” or “enforcing provisions of” state or federal clean water laws “pertaining to any portion of a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for publicly-owned treatment works.”

This cost analysis is based on data available to the Department as provided by the permittee and data obtained from readily available sources. For the most accurate analysis, it is essential that the permittee provides the Department with current information about the City’s financial and socioeconomic situation. The financial questionnaire was submitted to the Department by the City upon request.

The Department is required to issue a permit with final effluent limits in accordance with 644.051.1.(1) RSMo, 644.051.1.(2) RSMo, and the Clean Water Act. The practical result of this analysis is to incorporate a compliance schedule into the permit in order to mitigate adverse impact to distressed populations resulting from new costs for the wastewater treatment facility.

Facility Description: Influent pump station / screening / grit chambers / 4 vertical loop reactors / 2 final clarifiers / UV disinfection / sludge digestion / sludge is hauled to a solid waste landfill or land applied.

Residential Connections:	<u>5,242</u>
Commercial Connections:	<u>647</u>
Industrial Connections:	<u>32</u>
Total Connections for this facility:	<u>5,921</u>

New Permit Requirements:

The permit requires compliance with new monitoring requirements for Trichloroethylene, 1,1-dichloroethylene, 1,2-trans-dichloroethylene, 1,2-cis-dichloroethylene, Total Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus, a once per permit cycle Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test, and the development and implementation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

Anticipated Costs Associated with Complying with the New Requirements:

The cost estimated for new sampling requirements is \$4,220 annually. The cost estimated for a once per permit cycle Chronic WET test is \$1,550 or \$310 annually if costs are spread over a five year period. The estimated cost to develop a SWPPP is \$10,000 or \$2,000 annually if costs are spread over a five year period. The permit also removed Temperature, Cadmium, Chromium III, Chromium VI, Lead, Silver, Cyanide, and Phenol. This is an estimated savings of \$900 annually. The total cost estimated for the new permit requirements is \$6,530 annually (\$5,630 annually with cost savings). These costs, if financed through user fees, might cost each household an extra \$0.08¹ per month.

(1) A community's financial capability and ability to raise or secure necessary funding;

Current Monthly User Rates:	<u>\$27.60</u>
Rate Capacity or Pay as You Go Option:	<u>Rate Capacity</u>
Municipal Bond Rating (if applicable):	<u>A+</u>
Bonding Capacity: <i>(General Obligation Bond capacity allowed by constitution: cities=up to 20% of taxable tangible property sewer districts or villages=up to 5% of taxable tangible property)</i>	<u>10%</u>
Current outstanding debt for the WWTP:	<u>\$57,991,728[€]</u>
Amount within the current user rate used toward payments on outstanding debt related to the current wastewater infrastructure:	<u>50% (\$13.80)</u>

€- as of 9/30/2014

(2) Affordability of pollution control options for the individuals or households at or below the median household income level of the community;

The total cost estimated for the new permit requirements is \$6,530 annually (\$5,630 annually with cost savings). This cost, if financed through user fees, might cost each household an extra \$0.08 per month. This would make the cost per household (\$27.68) as a percent of median household income (MHI) 0.7%² based on the State of Missouri's average MHI of \$49,008 as the City's MHI of \$52,472 is higher than the state average. Due to the minimal cost associated with this new requirement, the Department anticipates an extremely low to no rate increase will be necessary that could impact individuals or households of the community.

(3) An evaluation of the overall costs and environmental benefits of the control technologies;

Nutrient Monitoring

Nutrients are mineral compounds that are required for organisms to grow and thrive. Of the six (6) elemental macronutrients, Nitrogen and Phosphorus are generally not readily available and limit growth of organisms. Excess nitrogen and phosphorus will cause a shift in the ecosystem's food web. Once excess nitrogen and phosphorus are introduced into a waterbody, some species' populations will dramatically increase, while other populations will not be able to sustain life. Competition and productivity are two factors in which nutrients can alter aquatic ecosystems and the designated uses of a waterbody. For example, designated uses, such as drinking water sources and recreational uses become impaired when algal blooms take over a waterbody. These blooms can cause foul tastes and odors in the drinking water, unsightly appearance, and fish mortality in the waterbody. Some algae also produce toxins that may cause serious adverse health conditions such as liver damage, tumor promotion, paralysis, and kidney damage. The monitoring requirements for Nitrogen and Phosphorus have been added to the permit to provide data regarding the health of the receiving stream's aquatic life. A healthy ecosystem is beneficial as it provides reduced impacts on human and aquatic health as well as recreational opportunities.

Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

Stormwater runoff is water from rain or snowmelt that does not immediately infiltrate into the ground and flows over or through natural or man-made storage or conveyance systems. When undeveloped areas are converted to land uses with impervious surfaces such as buildings, parking lots, and roads, the natural hydrology of the land is altered and can result in increased surface runoff rates, volumes, and pollutant loads. Stormwater runoff picks up industrial pollutants and typically discharges them directly into nearby waterbodies or indirectly via storm sewer systems. Runoff from areas where industrial activities occur can contain toxic pollutants (e.g., heavy metals and organic chemicals) and other pollutants such as trash, debris, and oil and grease, when facility practices allow exposure of industrial materials to stormwater. This increased flow and pollutant load can impair waterbodies, degrade biological habitats, pollute drinking water sources, and cause flooding and hydrologic changes to the receiving water, such as channel erosion. Industrial facilities typically perform a portion of their activities in outdoor areas exposed to the elements. This may include activities such as material storage and handling, vehicle fueling and maintenance, shipping and receiving, and salt storage, all of which can result in pollutants being exposed to precipitation and capable of being carried off in stormwater runoff. Also, facilities may have performed industrial activities outdoors in the past and materials from those activities still remain exposed to precipitation. In addition, accidental spills and leaks, improper waste disposal, and illicit connections to storm sewers may also lead to exposure of pollutants to stormwater.

A SWPPP is a written document that identifies the industrial activities conducted at the site, including any structural control practices, which the industrial facility operator will implement to prevent pollutants from making their way into stormwater runoff. The SWPPP also must include descriptions of other relevant information, such as the physical features of the facility, and procedures for spill prevention, conducting inspections, and training of employees. The SWPPP is intended to be a “living” document, updated as necessary, such that when industrial activities or stormwater control practices are modified or replaced, the SWPPP is similarly revised to reflect these changes.

(4) Inclusion of ongoing costs of operating and maintaining the existing wastewater collection and treatment system, including payments on outstanding debts for wastewater collection and treatment systems when calculating projected rates:

The community reported their outstanding debt for their current wastewater collection and treatment systems to be \$57,991,728. The community reported that each user pays \$27.60 each month, of which approximately 50% (\$13.80) is used toward payments on the current outstanding debt.

(5) An inclusion of ways to reduce economic impacts on distressed populations in the community, including but not limited to low and fixed income populations. This requirement includes but is not limited to:

- (a) Allowing adequate time in implementation schedules to mitigate potential adverse impacts on distressed populations resulting from the costs of the improvements and taking into consideration local community economic considerations.
- (b) Allowing for reasonable accommodations for regulated entities when inflexible standards and fines would impose a disproportionate financial hardship in light of the environmental benefits to be gained.

Socioeconomic Data^{3-6:}

Potentially Distressed Populations – City of Washington	
Total Population	13,994
Unemployment	4.1%
Adjusted Median Household Income (MHI)	\$52,472/\$49,008*
Percent Change in MHI (2000-2012)	+20.9%
Percent Population Growth/Decline (2000-2012)	+5.3%
Median Age in Years	39.5
Percent of Households in Poverty	10.4%
Percent of Households Relying on Food Stamps	9.5%

* The State’s average MHI is used in this analysis

(6) An assessment of other community investments and operating costs relating to environmental improvements and public health protection;

The City reported a light conversion project that will cost \$190,000.

(7) An assessment of factors set forth in the United States Environmental Protection Agency's guidance, including but not limited to the "Combined Sewer Overflow Guidance for Financial Capability Assessment and Schedule Development" that may ease the cost burdens of implementing wet weather control plans, including but not limited to small system considerations, the attainability of water quality standards, and the development of wet weather standards;

Secondary indicators for consideration:

Indicators	Strong (3 points)	Mid-Range (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	Score
Bond Rating Indicator	Above BBB or Baa	BBB or Baa	Below BBB or Baa	3
Overall Net Debt as a % of Full Market Property Value	Below 2%	2% - 5%	Above 5%	2
Unemployment Rate	>1 below Missouri average of 4.1%	± 1 of Missouri average of 4.1%	>1 above Missouri average of 4.1%	2
Median Household Income	More than 25% above Missouri MHI (\$49,008)	± 25% of Missouri MHI (\$49,008)	More than 25% below Missouri MHI (\$49,008)	2
Percent of Households in Poverty*	>10 below Missouri average of 11.7%	± 10 of Missouri average of 11.7%	>10 above Missouri average of 11.7%	2
Percent of Households Relying on Food Stamps*	>5 below Missouri average of 10.6%	± 5 of Missouri average of 10.6%	>5 above Missouri average of 10.6%	2
Property Tax Revenues as a % of Full Market Property Value	Below 2%	2% - 4%	Above 4%	3
Property Tax Collection Rate	Above 98%	94% - 98%	Below 94%	2

* Financial Capability Indicators are specific to the State of Missouri

Financial Capability (FCI) Indicators Average Score: 2.25
Residential Indicator (RI, from Criteria #2 above): 0.7%

Financial Capability Matrix:

Financial Capability Indicators Score from above ↓	Residential Indicator (User cost as a % of MHI)		
	Low (Below 1%)	Mid-Range (Between 1.0% and 2.0%)	High (Above 2.0%)
Weak (below 1.5)	Medium Burden	High Burden	High Burden
Mid-Range (1.5 – 2.5)	Low Burden	Medium Burden	High Burden
Strong (above 2.5)	Low Burden	Medium Burden	High Burden

Estimated Financial Burden: Low Burden

The resulting financial burden has been determined by comparing the Financial Capability Indicator score (FCI) with the Residential Indicator (RI) stated in Criteria #2. The cost associated with a the new permit requirements could result in a Low financial burden placed on the community due to the Mid-Range FCI paired with the Low RI. Please see Criteria #2 for more information on the costs specific to each treatment technology.

The new sampling requirements associated with this permit will not impose a financial burden on the community, nor will the new requirements require the City of Washington to seek funding from an outside source.

(8) An assessment of any other relevant local community economic condition.

The community did not report any other relevant local economic conditions.

Conclusion and Finding

As a result of new regulations, the Department is proposing modifications to the current operating permit that includes new monitoring requirements for Trichloroethylene, 1,1-dichloroethylene, 1,2-trans-dichloroethylene, 1,2-cis-dichloroethylene, Total Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus, a once per permit cycle Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test, and the development and implementation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).and develop a SWPPP. The Department identified the actions for which cost analysis for compliance is required under Section 644.145 RSMo.

The Department estimates the cost for new requirements is \$6,530 annually (\$5,630 annually with cost savings). Should these additional costs be financed through user fees, it may require the new sewer rate to be 0.7% of the community's MHI.

The Department considered the eight (8) criteria presented in subsection 644.145.3 when evaluating the cost associated with the relevant actions. Taking into consideration these criteria, this analysis examined whether the above referenced permit modifications affects the ability of an individual customer or household to pay a utility bill without undue hardship or unreasonable sacrifice in the essential lifestyle or spending patterns of the individual or household. As a result of reviewing the above criteria, the Department hereby finds that the action described above may result in a low burden with regard to the community's overall financial capability and a low financial impact for most individual customers/households; therefore, the new permit requirements are affordable.

References:

1. $((\$5,630/5,921)/12 \text{ months}) = \0.08
2. $(\$27.68/(\$49,008/12))*100 = 0.7\%$
3. Unemployment data was obtained from Missouri Department of Economic Development (November 2015) – <http://www.missourieconomy.org/pdfs/urel1511.pdf>
4. Median Household Income data from American Community Survey – Median income in the past 12 months – <http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?ftp=table>
5. Population trend data was obtained from online at: 2012 Census Bureau Population Data - <http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?ftp=table>, 2000 Census Bureau Population Data - <http://www.census.gov/popest/data/cities/totals/2009/tables/SUB-EST2009-04-29.xls>, 1990 Census Bureau Population Data - <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen1990/cp1/cp-1-27.pdf>
6. Poverty data – American Community Survey- <http://factfinder2.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/searchresults.xhtml?refresh=t>



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

These Standard Conditions incorporate permit conditions as required by 40 CFR 122.41 or other applicable state statutes or regulations. These minimum conditions apply unless superseded by requirements specified in the permit.

Part I – General Conditions

Section A – Sampling, Monitoring, and Recording

1. **Sampling Requirements.**
 - a. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
 - b. All samples shall be taken at the outfall(s) or Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) approved sampling location(s), and unless specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other body of water or substance.
2. **Monitoring Requirements.**
 - a. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - i. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - ii. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - iii. The date(s) analyses were performed;
 - iv. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - v. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - vi. The results of such analyses.
 - b. If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit at the location specified in the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 CFR subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reported to the Department with the discharge monitoring report data (DMR) submitted to the Department pursuant to Section B, paragraph 7.
3. **Sample and Monitoring Calculations.** Calculations for all sample and monitoring results which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in the permit.
4. **Test Procedures.** The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure that the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations that are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is “sufficiently sensitive” when; 1) the method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the pollutant or, 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility’s discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015. These methods are also required for parameters that are listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure that the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive.
5. **Record Retention.** Except for records of monitoring information required by the permit related to the permittee’s sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years (or longer as required by 40 CFR part 503), the permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.

6. **Illegal Activities.**
 - a. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or both.
 - b. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person or who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both. Second and successive convictions for violation under this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

Section B – Reporting Requirements

1. **Planned Changes.**
 - a. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility when:
 - i. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
 - ii. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42;
 - iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee’s sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan;
 - iv. Any facility expansions, production increases, or process modifications which will result in a new or substantially different discharge or sludge characteristics must be reported to the Department 60 days before the facility or process modification begins. Notification may be accomplished by application for a new permit. If the discharge does not violate effluent limitations specified in the permit, the facility is to submit a notice to the Department of the changed discharge at least 30 days before such changes. The Department may require a construction permit and/or permit modification as a result of the proposed changes at the facility.
2. **Non-compliance Reporting.**
 - a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Relevant information shall be provided orally or via the current electronic method approved by the Department, within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall be reported to the appropriate Regional Office during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) business days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

- b. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
 - i. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - ii. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - iii. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit required to be reported within 24 hours.
 - c. The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under paragraph 2. b. of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.
3. **Anticipated Noncompliance.** The permittee shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The notice shall be submitted to the Department 60 days prior to such changes or activity.
 4. **Compliance Schedules.** Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of the permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. The report shall provide an explanation for the instance of noncompliance and a proposed schedule or anticipated date, for achieving compliance with the compliance schedule requirement.
 5. **Other Noncompliance.** The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs 2, 3, and 6 of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph 2. a. of this section.
 6. **Other Information.** Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
 7. **Discharge Monitoring Reports.**
 - a. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the permit.
 - b. Monitoring results must be reported to the Department via the current method approved by the Department, unless the permittee has been granted a waiver from using the method. If the permittee has been granted a waiver, the permittee must use forms provided by the Department.
 - c. Monitoring results shall be reported to the Department no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of the reporting period.
- b. Notice.
 - i. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
 - ii. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 5 (24-hour notice).
 - c. Prohibition of bypass.
 - i. Bypass is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 1. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 2. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 3. The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 2. b. of this section.
 - ii. The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Department determines that it will meet the three (3) conditions listed above in paragraph 2. c. i. of this section.
3. **Upset Requirements.**
 - a. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 3. b. of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
 - b. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - i. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - ii. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
 - iii. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 2. b. ii. (24-hour notice).
 - iv. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Section D – Administrative Requirements, paragraph 4.
 - c. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.

Section C – Bypass/Upset Requirements

1. **Definitions.**
 - a. *Bypass*: the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending.
 - b. *Severe Property Damage*: substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
 - c. *Upset*: an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
2. **Bypass Requirements.**
 - a. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2. b. and 2. c. of this section.

Section D – Administrative Requirements

1. **Duty to Comply.** The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law and Federal Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.
 - a. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$25,000 per day for each violation. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

- imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of \$2,500 to \$25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one (1) year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of \$5,000 to \$50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than three (3) years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than six (6) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can be fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.
- c. Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the EPA Director for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed \$25,000. Penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed \$125,000.
- d. It is unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law, or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission. In the event the commission or the director determines that any provision of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or standard, rules, limitations or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, or permits issued by, or any final abatement order, other order, or determination made by the commission or the director, or any filing requirement pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any other provision which this state is required to enforce pursuant to any federal water pollution control act, is being, was, or is in imminent danger of being violated, the commission or director may cause to have instituted a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction for the injunctive relief to prevent any such violation or further violation or for the assessment of a penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day, or part thereof, the violation occurred and continues to occur, or both, as the court deems proper. Any person who willfully or negligently commits any violation in this paragraph shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both. Second and successive convictions for violation of the same provision of this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.
2. **Duty to Reapply.**
- a. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit.
- b. A permittee with a currently effective site-specific permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 180 days before the expiration date of the existing permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Department. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
- c. A permittees with currently effective general permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 30 days before the existing permit expires, unless the permittee has been notified by the Department that an earlier application must be made. The Department may grant permission for a later submission date. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
3. **Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense.** It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
4. **Duty to Mitigate.** The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
5. **Proper Operation and Maintenance.** The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
6. **Permit Actions.**
- a. Subject to compliance with statutory requirements of the Law and Regulations and applicable Court Order, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked in whole or in part during its term for cause including, but not limited to, the following:
- i. Violations of any terms or conditions of this permit or the law;
- ii. Having obtained this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully any relevant facts;
- iii. A change in any circumstances or conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
- iv. Any reason set forth in the Law or Regulations.
- b. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.
7. **Permit Transfer.**
- a. Subject to 10 CSR 20-6.010, an operating permit may be transferred upon submission to the Department of an application to transfer signed by the existing owner and the new owner, unless prohibited by the terms of the permit. Until such time the permit is officially transferred, the original permittee remains responsible for complying with the terms and conditions of the existing permit.
- b. The Department may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Missouri Clean Water Law or the Federal Clean Water Act.
- c. The Department, within 30 days of receipt of the application, shall notify the new permittee of its intent to revoke or reissue or transfer the permit.
8. **Toxic Pollutants.** The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
9. **Property Rights.** This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

10. **Duty to Provide Information.** The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
11. **Inspection and Entry.** The permittee shall allow the Department, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Department), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
 - a. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
 - c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Federal Clean Water Act or Missouri Clean Water Law, any substances or parameters at any location.
12. **Closure of Treatment Facilities.**
 - a. Persons who cease operation or plan to cease operation of waste, wastewater, and sludge handling and treatment facilities shall close the facilities in accordance with a closure plan approved by the Department.
 - b. Operating Permits under 10 CSR 20-6.010 or under 10 CSR 20-6.015 are required until all waste, wastewater, and sludges have been disposed of in accordance with the closure plan approved by the Department and any disturbed areas have been properly stabilized. Disturbed areas will be considered stabilized when perennial vegetation, pavement, or structures using permanent materials cover all areas that have been disturbed. Vegetative cover, if used, shall be at least 70% plant density over 100% of the disturbed area.
13. **Signatory Requirement.**
 - a. All permit applications, reports required by the permit, or information requested by the Department shall be signed and certified. (See 40 CFR 122.22 and 10 CSR 20-6.010)
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months per violation, or by both.
 - c. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both.
14. **Severability.** The provisions of the permit are severable, and if any provision of the permit, or the application of any provision of the permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of the permit, shall not be affected thereby.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
MAY 1, 2013

PART II - SPECIAL CONDITIONS – PUBLICLY OWNED
TREATMENT WORKS
SECTION A – INDUSTRIAL USERS

1. Definitions

Definitions as set forth in the Missouri Clean Water Laws and approved by the Missouri Clean Water Commission shall apply to terms used herein.

Significant Industrial User (SIU). Except as provided in the *General Pretreatment Regulation* 10 CSR 20-6.100, the term Significant Industrial User means:

1. All Industrial Users subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards; and
2. Any other Industrial User that: discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW) (excluding sanitary, noncontact cooling and boiler blowdown wastewater); contributes a process wastestream which makes up 5 percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the POTW treatment plant; or is designated as such by the Control Authority on the basis that the Industrial User has a reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's or for violating any Pretreatment Standard or requirement.

