STATE OF MISSOURI
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor Sara Parker Pauley, Director
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
dnr.mo.gov

City of Marionville
P.O. 410
Marionville, MO 65705

Dear Permittee:

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, under the authority granted to the State of Missouri and in compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, we have issued and are enclosing your State Operating Permit to discharge from Marionville WWTF, Lawrence County, Missouri.

Please read your permit and enclosed Standard Conditions. They contain important information on monitoring requirements, effluent limitations, sampling frequencies and reporting requirements.

Monitoring reports required by the special conditions must be submitted on a periodic basis. The required forms are enclosed. Please make copies for your use. Completed forms should be mailed to this office.

This permit is both your Federal NPDES Permit and your new Missouri State Operating Permit and replaces all previous State Operating Permits issued for this facility under this permit number. In all future correspondence regarding this facility, please refer to your State Operating Permit number and facility name as shown on page one of the permit.

Please be aware that nothing in this permit relieves the permittee of any other legal obligations or restrictions, such as other federal or state laws, court orders, or county or other local ordinances or restrictions.

If you were adversely affected by this decision, you may be entitled to an appeal before the administrative hearing commission pursuant to 10 CSR 20-1.020 and Section 621.250, RSMo. To appeal, you must file a petition with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days after the date this decision was mailed or the date it was delivered, whichever date was earlier. If any such petition is sent by registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is mailed; if it is sent by any method other than registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is received by the administrative hearing commission. Any appeal shall be directed to: Administrative Hearing Commission, Truman Building, Room 640, 301 W. High Street, P.O. Box 1557, Jefferson City, MO 65102, Phone: 573-751-2422, Fax: 573-751-5018, website: www.oa.mo.gov/ahc.

If you have questions concerning this permit please contact Mr. Sieu T. Dang, P.E., of my staff by calling 417-891-4300 or via mail at Southwest Regional Office, 2040 W. Woodland, Springfield, MO 65807-5912.

Sincerely,

SOUTHWEST REGIONAL OFFICE

Cynthia S. Davies
Regional Director

CSD/sdk

Enclosures

STATE OF MISSOURI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION

MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No.	MO-0023159
Owner:	City of Marionville
Address:	P.O. Box 410, Marionville, MO 65705
Continuing Authority:	Same as Above
Address:	Same as Above
Facility Name:	Marionville WWTF **Class B Operator needed**
Facility Address:	17493 Lawrence 1230, Marionville MO 65705
Legal Description:	NE¼, SW¼, NE¼, Sec. 27, T27N, R25W, Lawrence County
UTM (X/Y):	442075 / 4097330
Receiving Stream:	Honey Creek (C)
First Classified Stream and ID:	Honey Creek (C) (03170)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	(11070207-010002)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Outfall #001 - Sewerage Works - SIC #4952

Bar screen / grit chamber / anaerobic selector basin / anoxic selector basin / activated sludge oxidation ditch / secondary clarification / ultraviolet disinfection / aerated sludge holding basins / sludge disposal by land application

Design organic population equivalent is 5,000
Design flow is 0.500000 MGD
Design sludge production is 110 dry tons/year.

Outfall #002 – In-stream monitoring no longer needed.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

March 18, 2011
Effective Date

Sara Parker Pauley
Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources

March 17, 2016
Expiration Date

Cynthia S. Davies
Cynthia S. Davies, Regional Director, Southwest Regional Office

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #001						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/weekday**	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$	mg/L		45	30	once/month**	****
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		45	30	once/month**	****
pH – Units	SU	***		***	once/month**	grab
E. coli (Note 1)	#/100 ml		1030	206	once/week*****	grab
Ammonia as N (April 1 – September 30) (October 1 – March 31)	mg/L	 5.0 11.1		 1.2 2.6	once/month**	grab
Oil and Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month**	grab

OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	DAILY MINIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #001						
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	*		*	once/month**	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE **May 28, 2011**. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test	% Survival	See Special Conditions #9	once / permit cycle	24 hour composite

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE **January 28, 2015**.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED Parts I, II & III STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

* Monitoring requirement only.

** Reports shall be submitted by the 28th day of the month following the reporting period, e.g. Reporting period is the month of March (samples collected each weekday, weekly, and monthly), report due by April 28th.

*** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH for all facilities except lagoons is limited to the range of 6.5-9.0 pH units.

**** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

***** Samples shall be collected **weekly** April 1 through October 31 and submitted monthly.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

Note 1 - Final limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. Geometric mean for n samples = $[a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3 \ldots . \times a_n]^{1/n}$ The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

C. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The facility is required to meet a removal efficiency of **85%** or more. The monitoring requirements shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. To determine removal efficiencies, the influent wastewater shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:

SAMPLING LOCATION AND PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Influent			
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$	mg/L	once / month**	24 hr composite****
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	once /month**	24 hr composite****

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE **May 28, 2011.**

C. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

** Reports shall be submitted by the 28th day of the month following the reporting period, e.g. Reporting period is the month of March (samples collected monthly), report due by April 28th.

**** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:

   (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:

      (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or

      (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.

   (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.

   (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

   The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.

3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to areawide wastewater treatment system within 90 days of notice of its availability.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

4. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

(a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"

(1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);

(2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;

(3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;

(4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.

(b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.

5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.

6. Water Quality Standards

(a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.

(b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:

(1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;

(2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;

(3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;

(4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;

(5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;

(6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;

(7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;

(8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.

7. The permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permittee shall submit a report on **January 28** each year to the Southwest Regional Office which address measures taken to locate and eliminate sources of infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

8. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-8 and 10 CSR 20-9. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. If a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9 is needed, the permittee shall submit a written request to the department for review and, if deemed necessary, approval.

9. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility and are subject to 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)(i), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2.b.

10. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:

SUMMARY OF ACUTE WET TESTING FOR THIS PERMIT				
OUTFALL	AEC	FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	MONTH
001	100 %	Once per permit cycle	24 hr. composite*	Any

* A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampler.

(a) Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements

(1) Perform a MULTIPLE-dilution acute WET test in the months and at the frequency specified above. For tests which are successfully passed, submit test results using the Department's WET test report form #MO-780-1899 along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including copies of chain-of-custody forms within 30 calendar days of availability to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. If the effluent passes the test, do not repeat the test until the next test period.

(i) For discharges of stormwater, samples shall be collected within three hours from when discharge first occurs.

(ii) Samples submitted for analysis of stormwater discharges shall be collected as a grab.

(iii) For discharges of non-stormwater, samples shall be collected only when precipitation has not occurred for a period of forty-eight hours prior to sample collection. In no event shall sample collection occur simultaneously with the occurrence of precipitation excepting for stormwater samples.

(iv) A twenty-four hour composite sample shall be submitted for analysis of non-stormwater discharges.

(v) Upstream receiving water samples, where required, shall be collected upstream from any influence of the effluent where downstream flow is clearly evident.

(vi) Samples submitted for analysis of upstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriate to the nature of the discharge.

(vii) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping.

(viii) Any and all chemical or physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% Effluent concentration in addition to analyses performed upon any other effluent concentration.

(ix) All chemical analyses included in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources WET test report form #MO-780-1899 shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form.

(x) Where flow-weighted composite sample is required for analysis, the samples shall be composited at the laboratory where the test is to be performed.

(xi) Where in stream testing is required downstream from the discharge, sample collection shall occur immediately below the established Zone of Initial Dilution in conjunction with or immediately following a release or discharge.

(xii) Samples submitted for analysis of downstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriate to the nature of the discharge.

(xiii) All instream samples, including downstream samples, shall be tested for toxicity at the 100% concentration in addition to any other assigned AEC for in-stream samples.

(2) All failing test results along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, INCLUDING THOSE TESTS CONDUCTED UNDER CONDITION (3) BELOW, shall be reported to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the results.

(3) If the effluent fails the test, a multiple dilution test shall be performed for BOTH test species within 30 calendar days and biweekly thereafter (for storm water, tests shall be performed on the next and subsequent storm water discharges as they occur, but not less than 7 days apart) until one of the following conditions are met:

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

(i) THREE CONSECUTIVE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS PASS. No further tests need to be performed until next regularly scheduled test period.
(ii) A TOTAL OF THREE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS FAIL.

