

# MISSOURI SWMD DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL PERIOD: JULY 1ST - (PREVIOUS YEAR) TO JUNE 30TH - (CURRENT YEAR) | 2019

## District T - Lake of the Ozark Solid Waste Management District

### SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

1 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the districts take to achieve these goals?

The goal of the Lake of the Ozarks SWMD Board, is to fund projects that have a solid business plan and a focus on the direction to make it happen. The planners are part of a brand new administration who are working to support ongoing goals of the prior administration while establishing new goals for district diversion. Relative to grant-goals for fiscal year period and problems encountered, Camdenton Recycling (Trailer, T2019-004) had issues with their project; T2019-004 > Camdenton Recycling has stated that they are forecasted to close their doors and stop production. This is due to market conditions for their specified recycling materials and not generating enough income to stay in business. They simply cannot afford to purchase their trailer at this time as their current funds pool must be used for employee wages. Multiple requests have been sent to the subgrantee requesting they submit a letter or email stating they cannot currently use their approved grant for it's intended purpose and that they intend to no longer proceed with procurement of the trailer. However, the subgrantee has no responded to these requests. They have spent \$0 of their approved funds and have achieved no diversion at this time as is shown below in item #4. T2019-009 (Lebanon Recycles) also experienced issues with providing timely reporting; T2019-009 > Soon after Lebanon signed the FAA, The hands-on project manager, Melissa Myers left her post and the grant file(s) were passed to another individual who shortly thereafter got re-positioned which left reporting to the wayside. To prevent this moving forward, Kelly Roberts from Public Works along with assistance from Linda Kimrey of Laclede Industries, will take the mantel in project management. They have since submitted up to date reporting but with no diversion marked as of yet (line 26 of item #4 in this report).

1 (b). What waste goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

Being a minimally funded District, the District wants to make sure the funds are distributed successfully to as many projects throughout the District and to see the dollars make as much of an impact a possible. The District has a goal of increasing community outreach/awareness for 1-time diversion projects so that participation and diversion are optimized. This can be accomplished via timely newspaper ads and requesting the sub-grantee to post their events in a community targeted manner on social media well in advance of the event to raise awareness. Additionally, long-term equipment grant projects, such as skid-steers and forklifts for recycling haulers, will be highly sought after as they typically achieve or exceed their stated diversion goals inside the grant period as well as continue to provide diversion long after the grant has closed and as long as the unit stays in service. Having these types of equipment grants also allows the district to place UCC1 liens on the equipment to ensure it's used for its stated and approved purpose or be reclaimed and re-appropriated to a source that will leverage the unit towards diversionary projects. 1-time projects and grants not containing UCC1 run the risk of failure with no loss prevention such as reclamation or re-appropriation.

2 (a). What recycling goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

	GOAL:	ACTION:
1	To fund projects in areas where they are needed	Keep track of projects and make sure they stayed the course

2 (b). What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals?

The district plans to use it's limited funds to optimize the recycling impact that we have in this district by driving diversion projects that support not only the district but the subgrantees and the community as a whole. Pending the piloted success of a PI educational-video & training grant for District F, District T will look to implement their version of education and awareness to remind community members of the importance of recycling and foster recycling education with the communities youth via videos for schools, assembly activity and even micro-education via facilitated, individual classroom training/edu. This goal is in place because recycling efforts can not be solely placed upon the district representation and the DNR but must come from the community itself. Offering education and raising awareness spawns community involvement and will generate exciting new projects and new subgrantees.

3 (a). What resource recovery goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve thee goals?

Resource recovery goals appeared minimal under the prior district administration aside from HHW collection in the city of Lebanon. This may be due to the size of the district and it's ability to generate said resources for recovery.

3 (b). What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve thee goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

Moving forward, the district will of course continue to support the HHW collection in the city of Lebanon but also look to allocate the

collection of additional resources. Glass, E-waste and others are areas that the district will look into. A 3 year plan would involve the following actions each year; year 1 (2020 fiscal year): Understand where the district is at with the ability to recover these types of resources and establish where we want to be. year 2: begin projects that support the direction determined in year 1, to increase the recovery effort. year 3: Re-evaluate goals, continue the build out of implemented projects for recovery and make any adjustments based on the re-evaluation.