Clean Water Act (CWA) is the the federal Clean Water Act of 1972, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq. (2002).

2. Identification of Industrial Discharges

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(j)(1), all POTWs shall identify, in terms of character and volume of pollutants, any Significant Industrial Users discharging to the POTW subject to Pretreatment Standards under section 307(b) of the CWA and 40 CFR 403.

3. Application Information

Applications for renewal or modification of this permit must contain the information about industrial discharges to the POTW pursuant to 40 CFR 122.21(j)(6)

4. Notice to the Department

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.42(b), all POTWs must provide adequate notice of the following:

1. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of CWA if it were directly discharging these pollutants; and
2. Any substantial change into the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
3. For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on:
 - i. the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and
 - ii. any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

For POTWs without an approved pretreatment program, the notice of industrial discharges which was not included in the permit application shall be made as soon as practicable. For POTWs with an approved pretreatment program, notice is to be included in the annual pretreatment report required in the special conditions of this permit. Notice may be sent to:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Attn: Pretreatment Coordinator
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102

STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
March 1, 2015

**PART III – SLUDGE AND BIOSOLIDS FROM DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER
TREATMENT FACILITIES**

SECTION A – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

1. This permit pertains to sludge requirements under the Missouri Clean Water Law and regulation for domestic wastewater and industrial process wastewater. This permit also incorporates applicable federal sludge disposal requirements under 40 CFR 503 for domestic wastewater. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has principal authority for permitting and enforcement of the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503 for domestic wastewater. EPA has reviewed and accepted these standard sludge conditions. EPA may choose to issue a separate sludge addendum to this permit or a separate federal sludge permit at their discretion to further address the federal requirements.
2. These PART III Standard Conditions apply only to sludge and biosolids generated at domestic wastewater treatment facilities, including public owned treatment works (POTW), privately owned facilities and sludge or biosolids generated at industrial facilities.
3. Sludge and Biosolids Use and Disposal Practices:
 - a. The permittee is authorized to operate the sludge and biosolids treatment, storage, use, and disposal facilities listed in the facility description of this permit.
 - b. The permittee shall not exceed the design sludge volume listed in the facility description and shall not use sludge disposal methods that are not listed in the facility description, without prior approval of the permitting authority.
 - c. The permittee is authorized to operate the storage, treatment or generating sites listed in the Facility Description section of this permit.
4. Sludge Received from other Facilities:
 - a. Permittees may accept domestic wastewater sludge from other facilities including septic tank pumpings from residential sources as long as the design sludge volume is not exceeded and the treatment facility performance is not impaired.
 - b. The permittee shall obtain a signed statement from the sludge generator or hauler that certifies the type and source of the sludge
5. These permit requirements do not supersede nor remove liability for compliance with county and other local ordinances.
6. These permit requirements do not supersede nor remove liability for compliance with other environmental regulations such as odor emissions under the Missouri Air Pollution Control Law and regulations.
7. This permit may (after due process) be modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable sludge disposal standard or limitation issued or approved under Section 405(d) of the Clean Water Act or under Chapter 644 RSMo.
8. In addition to STANDARD CONDITIONS, the Department may include sludge limitations in the special conditions portion or other sections of a site specific permit.
9. Alternate Limits in the Site Specific Permit.

Where deemed appropriate, the Department may require an individual site specific permit in order to authorize alternate limitations:

 - a. A site specific permit must be obtained for each operating location, including application sites.
 - b. To request a site specific permit, an individual permit application, permit fee, and supporting documents shall be submitted for each operating location. This shall include a detailed sludge/biosolids management plan or engineering report.
10. Exceptions to these Standard Conditions may be authorized on a case-by-case basis by the Department, as follows:
 - a. The Department will prepare a permit modification and follow permit notice provisions as applicable under 10 CSR 20-6.020, 40 CFR 124.10, and 40 CFR 501.15(a)(2)(ix)(E). This includes notification of the owner of the property located adjacent to each land application site, where appropriate.
 - b. Exceptions cannot be granted where prohibited by the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503.

SECTION B – DEFINITIONS

1. Best Management Practices include agronomic loading rates, soil conservation practices and other site restrictions.
2. Biosolids means organic fertilizer or soil amendment produced by the treatment of domestic wastewater sludge.
3. Biosolids land application facility is a facility where biosolids are spread onto the land at agronomic rates for production of food or fiber. The facility includes any structures necessary to store the biosolids until soil, weather, and crop conditions are favorable for land application.
4. Class A biosolids means a material that has met the Class A pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Further Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR 503.
5. Class B biosolids means a material that has met the Class B pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Significantly Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR 503.
6. Domestic wastewater means wastewater originating from the sanitary conveniences of residences, commercial buildings, factories and institutions; or co-mingled sanitary and industrial wastewater processed by a (POTW) or a privately owned facility.
7. Industrial wastewater means any wastewater, also known as process water, not defined as domestic wastewater. Per 40 CFR Part 122, process water means any water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct, or waste product.
8. Mechanical treatment plants are wastewater treatment facilities that use mechanical devices to treat wastewater, including septic tanks, sand filters, extended aeration, activated sludge, contact stabilization, trickling filters, rotating biological discs, and other similar facilities. It does not include wastewater treatment lagoons and constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment.
9. Operating location as defined in 10 CSR 20-2.010 is all contiguous lands owned, operated or controlled by one (1) person or by two (2) or more persons jointly or as tenants in common.
10. Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) is the nitrogen that will be available to plants during the growing seasons after biosolids application.
11. Public contact site is land with a high potential for contact by the public. This includes, but is not limited to, public parks, ball fields, cemeteries, plant nurseries, turf farms, and golf courses.
12. Sludge is the solid, semisolid, or liquid residue removed during the treatment of wastewater. Sludge includes septage removed from septic tanks or equivalent facilities. Sludge does not include carbon coal byproducts (CCBs)
13. Sludge lagoon is part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility. A sludge lagoon is an earthen basin that receives sludge that has been removed from a wastewater treatment facility. It does not include a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge treatment units that are not a part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility.
14. Septage is the material pumped from residential septic tanks and similar treatment works (with a design population of less than 150 people). The standard for biosolids from septage is different from other sludges.

SECTION C – MECHANICAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

1. Sludge shall be routinely removed from wastewater treatment facilities and handled according to the permit facility description and sludge conditions of this permit.
2. The permittee shall operate the facility so that there is no sludge discharged to waters of the state.
3. Mechanical treatment plants shall have separate sludge storage compartments in accordance with 10 CSR 20, Chapter 8. Failure to remove sludge from these storage compartments on the required design schedule is a violation of this permit.

SECTION D – SLUDGE DISPOSED AT OTHER TREATMENT FACILITY OR CONTRACT HAULER

1. This section applies to permittees that haul sludge to another treatment facility for disposal or use contract haulers to remove and dispose of sludge.
2. Permittees that use contract haulers are responsible for compliance with all the terms of this permit including final disposal, unless the hauler has a separate permit for sludge or biosolids disposal issued by the Department; or the hauler transports the sludge to another permitted treatment facility.
3. Haulers who land apply septage must obtain a state permit.
4. Testing of sludge, other than total solids content, is not required if sludge is hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility or other permitted wastewater treatment facility, unless it is required by the accepting facility.

SECTION E – INCINERATION OF SLUDGE

1. Sludge incineration facilities shall comply with the requirements of 40 CFR 503 Subpart E; air pollution control regulations under 10 CSR 10; and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80.
2. Permittee may be authorized under the facility description of this permit to store incineration ash in lagoons or ash ponds. This permit does not authorize the disposal of incineration ash. Incineration ash shall be disposed in accordance with 10 CSR 80; or if the ash is determined to be hazardous with 10 CSR 25.
3. In addition to normal sludge monitoring, incineration facilities shall report the following as part of the annual report, quantity of sludge incinerated, quantity of ash generated, quantity of ash stored, and ash used or disposal method, quantity, and location. Permittee shall also provide the name of the disposal facility and the applicable permit number.

SECTION F – SURFACE DISPOSAL SITES AND SLUDGE LAGOONS

1. Surface disposal sites of domestic facilities shall comply with the requirements in 40 CFR 503 Subpart C; air pollution control regulations under 10 CSR 10; and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80.
2. Sludge storage lagoons are temporary facilities and are not required to obtain a permit as a solid waste management facility under 10 CSR 80. In order to maintain sludge storage lagoons as storage facilities, accumulated sludge must be removed routinely, but not less than once every two years unless an alternate schedule is approved in the permit. The amount of sludge removed will be dependent on sludge generation and accumulation in the facility. Enough sludge must be removed to maintain adequate storage capacity in the facility.
 - a. In order to avoid damage to the lagoon seal during cleaning, the permittee may leave a layer of sludge on the bottom of the lagoon, upon prior approval of the Department; or
 - b. Permittee shall close the lagoon in accordance with Section H.

SECTION G – LAND APPLICATION

1. The permittee shall not land apply sludge or biosolids unless land application is authorized in the facility description or the special conditions of the issued NPDES permit.
2. Land application sites within a 20 miles radius of the wastewater treatment facility are authorized under this permit when biosolids are applied for beneficial use in accordance with these standard conditions unless otherwise specified in a site specific permit. If the permittee's land application site is greater than a 20 mile radius of the wastewater treatment facility, approval must be granted from the Department.
3. Land application shall not adversely affect a threatened or endangered species or its designated critical habitat.
4. Biosolids shall not be applied unless authorized in this permit or exempted under 10 CSR 20, Chapter 6.
 - a. This permit does not authorize the land application of domestic sludge except for when sludge meets the definition of biosolids.
 - b. This permit authorizes "Class A or B" biosolids derived from domestic wastewater and/or process water sludge to be land applied onto grass land, crop land, timber or other similar agricultural or silviculture lands at rates suitable for beneficial use as organic fertilizer and soil conditioner.
5. Public Contact Sites:

Permittees who wish to apply Class A biosolids to public contact sites must obtain approval from the Department after two years of proper operation with acceptable testing documentation that shows the biosolids meet Class A criteria. A shorter length of testing will be allowed with prior approval from the Department. Authorization for land applications must be provided in the special conditions section of this permit or in a separate site specific permit.

 - a. After Class B biosolids have been land applied, public access must be restricted for 12 months.
 - b. Class B biosolids are only land applied to root crops, home gardens or vegetable crops whose edible parts will not be for human consumption.
6. Agricultural and Silvicultural Sites:

Septage – Based on Water Quality guide 422 (WQ422) published by the University of Missouri

 - a. Haulers that land apply septage must obtain a state permit
 - b. Do not apply more than 30,000 gallons of septage per acre per year.
 - c. Septage tanks are designed to retain sludge for one to three years which will allow for a larger reduction in pathogens and vectors, as compared to other mechanical type treatment facilities.
 - d. To meet Class B sludge requirements, maintain septage at 12 pH for at least thirty (30) minutes before land application. 50 pounds of hydrated lime shall be added to each 1,000 gallons of septage in order to meet pathogen and vector stabilization for septage biosolids applied to crops, pastures or timberland.
 - e. Lime is to be added to the pump truck and not directly to the septic tanks, as lime would harm the beneficial bacteria of the septic tank.

Biosolids - Based on Water Quality guide 423, 424, and 425 (WQ423, WQ424, WQ425) published by the University of Missouri;

- a. Biosolids shall be monitored to determine the quality for regulated pollutants
- b. The number of samples taken is directly related to the amount of sludge produced by the facility (See Section I of these Standard Conditions). Report as dry weight unless otherwise specified in the site specific permit. Samples should be taken only during land application periods. When necessary, it is permissible to mix biosolids with lower concentrations of biosolids as well as other suitable Department approved material to reach the maximum concentration of pollutants allowed.
- c. Table 1 gives the maximum concentration allowable to protect water quality standards

TABLE 1

Biosolids ceiling concentration ¹	
Pollutant	Milligrams per kilogram dry weight
Arsenic	75
Cadmium	85
Copper	4,300
Lead	840
Mercury	57
Molybdenum	75
Nickel	420
Selenium	100
Zinc	7,500

¹ Land application is not allowed if the sludge concentration exceeds the maximum limits for any of these pollutants

- d. The low metal concentration biosolids has reduced requirements because of its higher quality and can safely be applied for 100 years or longer at typical agronomic loading rates. (See Table 2)

TABLE 2

Biosolids Low Metal Concentration ¹	
Pollutant	Milligrams per kilogram dry weight
Arsenic	41
Cadmium	39
Copper	1,500
Lead	300
Mercury	17
Nickel	420
Selenium	36
Zinc	2,800

¹ You may apply low metal biosolids without tracking cumulative metal limits, provided the cumulative application of biosolids does not exceed 500 dry tons per acre.

- e. Each pollutant in Table 3 has an annual and a total cumulative loading limit, based on the allowable pounds per acre for various soil categories.

TABLE 3

Pollutant	CEC 15+		CEC 5 to 15		CEC 0 to 5	
	Annual	Total ¹	Annual	Total ¹	Annual	Total ¹
Arsenic	1.8	36.0	1.8	36.0	1.8	36.0
Cadmium	1.7	35.0	0.9	9.0	0.4	4.5
Copper	66.0	1,335.0	25.0	250.0	12.0	125.0
Lead	13.0	267.0	13.0	267.0	13.0	133.0
Mercury	0.7	15.0	0.7	15.0	0.7	15.0
Nickel	19.0	347.0	19.0	250.0	12.0	125.0
Selenium	4.5	89.0	4.5	44.0	1.6	16.0
Zinc	124.0	2,492.0	50.0	500.0	25.0	250.0

¹ Total cumulative loading limits for soils with equal or greater than 6.0 pH (salt based test) or 6.5 pH (water based test)

TABLE 4 - Guidelines for land application of other trace substances ¹

Cumulative Loading	
Pollutant	Pounds per acre
Aluminum	4,000 ²
Beryllium	100
Cobalt	50
Fluoride	800
Manganese	500
Silver	200
Tin	1,000
Dioxin	(10 ppt in soil) ³
Other	⁴

¹ Design of land treatment systems for Industrial Waste, 1979. Michael Ray Overcash, North Carolina State University and Land Treatment of Municipal Wastewater, EPA 1981.)

² This applies for a soil with a pH between 6.0 and 7.0 (salt based test) or a pH between 6.5 to 7.5 (water based test). Case-by-case review is required for higher pH soils.

³ Total Dioxin Toxicity Equivalents (TEQ) in soils, based on a risk assessment under 40 CFR 744, May 1998.

⁴ Case by case review. Concentrations in sludge should not exceed the 95th percentile of the National Sewage Sludge Survey, EPA, January 2009.

Best Management Practices – Based on Water Quality guide 426 (WQ426) published by the University of Missouri

- a. Use best management practices when applying biosolids.
- b. Biosolids cannot discharge from the land application site
- c. Biosolid application is subject to the Missouri Department of Agriculture State Milk Board concerning grazing restrictions of lactating dairy cattle.
- d. Biosolid application must be in accordance with section 4 of the Endangered Species Act.
- e. Do not apply more than the agronomic rate of nitrogen needed.
- f. The applicator must document the Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) loadings, available nitrogen in the soil, and crop removal when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) When biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
 - i. PAN can be determined as follows and is in accordance with WQ426
(Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) + (organic nitrogen x 0.2) + (ammonia nitrogen x volatilization factor¹).
¹Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application.
- g. Buffer zones are as follows:
 - i. 300 feet of a water supply well, sinkhole, lake, pond, water supply reservoir or water supply intake in a stream;
 - ii. 300 feet of a losing stream, no discharge stream, stream stretches designated for whole body contact recreation, wild and scenic rivers, Ozark National Scenic Riverways or outstanding state resource waters as listed in the Water Quality Standards, 10 CSR 20-7.031;
 - iii. 150 feet if dwellings;
 - iv. 100 feet of wetlands or permanent flowing streams;
 - v. 50 feet of a property line or other waters of the state, including intermittent flowing streams.
- h. Slope limitation for application sites are as follows;
 - i. A slope 0 to 6 percent has no rate limitation
 - ii. Applied to a slope 7 to 12 percent, the applicator may apply biosolids when soil conservation practices are used to meet the minimum erosion levels
 - iii. Slopes > 12 percent, apply biosolids only when grass is vegetated and maintained with at least 80 percent ground cover at a rate of two dry tons per acre per year or less.
- i. No biosolids may be land applied in an area that it is reasonably certain that pollutants will be transported into waters of the state.
- j. Do not apply biosolids to sites with soil that is snow covered, frozen or saturated with liquid without prior approval by the Department.
- k. Biosolids / sludge applicators must keep detailed records up to five years.

SECTION H – CLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

1. This section applies to all wastewater facilities (mechanical, industrial, and lagoons) and sludge or biosolids storage and treatment facilities and incineration ash ponds. It does not apply to land application sites.
2. Permittees of a domestic wastewater facility who plan to cease operation must obtain Department approval of a closure plan which addresses proper removal and disposal of all residues, including sludge, biosolids. Mechanical plants, sludge lagoons, ash ponds and other storage structures must obtain approval of a closure plan from the Department. Permittee must maintain this permit until the facility is closed in accordance with the approved closure plan per 10 CSR 20 – 6. 010 and 10 CSR 20 – 6.015.
3. Residuals that are left in place during closure of a lagoon or earthen structure or ash pond shall not exceed the agricultural loading rates as follows:
 - a. Residuals shall meet the monitoring and land application limits for agricultural rates as referenced in Section H of these standard conditions.
 - b. If a wastewater treatment lagoon has been in operation for 15 years or more without sludge removal, the sludge in the lagoon qualifies as a Class B biosolids with respect to pathogens due to anaerobic digestion, and testing for fecal coliform is not required. For other lagoons, testing for fecal coliform is required to show compliance with Class B biosolids limitations. In order to reach Class B biosolids requirements, fecal coliform must be less than 2,000,000 colony forming units or 2,000,000 most probable number. All fecal samples must be presented as geometric mean per gram.
 - c. The allowable nitrogen loading that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. For a grass cover crop, the allowable PAN is 300 pounds/acre.
 - i. PAN can be determined as follows:
$$(\text{Nitrate} + \text{nitrite nitrogen}) + (\text{organic nitrogen} \times 0.2) + (\text{ammonia nitrogen} \times \text{volatilization factor}^1).$$

¹ Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application.
4. When closing a domestic wastewater treatment lagoon with a design treatment capacity equal or less than 150 persons, the residuals are considered “septage” under the similar treatment works definition. See Section B of these standard conditions. Under the septage category, residuals may be left in place as follows:
 - a. Testing for metals or fecal coliform is not required
 - b. If the wastewater treatment lagoon has been in use for less than 15 years, mix lime with the sludge at a rate of 50 pounds of hydrated lime per 1000 gallons (134 cubic feet) of sludge.
 - c. The amount of sludge that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. 100 dry tons/acre of sludge may be left in the basin without testing for nitrogen. If 100 dry tons/acre or more will be left in the lagoon, test for nitrogen and determine the PAN using the calculation above. Allowable PAN loading is 300 pounds/acre.
5. Residuals left within the domestic lagoon shall be mixed with soil on at least a 1 to 1 ratio, the lagoon berm shall be demolished, and the site shall be graded and contain $\geq 70\%$ vegetative density over 100% of the site so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion.
6. Lagoons and/or earthen structure and/or ash pond closure activities shall obtain a storm water permit for land disturbance activities that equal or exceed one acre in accordance with 10 CSR 20-6.200
7. When closing a mechanical wastewater and/or industrial process wastewater plant; all sludge must be cleaned out and disposed of in accordance with the Department approved closure plan before the permit for the facility can be terminated.
 - a. Land must be stabilized which includes any grading, alternate use or fate upon approval by the Department, remediation, or other work that exposes sediment to stormwater per 10 CSR 20-6.200. The site shall be graded and contain $\geq 70\%$ vegetative density over 100% of the site, so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion.
 - b. Per 10 CSR 20-6.015(4)(B)6, Hazardous Waste shall not be land applied or disposed during industrial and mechanical plant closures unless in accordance with Missouri Hazardous Waste Management Law and Regulations under 10 CSR 25.
 - c. After demolition of the mechanical plant / industrial plant, the site must only contain clean fill defined in RSMo 260.200 (5) as uncontaminated soil, rock, sand, gravel, concrete, asphaltic concrete, cinderblocks, brick, minimal amounts of wood and metal, and inert solids as approved by rule or policy of the Department for fill or other beneficial use. Other solid wastes must be removed.
8. If sludge from the domestic lagoon or mechanical treatment plant exceeds agricultural rates under Section G and/or H, a landfill permit or solid waste disposal permit must be obtained if the permittee chooses to seek authorization for on-site sludge disposal under the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law and regulations per 10 CSR 80, and the permittee must comply with the surface disposal requirements under 40 CFR 503, Subpart C.