(4) Failure of a WET test is a violation of this permit.
(5) The permittee shall submit a summary of all test results for the test series along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the third failed test.
(6) Additionally, the following shall apply upon failure of the third MULTIPLE DILUTION test: A toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) is automatically triggered. The permittee shall contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 14 calendar days from availability of the test results to ascertain as to whether a TIE or TRE is appropriate. The permittee shall submit a plan for conducting a TIE or TRE to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 60 calendar days of the date of DNR's direction to perform either a TIE or TRE. This plan must be approved by DNR before the TIE or TRE is begun. A schedule for completing the TIE or TRE shall be established in the plan approval.
(7) Upon DNR's approval, the TIE/TRE schedule may be modified if toxicity is intermittent during the TIE/TRE investigations. A revised WET test schedule may be established by DNR for this period.
(8) If a previously completed TIE has clearly identified the cause of toxicity, additional TIEs will not be required as long as effluent characteristics remain essentially unchanged and the permittee is proceeding according to a DNR approved schedule to complete a TRE and reduce toxicity. Regularly scheduled WET testing as required in the permit, without the follow-up requirements, will be required during this period.

(b) PASS/FAIL procedure and effluent limitations:
(1) To pass a multiple-dilution test:
(i) For facilities with a computed percent effluent at the edge of the zone of initial dilution, Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) OF 30% OR LESS, the AEC must be less than three-tenths (0.3) of the LC_{50} concentration for the most sensitive of the test organisms; **OR**,
(ii) For facilities with an AEC greater than 30%, the LC50 concentration must be greater than 100%; **AND**,
(iii) All effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC must be nontoxic. Mortality observed in all effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; $p = 0.05$) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available mortality observed in the AEC test concentration shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; $p = 0.05$) than that observed in the laboratory control. The appropriate statistical tests of significance shall be consistent with the most current edition of METHODS FOR MEASURING THE ACUTE TOXICITY OF EFFLUENTS AND RECEIVING WATERS TO FRESHWATER AND MARINE ORGANISMS or other federal guidelines as appropriate or required.

(c) Test Conditions
(1) Test Type: Acute Static non-renewal
(2) All tests, including repeat tests for previous failures, shall include both test species listed below.
(3) Test species: Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow). Organisms used in WET testing shall come from cultures reared for the purpose of conducting toxicity tests and cultured in a manner consistent with the most current USEPA guidelines. All test animals shall be cultured as described in the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.
(4) Test period: 48 hours at the "Allowable Effluent Concentration" (AEC) specified above.
(5) Upstream receiving stream water shall be used as dilution water. If upstream water is unavailable or if mortality in the upstream water exceeds 10%, "reconstituted" water will be used as dilution water. Procedures for generating reconstituted water will be supplied by the MDNR upon request.
(6) Unless otherwise specified above, multiple-dilution tests will be run with:
(i) 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25% effluent, unless the AEC is less than 25% effluent, in which case dilutions will be 4 times the AEC, two times the AEC, AEC, 1/2 AEC and 1/4 AEC;
(ii) 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent; and
(iii) Reconstituted water.
(7) If reconstituted-water control mortality for a test species exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun.
(8) If upstream control mortality exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun using reconstituted water as the dilutant.

E. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

1. By **September 14, 2011** the permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The suggested guidance is the US EPA's Guide For Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation, And Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document number EPA 305-B-05-002). A written summary of this program shall be submitted to the Southwest Regional Office via mail, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, 2040 West Woodland, Springfield, Missouri, 65807

2. By **November 13, 2011** the permittee shall submit to the Southwest Regional Office Regional Office a written Plan to Reduce Inflow and Infiltration (Plan) into the sewer collection system. The Plan will include a schedule for locating sources of inflow and infiltration, describing the sources and their believed causes, and rate its priority for correction. The suggested format for the Plan would be to divide the collection system into designated areas that would be prioritized by the City based on currently known problem areas with target dates to TV or smoke test the lines within a given area. Lines that are newer than 15 years old may be excluded from the plan unless the City has reason to believe they are a major source of inflow or infiltration. Once the Plan is approved by the Department, the City will immediately implement the plan.