SECTION I – MONITORING FREQUENCY

- At a minimum, sludge or biosolids shall be tested for volume and percent total solids on a frequency that will accurately represent sludge quantities produced and disposed. Please see the table below.

TABLE 5

Design Sludge Production (dry tons per year)	Monitoring Frequency (See Notes 1, 2, and 3)			
	Metals, Pathogens and Vectors	Nitrogen TKN ¹	Nitrogen PAN ²	Priority Pollutants and TCLP ³
0 to 100	1 per year	1 per year	1 per month	1 per year
101 to 200	biannual	biannual	1 per month	1 per year
201 to 1,000	quarterly	quarterly	1 per month	1 per year
1,001 to 10,000	1 per month	1 per month	1 per week	-- ⁴
10,001 +	1 per week	1 per week	1 per day	-- ⁴

¹ Test total Kjeldahl nitrogen, if biosolids application is 2 dry tons per acre per year or less.

² Calculate plant available nitrogen (PAN) when either of the following occurs: 1) when biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.

³ Priority pollutants (40 CFR 122.21, Appendix D, Tables II and III) and toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (40 CFR 261.24) is required only for permit holders that must have a pre-treatment program.

⁴ One sample for each 1,000 dry tons of sludge.

Note 1: Total solids: A grab sample of sludge shall be tested one per day during land application periods for percent total solids.

This data shall be used to calculate the dry tons of sludge applied per acre.

Note 2: Total Phosphorus: Total phosphorus and total potassium shall be tested at the same monitoring frequency as metals.

Note 3: Table 5 is not applicable for incineration and permit holders that landfill their sludge.

- If you own a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge lagoon that is cleaned out once a year or less, you may choose to sample only when the sludge is removed or the lagoon is closed. Test one composite sample for each 100 dry tons of sludge or biosolids removed from the lagoon during the year within the lagoon at closing. Composite sample must represent various areas at one-foot depth.
- Additional testing may be required in the special conditions or other sections of the permit. Permittees receiving industrial wastewater may be required to conduct additional testing upon request from the Department.
- At this time, the Department recommends monitoring requirements shall be performed in accordance with, "POTW Sludge Sampling and Analysis Guidance Document," United States Environmental Protection Agency, August 1989, and the subsequent revisions.

SECTION J – RECORD KEEPING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

- The permittee shall maintain records on file at the facility for at least five years for the items listed in these standard conditions and any additional items in the Special Conditions section of this permit. This shall include dates when the sludge facility is checked for proper operation, records of maintenance and repairs and other relevant information.
- Reporting period
 - By January 28th of each year, an annual report shall be submitted for the previous calendar year period for all mechanical wastewater treatment facilities, sludge lagoons, and sludge or biosolids disposal facilities.
 - Permittees with wastewater treatment lagoons shall submit the above annual report only when sludge or biosolids are removed from the lagoon during the report period or when the lagoon is closed.
- Report Forms. The annual report shall be submitted on report forms provided by the Department or equivalent forms approved by the Department.
- Reports shall be submitted as follows:

Major facilities (those serving 10,000 persons or 1 million gallons per day) shall report to both the Department and EPA. Other facilities need to report only to the Department. Reports shall be submitted to the addresses listed as follows:

DNR regional office listed in your permit
(see cover letter of permit)
ATTN: Sludge Coordinator

EPA Region VII
Water Compliance Branch (WACM)
Sludge Coordinator
11201 Renner Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219

5. Annual report contents. The annual report shall include the following:
- a. Sludge and biosolids testing performed. Include a copy or summary of all test results, even if not required by the permit.
 - b. Sludge or biosolids quantity shall be reported as dry tons for quantity generated by the wastewater treatment facility, the quantity stored on site at the end of the year, and the quantity used or disposed.
 - c. Gallons and % solids data used to calculate the dry ton amounts.
 - d. Description of any unusual operating conditions.
 - e. Final disposal method, dates, and location, and person responsible for hauling and disposal.
 - i. This must include the name, address for the hauler and sludge facility. If hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility, sanitary landfill, or other approved treatment facility, give the name of that facility.
 - ii. Include a description of the type of hauling equipment used and the capacity in tons, gallons, or cubic feet.
 - f. Contract Hauler Activities:

If contract hauler, provide a copy of a signed contract from the contractor. Permittee shall require the contractor to supply information required under this permit for which the contractor is responsible. The permittee shall submit a signed statement from the contractor that he has complied with the standards contained in this permit, unless the contract hauler has a separate sludge or biosolids use permit.
 - g. Land Application Sites:
 - i. Report the location of each application site, the annual and cumulative dry tons/acre for each site, and the landowners name and address. The location for each spreading site shall be given as a legal description for nearest $\frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{4}$, Section, Township, Range, and county, or UTM coordinates. The facility shall report PAN when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
 - ii. If the "Low Metals" criteria are exceeded, report the annual and cumulative pollutant loading rates in pounds per acre for each applicable pollutant, and report the percent of cumulative pollutant loading which has been reached at each site.
 - iii. Report the method used for compliance with pathogen and vector attraction requirements.
 - iv. Report soil test results for pH, CEC, and phosphorus. If none was tested during the year, report the last date when tested and results.



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION CONTROL BRANCH
FORM B2 – APPLICATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OR OPERATING PERMIT FOR FACILITIES WHICH RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE AND HAVE A DESIGN FLOW MORE THAN 100,000 GALLONS PER DAY

AP 13245

FOR AGENCY USE ONLY	
CHECK NUMBER NO FEE REQUIRED	
DATE RECEIVED 9/11/12	FEE SUBMITTED Ø

PART A – BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

1. This application is for:

- An operating permit and antidegradation review public notice.
- A construction permit following an appropriate operating permit and antidegradation review public notice.
- A construction permit, a concurrent operating permit and antidegradation review public notice.
- A construction permit (submitted before Aug. 30, 2008 or antidegradation review is not required).
- An operating permit for a new or ~~unpermitted facility~~. Construction Permit # _____
- An operating permit renewal: Permit # MO- 0025810 Expiration Date January 17, 2013
- An operating permit modification: Permit # MO- _____ Reason: _____

1.1 Is this a Federal/State Funded Project? Yes No Funding Agency/Project #: _____

1.2 Is the appropriate fee included with the application (See instructions for appropriate fee)? Yes No

2. FACILITY

NAME Washington WWTF		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 636-390-1034	
ADDRESS (PHYSICAL) 200 Kingsland Drive	CITY Washington	STATE MO	ZIP 63090
2.1 LEGAL DESCRIPTION (Plant Site): $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 24, T 44N R 1W		County Franklin	
2.2 UTM Coordinates Easting (X): _____ Northing (Y): _____		Latitude/Longitude +3832497/-09058035	
For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)			

3. OWNER

NAME City of Washington		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 636-390-1000	
ADDRESS 405 Jefferson Street	CITY Washington	STATE MO	ZIP 63090

3.1 Request review of draft permit prior to Public Notice? Yes No

4. CONTINUING AUTHORITY: Permanent organization which will serve as the continuing authority for the operation, maintenance and modernization of the facility.

NAME City of Washington		CITY Washington	
ADDRESS 405 Jefferson Street	CERTIFICATE NUMBER (IF APPLICABLE)	STATE MO	ZIP 63090

5. OPERATOR

NAME John D. Zimmermann, "A" Level WW Operator, Cert #2278		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 636-390-1076	
TITLE Chief Operator/Lab Analyst			

6. FACILITY CONTACT

NAME Kevin Quaethem, "C" Level WW Operator, Cert #5781		TITLE Water/Wastewater Superintendent	
---	--	--	--

MO 780-1805 (09-08)

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. #001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------

PART A – BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

7. ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION

7.1 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF FACILITIES

Influent Pump Station/Screening and Grit Chambers/Vertical Loop Reactors/final clarifiers/Ultraviolet disinfection/sludge digestion/sludge is hauled to solid waste landfill or land applied. (See existing Missouri State Operating Permit).

7.2 TOPOGRAPHIC MAP. ATTACH TO THIS APPLICATION A TOPOGRAPHIC MAP OF THE AREA EXTENDING AT LEAST ONE MILE BEYOND FACILITY PROPERTY BOUNDARIES. THIS MAP MUST SHOW THE OUTLINE OF THE FACILITY AND THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION. (YOU MAY SUBMIT MORE THAN ONE MAP IF ONE MAP DOES NOT SHOW THE ENTIRE AREA.)

- The area surrounding the treatment plant, including all unit processes.
- The location of the downstream landowner(s). (See Item 10.)
- The major pipes or other structures through which wastewater enters the treatment works and the pipes or other structures through which treated wastewater is discharged from the treatment plant. Include outfalls from bypass piping, if applicable.
- The actual point of discharge.
- Wells, springs, other surface water bodies and drinking water wells that are: 1) within ¼ mile of the property boundaries of the treatment works, and 2) listed in public record or otherwise known to the applicant.
- Any areas where the sewage sludge produced by the treatment works is stored, treated or disposed.
- If the treatment works receives waste that is classified as hazardous under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, or RCRA, by truck, rail or special pipe, show on the map where that hazardous waste enters the treatment works and where it is treated, stored or disposed.

7.3 PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM OR SCHEMATIC. PROVIDE A DIAGRAM SHOWING THE PROCESSES OF THE TREATMENT PLANT. ALSO, PROVIDE A WATER BALANCE SHOWING ALL TREATMENT UNITS, INCLUDING DISINFECTION (E.G. CHLORINATION AND DECHLORINATION). THE WATER BALANCE MUST SHOW DAILY AVERAGE FLOW RATES AT INFLUENT AND DISCHARGE POINTS AND APPROXIMATE DAILY FLOW RATES BETWEEN TREATMENT UNITS. INCLUDE A BRIEF NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF THE DIAGRAM.

7.4 FACILITY SIC CODE <u>4952</u>	DISCHARGE SIC CODE: <u>4952</u>	FACILITY NAICS CODE: _____	DISCHARGE NAICS CODE: _____
--------------------------------------	------------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------------

7.5 NUMBER OF SEPARATE DISCHARGE POINTS
one

7.6 NUMBER OF PEOPLE PRESENTLY CONNECTED OR POPULATION EQUIVALENT <u>13982</u>	DESIGN POPULATION EQUIVALENT 40000
---	---------------------------------------

NUMBER OF UNITS PRESENTLY CONNECTED			
HOMES <u>4509</u>	APARTMENTS <u>991</u>	TRAILERS <u>102</u>	OTHER <u>732</u>

TOTAL DESIGN FLOW (ALL OUTFALLS) 4.0 million gallons per day (mgd)	ACTUAL FLOW 2.38 million gallons per day (mgd)
---	---

7.7 DOES ANY BYPASSING OCCUR ANYWHERE IN THE COLLECTION SYSTEM OR AT THE TREATMENT FACILITY?
Yes No (If Yes, attach an explanation.)

7.8 LENGTH OF THE SANITARY SEWER COLLECTION SYSTEM IN MILES
109

7.9 IS INDUSTRIAL WASTE DISCHARGED TO THE FACILITY IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 2? Yes No

7.10 WILL THE DISCHARGE BE CONTINUOUS THROUGH THE YEAR? Yes No

A. DISCHARGE WILL OCCUR DURING THE FOLLOWING MONTHS All months	B. HOW MANY DAYS OF THE WEEK WILL THE DISCHARGE OCCUR? 7 days
---	--

7.11 IS WASTEWATER LAND APPLIED? (If Yes, Attach Form I) Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7.12 DOES THIS FACILITY DISCHARGE TO A LOSING STREAM OR SINKHOLE? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	---

7.13 HAS A WASTE LOAD ALLOCATION STUDY BEEN COMPLETED FOR THIS FACILITY?
Yes No

7.14 LIST ALL PERMIT VIOLATIONS, INCLUDING EFFLUENT LIMIT EXCEEDANCES IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS. ATTACH A SEPARATE SHEET IF NECESSARY. IF NONE, WRITE NONE.

8. LABORATORY CONTROL INFORMATION

8.1 LABORATORY WORK CONDUCTED BY PLANT PERSONNEL

Lab work conducted outside of plant.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Push-button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, settleable solids.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Additional procedures such as Dissolved Oxygen, Chemical Oxygen Demand, Biological Oxygen Demand, titrations, solids, volatile content.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No. MO-0025810

Owner: City of Washington
Address: 405 Jefferson Street, Washington, MO 63090

Continuing Authority: Same as above
Address: Same as above

Facility Name: Washington WWTF
Facility Address: 200 Kingsland Drive, Washington, MO 63090

Legal Description: SE ¼, SE ¼, Sec. 24 (projected) T44N, R1W, Franklin County
Latitude/Longitude: +3832497 / -09058035

Receiving Stream: Missouri River (P)
First Classified Stream and ID: Missouri River (P) (1604) 303(d) List
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10300200-130001)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Outfall #001 - POTW - SIC# 4952

Influent pump station\screening & grit chambers\vertical loop reactor\final clarifiers\ultraviolet disinfection\sludge digestion\sludge is hauled to a solid waste landfill or land applied.

Design population equivalent is 40,000

Design flow is 4.0 million gallons per day.


Actual Flow is 2.3 million gallons per day.

Design sludge production is 288 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.


May 22, 2009

Effective Date


Mark N. Templeton, Director, Department of Natural Resources

May 21, 2014

Expiration Date


Robert K. Morrison, P.E., Chief, Water Pollution Control Branch

See

MAP

IN

File

NPDES PERMIT APPLICATION RENEWAL

PART A SECTION 7.14 NPDES PERMIT VIOLATIONS (last five years)

2011 One NPDES violation -- Total Suspended Solids -- Weekly Limit Exceeded

<u>Date</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>NPDES Weekly Limit</u>	<u>Reported Value</u>
3/9/11	TSS	45 mg/l	54.9 mg/l

2010 No NPDES Violations

2009 Two NPDES Violations -- Fecal Coliform -- Daily Maximum Limit Exceeded in both violations

<u>Date</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>NPDES Weekly Limit</u>	<u>Reported Value</u>
6/16/09	Fecal Coliform	1000 cfu / 100 ml	2200 cfu /100 ml
09/15/09	Fecal Coliform	1000 cfu / 100 ml	1180 cfu / 100 ml

2008 Three NPDES Violations -- Oil and Grease -- Daily Maximum exceeded in all three violations

<u>Date</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>NPDES Weekly Limit</u>	<u>Reported Value</u>
05/06/08	Oil & Grease	15 mg/l	39.2 mg/l
08/05/08	Oil & Grease	15 mg/l	127.0 mg/l
09/03/08	Oil & Grease	15 mg/l	128.0 mg/l

2007 One NPDES Violation -- Daily Maximum Limit Exceeded

<u>Date</u>	<u>Parameter</u>	<u>NPDES Weekly Limit</u>	<u>Reported Value</u>
05/01/07	Oil & Grease	15 mg/l	21.1 mg/l

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. #001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------

PART A – BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

9. SLUDGE HANDLING, USE AND DISPOSAL

9.1 IS THE SLUDGE A HAZARDOUS WASTE AS DEFINED BY 10 CSR 25?
 Yes No

9.2 SLUDGE PRODUCTION, INCLUDING SLUDGE RECEIVED FROM OTHERS
 Design Dry Tons/Year ²⁸⁸ Actual Dry Tons/Year ^{336.1}

9.3 CAPACITY OF SLUDGE HOLDING STRUCTURES

9.4 SLUDGE STORAGE PROVIDED
 Cubic Feet ⁹⁵⁰⁰ Days of Storage ¹⁸⁰ Average Percent Solids of Sludge ^{16.3%} No Sludge Storage is Provided

9.5 TYPE OF STORAGE
 Holding Tank Basin Building Concrete Pad Other (Describe) Former Drying Beds

9.6 SLUDGE TREATMENT
 Anaerobic Digester Storage Tank Lime Stabilization Lagoon (see attached)
 Aerobic Digester Air or Heat Drying Composting Other (Attach Description)

9.7 SLUDGE USE OR DISPOSAL
 Land Application Contract Hauler Hauled to Another Treatment Facility Solid Waste Landfill
 Surface Disposal (Sludge Disposal Lagoon, Sludge Held For More Than Two Years) Incineration
 Other (Attach Explanation Sheet) _____

9.8 PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR HAULING SLUDGE TO DISPOSAL FACILITY

NAME
 City of Washington WWTF

ADDRESS 405 Jefferson Street	CITY Washington	STATE MO	ZIP 63090
---------------------------------	--------------------	-------------	--------------

CONTACT PERSON John D. Zimmermann	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 636-390-1034	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810
--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------

9.9 SLUDGE USE OR DISPOSAL FACILITY
 By Applicant By Others (Complete Below)

NAME

ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP
---------	------	-------	-----

CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE	PERMIT NO. MO-
----------------	---------------------------------	-------------------

9.10 DO THE SLUDGE OR BIOSOLIDS DISPOSAL COMPLY WITH FEDERAL SLUDGE REGULATIONS UNDER 40 CFR 503?
 Yes No (Attach Explanation)

10. DOWNSTREAM LANDOWNER(S). (ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NECESSARY.)

NAME
 Washington Sand Co. LLC, c/o Mitch Parrish

ADDRESS 2 Kingsland Drive	CITY Washington	STATE MO	ZIP 63090
------------------------------	--------------------	-------------	--------------

11. DRINKING WATER SUPPLY INFORMATION

11.1 SOURCE OF YOUR DRINKING WATER SUPPLY

A. PUBLIC SUPPLY (MUNICIPAL OR WATER DISTRICT WATER) (IF PUBLIC, PLEASE GIVE NAME OF PUBLIC SUPPLY)
Municipal - City of Washington

B. PRIVATE WELL

C. SURFACE WATER (LAKE, POND OR STREAM)

11.2 DOES YOUR DRINKING WATER SOURCE SERVE AT LEAST 25 PEOPLE AT LEAST 60 DAYS PER YEAR (NOT NECESSARILY CONSECUTIVE DAYS)?
 Yes No

11.3 DOES YOUR SUPPLY SERVE HOUSING THAT IS OCCUPIED YEAR ROUND BY THE SAME PEOPLE? THIS DOES NOT INCLUDE HOUSING THAT IS OCCUPIED SEASONALLY?
 Yes No

END OF PART A

WWTP, WASHINGTON, MISSOURI

Part A - 9.6

~~8.40~~ SLUDGE TREATMENT

Sludge treatment for the treatment plant uses an innovative technology known as Cannibal Solids Reduction Process. The Cannibal process will significantly reduce the amount of sludge produced compared to a conventional activated sludge process or an extended aeration facility. The attached Figure 4-2 (from the Facility Plan) is a flow schematic of this process. The Cannibal solids reduction process uses a solids "interchange" method to virtually eliminate the biological waste sludge typically removed from an activated sludge treatment plant. This feat is accomplished through an interchange recycle between the aerobic activated sludge process and specially controlled sidestream bioreactor for the waste sludge. In the interchange bioreactor, the sludge is converted from an aerobic dominant population to a non-aerobic dominant population. With limited oxygen in the interchange reactor, aerobic bacteria are selectively destroyed while enabling the low yield facultative bacteria to break down the aerobic bacteria remains and byproducts. With interchange bacteria back to the aeration process, the facultative bacteria with their lower growth rates are destroyed in the aerobic process. An application of screens and cyclones on the return sludge line makes up a solids reduction module that prevents the build-up of trash, grit and inerts.




FIGURE 4-2: - FLOW SCHEMATIC OF CANNIBAL SOLIDS REDUCTION PROCESS

Part A - 9.6

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL			
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. #001
PART B – ADDITIONAL APPLICATION INFORMATION			
20. INFLOW AND INFILTRATION			
ESTIMATE THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF GALLONS PER DAY THAT FLOW INTO THE TREATMENT WORKS FROM INFLOW AND INFILTRATION. Gallons Per Day 298000			
BRIEFLY EXPLAIN ANY STEPS UNDERWAY OR PLANNED TO MINIMIZE INFLOW AND INFILTRATION. <u>Ongoing</u> program of smoke testing, flow monitoring, slip-lining, and repairing of sewers			
20.1 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PERFORMED BY CONTRACTOR(S)			
ARE ANY OPERATIONAL OR MAINTENANCE ASPECTS (RELATED TO WASTEWATER TREATMENT AND EFFLUENT QUALITY) OF THE TREATMENT WORKS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF A CONTRACTOR? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If Yes, list the name, address, telephone number and status of each contractor and describe the contractor's responsibilities. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)			
NAME			
MAILING ADDRESS			
TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE			
RESPONSIBILITIES OF CONTRACTOR			
20.2 SCHEDULED IMPROVEMENTS AND SCHEDULES OF IMPLEMENTATION. PROVIDE INFORMATION ABOUT ANY UNCOMPLETED IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE OR UNCOMPLETED PLANS FOR IMPROVEMENTS THAT WILL AFFECT THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT, EFFLUENT QUALITY OR DESIGN CAPACITY OF THE TREATMENT WORKS. IF THE TREATMENT WORKS HAS SEVERAL DIFFERENT IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULES OR IS PLANNING SEVERAL IMPROVEMENTS, SUBMIT SEPARATE RESPONSES FOR EACH. (IF NONE, GO TO QUESTION B-20.3.)			
A. List the outfall number that is covered by this implementation schedule Outfall No. <u>none</u>		B. Indicate whether the planned improvements or implementation schedule are required by local, state or federal agencies. Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
20.3 WASTEWATER DISCHARGES: COMPLETE QUESTIONS 20.4 THROUGH 20.7 ONCE FOR EACH OUTFALL (INCLUDING BYPASS POINTS) THROUGH WHICH EFFLUENT IS DISCHARGED. DO NOT INCLUDE INFORMATION ON COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS IN THIS SECTION.			
20.4 DESCRIPTION OF OUTFALL			
OUTFALL NUMBER 001			
A. LOCATION <u>Projected</u> 1/4 <u>SE</u> 1/4 <u>SE</u> Section <u>24</u> Township <u>44N</u> Range <u>1</u> <input type="checkbox"/> E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> W UTM Coordinates Easting (X): _____ Northing (Y): _____ <u>Latitude/Longitude +3832497/-09058035</u> For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)			
B. Distance from Shore (If Applicable) <u>100 to 120</u> ft.		C. Depth Below Surface (If Applicable) <u>15 to 18</u> ft.	
D. Average Daily Flow Rate <u>2.38</u> mgd			
E. Does this outfall have either an intermittent or periodic discharge? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, Provide the following information:			
Number of Days Per Year Discharge Occurs:	Average Duration of Each Discharge:	Average Flow Per Discharge: mgd	Months in Which Discharge Occurs:
Is Outfall Equipped with a Diffuser? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
20.5 DESCRIPTION OF RECEIVING WATER			
B. Name of Receiving Water Missouri River			
B. Name of Watershed (If Known) Missouri River		U.S. Soil Conservation Service 14-Digit Watershed Code (If Known) <u>10300200-130001</u>	
B. Name of State Management/River Basin (If Known) Missouri River		U.S. Geological Survey 8-Digit Hydrologic Cataloging Unit Code (If Known) <u>10300200</u>	
B. Critical Flow of Receiving Stream (If Applicable) Acute _____ cfs Chronic _____ cfs		B. Total Hardness of Receiving Stream at Critical Low Flow (If Applicable) mg/L of CaCO ₃	

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

PART B – ADDITIONAL APPLICATION INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

20.6 DESCRIPTION OF TREATMENT

A. WHAT LEVELS OF TREATMENT ARE PROVIDED? Check All That Apply
 Primary Secondary Advanced Other (Describe)

B. INDICATE THE FOLLOWING REMOVAL RATES (AS APPLICABLE)

Design BOD₅ Removal 90 % Design CBOD₅ Removal 90 % Design SS Removal 90 %
Design P Removal n/a % Design N Removal n/a % Other _____ %

C. What type of disinfection is used for the effluent from this outfall? If disinfection varies by season, please describe:
Ultraviolet Disinfection

If disinfection is by chlorination, is dechlorination used for this outfall? Yes No

Does the treatment plant have post aeration? Yes No

20.7 EFFLUENT TESTING DATA. ALL APPLICANTS THAT DISCHARGE TO WATERS OF THE U.S. MUST PROVIDE EFFLUENT TESTING DATA FOR THE FOLLOWING PARAMETERS. PROVIDE THE INDICATED EFFLUENT DATA **FOR EACH OUTFALL THROUGH WHICH EFFLUENT IS DISCHARGED**. DO NOT INCLUDE INFORMATION OF COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS IN THIS SECTION. ALL INFORMATION REPORTED MUST BE BASED ON DATA COLLECTED THROUGH ANALYSIS CONDUCTED USING 40 CFR PART 136 METHODS. IN ADDITION, THIS DATA MUST COMPLY WITH QA/QC REQUIREMENTS OF 40 CFR PART 136 AND OTHER APPROPRIATE QA/QC REQUIREMENTS FOR STANDARD METHODS FOR ANALYTES NOT ADDRESSED BY 40 CFR PART 136.

OUTFALL NUMBER 001

PARAMETER	MAXIMUM DAILY VALUE		AVERAGE DAILY VALUE		
	VALUE	UNITS	VALUE	UNITS	NO. OF SAMPLES
pH (Minimum)	7.1	S.U.	7.6	S.U.	547
pH (Maximum)	8.0	S.U.	7.6	S.U.	547
FLOW RATE	9.2	MGD	2.4	MGD	1096
TEMPERATURE (Winter)	11	°C	13	°C	89
TEMPERATURE (Summer)	26	°C	24	°C	92

*For pH report a minimum and a maximum daily value.

POLLUTANT	MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE		AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE			ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL
	CONC.	UNITS	CONC.	UNITS	NO. OF SAMPLES		

Conventional and Nonconventional Compounds

BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMAND (Report One)	BOD ₅	18.4	mg/L	4.6	mg/L	267	sm-9th Ed,521:0B	
	CBOD ₅	n/a	mg/L		mg/L			
FECAL COLIFORM	829	#/100 mL	19.3	#/100 mL	150	sm-21st Ed9222 D		
TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS (TSS)	54.9	mg/L	3.7	mg/L	267	sm-19thED,254 0D		
AMMONIA (AS N)	0.16	mg/L	0.04	mg/L	16	350.3		
CHLORINE (TOTAL RESIDUAL, TRC)	n/a	mg/L		mg/L				
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	9.9	mg/L	7.6	mg/L	267	4500-06		
TOTAL KjELDAHL NITROGEN (TKN)	1.6	mg/L	1.3	mg/L	7	357.3		
NITRATE PLUS NITRITE NITROGEN	6.7	mg/L	3.9	mg/L	9	300.0		
OIL AND GREASE	5	mg/L	5	mg/L	31	800-1664		
PHOSPHORUS (TOTAL)	6.4	mg/L	4.8	mg/L	6	365.2		
TOTAL DISSOLVE SOLIDS (TDS)	n/a	mg/L		mg/L				
OTHER		mg/L		mg/L				

END OF PART B

PART C - CERTIFICATION


30. CERTIFICATION

All applicants must complete the Certification Section. This certification must be signed by an officer of the company or city official. All applicants must complete all applicable sections as explained in the Application Overview. By signing this certification statement, applicants confirm that they have reviewed the entire form and have completed all sections that apply to the facility for which this application is submitted.

ALL APPLICANTS MUST COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING CERTIFICATION.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

PRINTED NAME AND OFFICIAL TITLE (MUST BE AN OFFICER OF THE COMPANY OR CITY OFFICIAL)
Kevin L. Quaethem, Water/Wastewater Superintendent

SIGNATURE 

TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE
636-390-1076

DATE SIGNED
SEP 06 2012

Upon request of the permitting authority, you must submit any other information necessary to assess wastewater treatment practices at the treatment works or identify appropriate permitting requirements.

For Design Flows Less than 1 Million Gallons Per Day,
Send Completed Form to:

Appropriate Regional Office

Map of regional offices with addresses and phone numbers is available on the Web at www.dnr.mo.gov/regions/ro-map.pdf.

For Design Flows of 1 Million Gallons Per Day or Greater,
Send Completed Form to:

Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
ATTN: NPDES Permits and Engineering Section
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102

**END OF PART C.
REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH OTHER PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.**

- Do not complete the remainder of this application, unless:
1. Your facility design flow is equal to or greater than 1,000,000 gallons per day.
 2. Your facility is a pretreatment treatment works.
 3. Your facility is a combined sewer system.

Submittal of an incomplete application may result in the application being returned. Permit fees for returned applications shall be forfeited. Permit fees for applications being processed by the department that are withdrawn by the applicant shall be forfeited.

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

PART D – EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA

40. EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA

Refer to the supplemental application information to determine whether Part D applies to the treatment works.

40.1 EFFLUENT TESTING: IF THE TREATMENT WORKS HAS A DESIGN FLOW GREATER THAN OR EQUAL TO 1 MILLION GALLONS PER DAY OR IT HAS (OR IS REQUIRED TO HAVE) A PRETREATMENT PROGRAM, OR IS OTHERWISE REQUIRED BY THE PERMITTING AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE THE DATA, THEN PROVIDE EFFLUENT TESTING DATA FOR THE FOLLOWING POLLUTANTS. PROVIDE THE INDICATED EFFLUENT TESTING INFORMATION **FOR EACH OUTFALL THROUGH WHICH EFFLUENT IS DISCHARGED**. DO NOT INCLUDE INFORMATION ON COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS IN THIS SECTION. ALL INFORMATION REPORTED MUST BE BASED ON DATA COLLECTED THROUGH ANALYSIS CONDUCTED USING 40 CFR PART 136 METHODS. IN ADDITION, THIS DATA MUST COMPLY WITH QA/QC REQUIREMENTS OF 40 CFR PART 136 AND OTHER APPROPRIATE QA/QC REQUIREMENTS FOR STANDARD METHODS FOR ANALYTES NOT ADDRESSED BY 40 CFR PART 136. INDICATE IN THE BLANK ROWS PROVIDED BELOW ANY DATA YOU MAY HAVE ON POLLUTANTS NOT SPECIFICALLY LISTED IN THIS FORM. EFFLUENT TESTING MUST NOT BE MORE THAN FOUR AND ONE-HALF YEARS OLD.

OUTFALL NUMBER (Complete Once for Each Outfall Discharging Effluent to Waters of the State.) #001

POLLUTANT	MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE				AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE					ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL	
	CONC	UNITS	MASS	UNITS	CONC	UNITS	MASS	UNITS	NO. OF SAMPLES			
METALS (TOTAL RECOVERABLE), CYANIDE, PHENOLS AND HARDNESS												
ANTIMONY	n/a											
ARSENIC	n/a											
BERYLLIUM	n/a											
CADMIUM	<2	ug/l			<2	ug/l				10	EPA200.7R4.	4
CHROMIUM	n/a											
COPPER	49	ug/l			16.4	ug/l				10	EPA200.7R4.	4
LEAD	<10	ug/l			<8.6	ug/l				10	EPA200.7R4.	4
MERCURY	n/a											
NICKEL	5.8	ug/l			3.42	ug/l				10	EPA200.7R4.	4
SELENIUM	n/a											
SILVER	<2	ug/l			<1.8	ug/l				10	EPA200.7R4.	4
THALLIUM	n/a											
ZINC	46	ug/l			36.5	ug/l				10	EPA200.7R4.	4
CYANIDE	<5.6	ug/l			<5.06	ug/l				10	SM4500CNC	g 18 Ed.
TOTAL PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS	<50	ug/l			<50	ug/l				10	EPA 420.1	
HARDNESS (as CaCO ₃)	n/a											

USE THIS SPACE (OR A SEPARATE SHEET) TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON OTHER METALS REQUESTED BY THE PERMIT WRITER.

Chromium III	<7	ug/l			<5.2	ug/l				10	Calc.	
Chromium VI	<7	ug/l			<5.2	ug/l				10	SM3500Cr-D	18 Ed

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. #001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------

PART D – EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA (CONTINUED)

40.1 EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA (CONTINUED)

Complete Once for Each Outfall Discharging Effluent to Waters of the State. N/A

POLLUTANT	MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE				AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE					ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL
	CONC	UNITS	MASS	UNITS	CONC	UNITS	MASS	UNITS	NO. OF SAMPLES		
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS											
ACROLEIN											
ACRYLONITRILE											
BENZENE											
BROMOFORM											
CARBON TETRACHLORIDE											
CHLOROBENZENE											
CHLORODIBROMO-METHANE											
CHLOROETHANE											
2-CHLORO-ETHYLVINYL ETHER											
CHLOROFORM											
DICHLOROBROMO-METHANE											
1,1-DICHLORO-ETHANE											
1,2-DICHLORO-ETHANE											
TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE											
1,1-DICHLORO-ETHYLENE											
1,2-DICHLORO-PROPANE											
1,3-DICHLORO-PROPYLENE											
ETHYLBENZENE											
METHYL BROMIDE											
METHYL CHLORIDE											
METHYLENE CHLORIDE											
1,1,2,2-TETRA-CHLOROETHANE											
TETRACHLORO-ETHANE											
TOLUENE											
3,4-BENZO-FLUORANTHENE											
BENZO(GH) PHERYLENE											
BENZO(K) FLUORANTHENE											

MO 780-1805 (09-08)

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. #001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------

PART D – EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA (CONTINUED)

40.1 EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA (CONTINUED)

Complete Once for Each Outfall Discharging Effluent to Waters of the State. N/A

POLLUTANT	MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE				AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE					ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL
	CONC	UNITS	MASS	UNITS	CONC	UNITS	MASS	UNITS	NO. OF SAMPLES		
BIS (2-CHLOROTHOXY) METHANE											
BIS (2-CHLOROETHYL) – ETHER											
BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE											
4-BROMOPHENYL PHENYL ETHER											
BUTYL BENZYL PHTHALATE											
2-CHLORONAPHTHALENE											
4-CHLOROPHENYL PHENYL ETHER											
CHRYSENE											
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHALATE											
DEBENZO (A,H) ANTHRACENE											
1,2-DICHLORO-BENZENE											
1,3-DICHLORO-BENZENE											
1,4-DICHLORO-BENZENE											
3,3-DICHLORO-BENZIDINE											
DIETHYL PHTHALATE											
DIMETHYL PHTHALATE											
2,4-DINITRO-TOLUENE											
2,6-DINITRO-TOLUENE											
1,2-DIPHENYL-HYDRAZINE											
1,1,1-TRICHLORO-ETHANE											
1,1,2-TRICHLORO-ETHANE											
TRICHLORETHYLENE											
VINYL CHLORIDE											
USE THIS SPACE (OR A SEPARATE SHEET) TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON OTHER VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS REQUESTED BY THE PERMIT WRITER											

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. #001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------

PART D – EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA (CONTINUED)

40.1 EXPANDED EFFLUENT TESTING DATA (CONTINUED)

N/A

POLLUTANT	MAXIMUM DAILY DISCHARGE				AVERAGE DAILY DISCHARGE					ANALYTICAL METHOD	ML/MDL
	CONC	UNITS	MASS	UNITS	CONC	UNITS	MASS	UNITS	NO. OF SAMPLES		
BASE-NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS											
ACENAPHTHENE											
ACENAPHTHYLENE											
ANTHRACENE											
BENZIDINE											
BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE											
BENZO(A)PYRENE											
FLUORANTHENE											
FLUORENE											
HEXACHLOROBENZENE											
HEXACHLOROCYCLO-PENTADIENE											
HEXACHLOROETHANE											
INDENO (1,2,3-CD) PYRENE											
ISOPHORONE											
NAPHTHALENE											
NITROBENZENE											
N-NITROSODI-PROPYLAMINE											
N-NITROSODI-METHYLAMINE											
N-NITROSODI-PHENYLAMINE											
PHENANTHRENE											
PYRENE											
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBENZENE											

USE THIS SPACE (OR SEPARATE SHEET) TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON OTHER BASE-NEUTRAL COMPOUNDS REQUESTED BY THE PERMIT WRITER.

END OF PART D

REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH OTHER PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. #001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------

PART E – TOXICITY TESTING DATA

50. TOXICITY TESTING DATA

Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part E applies to the treatment works.

Publicly owned treatment works, or POTWS, meeting one or more of the following criteria must provide the results of whole effluent toxicity tests for acute or chronic toxicity for each of the facility's discharge points.

- A. POTWS with a design flow rate greater than or equal to 1 million gallons per day.
- B. POTWS with a pretreatment program (or those that are required to have one under 40 CFR Part 403).
- C. POTWS required by the permitting authority to submit data for these parameters
 - ◆ At a minimum, these results must include quarterly testing for a 12-month period within the past one year using multiple species (minimum of two species), or the results from four tests performed at least annually in the four and one-half years prior to the application, provided the results show no appreciable toxicity, and testing for acute or chronic toxicity, depending on the range of receiving water dilution. Do not include information about combined sewer overflows in this section. All information reported must be based on data collected through analysis conducted using 40 CFR Part 136 methods. In addition, this data must comply with QA/QC requirements of 40 CFR Part 136 and other appropriate QA/QC requirements for standard methods for analytes not addressed by 40 CFR Part 136.
 - ◆ If EPA methods were not used, report the reason for using alternative methods. If test summaries are available that contain all of the information requested below, they may be submitted in place of Part E. If no biomonitoring data is required, do not complete Part E. Refer to the application overview for directions on which other sections of the form to complete.

50.1 REQUIRED TESTS. INDICATE THE NUMBER OF WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTS CONDUCTED IN THE PAST FOUR AND ONE-HALF YEARS.

CHRONIC 0	ACUTE 4
--------------	------------

INDIVIDUAL TEST DATA. Complete the following chart for the last three whole effluent toxicity tests. Allow one column per test (where each species constitutes a test). Copy this page if more than three tests are being reported.

	MOST RECENT	2 ND MOST RECENT	3 RD MOST RECENT
A. TEST INFORMATION			
TEST NUMBER	See Attached Toxicity Test	Data	
TEST SPECIES AND TEST METHOD NUMBER			
AGE AT INITIATION OF TEST			
OUTFALL NUMBER			
DATES SAMPLE COLLECTED			
DATE TEST STARTED			
DURATION			
B. GIVE TOXICITY TEST METHODS FOLLOWED			
MANUAL TITLE			
EDITION NUMBER AND YEAR OF PUBLICATION			
PAGE NUMBER(S)			
C. GIVE THE SAMPLE COLLECTION METHOD(S) USED. FOR MULTIPLE GRAB SAMPLES, INDICATE THE NUMBER OF GRAB SAMPLES USED.			
24-HOUR COMPOSITE			
GRAB			
D. INDICATE WHERE THE SAMPLE WAS TAKEN IN RELATION TO DISINFECTION. (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY FOR EACH)			
BEFORE DISINFECTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
AFTER DISINFECTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
AFTER DECHLORINATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
E. DESCRIBE THE POINT IN THE TREATMENT PROCESS AT WHICH THE SAMPLE WAS COLLECTED			
SAMPLE WAS COLLECTED			
F. FOR EACH TEST, INCLUDE WHETHER THE TEST WAS INTENDED TO ASSESS CHRONIC TOXICITY, ACUTE TOXICITY OR BOTH.			
CHRONIC TOXICITY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ACUTE TOXICITY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
G. PROVIDE THE TYPE OF TEST PERFORMED			
STATIC	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
STATIC STATIC-RENEWAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
FLOW-THROUGH	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
H. SOURCE OF DILUTION WATER. IF LABORATORY WATER, SPECIFY TYPE; IF RECEIVING WATER, SPECIFY SOURCE			
LABORATORY WATER			
RECEIVING WATER			

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

PART E – TOXICITY TESTING DATA (CONTINUED)

50.1 WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTS DATA (CONTINUED) SEE ATTACHED TOXICITY TEST DATA

	MOST RECENT	2 ND MOST RECENT	3 RD MOST RECENT
--	-------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------

I. TYPE OF DILUTION WATER, IF SALT WATER, SPECIFY "NATURAL" OR TYPE OF ARTIFICIAL SEA SALTS OR BRINE USED.

FRESH WATER			
SALT WATER			

J. GIVE THE PERCENTAGE EFFLUENT USED FOR ALL CONCENTRATIONS IN THE TEST SERIES.

K. PARAMETERS MEASURED DURING THE TEST. (STATE WHETHER PARAMETER MEETS TEST METHOD SPECIFICATIONS)

pH			
SALINITY			
TEMPERATURE			
AMMONIA			
DISSOLVED OXYGEN			

L. TEST RESULTS

ACUTE:

PERCENT IN SURVIVAL IN 100% EFFLUENT			
LC ₅₀			
95% C.I.			
CONTROL PERCENT SURVIVAL			
OTHER (DESCRIBE)			

CHRONIC:

NOEC			
IC ₂₅			
CONTROL PERCENT SURVIVAL			
OTHER (DESCRIBE)			

M. QUALITY CONTROL ASSURANCE

IS REFERENCE TOXICANT DATA AVAILABLE?			
WAS REFERENCE TOXICANT TEST WITHIN ACCEPTABLE BOUNDS?			
WHAT DATE WAS REFERENCED TOXICANT TEST RUN (MM/DD/YYYY)?			
OTHER (DESCRIBE)			

50.2 TOXICITY REDUCTION EVALUATION

Is the treatment works involved in a toxicity reduction evaluation? Yes No

If yes, describe:

50.3 SUMMARY OF SUBMITTED BIOMONITORING TEST INFORMATION

If you have submitted biomonitoring test information, or information regarding the cause of toxicity, within the past four and one-half years, provide the dates the information was submitted to the permitting authority and a summary of the results.