3. By **January 28th** of each year following approval of the Plan, the City must report the findings of the work accomplished during the year for the targeted area and note which inflow/infiltration problems were corrected during the year. In the event that revisions to the Plan are necessary, the City will submit requested revisions to the Plan with the **January 28th** report to the Southwest Regional Office Regional Office Regional Office for review and approval. In addition the city must prepare an annual summary report noting the influent biological oxygen demand and total suspended solids, rainfall during discharge events, effluent biological oxygen demand and total suspended solids, and calculate the percent removal.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

SUMMARY OF TEST METHODOLOGY FOR ACUTE WHOLE-EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTS

Whole-effluent-toxicity test required in NPDES permits shall use the following test conditions when performing single or multiple dilution methods. Any future changes in methodology will be supplied to the permittee by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). Unless more stringent methods are specified by the DNR, the procedures shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms,

Test conditions for Ceriodaphnia dubia:

Test duration:	48 h
Temperature:	25 ± 1°C Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3°C during the test.
Light Quality:	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod:	16 h light, 8 h dark
Size of test vessel:	30 mL (minimum)
Volume of test solution:	15 mL (minimum)
Age of test organisms:	<24 h old
No. of animals/test vessel:	5
No. of replicates/concentration:	4
No. of organisms/concentration:	20 (minimum)
Feeding regime:	None (feed prior to test)
Aeration:	None
Dilution water:	Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water modified to reflect effluent hardness.
Endpoint:	Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream water was not available at $p \leq 0.05$)
Test acceptability criterion:	90% or greater survival in controls

Test conditions for Pimephales promelas:

Test duration:	48 h
Temperature:	25 ± 1°C Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3°C during the test.
Light Quality:	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod:	16 h light/ 8 h dark
Size of test vessel:	250 mL (minimum)
Volume of test solution:	200 mL (minimum)
Age of test organisms:	1-14 days (all same age)
No. of animals/test vessel:	10
No. of replicates/concentration:	4 (minimum) single dilution method 2 (minimum) multiple dilution method
No. of organisms/concentration:	40 (minimum) single dilution method 20 (minimum) multiple dilution method
Feeding regime:	None (feed prior to test)
Aeration:	None, unless DO concentration falls below 4.0 mg/L; rate should not exceed 100 bubbles/min.
Dilution water:	Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water modified to reflect effluent hardness.
Endpoint:	Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream water was not available at $p \leq 0.05$)
Test Acceptability criterion:	90% or greater survival in controls

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Statement of Basis
Marionville WWTF
MSOP #: MO-0023159
Lawrence County

A Statement of Basis (Statement) gives pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations and rationale for the development of the NPDES Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit). This Statement includes Wasteload Allocations, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations, and Reasonable Potential Analysis calculations as well as any other calculations that effect the effluent limitations of this operating permit. This Statement does not pertain to operating permits that include sewage sludge land application plans and variance procedures, and does not include the public comment process for this operating permit.

A Statement is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: POTW
Facility SIC Code(s): 4952

Facility Description: Bar screen / grit chamber / anaerobic selector basin / anoxic selector basin / activated sludge oxidation ditch / secondary clarification / ultraviolet disinfection / aerated sludge holding basins / sludge disposal by land application.

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001	0.7736	Secondary	Domestic	0

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History:

This is for a renewal.

Comments: The facility was last inspected on July 17, 2007. The inspection showed the following unsatisfactory features at the facility the city failed the vector attraction test (Specific Oxygen Uptake Rate) on land applied biosolids. The facility has corrected the deficiencies. The test results of in-stream samples for Ammonia and Dissolved Oxygen indicated no water quality issues.

The re-notice is due to the new *E. coli* regulations which went into effect. Please see the derivation and discussion of limits below.

Also, the following changes have been made since the permit was Public Noticed on January 28, 2011: Temperature monitoring has been removed as it is not required (please see derivation and discussion below), and the reporting units for Flow have been changed from GPD to MGD, in Table A.

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Check boxes below that are applicable to the facility;

- Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200): ☒

- Fifty (50) or more service connections: ☒
- Owned or operated by or for:
  - Municipalities ☒
  - Public Sewer District: ☐
  - County ☐
  - Public Water Supply Districts: ☐
  - Private sewer company regulated by the Public Service Commission: ☐
  - State or Federal agencies: ☐

- Department required: ☐
  The Department requires this facility to retain the services of a certified operator due to:

This facility currently requires an operator with a B Certification Level. Please see **Appendix A - Classification Worksheet**. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

Operator's Name: Ron Greenwood
Certification Number: 2114
Certification Level: A

Part III – Receiving Stream Information

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:
As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]: ☐
Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]: ☐
Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]: ☐
Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]: ☐
Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]: ☐
Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]: ☐
All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]: ☒

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	8-DIGIT HUC	EDU**
Honey Creek	C		General Criteria, LWW, AQL, etc.	11070207	Ozark / Neosho
Honey Creek	C	03170	LWW, AQL, WBC-B		

* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND).
** - Ecological Drainage Unit

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:

RECEIVING STREAM (U, C, P)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Honey Creek	0	0	0

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS TABLE:

MIXING ZONE (CFS) [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(II)(a)]			ZONE OF INITIAL DILUTION (CFS) [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(II)(b)]		
1Q10	7Q10	30Q10	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
0	0	0	0	0	N/A

Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:
As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable ☒;
The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:
A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

☒ - Backsliding proposed in this statement for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:
As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)10.], when a Continuing Authority under paragraph 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)1. or 2. is expected to be available for connection within the next five (5) years, any operating permit issued to a permittee under this paragraph, located within the service area of the paragraph (3)(B)1. or 2. facility, shall contain the following special condition… This language is contained in Special Condition #3 of this operating permit.

ANTIDEGRADATION:
Policies which ensure protection of water quality for a particular water body where the water quality exceeds levels necessary to protect fish and wildlife propagation and recreation on and in the water. This also includes special protection of waters designated as outstanding natural resource waters. Antidegradation requirements are consistent with 40 CFR 131.12 that outlines methods used to assess activities that may impact the integrity of a water and protect existing uses. This policy may compel the state to maintain a level of water quality above those mandated by criteria.

Not Applicable ☒;
Renewal no degradation proposed and no further review necessary.

APPLICABLE PERMIT PARAMETERS:
Effluent parameters for conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants have been obtained from the previous NPDES operating permit for this facility, technology based effluent limits, and from appropriate sections of the renewal application.

Bio-solids, Sludge, & Sewage Sludge:
Bio-solids are solid materials resulting from wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sludge is any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility or any other such waste having similar characteristics and effect. Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address: http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pub/index.html, items WQ422 through WQ449.

☒ - Permittee land applies biosolids in accordance with Standard Conditions III and a Department approved biosolids management plan.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:
Action taken by the Department to resolve violations of the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ☒;
The permittee/facility is not under enforcement action and is considered to be in compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:
The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee's pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users,
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

Not Applicable ☒;
The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):
Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters that are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above the Missouri Water Quality Standards.

Applicable ☒;
A RPA was conducted for this facility for (parameters) and determined that this facility has the potential to cause or contribute to violations of Water Quality. Please see **APPENDIX B – RPA RESULTS.**

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:
Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD_5) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs). Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm

Applicable ☒;
Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSOS), BYPASSES, INFLOW & INFILTRATION (I&I) – PREVENTION/REDUCTION:
Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(11)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSO's have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to (1) enter and overload the collection system, and (2) overload the treatment facility. Additionally, SSO's can be also be caused by lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Additionally, Missouri RSMo §644.026.1 mandates that the Department require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities.

☒ - In accordance with Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(15) and 40 CFR Part 122.41(e), the permittee is required to develop and/or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system and shall be required in this operating permit by either means of a Special Condition or Schedule of Compliance. In addition, the Department considers the development of this program as an implementation of this condition. Additionally, 40 CFR Part 403.3(o) defines a POTW to include any device and systems used in the storage, treatment, recycling and reclamation of municipal sewage or industrial wastes of liquid nature. It also includes sewers, pipes, and other conveyances only if they convey wastewater to a POTW Treatment Plant.

At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA's Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002). The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used by the EPA to evaluate a collection system's management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium, and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):
A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Applicable ☒;
The City is being given a schedule of compliance to address inflow and infiltration.

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):
In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's *Storm Water Management for Industrial Activities: Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices* [EPA 832-R-92-006] (Storm Water Management), BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Storm Water Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges.