Date Submitted (MM/DD/YYYY)

Summary of Results (See Instructions)

END OF PART E
REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH OTHER PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

NPDES WET TEST
9/28/11

DISTRIBUTED
AZI Eng



PDC Laboratories, Inc.
3278 N. Highway 67 - Florissant, MO 63033
(314) 432-0550 · (800) 333-FAST (3278) - FAX (314) 432-4977



Washington MO WWTP
405 Jefferson
Washington, MO 63090
Attn: John Zimmermann

Date Received: 09/28/11 10:30
Report Date: 10/18/11
Customer #: 277248

Sample No: 1101682-01
Sample Description: NPDES Effluent

Collect Date: 09/28/11 08:30
Matrix: Waste Water

Parameters	Result	Qual	Analysis Date	Analyst	Method
------------	--------	------	---------------	---------	--------

WET test was subcontracted out. See attachment.



PDC Laboratories, Inc.

3278 N. Highway 67 - Florissant, MO 63033
(314) 432-0550 · (800) 333-FAST (3278) - FAX (314) 432-4977



Washington MO WWTP
405 Jefferson
Washington, MO 63090
Attn: John Zimmermann

Date Received: 09/28/11 10:30
Report Date: 10/18/11
Customer #: 277248

Notes

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

PDC Laboratories participates in the following accreditation/certification and proficiency programs at the following locations. Endorsement by Federal or State Governments or their agencies is not implied.

PIA PDC Laboratories - Peoria, IL

NELAC Accreditation for Drinking Water, Wastewater, Hazardous and Solid Wastes Fields of Testing through IL EPA Lab No. 100230

Illinois Department of Public Health Bacteriological Analysis in Drinking Water Approved Laboratory Registry No. 17553
Drinking Water Certifications: Kansas (E-10338); Missouri (870); Wisconsin (998284430); Indiana (C-IL-040); Iowa (240)
Wastewater Certifications: Arkansas (88-0677); Wisconsin (998284430); Iowa (240); Kansas (E-10335)
Hazardous/Solid Waste Certifications: Arkansas (88-0677); Wisconsin (998284430); Iowa (240); Kansas (E-10335)
UST Certification; Iowa (240)

SPM PDC Laboratories - Springfield, MO

EPA DMR-QA Program

STL PDC Laboratories - St. Louis, MO

NELAC Accreditation for Wastewater, Hazardous and Solid Wastes Fields of Testing through KS EPA Lab No. E-10389

Barbara G. Pandolfo

Certified by: Barb Pandolfo, Project Manager

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-8817 • Fax 573-204-8818



REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING
Washington WWTF
Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%
MO-0025810
EAS LOG# 1401322
September 28, 2011 through September 30, 2011

Tests performed by:

John P. Clippard / Chemical Analyst at Environmental Analysis South (EAS)
Kelly J. Ray / Biologist at Environmental Analysis South (EAS)
Sara C. Shields / Lab Supervisor - Chemist at Environmental Analysis South (EAS)
David F. Warren / Lab Director - Chemist at Environmental Analysis South (EAS)

- 1. Report Summation**
 - 1.1. Data Summation**
 - 1.2. Conclusion**
- 2. Method Summation**
 - 2.1. Test Conditions and Methods**
 - 2.2. Potassium chloride Reference Salt Test**
 - 2.2.1. *Pimephales promelas* data**
 - 2.2.2. *Ceriodaphnia dubia* data**
 - 2.3. Literature Cited**
- 3. Raw Data Bench Sheets**
 - 3.1. Initial observations (page 1)**
 - 3.2. Zero hour Observations (page 1)**
 - 3.3. Twenty-four (24) hour Observations (page 1)**
 - 3.4. Forty-eight (48) hour Observations (page 1)**
 - 3.5. Survival Data Table (page 2)**
 - 3.6. Test Comments (page 3)**
- 4. Chain of Custody**
- 5. MO DNR "Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test Report (Form 780-1899)**

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-8817 • Fax 573-204-8818



REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING
Washington WWTF
Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%
MO-0025810
EAS LOG# 1401322
September 28, 2011 through September 30, 2011

1. REPORT SUMMATION:

1.1. Multiple Dilution Data Summation

Test Solution	<i>Pimephales promelas</i> Acute Toxicity Test 48 Hour Survival	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> Acute Toxicity Test 48 Hour Survival
Reconstituted Control (RC)	100%	100%
Upstream Control (UC)	100%	100%
2.3% Effluent	100%	100%
4.6% Effluent	100%	100%
9.1% Effluent	100%	100%
18.2% Effluent	100%	100%
36.4% Effluent	100%	100%
Estimated 48 Hour LC ₅₀ Value	>36.4% Effluent	>36.4% Effluent
To Pass: 1. Effluent - LC50 must be >30.3% and 2. All concentrations = or < AEC must not have significant difference to control in survival.	1. Yes 2. Yes	1. Yes 2. Yes
Result of Toxicity Test	PASS	PASS

* Indicates a significant difference at alpha = 0.5 between effluent and control survival data.

Conclusion:

Pimephales promelas 48 hour WET results: LC 50 >36.4% by the Graphical Method
NOAEC = 36.4% using Steel's Many-One Rank Test
Ceriodaphnia dubia 48 hour WET results: LC 50 >36.4% by the Graphical Method
NOAEC = 36.4% using Steel's Many-One Rank Test

Based on these results the outfall **passed** the whole effluent toxicity test with both indicator species.

Approved by _____


Sara C. Shields, Chemist

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-8817 • Fax 573-204-8818



REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING
Washington WWTF
Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%
MO-0025810
EAS LOG# 1401322
September 28, 2011 through September 30, 2011

2. TEST METHOD SUMMARY

2.1. TEST CONDITIONS AND METHODS:

	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia:</i>	<i>Pimephales promelas:</i>
Test duration:	48 hours	48 hours
Temperature:	24 - 26 degree Celsius	24 - 26 degree Celsius
Light quality:	Ambient laboratory illumination	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod:	16 hour light, 8 hours dark	16 hour light, 8 hours dark
Control Water:	Moderately Hard Reconstituted Water	Moderately Hard Reconstituted Water
Dilution Water:	Upstream Water - If unavailable or toxic, then control water will be used.	Upstream Water - If unavailable or toxic, then control water will be used.
Size of test vessel:	30 milliliters	250 milliliters
Volume of test solution:	15 milliliters	200 milliliters
Age of test organisms:	<24 hours	1 -14 days (all same age)
Number of organisms/test vessel:	5	10
Number of replicates/concentration:	4	2
Number of organisms/concentration:	20	40 for a single dilution test and 20 for a multiple dilution test
Feeding regime:	None (fed prior to test)	None (fed prior to test)
Aeration:	None	None
Test acceptability criterion:	90% or greater survival in controls	90% or greater survival in controls

The methodology used for the chemistry data was taken from the *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 18th edition (1992). The exception was hardness, which was determined using a Hach EDTA titration test kit. The toxicity tests follow guidelines laid out in the permittee's NPDES permit and were conducted according to EPA approved methods (USEPA 2002).

All test organisms were cultured according to EPA approved methods (USEPA 2002). The *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and the *Pimephales promelas* were obtained from C-K Associates Inc. located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana and shipped overnight for use in the whole effluent toxicity test.

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-8817 • Fax 573-204-8818



REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING
Washington WWTF
Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%
MO-0025810
EAS LOG# 1401322
September 28, 2011 through September 30, 2011

2.2. REFERENCE TOXICITY TEST:

Environmental Analysis South performs monthly reference toxicity tests. The most recent reference test was initiated on September 7, 2011 using KCL Lot #41713. Following are the results:

2.2.1. *P. promelas* - 48 hr. Acute Test – LC₅₀ = 1.033 g/l 95%CI (0.714-1.355 g/l)

EAS %CV = 15.4%

National Warning Limits (75th percentile) = 19%CV

National Control Limits (90th percentile) = 33%CV

2.2.2. *C. dubia* - 48 hr. Acute Test – LC₅₀ = 0.456 g/l 95%CI (0.300-0.612g/l)

EAS %CV = 17.1%

National Warning Limits (75th percentile) = 29%CV

National Control Limits (90th percentile) = 34%CV

2.3. LITERATURE CITED:

1. APHA. 1992. *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater*, 18th Ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C
2. USEPA. 2002. *Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms*, 5th Ed. EPA-821-R-02-012
3. USEPA 2000. *Understanding and Accounting for Method Variability in Whole Effluent Toxicity Applications under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, (Table B-2)*. June 2000. EPA 833-R-00-003.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TEST conducted in accordance with US EPA 600/4-90/027
Fifth Edition October 2002

CLIENT NAME: Washington WWTF, Outfall 001, 24 hr composite
NPDES NUMBER: MO-0025810
TYPE OF METHOD: multiple dilution, 48 hrs, PP & CD, AEC=9.1%
DATE & TIME OF COLLECTION: 09/26/11 0800 hrs - 09/27/11 0800 hrs by John Zimmerman
DATE & TIME OF SUBMISSION: 09/28/11 1030 hrs by UPS
Upstream: Missouri River
Collected: 09/27/11 0800 hrs by John Zimmerman

INITIAL OBSERVATIONS	DATE	TIME	ANALYST	QC LOT	QC EXP VALUE	INT EFFL	INT UC	INT RC	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X %AEC
LOG NUMBER / ID NUMBER						1401322	1401322A	4022					
pH - SU	09/28/11	1045 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	8.91	7.65	8.00	7.29					
TEMPERATURE °C RECEIVED	09/28/11	1045 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		3	2	24					
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	09/28/11	1045 hrs	SCS	ERA506-010511(401-457)	445	1280	750	259					
HARDNESS - ppm	09/28/11	1045 hrs	SCS	ERA P170-507(107-134)	120	320	260	80					
CHLORINE - ppm	09/28/11	1045 hrs	SCS	tap water	+	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04					
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	09/28/11	1045 hrs	SCS	cal@840		<2	2.2	7.8					
TOTAL ALKALINITY - ppm	09/28/11	1700 hrs	SCS	ERA506-010511(60.1-71.9)	70.8	435	227	66.3					
INITIAL AMMONIA - ppm	09/29/11	1500 hrs	JPC	EAS #1981 (8-12)	8.53	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05					
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS - ppm													
0 HOUR OBSERVATIONS													
pH - SU	09/28/11	1100 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	8.91	7.98	7.97	7.92	7.97	7.99	7.99	7.99	
TEMPERATURE °C	09/28/11	1100 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		23.6	23.5	23.9	23.8	23.8	23.9	23.9	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	09/28/11	1100 hrs	SCS	ERA506-010511(401-457)	445	251	733	998	855	790	758	747	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	09/28/11	1100 hrs	SCS	cal@840		7.1	9.6	9.8	9.7	9.7	9.6	9.7	

24 HOUR OBSERVATIONS - PP	DATE	TIME	ANALYST	QC LOT	QC EXP VALUE	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X %AEC
pH - SU	09/29/11	1100 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	8.88	7.36	8.14	8.12	8.10	8.08	8.09	8.11	
TEMPERATURE °C	09/29/11	1100 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	09/29/11	1100 hrs	SCS	ERA506-010511(401-457)	447	274	731	995	853	794	758	751	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	09/29/11	1100 hrs	SCS	cal@840		5.9	5.5	5.5	5.6	5.5	5.6	5.5	
48 HOUR OBSERVATIONS - PP													
pH - SU	09/30/11	1100 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	8.87	7.79	8.23	8.24	8.22	8.20	8.20	8.21	
TEMPERATURE °C	09/30/11	1100 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	09/30/11	1100 hrs	SCS	ERA506-010511(401-457)	445	263	742	1005	859	799	768	753	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	09/30/11	1100 hrs	SCS	cal@840		5.8	5.5	5.8	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.8	
FINAL AMMONIA - ppm													

24 HOUR OBSERVATIONS - CD	DATE	TIME	ANALYST	QC LOT	QC EXP VALUE	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X %AEC
pH - SU	09/29/11	1100 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	8.88	7.93	8.19	8.21	8.19	8.21	8.21	8.21	
TEMPERATURE °C	09/29/11	1100 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	09/29/11	1100 hrs	SCS	ERA506-010511(401-457)	447	250	714	994	866	800	773	758	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	09/29/11	1100 hrs	SCS	cal@840		5.8	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.2	
48 HOUR OBSERVATIONS - CD													
pH - SU	09/30/11	1100 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	8.87	8.05	8.15	8.31	8.30	8.28	8.21	8.03	
TEMPERATURE °C	09/30/11	1100 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	24.9	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	09/30/11	1100 hrs	SCS	ERA506-010511(401-457)	445	262	715	976	859	788	763	752	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	09/30/11	1100 hrs	SCS	cal@840		6.1	6.0	5.9	6.0	5.9	6.0	5.9	
FINAL AMMONIA - ppm													

Approved by: *AMW* Date: 10/06/11

WHOLE EFFLUENT TEST conducted in accordance with US EPA 600/4-90/027
Fifth Edition October 2002

Washington WWTF, Outfall 001, 24 hr composite EAS LOG# 1401322

Date Test Began: Time Test Began: Analyst 1:
 Date Test Finished: Time Test Finished: Analyst 2:
 Analyst 3:

P. promelas (PP) AGE: days HATCH NUMBER:

PERIOD	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X% AEC
0 HR-PP	ALIVE							
24 HR-PP	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	
48 HR-PP	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	

Ceriodaphnia dubia (CD) AGE: hours HATCH NUMBER:

PERIOD	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X% AEC
0 HR-CD	ALIVE	ALIVE						
24 HR-CD	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	
48 HR-CD	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	

Approved by: *[Signature]*

Date: 10/06/2011



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM - P.O. BOX 176, JEFFERSON CITY MO, 65102
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST REPORT
 (TO BE ATTACHED TO WET TESTS FOR SUBMISSION TO THE REGULATORY AUTHORITY)

PART A - TO BE COMPLETED IN FULL BY PERMITTEE	
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	DATE & TIME COLLECTED EFFLUENT 09/26/11 0800-09/27/11 0800 UPSTREAM 09/27/11 0800
PERMIT NUMBER MO-0025810	PERMIT OUTFALL NUMBER Outfall # 001
COLLECTOR'S NAME John Zimmerman	
RECEIVING STREAM COLLECTION SITE AND DESCRIPTION Missouri River	
PERMIT ALLOWABLE EFFLUENT CONCENTRATION (AEC) 9.1%	EFFLUENT SAMPLE TYPE (CHECK ONE) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 24HR COMPOSITE <input type="checkbox"/> GRAB <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER
SAMPLE NUMBER EFFLUENT 1401322 UPSTREAM 1401322A	UPSTREAM SAMPLE TYPE (CHECK ONE) <input type="checkbox"/> 24HR COMPOSITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GRAB <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER
PERMITTED EFFLUENT DAILY MAXIMUM LIMITATION FOR CHLORINE _____ mg/L	PERMITTED EFFLUENT DAILY MAXIMUM LIMITATION FOR AMMONIA _____ mg/L

PART B - TO BE COMPLETED IN FULL BY PERFORMING LABORATORY		
PERFORMING LABORATORY Environmental Analysis South, Inc.	TEST TYPE Acute Static Non renewal Test Multiple Dilution	
FINAL REPORT NUMBER MO_1401322	TEST DURATION 48 hour	
DATE OF LAST REFERENCE TOXICANT TESTING September 7, 2011	TEST METHOD Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms	
DATE AND TIME SAMPLES RECEIVED AT LABORATORY 09/28/11 1030 hrs by UPS	TEST START DATE AND TIME 09/28/11 1100 hrs	TEST END DATE AND TIME 09/30/11 1100 hrs
SAMPLE DECHLORINATED PRIOR TO ANALYSIS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO EFFLUENT UPSTREAM	TEST ORGANISM #1 AND AGE Pimephales promelas 7 days	TEST ORGANISM #2 AND AGE Ceriodaphnia dubia < 24 hours
SAMPLE FILTERED ¹ PRIOR TO ANALYSIS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO EFFLUENT UPSTREAM	90% OR GREATER SURVIVAL IN SYNTHETIC CONTROL? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	DILUTION WATER USED TO ACHIEVE AEC upstream 1401322A
FILTER MESH SIEVE SIZE ² None	EFFLUENT ORGANISM #1 % MORTALITY AT AEC LC50>36.4% Effluent	EFFLUENT ORGANISM #2 % MORTALITY AT AEC LC50>36.4% Effluent
SAMPLE AERATED DURING TESTING? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO	UPSTREAM ORGANISM #1 % MORTALITY 0%	UPSTREAM ORGANISM #2 % MORTALITY 0%
pH ADJUSTED? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO EFFLUENT UPSTREAM	TEST RESULT AT AEC FOR ORGANISM #1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PASS <input type="checkbox"/> FAIL	TEST RESULT AT AEC FOR ORGANISM #2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PASS <input type="checkbox"/> FAIL

MINIMUM REQUIRED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR THE 100% EFFLUENT SAMPLE			
PARAMETER	RESULT	METHOD	WHEN ANALYZED
Temperature °C	3	SM18 2550B stored at 4 degree C until test setup	09/28/11 1045 hrs
pH Standard Units	7.65	SM18 4500-H B	09/28/11 1045 hrs
Conductance µMohs	1280	SM18 2510B	09/28/11 1045 hrs
Dissolved Oxygen mg/L	<2	SM18 4500-O G	09/28/11 1045 hrs
Total Residual Chlorine mg/L	<0.04	SM18 4500-CI G	09/28/11 1045 hrs
Unionized Ammonia mg/L	<0.05x0.02<0.010	SM18 4500-NH3 F @ 25 degree C	09/29/11 1500 hrs
*Total Alkalinity mg/L	435	SM18 2320B	09/28/11 1700 hrs
*Total Hardness mg/L	320	SM18 2340 C	09/28/11 1045 hrs

*Recommended by USEPA guidance, not a required analysis.

¹ Samples shall only be filtered if indigenous organisms are present that may be confused with, or attack, the test organisms.
² Filters shall have a sieve size of 60 microns or greater.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST REPORT

(TO BE ATTACHED TO WET TESTS FOR SUBMISSION TO THE REGULATORY AUTHORITY)

MINIMUM REQUIRED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR THE 100% UPSTREAM SAMPLE			
PARAMETER	RESULT	METHOD	WHEN ANALYZED
Temperature °C	2	SM18 2550B stored at 4 degree C until test setup	09/28/11 1045 hrs
pH Standard Units	8.00	SM18 4500-H B	09/28/11 1045 hrs
Conductance µMohs	750	SM18 2510B	09/28/11 1045 hrs
Dissolved Oxygen mg/L	2.2	SM18 4500-O G	09/28/11 1045 hrs
Total Residual Chlorine mg/L	<0.04	SM18 4500-Cl G	09/28/11 1045 hrs
Unionized Ammonia mg/L	<0.05x0.05<0.010	SM18 4500-NH3 F @ 25 degree C	09/29/11 1500 hrs
*Total Alkalinity mg/L	227	SM18 2320B	09/28/11 1700 hrs
*Total Hardness mg/L	260	SM18 2340 C	09/28/11 1045 hrs

*Recommended by USEPA guidance, not a required analysis.

PRELIMINARY TEST ACCEPTABILITY MATRIX (FOR USE BY PERMITTEE IN DETERMINING TEST VALIDITY)
PERMIT ALLOWABLE EFFLUENT CONCENTRATION (AEC): As indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.
EFFLUENT SAMPLE TYPE: As indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.
TEST TYPE: Acute Static Non-Renewal Test or other as indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.
TEST DURATION: Forty-eight (48) hours or as indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.
TEST ORGANISMS: As indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.
DILUTION WATER USED TO ACHIEVE AEC: Upstream receiving water required if available.
TEST METHOD: The only acceptable method is the <i>most current edition</i> of <u>Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms</u> , or other as specifically assigned by EPA for determining NPDES compliance. Test is invalid otherwise.
TEST START DATE & TIME: Unless otherwise specified in writing by EPA, if >36 hours lapse between collection and initiation, test is invalid.
FILTER MESH SIEVE SIZE: Unless otherwise specified in writing by EPA, if sieve size is smaller than 60 microns, test is invalid.
90% OR GREATER SURVIVAL IN LABORATORY CONTROL(S) (Y/N): If NO, test is invalid.

PARAMETER	RESULT	NOTES	WHEN ANALYZED
Temperature °C	0 - 6	Unless received by the laboratory on the same day as collected, values outside this range invalidate the test.	Upon receipt

^a Where no upstream control is available, enter results from laboratory or synthetic control.

Hill, Michelle

From: Barb Pandolfo [bpandolfo@pdclab.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2011 2:47 PM
To: Hill, Michelle
Subject: PDC report 1101682
Attachments: 1101682_1 PDC_1 10 18 11 1444.pdf

<<1101682_1 PDC_1 10 18 11 1444.pdf>>

Barbara G. Pandolfo

PDC Laboratories, Inc.

Project Manager

Direct Phone: 314-595-7336

Fax: 314-432-4977

bpandolfo@pdclab.com

This communication including any attachments is for the exclusive and confidential use of the designated recipient and any other distribution or use is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then deleting the message from your system.

W.E.Y.

10-26-10

DISTRIBUTED

J. Conly

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-8817 • Fax 573-204-8818



eas

REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING
Washington WWTF
Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%
MO-0025810
EAS LOG# 1214203
October 27, 2010 through October 29, 2010

Tests performed by:

John P. Clippard / Chemical Analyst at Environmental Analysis South (EAS)
Kelly J. Ray / Biologist at Environmental Analysis South (EAS)
Sara C. Shields / Lab Supervisor - Chemist at Environmental Analysis South (EAS)
David F. Warren / Lab Director - Chemist at Environmental Analysis South (EAS)

1. Report Summation
 - 1.1. Data Summation
 - 1.2. Conclusion
2. Method Summation
 - 2.1. Test Conditions and Methods
 - 2.2. Potassium chloride Reference Salt Test
 - 2.2.1. *Pimephales promelas* data
 - 2.2.2. *Ceriodaphnia dubia* data
 - 2.3. Literature Cited
3. Raw Data Bench Sheets
 - 3.1. Initial observations (page 1)
 - 3.2. Zero hour Observations (page 1)
 - 3.3. Twenty-four (24) hour Observations (page 1)
 - 3.4. Forty-eight (48) hour Observations (page 1)
 - 3.5. Survival Data Table (page 2)
 - 3.6. Test Comments (page 3)
4. Chain of Custody
5. MO DNR "Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test Report (Form 780-1899)

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-8817 • Fax 573-204-8818



REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING
Washington WWTF
Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%
MO-0025810
EAS LOG# 1214203
October 27, 2010 through October 29, 2010

1. REPORT SUMMATION:

1.1. Multiple Dilution Data Summation

Test Solution	<i>Pimephales promelas</i> Acute Toxicity Test 48 Hour Survival	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> Acute Toxicity Test 48 Hour Survival
Reconstituted Control (RC)	100%	100%
Upstream Control (UC)	100%	100%
2.3% Effluent	100%	100%
4.6% Effluent	100%	100%
9.1% Effluent	100%	100%
18.2% Effluent	100%	100%
36.4% Effluent	100%	100%
Estimated 48 Hour LC ₅₀ Value	>36.4% Effluent	>36.4% Effluent
To Pass: 1. Effluent - LC50 must be >30.3% and 2. All concentrations = or < AEC must not have significant difference to control in survival.	1. Yes 2. Yes	1. Yes 2. Yes
Result of Toxicity Test	PASS	PASS

* Indicates a significant difference at alpha = 0.5 between effluent and control survival data.

Conclusion:

Pimephales promelas 48 hour WET results: LC 50 >36.4% by the Graphical Method
NOAEC = 36.4% using Steel's Many-One Rank Test
Ceriodaphnia dubia 48 hour WET results: LC 50 >36.4% by the Graphical Method
NOAEC = 36.4% using Steel's Many-One Rank Test

Based on these results the outfall **passed** the whole effluent toxicity test with both indicator species.

Approved by _____


Sara C. Shields, Chemist

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-8817 • Fax 573-204-8818



REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING
Washington WWTF
Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%
MO-0025810
EAS LOG# 1214203
October 27, 2010 through October 29, 2010

2. TEST METHOD SUMMARY

2.1. TEST CONDITIONS AND METHODS:

	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> :	<i>Pimephales promelas</i> :
Test duration:	48 hours	48 hours
Temperature:	24 - 26 degree Celsius	24 - 26 degree Celsius
Light quality:	Ambient laboratory illumination	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod:	16 hour light, 8 hours dark	16 hour light, 8 hours dark
Control Water:	Moderately Hard Reconstituted Water	Moderately Hard Reconstituted Water
Dilution Water:	Upstream Water - If unavailable or toxic, then control water will be used.	Upstream Water - If unavailable or toxic, then control water will be used.
Size of test vessel:	30 milliliters	250 milliliters
Volume of test solution:	15 milliliters	200 milliliters
Age of test organisms:	<24 hours	1 -14 days (all same age)
Number of organisms/test vessel:	5	10
Number of replicates/concentration:	4	2
Number of organisms/concentration:	20	40 for a single dilution test and 20 for a multiple dilution test
Feeding regime:	None (fed prior to test)	None (fed prior to test)
Aeration:	None	None
Test acceptability criterion:	90% or greater survival in controls	90% or greater survival in controls

The methodology used for the chemistry data was taken from the *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 18th edition (1992). The exception was hardness, which was determined using a Hach EDTA titration test kit. The toxicity tests follow guidelines laid out in the permittee's NPDES permit and were conducted according to EPA approved methods (USEPA 2002).

All test organisms were cultured according to EPA approved methods (USEPA 2002). The *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and the *Pimephales promelas* were obtained from C-K Associates Inc. located in Baton Rouge, Louisiana and shipped overnight for use in the whole effluent toxicity test.

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-8817 • Fax 573-204-8818



REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING
Washington WWTF
Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%
MO-0025810
EAS LOG# 1214203
October 27, 2010 through October 29, 2010

2.2. REFERENCE TOXICITY TEST:

Environmental Analysis South performs monthly reference toxicity tests. The most recent reference test was initiated on October 6, 2010 using KCL Lot #41713. Following are the results:

2.2.1. *P. promelas* - 48 hr. Acute Test – LC₅₀ = 1.132 g/l 95%CI (0.849-1.414 g/l)

EAS %CV = 12.5%

National Warning Limits (75th percentile) = 19%CV

National Control Limits (90th percentile) = 33%CV

2.2.2. *C. dubia* - 48 hr. Acute Test – LC₅₀ = 0.453 g/l 95%CI (0.245-0.660g/l)

EAS %CV = 22.9%

National Warning Limits (75th percentile) = 29%CV

National Control Limits (90th percentile) = 34%CV

2.3. LITERATURE CITED:

1. APHA. 1992. *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater*, 18th Ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C
2. USEPA. 2002. *Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms*, 5th Ed. EPA-821-R-02-012
3. USEPA 2000. *Understanding and Accounting for Method Variability in Whole Effluent Toxicity Applications under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, (Table B-2)*. June 2000. EPA 833-R-00-003.

CLIENT NAME: Washington WWTF, Outfall 001, 24 hr composite

NPDES NUMBER: MO-0025810

TYPE OF METHOD: multiple dilution, 48 hrs, PP & CD, AEC=9.1%

DATE & TIME OF COLLECTION: 10/25/10 1000 hrs - 10/26/10 1100 hrs by John Zimmermann

DATE & TIME OF SUBMISSION: 10/27/10 1100 hrs by UPS

Upstream: Missouri River

Collected: 10/26/10 1100 hrs by John Zimmermann

INITIAL OBSERVATIONS	DATE	TIME	ANALYST	QC LOT	QC EXP VALUE	INT EFFL	INT UC	INT RC				
LOG NUMBER / ID NUMBER						1214203	1214203A	4000				
pH - SU	10/27/10	1115 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	9.04	8.44	8.47	8.23				
TEMPERATURE °C RECEIVED	10/27/10	1115 hrs	SCS	EAS.106		4	4	25				
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	10/27/10	1115 hrs	SCS	ERA P176-506(385-431)	421	1193	817	252				
HARDNESS - ppm	10/27/10	1115 hrs	SCS	ERA P170-507(107-134)	120	320	300	80				
CHLORINE - ppm	10/27/10	1115 hrs	SCS	tap water	+	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04				
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	10/27/10	1115 hrs	SCS	cal@840		6.8	6.4	7.4				
TOTAL ALKALINITY - ppm	10/27/10	1430 hrs	SCS	ERA P173-506(49.8-58.8)	52.8	261	176	53.4				
INITIAL AMMONIA - ppm	11/03/10	1300 hrs	JPC	EAS #1981 (8-12)	8.93	0.058	<0.05	<0.05				
TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS -ppm												
0 HOUR OBSERVATIONS	DATE	TIME	ANALYST	QC LOT	QC EXP VALUE	RC	UC	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X %AEC
pH - SU	10/27/10	1200 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	9.04	8.33	8.29	8.30	8.30	8.32	8.31	
TEMPERATURE °C	10/27/10	1200 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		25	25	25	25	25	25	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	10/27/10	1200 hrs	SCS	ERA P176-506(385-431)	421	251	782	955	870	799	796	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	10/27/10	1200 hrs	SCS	cal@840		6.9	7.4	7.8	7.8	7.8	7.8	

24 HOUR OBSERVATIONS - PP	DATE	TIME	ANALYST	QC LOT	QC EXP VALUE	RC	UC	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X %AEC
pH - SU	10/28/10	1200 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	9.04	7.96	8.40	8.45	8.44	8.43	8.44	
TEMPERATURE °C	10/28/10	1200 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		25	25	25	25	25	25	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	10/28/10	1200 hrs	SCS	ERA P176-506(385-431)	425	266	783	952	867	806	799	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	10/28/10	1200 hrs	SCS	cal@840		6.3	6.4	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.3	
48 HOUR OBSERVATIONS - PP	DATE	TIME	ANALYST	QC LOT	QC EXP VALUE	RC	UC	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X %AEC
pH - SU	10/29/10	1200 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	9.11	8.08	8.11	8.31	8.32	8.29	8.23	
TEMPERATURE °C	10/29/10	1200 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		25	25	25	25	25	25	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	10/29/10	1200 hrs	SCS	ERA P176-506(385-431)	417	274	796	915	872	840	814	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	10/29/10	1200 hrs	SCS	cal@840		6.6	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.7	6.7	
FINAL AMMONIA - ppm				EAS #2375 (8-12)								

24 HOUR OBSERVATIONS - CD	DATE	TIME	ANALYST	QC LOT	QC EXP VALUE	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X %AEC
pH - SU	10/28/10	1200 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	9.04	7.96	8.37	8.58	8.53	8.45	8.47	8.46	
TEMPERATURE °C	10/28/10	1200 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	10/28/10	1200 hrs	SCS	ERA P176-506(385-431)	425	263	781	931	854	807	794	788	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	10/28/10	1200 hrs	SCS	cal@840		7.2	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.8	6.8	7.0	
48 HOUR OBSERVATIONS - CD	DATE	TIME	ANALYST	QC LOT	QC EXP VALUE	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X %AEC
pH - SU	10/29/10	1200 hrs	SCS	SB114 (8.8-9.2)	9.11	8.28	8.26	8.52	8.50	8.46	8.45	8.44	
TEMPERATURE °C	10/29/10	1200 hrs	SCS	EAS 106		25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE umhos	10/29/10	1200 hrs	SCS	ERA P176-506(385-431)	417	286	759	935	857	813	804	805	
DISSOLVED OXYGEN - ppm	10/29/10	1200 hrs	SCS	cal@840		6.0	6.1	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.6	
FINAL AMMONIA - ppm				EAS #2375 (8-12)									

Approved by: *[Signature]*

Date: 11/04/2010

WHOLE EFFLUENT TEST conducted in accordance with US EPA 600/4-90/027
Fifth Edition October 2002

Washington WWTF, Outfall 001, 24 hr composite EAS LOG# 1214203

Date Test Began: October 27, 2010 Time Test Began: 1200 hrs Analyst 1: DFW
 Date Test Finished: October 29, 2010 Time Test Finished: 1200 hrs Analyst 2: KJR
 Analyst 3: SCS

P. promelas (PP) AGE: 5 days HATCH NUMBER: 8436 c-k

PERIOD	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X% AEC
0 HR-PP	ALIVE							
	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	
24 HR-PP	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	
48 HR-PP	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	

Ceriodaphnia dubia (CD) AGE: <24 hours HATCH NUMBER: 2245 c-k

PERIOD	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X% AEC
0 HR-CD	ALIVE	ALIVE						
	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	
24 HR-CD	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	
48 HR-CD	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	

Approved by: *[Signature]*

Date: 11/04/2010

MuHpdK
9.1.10

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS SOUTH, INC.
4000 East Jackson Blvd
Jackson, MO 63755
Phone: (573) 204-8817 Fax: (573) 204-8818



WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING CHAIN OF CUSTODY

CLIENT: City of Washington - WWTF

NPDES PERMIT NUMBER: MO-0025810

EFFLUENT NAME: Washington WWTF GRAB 24 HR COMPOSITE
(LEGAL NAME)

COLLECTION DATA: START DATE: 10-25-10 START TIME: 10:00

FINISH DATE: 10-26-10 FINISH TIME: 11:00

UPSTREAM NAME: Missouri River (GRAB SAMPLE)
(LEGAL NAME)

COLLECTION DATA: DATE: 10-26-10 TIME: 11:00 AM

SAMPLER NAME: John Zimmermann CARRIER: U.P.S.
(PRINT NAME)

Disclaimer: Environmental Analysis South, Inc. shall not be held financially liable for invalid whole effluent toxicity test (WET) or shipping charges resulting from the following reasons:

- Sampling & holding time errors (Will results in a setup charge of \$100 to the client)
- Commercial carrier delivery problems or errors (Will results in a setup charge of \$100 to the client)
- Problems with health or delivery of test organisms by vendor (No setup charge to client)

SAMPLER CHECK LIST

~~NO HEADSPACE IN BOTTLES~~ ✓
~~NO SAMPLES BY NEXT DAY CARRIER OR DELIVER TO LAB ON~~ 10/27/10 ✓
SAMPLES TO BE HAND DELIVERED TO LABORATORY SAME DAY AS TEST SETUP
~~SUFFICIENT ICE TO COOL SAMPLES TO A RANGE OF 0-6 °C WHEN SHIPPING OVERNIGHT~~ ✓

RELINQUISHED BY: John D. Zimmermann DATE: 10-26-10 TIME: 12:00

LABORATORY USE ONLY

EFFLUENT LOG NUMBER: 1214203

RECEIVED TEMPERATURE: 4 °C THERMOMETER ASSIGNED NUMBER: _____


HEADSPACE: YES or NO SAMPLES ICED or DELIVERED SAME DAY AS TEST

UPSTREAM LOG NUMBER: 1214203-A

RECEIVED TEMPERATURE: 4 °C THERMOMETER ASSIGNED NUMBER: _____

HEADSPACE: YES or NO SAMPLES ICED or DELIVERED SAME DAY AS TEST

RECEIVED BY: [Signature] DATE: 10/27/10 TIME: 11:00 UPS



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM - P.O. BOX 176, JEFFERSON CITY MO, 65102

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST REPORT

(TO BE ATTACHED TO WET TESTS FOR SUBMISSION TO THE REGULATORY AUTHORITY)

PART A - TO BE COMPLETED IN FULL BY PERMITTEE

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		DATE & TIME COLLECTED EFFLUENT 10/25/10 1000-10/26/10 1100 UPSTREAM 10/26/10 1100	
PERMIT NUMBER MO-0025810		PERMIT OUTFALL NUMBER Outfall # 001	
COLLECTOR'S NAME John Zimmermann			
RECEIVING STREAM COLLECTION SITE AND DESCRIPTION Missouri River			
PERMIT ALLOWABLE EFFLUENT CONCENTRATION (AEC) 9.1%		EFFLUENT SAMPLE TYPE (CHECK ONE) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 24HR COMPOSITE <input type="checkbox"/> GRAB <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	
SAMPLE NUMBER EFFLUENT 1214023 UPSTREAM 1214023A		UPSTREAM SAMPLE TYPE (CHECK ONE) <input type="checkbox"/> 24HR COMPOSITE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GRAB <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	
PERMITTED EFFLUENT DAILY MAXIMUM LIMITATION FOR CHLORINE _____ mg/L		PERMITTED EFFLUENT DAILY MAXIMUM LIMITATION FOR AMMONIA _____ mg/L	

PART B - TO BE COMPLETED IN FULL BY PERFORMING LABORATORY

PERFORMING LABORATORY Environmental Analysis South, Inc.		TEST TYPE Acute Static Non renewal Test Multiple Dilution	
FINAL REPORT NUMBER MO_1214203		TEST DURATION 48 hour	
DATE OF LAST REFERENCE TOXICANT TESTING October 6, 2010		TEST METHOD Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms	
DATE AND TIME SAMPLES RECEIVED AT LABORATORY 10/27/10 1100 hrs by UPS		TEST START DATE AND TIME 10/27/10 1200 hrs	TEST END DATE AND TIME 10/29/10 1200 hrs
SAMPLE DECHLORINATED PRIOR TO ANALYSIS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO EFFLUENT _____ UPSTREAM _____		TEST ORGANISM #1 AND AGE Pimephales promelas 5 days	TEST ORGANISM #2 AND AGE Ceriodaphnia dubia < 24 hrs
SAMPLE FILTERED ¹ PRIOR TO ANALYSIS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO EFFLUENT _____ UPSTREAM _____		90% OR GREATER SURVIVAL IN SYNTHETIC CONTROL? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	DILUTION WATER USED TO ACHIEVE AEC upstream 1214203A
FILTER MESH SIEVE SIZE ² None		EFFLUENT ORGANISM #1 % MORTALITY AT AEC LC50>36.4% Effluent	EFFLUENT ORGANISM #2 % MORTALITY AT AEC LC50>36.4% Effluent
SAMPLE AERATED DURING TESTING? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		UPSTREAM ORGANISM #1 % MORTALITY 0 %	UPSTREAM ORGANISM #2 % MORTALITY 0 %
pH ADJUSTED? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO EFFLUENT _____ UPSTREAM _____		TEST RESULT AT AEC FOR ORGANISM #1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PASS <input type="checkbox"/> FAIL	TEST RESULT AT AEC FOR ORGANISM #2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PASS <input type="checkbox"/> FAIL

MINIMUM REQUIRED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR THE 100% EFFLUENT SAMPLE

PARAMETER	RESULT	METHOD	WHEN ANALYZED
Temperature °C	4	SM18 2550B stored at 4 degree C until test setup	10/27/10 1115 hrs
pH Standard Units	8.44	SM18 4500-H B	10/27/10 1115 hrs
Conductance µMohs	1193	SM18 2510B	10/27/10 1115 hrs
Dissolved Oxygen mg/L	6.8	SM18 4500-O G	10/27/10 1115 hrs
Total Residual Chlorine mg/L	<0.04	SM18 4500-CI G	10/27/10 1115 hrs
Unionized Ammonia mg/L	0.058x0.12<0.010	SM18 4500-NH3 F @ 25 degree C	11/03/10 1300 hrs
*Total Alkalinity mg/L	261	SM18 2320B	10/27/10 1430 hrs
*Total Hardness mg/L	320	SM18 2340 C	10/27/10 1115 hrs

*Recommended by USEPA guidance, not a required analysis.