Not Applicable ☒;
At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:
As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined to total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable ☒;
Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)}$$ (EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5)

Where C = downstream concentration
C_s = upstream concentration
Q_s = upstream flow
C_e = effluent concentration
Q_e = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":
Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the

value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

WLA MODELING:

Not Applicable ☒;
A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:
Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:
A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable ☒;
Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing are also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following RSMo apply: §644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; §644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc…); and §644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by <u>all</u> facilities meeting the following criteria:
☐ Facility is a designated Major.
☐ Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
☐ Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
☐ Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
☐ Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH_3)
☒ Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow ≥ 22,500 gpd.
☐ Other – please justify.

40 CFR 122.41(m) - Bypasses:
The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from "bypassing" untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass, which includes blending, is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-2.010(11) defines a bypass as the diversion of wastewater from any portion of wastewater treatment facility or sewer system to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri's Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar.

☒ - The permittee has not entered or does not meet the necessary requirements for entering into a VCA with the Department.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):
Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Not Applicable ☒;
This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

Adjusted Design Flow:
10 CSR 20-6.011(1)(B)1. provides for an Adjusted Design Flow when calculating permit fees on human sewage treatment facilities. If the average flow is sixty percent (60%) or less than the system's design flow, the average flow may be substituted for the design flow when calculating the permit fee on human sewage treatment facilities. If the facility's actual average flow is consistently 60% or less than the permitted design flow, the facility may qualify for a reduction in your fee when:

- The facility has a valid permit, or has applied for re-issuance, is in compliance with the terms, conditions and effluent limitations of the permit, and the facility has a good compliance history; and
- Flow is not expected to exceed 60% of design flow for the remaining term of the existing operating permit.

Not Applicable ☒;
Municipalities, POTWs, and Industrials do not qualify for Adjusted Design flows.

Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	UNIT	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MODIFIED	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	MGD	1	*		*	NO	S
BOD_5	MG/L	1		45	30	NO	S
TSS	MG/L	1		45	30	NO	S
PH (S.U.)	SU	1	6.5-9.0		6.5-9.0	YES	6.0-9.0
AMMONIA AS N (OCTOBER - MARCH)	MG/L	5	11.1		2.6	YES	3.2/1.6
AMMONIA AS N (APRIL - SEPTEMBER)	MG/L	5	5.0		1.2	YES	2.0/1.0
FECAL COLIFORM	***	1	--		--	YES	1000/400
ESCHERICHIA COLI	***	1, 2, 3	Please see Escherichia Coli (E. coli) in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.				
TEMPERATURE	°C	--	--		--	YES	*
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	MG/L	11	*		*	YES	****
OIL & GREASE	MG/L	3, 8	15		10	YES	****

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST	Please see WET Test in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.

*** - Monitoring requirement only**
*** - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for Fecal Coliform is a geometric mean.
**** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.
N/A – Not applicable
S – Same as previous operating permit

Basis for Limitations Codes:
1. State or Federal Regulation/Law
2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)
3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
4. Lagoon Policy
5. Ammonia Policy
6. Antidegradation Policy
7. Water Quality Model
8. Best Professional Judgment
9. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL
10. WET test Policy
11. Dissolved Oxygen Policy

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

Flow. In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_5).
☒ – Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information.**

Total Suspended Solids (TSS).
☒ – Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information.**

Temperature. Effluent temperature data cannot be used in development of effluent limits for ammonia, therefore the monitoring has been dropped from this permit.

Total Ammonia Nitrogen. The RPA for Ammonia indicated that there is a potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above the Missouri Water Quality Standards.

Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply
[10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C. & Table B3]. Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L.

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg N/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg N/L)
Oct. 1 – March 31	6	7.8	3.1	12.1
April 1 – Sept. 30	27	7.8	1.4	12.1

Winter: Oct 1 – March 31, Summer: April 1 – Sept. 30

Summer – Chronic WLA = 1.4 mg N/L, Acute WLA = 12.1 mg N/L. No mixing zone is allowed.

LTA_c = 1.4 mg/L (0.609) = 0.853 mg N/L [CV = 1.24, 99th Percentile, 30 day average]
LTA_a = 12.1 mg/L (0.169) = 2.045 mg N/L [CV = 1.24, 99th Percentile]

MDL = 0.853 mg/L * 5.929 = 5.057 mg N/L [CV = 1.24, 99th Percentile]
AML = 0.853 mg/L * 1.409 = 1.202 mg N/L [CV = 1.24, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Winter – Chronic WLA = 3.1 mg N/L, Acute WLA = 12.1 mg N/L. No mixing zone is allowed.

LTA_c = 3.1 mg/L (0.609) = 1.888 mg N/L [CV = 1.24, 99th Percentile, 30 day average]
LTA_a = 12.1 mg/L (0.169) = 2.045 mg N/L [CV = 1.24, 99th Percentile]

MDL = 1.888 mg/L * 5.929 = 11.19 mg N/L [CV = 1.24, 99th Percentile]
AML = 1.888 mg/L * 1.409 = 2.660 mg N/L [CV = 1.24, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Season	Maximum Daily Limit (mg N/L)	Average Monthly Limit (mg N/L)
Oct 1 – March 31	11.1	2.6
April 1 – Sept 30	5.0	1.2

***Escherichia coli (E. coli)*.** Monthly average of 206 per 100 ml as a geometric mean and Weekly Average of 1030 during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), to protect Whole Body Contact Recreation (B) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C). Weekly Average effluent variability will be evaluated in development of a future effluent limit. An effluent limit for both monthly average and weekly average is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d).

Dissolved Oxygen. Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for dissolved oxygen are included to determine whether "reasonable potential" to exceed water quality standards exists after the discharge begins.

Oil & Grease. Conventional pollutant, effluent limitation for protection of aquatic life; 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L daily maximum.

WET Test. WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring.* It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.

☐ Chronic
☒ Acute

☐ No less than **ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE:**
- ☒ Municipality or domestic facility with a design flow ≥ 22,500 gpd, but less than 1.0 MGD.
- ☐ Other, please justify.

☐ No less than **ONCE/YEAR:**
- ☐ Facility is designated as a Major facility or has a design flow ≥ 1.0 MGD.
- ☐ Facility continuously or routinely exceeds their design flow.
- ☐ Facility exceeds its design population equivalent (PE) for BOD_5 whether or not its design flow is being exceeded.
- ☐ Facility has Water Quality-based effluent limitations for toxic substances (other than NH_3).

In the previous permit cycle, this facility was required to have a WET test annually. Since all the WET results submitted were passed, and the four items listed under No less than **ONCE/YEAR** are not applicable, this facility is now required to have a WET test per permit cycle.

Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) calculations determine if the facility is to conduct single dilution or multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to unclassified or Class C receiving streams, the AEC% is 100%. Facilities with less than 100% for an AEC% will have multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to Lakes and have Acute WET testing, the AEC% is 100% due to [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] ZID not allowed for Lakes.

Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements.

PARAMETER	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	REPORTING FREQUENCY
FLOW	ONCE/WEEKDAY	MONTHLY
BOD_5	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TSS	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
PH	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
AMMONIA AS N	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
E. COLI	WEEKLY	MONTHLY
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
OIL & GREASE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST	ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE	JANUARY 2015

Sampling Frequency Justification:

Per 10 CSR 20-7.015 a minimum one sample for each 50,000 gpd of design flow is needed. 500,000 / 50,000 = 10, therefore at least 10 samples per year are needed. Due to logistics of setting this up in the permit and for ease of remember to sample, monthly sampling is being established. Except for *E. coli*, weekly sampling is required per 10 CSR 7.015

Sampling Type Justification

As per 10 CSR 20-7.015 samples collected for mechanical plants shall be 24 hour composites unless otherwise specified in the permit.

In-Stream Monitoring

Review of test results of the in-stream testing for the last permit period indicated that there are no water quality issues at this facility and since the receiving water is not listed as impaired on the 303(d) list and no TMDLs have been developed, in-stream monitoring is removed from this permit period.

Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

Date of Factsheet: January 14, 2011

Sieu T. Dang, P.E.
WP Permitting and Assistance Unit
(417) 891-4300
Sieu.dang@dnr.mo.gov

Appendix A

10 CSR 20-9.020
All wastewater treatment systems serving a population equivalent greater than two hundred (200) or with fifty (50) or more service connections, owned or operated by or for municipalities, public sewer districts, counties, public water supply districts, private sewer companies regulated by the Public Service Commission and the state or federal agencies.