¹ Samples shall only be filtered if indigenous organisms are present that may be confused with, or attack, the test organisms.
² Filters shall have a sieve size of 60 microns or greater.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST REPORT

(TO BE ATTACHED TO WET TESTS FOR SUBMISSION TO THE REGULATORY AUTHORITY)

MINIMUM REQUIRED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR THE 100% UPSTREAM SAMPLE³

PARAMETER	RESULT	METHOD	WHEN ANALYZED
Temperature °C	4	SM18 2550B stored at 4 degree C until test setup	10/27/10 1115 hrs
pH Standard Units	8.47	SM18 4500-H B	10/27/10 1115 hrs
Conductance µMohs	817	SM18 2510B	10/27/10 1115 hrs
Dissolved Oxygen mg/L	6.4	SM18 4500-O G	10/27/10 1115 hrs
Total Residual Chlorine mg/L	<0.04	SM18 4500-Cl G	10/27/10 1115 hrs
Unionized Ammonia mg/L	<0.05x0.15<0.010	SM18 4500-NH3 F @ 25 degree C	11/03/10 1300 hrs
*Total Alkalinity mg/L	176	SM18 2320B	10/27/10 1430 hrs
*Total Hardness mg/L	300	SM18 2340 C	10/27/10 1115 hrs

*Recommended by USEPA guidance, not a required analysis.

PRELIMINARY TEST ACCEPTABILITY MATRIX (FOR USE BY PERMITTEE IN DETERMINING TEST VALIDITY)

PERMIT ALLOWABLE EFFLUENT CONCENTRATION (AEC): As indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

EFFLUENT SAMPLE TYPE: As indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

TEST TYPE: Acute Static Non-Renewal Test or other as indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

TEST DURATION: Forty-eight (48) hours or as indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

TEST ORGANISMS: As indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

DILUTION WATER USED TO ACHIEVE AEC: Upstream receiving water required if available.

TEST METHOD: The only acceptable method is the *most current edition* of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, or other as specifically assigned by EPA for determining NPDES compliance. Test is invalid otherwise.

TEST START DATE & TIME: Unless otherwise specified in writing by EPA, if >36 hours lapse between collection and initiation, test is invalid.

FILTER MESH SIEVE SIZE: Unless otherwise specified in writing by EPA, if sieve size is smaller than 60 microns, test is invalid.

90% OR GREATER SURVIVAL IN LABORATORY CONTROL(S) (Y/N): If NO, test is invalid.

PARAMETER	RESULT	NOTES	WHEN ANALYZED
Temperature °C	0 - 6	Unless received by the laboratory on the same day as collected, values outside this range invalidate the test.	Upon receipt

³ Where no upstream control is available, enter results from laboratory or synthetic control.

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-8817 • Fax 573-204-8818



John Zimmerman
CITY OF WASHINGTON
Wastewater Treatment Plant
405 Jefferson
Washington, MO 63090

PAGE NO.: 1
REPORT NO.: 110757
DATE: 11/11/10
P.O. NO.:

REPORT OF ANALYSIS

SUBJECT: Testing in accordance with "Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents to Freshwater and Marine Organisms (Fourth Edition), EPA/600/4-90-027, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Monitoring & Support Laboratory.

LOG NUMBER	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	RESULTS OF ANALYSIS	UNITS OF MEASURE	METHOD NUMBER	NOTE
1214203	WWTF Effluent SAMPLE DATE: 10/26/10				
	Shipping Charges	15	dollars		
	48 Hour WET Test 5 dil/4 reps	1	test		

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

D. F. Warren
D. F. WARREN

Multiple
9.1.10

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS SOUTH, INC.
4000 East Jackson Blvd
Jackson, MO 63755
Phone: (573) 204-8817 Fax: (573) 204-8818



WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTING CHAIN OF CUSTODY

CLIENT: City of Washington - WWTF
NPDES PERMIT NUMBER: MO-0025810

EFFLUENT NAME: Washington - WWTF GRAB 24 HR COMPOSITE
(LEGAL NAME)

COLLECTION DATA: START DATE: 10-25-10 START TIME: 10:00
FINISH DATE: 10-26-10 FINISH TIME: 11:00

UPSTREAM NAME: Missouri River (GRAB SAMPLE)
(LEGAL NAME)

COLLECTION DATA: DATE: 10-26-10 TIME: 11:00 AM

SAMPLER NAME: John Zimmermann CARRIER: U.P.S.
(PRINT NAME)

Disclaimer: Environmental Analysis South, Inc. shall not be held financially liable for invalid whole effluent toxicity test (WET) or shipping charges resulting from the following reasons:

- Sampling & holding time errors (Will results in a setup charge of \$100. to the client)
- Commercial carrier delivery problems or errors (Will results in a setup charge of \$100 to the client)
- Problems with health or delivery of test organisms by vendor (No setup charge to client)

SAMPLER CHECK LIST

~~NO HEADSPACE INDICATES~~ ✓
~~TO BE DELIVERED BY NEXT DAY CARRIER OR DELIVER TO LAB ON~~ 10/27/10
~~SAMPLES TO BE HAND DELIVERED TO LABORATORY SAME DAY AS TEST SETUP~~
~~NO SHIPPING CHARGES TO BE PAID BY THE CLIENT~~ ✓

RELINQUISHED BY: John D. Zimmermann DATE: 10-26-10 TIME: 12:00

LABORATORY USE ONLY

EFFLUENT LOG NUMBER: 1214203

RECEIVED TEMPERATURE: 4 °C THERMOMETER ASSIGNED NUMBER: _____

HEADSPACE: YES or NO SAMPLES ICED or DELIVERED SAME DAY AS TEST

UPSTREAM LOG NUMBER: 1214203-A

RECEIVED TEMPERATURE: 4 °C THERMOMETER ASSIGNED NUMBER: _____

HEADSPACE: YES or NO SAMPLES ICED or DELIVERED SAME DAY AS TEST

RECEIVED BY: [Signature] DATE: 10/27/10 TIME: 1100 UPS



PDC Laboratories, Inc. *09-29-09 WE1*
 3278 N. Highway 67 - Florissant, MO 63033
 (314) 432-0550 - (800) 333-FAST (3278) - FAX (314) 432-4977



Laboratory Results

City of Washington WWTP
 405 Jefferson

Date Received : 09/29/09 14:42
 Report Date 11/04/09
 Customer # : 277248
 P.O. Number :
 Facility :

Washington, MO 63090
 Attn : Mr. John Zimmermann

Sample No: 09098603-1

Collect Date 09/29/09 09:00

Client ID : EFFLUENT

Site :

Locator :

Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Analysis Date	Analyst	Lab
-----------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------	-----

WET test was subcontracted out. See attachment.

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.

PDC Laboratories participates in the following accreditation/certification and proficiency programs at the following locations. Endorsement by the Federal or State Government or their agencies is not implied.

- PIA PDC Laboratories - Peoria, IL
 - NELAC Accreditation for Drinking Water, Wastewater, Hazardous and Solid Wastes Fields of Testing through IL EPA Lab No. 100230
 - State of Illinois Bacteriological Analysis in Drinking Water Certified Lab Registry No. 17553
 - Drinking Water Certifications: Indiana (C-IL-040); Kansas (E-10338); Kentucky (90058); Missouri (00870); Wisconsin (998294430)
 - Wastewater Certifications: Arkansas; Iowa (240); Kansas (E-10338); Wisconsin (998294430)
 - Hazardous/Solid Waste Certifications: Arkansas; Kansas (E-10338); Wisconsin(998294430)
 - UST Certification: Iowa (240)
- SPMO PDC Laboratories - Springfield, MO
 - EPA DMR-QA Program
- STL PDC Laboratories - St. Louis, MO
 - NELAC Accreditation for Wastewater, Hazardous and Solid Wastes Fields of Testing through IL EPA Lab No. 100253.

Certified by: Barbara G. Pandolfo
 Barbara G. Pandolfo, Project Manager

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd • Jackson, MO 63755 • 573-204-9617 • Fax 573-204-3818



REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING
Washington WWTF
Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%
MO-0025810
EAS LOG# 1107921
September 30, 2009 through October 2, 2009

1. REPORT SUMMATION:

1.1. Multiple Dilution Data Summation

Test Solution	<i>Pimephales promelas</i> Acute Toxicity Test 48 Hour Survival	<i>Ceriodaphnia dubia</i> Acute Toxicity Test 48 Hour Survival
Reconstituted Control (RC)	100%	100%
Upstream Control (UC)	95%	100%
2.3% Effluent	95%	100%
4.6% Effluent	95%	100%
9.1% Effluent	100%	100%
18.2% Effluent	95%	100%
36.4% Effluent	95%	100%
Estimated 48 Hour LC₅₀ Value	>36.4% Effluent	>36.4% Effluent
To Pass: 1. Effluent - LC50 must be >30.3% and 2. All concentrations = or < AEC must not have significant difference to control in survival.	1. Yes 2. Yes	1. Yes 2. Yes
Result of Toxicity Test	PASS	PASS

* Indicates a significant difference at alpha = 0.5 between effluent and control survival data.

Conclusion:

Pimephales promelas 48 hour WET results: LC 50 >36.4% by Trimmed Spearman-Kärber
NOAEC = 36.4% by Steel's Many-One Rank Test
Ceriodaphnia dubia 48 hour WET results: LC 50 >36.4% by the Graphical Method
NOAEC = 36.4% by Steel's Many-One Rank Test

Based on these results the outfall passed the whole effluent toxicity test with both indicator species.

Approved by

Sara C. Shields, Chemist

Environmental Analysis South, Inc.

4000 East Jackson Blvd. • Jackson, MO 63138 • 673-204-9317 • Fax: 673-204-9313



REPORT OF ACUTE TOXICITY TESTING

Washington WWTF

Outfall 001 (24 hour composite) AEC = 9.1%

MO-0025810

EAS LOG# 1107921

September 30, 2009 through October 2, 2009

2.2. REFERENCE TOXICITY TEST:

Environmental Analysis South performs monthly reference toxicity tests. The most recent reference test was initiated on September 2, 2009 using KCL Lot #41713. Following are the results:

2.2.1. *P. promelas* - 48 hr. Acute Test – LC₅₀ = 1.011 g/l 95%CI (0.0.712 – 1.309 g/l)

EAS %CV = 14.8%

National Warning Limits (75th percentile) = 19%CV

National Control Limits (90th percentile) = 33%CV

2.2.2. *C. dubia* - 48 hr. Acute Test – LC₅₀ = 0.434 g/l 95%CI (0.248 – 0.619g/l)

EAS %CV = 21.4%

National Warning Limits (75th percentile) = 29%CV

National Control Limits (90th percentile) = 34%CV

2.3. LITERATURE CITED:

1. APHA. 1992. *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater*, 18th Ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C
2. USEPA. 2002. *Methods for measuring the acute toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater and marine organisms*, 5th Ed. EPA-821-R-02-012
3. USEPA 2000. *Understanding and Accounting for Method Variability in Whole Effluent Toxicity Applications under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, (Table B-2)*. June 2000. EPA 833-R-00-003.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TEST conducted in accordance with US EPA 600/4-90/027
Fifth Edition October 2002

Washington WWTF, Outfall 001, 24 hr composite EAS LOG# 1107921

Date Test Began: September 30, 2009 Time Test Began: 1100 hrs Analyst 1: DFW
 Date Test Finished: October 2, 2009 Time Test Finished: 1100 hrs Analyst 2: KJR
 Analyst 3: SCS

P. promelas (PP) AGE: 10 days HATCH NUMBER: 091609fn aro

PERIOD	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X% AEC
	ALIVE							
0 HR-PP	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	
24 HR-PP	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	10,10	
48 HR-PP	10,10	9,10	9,10	9,10	10,10	9,10	9,10	

Ceriodaphnia dubia (CD) AGE: <24 hours HATCH NUMBER: 092909cd aro

PERIOD	RC	UC	36.4%	18.2%	9.1%	4.6%	2.3%	X% AEC
	ALIVE	ALIVE						
0 HR-CD	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	
24 HR-CD	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	
48 HR-CD	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	5,5,5,5	

Approved by: *[Signature]*

Date: 10/22/2009

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST REPORT
 (TO BE ATTACHED TO WET TESTS FOR SUBMISSION TO THE REGULATORY AUTHORITY)

MINIMUM REQUIRED ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR THE 100% UPSTREAM SAMPLE

PARAMETER	RESULT	METHOD	WHEN ANALYZED
Temperature °C	2	SM18 2550B stored at 4 degree C until test setup	09/30/09 1030 hrs
pH Standard Units	8.00	SM18 4500-H B	09/30/09 1030 hrs
Conductance µMols	645	SM18 2510B	09/30/09 1030 hrs
Dissolved Oxygen mg/L	7.9	SM18 4500-O G	09/30/09 1030 hrs
Total Residual Chlorine mg/L	<0.04	SM18 4500-Cl G	09/30/09 1030 hrs
Unionized Ammonia mg/L	<0.05x0.05<0.010	SM18 4500-NH3 F @ 25 degree C	10/01/09 1300 hrs
*Total Alkalinity mg/L	174	SM18 2320B	09/30/09 1300 hrs
*Total Hardness mg/L	340	SM18 2340 C	09/30/09 1030 hrs

*Recommended by USEPA guidance, not a required analysis.

PRELIMINARY TEST ACCEPTABILITY MATRIX (FOR USE BY PERMITTEE IN DETERMINING TEST VALIDITY)

PERMIT ALLOWABLE EFFLUENT CONCENTRATION (AEC): As indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

EFFLUENT SAMPLE TYPE: As indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

TEST TYPE: Acute Static Non-Renewal Test or other as indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

TEST DURATION: Forty-eight (48) hours or as indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

TEST ORGANISMS: As indicated on permit. Test is invalid otherwise.

DILUTION WATER USED TO ACHIEVE AEC: Upstream receiving water required if available.

TEST METHOD: The only acceptable method is the *most current edition* of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms, or other as specifically assigned by EPA for determining NPDES compliance. Test is invalid otherwise.

TEST START DATE & TIME: Unless otherwise specified in writing by EPA, if >36 hours lapse between collection and initiation, test is invalid.

FILTER MESH SIEVE SIZE: Unless otherwise specified in writing by EPA, if sieve size is smaller than 60 microns, test is invalid.

90% OR GREATER SURVIVAL IN LABORATORY CONTROL(S) (Y/N): If NO, test is invalid.

PARAMETER	RESULT	NOTES	WHEN ANALYZED
Temperature °C	0 - 6	Unless received by the laboratory on the same day as collected, values outside this range invalidate the test.	Upon receipt

³ Where no upstream control is available, enter results from laboratory or synthetic control.

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.			
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
60. INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part F applies to the treatment works.			
All treatment works receiving discharges from significant industrial users or which receive RCRA, CERCLA, or other remedial wastes must complete this form.			
GENERAL INFORMATION			
60.1 PRETREATMENT PROGRAM			
Does the treatment works have, or is it subject to, an approved pretreatment program?			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
60.2 NUMBER OF NON-CATEGORICAL SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS, or SIUs AND CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIAL USERS, or CIUs. PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INDUSTRIAL USERS THAT DISCHARGE TO THE TREATMENT WORKS.			
A.	Number of Non-Categorical SIUs 1	B.	Number of CIUs 7
60.3 SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER INFORMATION			
Supply the following information for each SIU. If more than one SIU discharges to the treatment works, provide the information requested for each. Submit additional pages as necessary.			
NAME Frick's Quality Meats Inc.			
MAILING ADDRESS 350 M.E. Frick Drive		CITY Washington	STATE ZIP MO 63090
60.4 INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES			
DESCRIBE ALL OF THE INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES THAT AFFECT OR CONTRIBUTE TO THE SIU's DISCHARGE. Cooking and packaging hams and sausage			
60.5 PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) AND RAW MATERIAL (S)			
Describe all of the principle processes and raw materials that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.			
PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) Packaged ham and sausage			
RAW MATERIAL(S) Pork			
60.6 FLOW RATE			
A. PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.			
28100 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent			
B. NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of non-process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.			
C. 7000 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent			
60.7 PRETREATMENT STANDARDS			
Indicate whether the SIU is subject to the following			
A.	Local Limits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
B.	Categorical Pretreatment Standards	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If subject to categorical pretreatment standards, which category and subcategory? N/A SIU			
60.8 PROBLEMS AT THE TREATMENT WORKS ATTRIBUTED TO WASTE DISCHARGED BY THE SIU			
Has the SIU caused or contributed to any problems (e.g., upsets, interference) at the treatment works in the past three years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, describe each episode			

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.			
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
60. INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part F applies to the treatment works.			
All treatment works receiving discharges from significant industrial users or which receive RCRA, CERCLA, or other remedial wastes must complete this form.			
GENERAL INFORMATION			
60.1 PRETREATMENT PROGRAM			
Does the treatment works have, or is it subject to, an approved pretreatment program? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
60.2 NUMBER OF NON-CATEGORICAL SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS, or SIUs AND CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIAL USERS, or CIUs. PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INDUSTRIAL USERS THAT DISCHARGE TO THE TREATMENT WORKS.			
A. Number of Non-Categorical SIUs 1	B. Number of CIUs 7		
60.3 SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER INFORMATION			
Supply the following information for each SIU. If more than one SIU discharges to the treatment works, provide the information requested for each. Submit additional pages as necessary.			
NAME CLEMCO Industries Corporation			
MAILING ADDRESS One Cable Car Drive		CITY Washington	STATE ZIP MO 63090
60.4 INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES			
DESCRIBE ALL OF THE INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES THAT AFFECT OR CONTRIBUTE TO THE SIU's DISCHARGE. Iron Phosphate Pressure Wash			
60.5 PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) AND RAW MATERIAL (S)			
Describe all of the principle processes and raw materials that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.			
PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) Abrasive Blast Cleaning Equipment			
RAW MATERIAL(S) Steel			
60.6 FLOW RATE			
A. PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent. 150 gpd <input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intermittent			
B. NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of non-process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.			
C. 12000 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent			
60.7 PRETREATMENT STANDARDS			
Indicate whether the SIU is subject to the following			
A. Local Limits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
B. Categorical Pretreatment Standards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If subject to categorical pretreatment standards, which category and subcategory? Metal Finishing 40 CFR Part 133			
60.8 PROBLEMS AT THE TREATMENT WORKS ATTRIBUTED TO WASTE DISCHARGED BY THE SIU			
Has the SIU caused or contributed to any problems (e.g., upsets, interference) at the treatment works in the past three years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, describe each episode			

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.			
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
60. INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part F applies to the treatment works.			
All treatment works receiving discharges from significant industrial users or which receive RCRA, CERCLA, or other remedial wastes must complete this form.			
GENERAL INFORMATION			
60.1 PRETREATMENT PROGRAM			
Does the treatment works have, or is it subject to, an approved pretreatment program?			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
60.2 NUMBER OF NON-CATEGORICAL SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS, or SIUs AND CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIAL USERS, or CIUs. PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INDUSTRIAL USERS THAT DISCHARGE TO THE TREATMENT WORKS.			
A. Number of Non-Categorical SIUs	B. Number of CIUs		
1	7		
60.3 SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER INFORMATION			
Supply the following information for each SIU. If more than one SIU discharges to the treatment works, provide the information requested for each. Submit additional pages as necessary.			
NAME M & R Plating			
MAILING ADDRESS 303 Westlink Industrial Drive		CITY Washington	STATE ZIP MO 63090
60.4 INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES			
DESCRIBE ALL OF THE INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES THAT AFFECT OR CONTRIBUTE TO THE SIU's DISCHARGE. Zinc Electroplating and Mechanical Galvanizing			
60.5 PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) AND RAW MATERIAL (S)			
Describe all of the principle processes and raw materials that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.			
PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) Zinc Plating (Job Shop)			
RAW MATERIAL(S) Zinc, Muriatic Acid, Boric Acid, Pot Ash, Chromates			
60.6 FLOW RATE			
A. PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.			
13800 gpd <input type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intermittent			
B. NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of non-process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.			
C. 300 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent			
60.7 PRETREATMENT STANDARDS			
Indicate whether the SIU is subject to the following			
A. Local Limits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
B. Categorical Pretreatment Standards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If subject to categorical pretreatment standards, which category and subcategory? Metal Plating 40 CFR Part 413			
60.8 PROBLEMS AT THE TREATMENT WORKS ATTRIBUTED TO WASTE DISCHARGED BY THE SIU			
Has the SIU caused or contributed to any problems (e.g., upsets, interference) at the treatment works in the past three years?			
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, describe each episode			

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.			
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
60. INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part F applies to the treatment works.			
All treatment works receiving discharges from significant industrial users or which receive RCRA, CERCLA, or other remedial wastes must complete this form.			
GENERAL INFORMATION			
60.1 PRETREATMENT PROGRAM			
Does the treatment works have, or is it subject to, an approved pretreatment program? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
60.2 NUMBER OF NON-CATEGORICAL SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS, or SIUs AND CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIAL USERS, or CIUs. PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INDUSTRIAL USERS THAT DISCHARGE TO THE TREATMENT WORKS.			
A. Number of Non-Categorical SIUs 1	B. Number of CIUs 7		
60.3 SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER INFORMATION			
Supply the following information for each SIU. If more than one SIU discharges to the treatment works, provide the information requested for each. Submit additional pages as necessary.			
NAME Shure Manufacturing Corporation			
MAILING ADDRESS 1901 West Main Street		CITY Washington	STATE MO
			ZIP 63090
60.4 INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES			
DESCRIBE ALL OF THE INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES THAT AFFECT OR CONTRIBUTE TO THE SIU'S DISCHARGE. Metal Cleaning and Powder Coating			
60.5 PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) AND RAW MATERIAL (S)			
Describe all of the principle processes and raw materials that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.			
PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) Steel Shop Furniture and Fabricated Sheet Metal			
RAW MATERIAL(S) Sheet Metal, Aluminum Extrusions, Galvanized Sheet, Sheet Aluminum, Stainless Steel, Cold rolled.			
60.6 FLOW RATE			
A. PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent. 1400 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent			
B. NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of non-process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.			
C. 1400 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent			
60.7 PRETREATMENT STANDARDS			
Indicate whether the SIU is subject to the following			
A. Local Limits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
B. Categorical Pretreatment Standards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If subject to categorical pretreatment standards, which category and subcategory? Metal Finishing 40 CFR Part 133			
60.8 PROBLEMS AT THE TREATMENT WORKS ATTRIBUTED TO WASTE DISCHARGED BY THE SIU			
Has the SIU caused or contributed to any problems (e.g., upsets, interference) at the treatment works in the past three years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, describe each episode			

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.			
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
60. INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part F applies to the treatment works.			
All treatment works receiving discharges from significant industrial users or which receive RCRA, CERCLA, or other remedial wastes must complete this form.			
GENERAL INFORMATION			
60.1 PRETREATMENT PROGRAM			
Does the treatment works have, or is it subject to, an approved pretreatment program? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
60.2 NUMBER OF NON-CATEGORICAL SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS, or SIUs AND CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIAL USERS, or CIUs. PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INDUSTRIAL USERS THAT DISCHARGE TO THE TREATMENT WORKS.			
A.	Number of Non-Categorical SIUs 1	B.	Number of CIUs 7
60.3 SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER INFORMATION			
Supply the following information for each SIU. If more than one SIU discharges to the treatment works, provide the information requested for each. Submit additional pages as necessary.			
NAME Parker Hannifin, Sporlan Division Plant #1 (711 Industrial Avenue)			
MAILING ADDRESS 206 Lange Drive		CITY Washington	STATE ZIP MO 63090
60.4 INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES			
DESCRIBE ALL OF THE INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES THAT AFFECT OR CONTRIBUTE TO THE SIU's DISCHARGE. Metal Cleaning, Copper and Nickel Plating, Metal Forming, Powder Coating and Degreasing			
60.5 PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) AND RAW MATERIAL (S)			
Describe all of the principle processes and raw materials that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.			
PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) Refrigeration and A/C Components			
RAW MATERIAL(S) Copper, Brass, and Steel			
60.6 FLOW RATE			
A.	PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent. 5500 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent		
B.	NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of non-process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.		
C.	29500 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent		
60.7 PRETREATMENT STANDARDS			
Indicate whether the SIU is subject to the following			
A.	Local Limits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
B.	Categorical Pretreatment Standards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If subject to categorical pretreatment standards, which category and subcategory? Metal Finishing 40 CFR Part 133			
60.8 PROBLEMS AT THE TREATMENT WORKS ATTRIBUTED TO WASTE DISCHARGED BY THE SIU			
Has the SIU caused or contributed to any problems (e.g., upsets, interference) at the treatment works in the past three years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, describe each episode			

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.			
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
60. INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part F applies to the treatment works.			
All treatment works receiving discharges from significant industrial users or which receive RCRA, CERCLA, or other remedial wastes must complete this form.			
GENERAL INFORMATION			
60.1 PRETREATMENT PROGRAM			
Does the treatment works have, or is it subject to, an approved pretreatment program?			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
60.2 NUMBER OF NON-CATEGORICAL SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS, or SIUs AND CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIAL USERS, or CIUs. PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INDUSTRIAL USERS THAT DISCHARGE TO THE TREATMENT WORKS.			
A.	Number of Non-Categorical SIUs 1	B.	Number of CIUs 7
60.3 SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER INFORMATION			
Supply the following information for each SIU. If more than one SIU discharges to the treatment works, provide the information requested for each. Submit additional pages as necessary.			
NAME Parker Hannifin, Sporlan Division Plant #2 (1699 West Main Street)			
MAILING ADDRESS 206 Lange Drive		CITY Washington	STATE MO
			ZIP 63090
60.4 INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES			
DESCRIBE ALL OF THE INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES THAT AFFECT OR CONTRIBUTE TO THE SIU's DISCHARGE. Phosphate Cleaning of Metal Parts			
60.5 PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) AND RAW MATERIAL (S)			
Describe all of the principle processes and raw materials that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.			
PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) Refrigeration Valves			
RAW MATERIAL(S) Brass Valve Bodies, Copper Tubing and Steel			
60.6 FLOW RATE			
A.	PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.		
	4400 gpd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous	<input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent
B.	NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of non-process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.		
C.	35000 gpd	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous	<input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent
60.7 PRETREATMENT STANDARDS			
Indicate whether the SIU is subject to the following			
A.	Local Limits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
B.	Categorical Pretreatment Standards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If subject to categorical pretreatment standards, which category and subcategory? Metal Finishing 40 CFR Part 133			
60.8 PROBLEMS AT THE TREATMENT WORKS ATTRIBUTED TO WASTE DISCHARGED BY THE SIU			
Has the SIU caused or contributed to any problems (e.g., upsets, interference) at the treatment works in the past three years?			
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, describe each episode			

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.			
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
60. INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part F applies to the treatment works.			
All treatment works receiving discharges from significant industrial users or which receive RCRA, CERCLA, or other remedial wastes must complete this form.			
GENERAL INFORMATION			
60.1 PRETREATMENT PROGRAM			
Does the treatment works have, or is it subject to, an approved pretreatment program?			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
60.2 NUMBER OF NON-CATEGORICAL SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS, or SIUs AND CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIAL USERS, or CIUs. PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INDUSTRIAL USERS THAT DISCHARGE TO THE TREATMENT WORKS.			
A.	Number of Non-Categorical SIUs 1	B.	Number of CIUs 7
60.3 SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER INFORMATION			
Supply the following information for each SIU. If more than one SIU discharges to the treatment works, provide the information requested for each. Submit additional pages as necessary.			
NAME Parker Hannifin, Sporlan Division Plant #3 (215 Lange Drive)			
MAILING ADDRESS 206 Lange Drive		CITY Washington	STATE ZIP MO 63090
60.4 INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES			
DESCRIBE ALL OF THE INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES THAT AFFECT OR CONTRIBUTE TO THE SIU's DISCHARGE. Bright Dipping, Vapor Degreasing			
60.5 PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) AND RAW MATERIAL (S)			
Describe all of the principle processes and raw materials that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.			
PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) Refrigeration Valves and parts			
RAW MATERIAL(S) Brass, Copper, and Steel			
60.6 FLOW RATE			
A.	PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.		
	5600 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent		
B.	NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of non-process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.		
C.	46000 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent		
60.7 PRETREATMENT STANDARDS			
Indicate whether the SIU is subject to the following			
A.	Local Limits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
B.	Categorical Pretreatment Standards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If subject to categorical pretreatment standards, which category and subcategory? Metal Finishing 40 CFR Part 133			
60.8 PROBLEMS AT THE TREATMENT WORKS ATTRIBUTED TO WASTE DISCHARGED BY THE SIU			
Has the SIU caused or contributed to any problems (e.g., upsets, interference) at the treatment works in the past three years? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, describe each episode			

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.			
FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF		PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
60. INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES			
Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part F applies to the treatment works.			
All treatment works receiving discharges from significant industrial users or which receive RCRA, CERCLA, or other remedial wastes must complete this form.			
GENERAL INFORMATION			
60.1 PRETREATMENT PROGRAM			
Does the treatment works have, or is it subject to, an approved pretreatment program?			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
60.2 NUMBER OF NON-CATEGORICAL SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USERS, or SIUs AND CATEGORICAL INDUSTRIAL USERS, or CIUs. PROVIDE THE NUMBER OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING TYPES OF INDUSTRIAL USERS THAT DISCHARGE TO THE TREATMENT WORKS.			
A.	Number of Non-Categorical SIUs 1	B.	Number of CIUs 7
60.3 SIGNIFICANT INDUSTRIAL USER INFORMATION			
Supply the following information for each SIU. If more than one SIU discharges to the treatment works, provide the information requested for each. Submit additional pages as necessary.			
NAME TRADCO			
MAILING ADDRESS 1701 West Main Street		CITY Washington	STATE ZIP MO 63090
60.4 INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES			
DESCRIBE ALL OF THE INDUSTRIAL PROCESSES THAT AFFECT OR CONTRIBUTE TO THE SIU's DISCHARGE. Titanium Forming, Chemical Etching, Sheet Grinding			
60.5 PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) AND RAW MATERIAL (S)			
Describe all of the principle processes and raw materials that affect or contribute to the SIU's discharge.			
PRINCIPAL PRODUCT(S) Titanium Forming, Chemical Etching, Sheet Grinding			
RAW MATERIAL(S) Titanium Sheet Metal			
60.6 FLOW RATE			
A.	PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.		
	30000 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent		
B.	NON-PROCESS WASTEWATER FLOW RATE. Indicate the average daily volume of non-process wastewater discharged into the collection system in gallons per day, or gpd, and whether the discharge is continuous or intermittent.		
C.	5000 gpd <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Intermittent		
60.7 PRETREATMENT STANDARDS			
Indicate whether the SIU is subject to the following			
A.	Local Limits	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
B.	Categorical Pretreatment Standards	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If subject to categorical pretreatment standards, which category and subcategory? Metal Forming 40 CFR Part 471 (Part 433)			
60.8 PROBLEMS AT THE TREATMENT WORKS ATTRIBUTED TO WASTE DISCHARGED BY THE SIU			
Has the SIU caused or contributed to any problems (e.g., upsets, interference) at the treatment works in the past three years?			
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, describe each episode			

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

PART F – INDUSTRIAL USER DISCHARGES AND RCRA/CERCLA WASTES (CONTINUED)

60.9 RCRA HAZARDOUS WASTE RECEIVED BY TRUCK, RAIL, OR DEDICATED PIPELINE

RCRA WASTE. Does the treatment works receive or has it in the past three years received RCRA hazardous waste by truck, rail or dedicated pipe?
 Yes No

WASTE TRANSPORT. Method by which RCRA waste is received. (Check all that apply)
 Truck Rail Dedicated Pipe

WASTE DESCRIPTION. Give EPA hazardous waste number and amount (volume or mass, specify units).

EPA HAZARDOUS WASTE NUMBER	AMOUNT	UNITS

60.10 CERCLA, OR SUPERFUND, WASTEWATER, RCRA REMEDIATION/CORRECTIVE ACTION WASTEWATER AND OTHER REMEDIAL ACTIVITY WASTEWATER

REMEDIAL WASTE. Does the treatment works currently (or has it been notified that it will) receive waste from remedial activities?
 Yes No Provide a list of sites and the requested information for each current and future site.

60.11 WASTE ORIGIN

Describe the site and type of facility at which the CERCLA/RCRA/or other remedial waste originates (or is expected to originate in the next five years).
 Former SKF Foundry - SKF USA Inc, 1801 W Main St, Washington MO 63090
 Groundwater Treatment Plant for Trichloroethylene - Goundwater is treated.
 TCE and other related constituents are removed and the treated water is released to POTW, City of Washington.

60.12 POLLUTANTS

List the hazardous constituents that are received (or are expected to be received). Included data on volume and concentration, if known. (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

Trichloroethene Max Conc: 88.9 Avg Conc: 38.5 Units: ug/l # of samples: 6 Flow GPD: @1220 GPD
 1,1Dichloroethene Max Con: <10 Ave Conc: 6.2 Units ug/l # of samples: 2 Flow GPD: @1220 GPD
 1,2 Dichloroethene Max Con: 331 Avg Conc: 326.5 Units: ug/l # of samples: 2 Flow GPD: @1220 GPD

60.13 WASTE TREATMENT

A. Is this waste treated (or will it be treated) prior to entering the treatment works?
 Yes No

If Yes, describe the treatment (provide information about the removal efficiency):

Solvent Separation (coalescing separator)
 Air Stripper

B. Is the discharge (or will the discharge be) continuous or intermittent?
 Continuous Intermittent

If intermittent, describe the discharge schedule:

As Goundwater Wells are charged.

END OF PART F
REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH OTHER PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

MAKE ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THIS FORM FOR EACH OUTFALL.

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	PERMIT NO. MO- 0025810	OUTFALL NO. 001
----------------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

PART G – COMBINED SEWER SYSTEMS

70. COMBINED SEWER SYSTEMS (COMPLETE THIS PART IF THE TREATMENT WORKS HAS A COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM.)

Refer to the Supplemental Application Information to determine whether Part G applies to the treatment works.

70.1 SYSTEM MAP N/A

Provide a map indicating the following: (May be included with basic application information.)

- A. All CSO Discharges.
- B. Sensitive Use Areas Potentially Affected by CSOs. (e.g., beaches, drinking water supplies, shellfish beds, sensitive aquatic ecosystems and Outstanding Natural Resource Waters.)
- C. Waters that Support Threatened and Endangered Species Potentially Affected by CSOs.

70.2 SYSTEM DIAGRAM

Provide a diagram, either in the map provided above or on a separate drawing, of the Combined Sewer Collection System that includes the following information:

- A. Locations of Major Sewer Trunk Lines, Both Combined and Separate Sanitary.
- B. Locations of Points where Separate Sanitary Sewers Feed into the Combined Sewer System.
- C. Locations of In-Line or Off-Line Storage Structures.
- D. Locations of Flow-Regulating Devices.
- E. Locations of Pump Stations.

70.3 PERCENT OF COLLECTION SYSTEM THAT IS COMBINED SEWER

70.4 POPULATION SERVED BY COMBINED SEWER COLLECTION SYSTEM

70.5 NAME OF ANY SATELLITE COMMUNITY WITH COMBINED SEWER COLLECTION SYSTEM

70.6 CSO OUTFALLS. COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING ONCE FOR EACH CSO DISCHARGE POINT

70.7 DESCRIPTION OF OUTFALL

A. Outfall Number

B. Location

C. Distance from Shore (if applicable) _____ ft	D. Depth Below Surface (if applicable) _____ ft
--	--

E. Which of the following were monitored during the last year for this CSO?
 Rainfall CSO Pollutant Concentrations CSO CSO Flow Volume Receiving Water Quality

F. How many storm events were monitored last year?

70.8 CSO EVENTS

A. Give the Number of CSO Events in the Last Year _____ Events <input type="checkbox"/> Actual <input type="checkbox"/> Approximate	B. Give the Average Duration Per CSO Event _____ Hours <input type="checkbox"/> Actual <input type="checkbox"/> Approximate
--	--

C. Give the Average Volume Per CSO Event _____ Million Gallons <input type="checkbox"/> Actual <input type="checkbox"/> Approximate	D. GIVE THE MINIMUM RAINFALL THAT CAUSED A CSO EVENT IN THE LAST YEAR _____ INCHES OF RAINFALL
--	--

70.9 DESCRIPTION OF RECEIVING WATERS

A. Name of Receiving Water

B. Name of Watershed/River/Stream System	U.S. Soil Conservation Service 14-Digit Watershed Code (If Known)
--	---

Name of State Management/River Basin	U.S. Geological Survey 8- Digit Hydrologic Cataloging Unit Code (If Known)
--------------------------------------	--

70.10 CSO OPERATIONS

Describe any known water quality impacts on the receiving water caused by this CSO (e.g., permanent or intermittent beach closings, permanent or intermittent shellfish bed closings, fish kills, fish advisories, other recreational loss, or violation of any applicable state water quality standard.)

END OF PART G.

REFER TO THE APPLICATION OVERVIEW TO DETERMINE WHICH OTHER PARTS OF FORM B2 YOU MUST COMPLETE.

MO 780-1805 (09-08)

CITY OF
WASHINGTON
MISSOURI

September 6, 2012

RECEIVED

SEP 10 2012

WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176


Dear Sirs:

Attached is our Form B2 (780-1805) – Application for an Operating Permit Renewal for our Operating Permit MO-0025810 Washington WWTF.

As directed by Logan Cole with your office, we are submitting this application. Please note that there was confusion over when our permit expiration date was. One permit copy we had, showed the permit expiring on May 21, 2014. At some point, the expiration date was revised by the State to January 17, 2013. As directed by Mr. Cole we are submitting the application as expediently as possible, but due to the confusion it is being filed past the deadline for submittal of 180 days prior to the expiration date of January 17, 2013.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 636-390-1076.

Sincerely,



Kevin L. Quaethem
Water/Wastewater Superintendent

KLQ/mmh

C: John D. Zimmermann, Chief Plant Operator/Lab Analyst
Dan Boyce, City Engineer

PUBLIC WORKS

Office Location: #4 Chamber Drive
Washington, MO 63090-2607

Mailing Address: 405 Jefferson Street
Washington, MO 63090-2607





MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION CONTROL BRANCH
FORM B2 – APPLICATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OR OPERATING PERMIT FOR FACILITIES WHICH RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE AND HAVE A DESIGN FLOW MORE THAN 100,000 GALLONS PER DAY

FACILITY NAME Washington WWTF	
PERMIT NO. MO-0025810	COUNTY Franklin

APPLICATION OVERVIEW

Form B2 has been developed in a modular format and consists of Parts A, B and C and a Supplemental Application Information (Parts D, E, F and G) packet. All applicants must complete Parts A, B and C. Some applicants must also complete parts of the Supplemental Application Information packet. The following items explain which parts of Form B2 you must complete. Submittal of an incomplete application may result in the application being returned.

BASIC APPLICATION INFORMATION

- A. Basic Application Information for all Applicants. All applicants must complete Part A.
- B. Additional Application Information for all Applicants. All applicants must complete Part B.
- C. Certification. All applicants must complete Part C.

SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION INFORMATION

- D. Expanded Effluent Testing Data. A treatment works that discharges effluent to surface water of the United States and meets one or more of the following criteria must complete *Part D - Expanded Effluent Testing Data*:
 - 1. Has a design flow rate greater than or equal to 1 million gallons per day.
 - 2. Is required to have or currently has a pretreatment program.
 - 3. Is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the information.
- E. Toxicity Testing Data. A treatment works that meets one or more of the following criteria must complete *Part E - Toxicity Testing Data*:
 - 1. Has a design flow rate greater than or equal to 1 million gallons per day.
 - 2. Is required to have or currently has a pretreatment program.
 - 3. Is otherwise required by the permitting authority to provide the information.
- F. Industrial User Discharges and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act / Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act Wastes. A treatment works that accepts process wastewater from any significant industrial users, also known as SIUs, or receives a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act or CERCLA wastes must complete *Part F - Industrial User Discharges and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act /CERCLA Wastes*.
 SIUs are defined as:
 - 1. All Categorical Industrial Users, or CIUs, subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards under 40 Code of Federal Regulations 403.6 and 40 Code of Federal Regulations 403.6 and 40 CFR Chapter 1, Subchapter N.
 - 2. Any other industrial user that meets one or more of the following:
 - i. Discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the treatment works (with certain exclusions).
 - ii. Contributes a process waste stream that makes up five percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the treatment plant.
 - iii. Is designated as an SIU by the control authority.
- G. Combined Sewer Systems. A treatment works that has a combined sewer system must complete *Part G - Combined Sewer Systems*.

ALL APPLICANTS MUST COMPLETE PARTS A, B and C