Column A

Item	Points	Points Assigned
Maximum population equivalent (P.E.) served, peak day	1 pt. Per 10,000 PE or major fraction thereof	1
Design flow (avg. day) or peak month's flow, (avg. day) whichever is larger	Maximum: 10 Points 1 pt. Per MGD or major fraction thereof	1
REQUIRED LABORATORY CONTROL Performed by plant personnel (highest level only)		
Lab work done outside the plant	0	
Push – button or visual methods for simple tests such as pH, settleable solids	3	
Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, titrations, solids, volatile content	5	
More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedure, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	7	7
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph	10	
TOTAL Page 1 Column A		**9**

Column B

Item	Points	Points Assigned
EFFLUENT DISCHARGE RECEIVING WATER SENSITIVITY		
Missouri or Mississippi River	0	
All other stream discharges except to losing streams and stream reaches supporting whole body contact reaction	1	
Discharge to lake or reservoir outside of designated whole body contact recreational area	2	
Discharge to losing stream, or stream, lake or reservoir area supporting whole body contact recreation	3	3
HEADWORKS - PRELIMINARY TREATMENT		
Raw wastes subject to toxic waste discharges	6	
Screening and/or comminution	3	3
Grit removal	3	3
Plant pumping of main flow (If 51% or greater flow comes into plant)	3	
PRIMARY TREATMENT		
Primary clarifiers (Flow EQ basins)	5	
Combined sedimentation/digestion (includes big septic tank or if cities clean out STEP system)	5	5
Chemical addition (except chlorine, enzymes)	4	
TOTAL Page 1 Column B		**14**

Column A		
Item	**Points**	**Points Assigned**
Direct reuse or recycle of effluent	6	
Land Disposal – Low rate (Irrigation) < 24" year	3	
Land Disposal – High rate (Irrigation) > 24" year	5	
Overland flow	4	
Variation in Raw Wastes (highest level only) (DMR exceedances & Design Flow exceedances)		
Variations do not exceed those normally or typically expected	0	
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100 to 200 % in strength and/or flow (use design flow for determination)	2	
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200 percent in strength and/or flow (use design flow for determination)	4	4
SOLIDS HANDLING - SLUDGE		
Thickening (Lagoon sludge holding basin)	5	
Anaerobic digestion	10	
Aerobic digestion	6	6
Evaporative sludge drying	2	
Mechanical dewatering (belt thickeners)	8	
Solids reduction (incineration, wet oxidation, composting)	12	
Land application	6	6
TOTAL Page 2 Column A		**16**
Grand Total		**66**

Column B		
Item	**Points**	**Points Assigned**
SECONDARY TREATMENT		
Trickling filter and other fixed film media with secondary clarifiers (recirculating sand filters)	10	
Activated sludge with secondary clarifiers (including extended aeration and oxidation ditches)	15	15
Stabilization ponds without aeration	5	
Aerated lagoon (Lemna)	8	
Advanced Waste Treatment Polishing pond (Lemna)	2	
Chemical/physical – without secondary (carbon filters such as at Wilson's Creek WWTF)	15	
Chemical/physical – following secondary (adding alum if not at headworks, tertiary filters)	10	
Biological or chemical biological (multi stage biological treatment, SBR and 3-phase biological treatment)	12	12
Carbon Regeneration	4	
DISINFECTION		
Chlorination or comparable	5	
Dechlorination	2	
On-site generation of disinfectant (ozone)	5	
Ultraviolet light	4	4
TOTAL Page 2 Column B		**27**

Level of Certification Required

D	C	B	A
≤ 25	26 – 50	51 – 70	≥71

PREPARED BY:

Sieu T. Dang (Name) February 23, 2010 (Date)

APPENDIX B – RPA RESULTS:

CONSTITUENT	CMC*	RWC ACUTE *	CCC*	RWC CHRONIC*	REASONABLE POTENTIAL	# OF SAMPLES **	CV***
AMMONIA AS N (OCTOBER 1 – MARCH 31)	12.1	3.0	3.1	3.0	NO	57	1.24
AMMONIA AS N (APRIL 1 – SEPTEMBER 30)	12.1	3.0	1.4	3.0	YES	57	1.24

N/A – Not Applicable
* - Units are (mg/L) unless otherwise noted.
** - If the number of samples is greater than 10, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.
*** - Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Mean of the sample by the Standard Deviation of the sample.

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2).
A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request