4. Summarize the types of projects and results during the fiscal year, including previous fiscal years as part of the 5 year reporting. (add additional rows if needed)

	PROJECT #: *	NAME OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS:	COST OF PROJECT:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:
1	T2015-003	Palmer Recycling	18,000.00	121.6500	147.97
2	T2015-005	Camdenton Recycling Scale	8,928.00	2,153.7000	4.15
3	T2015-006	Laclede Industries	13,000.00	2,551.7400	5.09
4	T2016-002	Gateway Industries Baler	7,555.00	83.1600	90.85
5	T2016-004	Geno Recycling Skidsteer	20,250.00	485.0000	41.75
6	T2016-006	Laclede Industries Forklift	12,500.00	3,318.8800	3.77
7	T2016-007	Camdenton Recycling Knuckleboom	14,850.00	455.2500	32.62
8	T2016-008	Show Me Metals Skidsteer	22,500.00	9,563.0000	2.35
9	T2016-009	Stoufer Tire Oil Furnace	9,000.00	45.8700	196.21
10	T2017-002	Ozark Recycling Can Densifier	31,796.00	20.0000	1,589.80
11	T2017-003	Gateway Industries Van	11,134.00	140.8700	80.04
12	T2017-006	School of the Osage Oil Furnace	5,655.40	7,444.8000	0.76
13	T2018-002	Gateway Industries Shredder	9,557.00	205.8150	46.43
14	T2018-003	Lake Area Industries Trailers	5,466.16	41.6400	131.27
15	T2018-005	Laclede Industries Microbins	14,701.56	983.2900	14.95
16	T2018-006	City of Osage Beach Oil Furnace	19,845.00	6.2900	3,155.01
17	T2018-007	Show Me Metals Magnet	12,600.00	35.8800	351.17

18	T2018-008	Camdenton Recycling Magnet	15,903.00	31.7400	501.04
19	T2019-002	Gateway Industries Diversion	6,270.00	356.2300	17.60
20	T2019-003	Laclede Industries Collectives	10,622.00	1,125.0000	9.44
21	T2019-004	Camdenton Recycling Trailer	12,600.00	0.0000	0.00
22	T2019-005	School of the Osage	15,568.82	6.1800	2,519.23
23	T2019-006	Show Me Metals Roll-Off Containers	11,600.00	750.0000	77.33
24	T2019-007	City of Osage Beach White Goods	3,000.00	6.1600	487.01
25	T2019-008	Lake Area Industries Shredding	1,267.34	1.5600	812.40
26	T2019-009	Lebanon Recycles	25,773.70	0.0000	0.00

#### 5. Summarize projects not resulting in Tonnage Diversion

	LIST PROJECTS BY NUMBER AND NAME NOT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS.	COST OF PROJECT:	MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS:
1	T2019-001	38,000.00	Funding employees and efforts put forth by the district to support their subgrantees and recycling efforts.

#### 6. Identify separate statistics for items banned from landfills:

	LIST PROJECTS BY NUMBER AND NAME RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS.	LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:	MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS:
--	---	--	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--

#### 7. Identify separate statistics for items NOT banned from landfills:

	LIST PROJECTS BY NUMBER AND NAME RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS.	LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:
--	---	--	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------

#### 8. Describe your district's grant proposal evaluation process.

Advertisement is put in the legal notice of each county's paper on the upcoming grant cycle. A notice is sent to each city and each county about the upcoming grant cycle. The Region T Sub-grant committee meets and previews the grants for completeness. The Region T Board then evaluates and scores the grants. The score sheets are entered into an excel spreadsheet that is used to rank the grants. Board members from the counties that the subgrantee is requesting funds from does not score the grant projects. Ranking is determined, and staff submits paperwork thru Retrac. After approval from DNR, Region T Staff hold training on the reporting process.

---

Upload File:

[District T Council.docx](#) *Maximum of 5 mb file size*

 AUDIT ONLY

District comments

 AUDIT ONLY

DNR Comments:

Response created on: Nov 25, 2019 at 04:21 PM CST by [dstandley@moswmd-f.com](mailto:dstandley@moswmd-f.com)

Response last updated on: Dec 11, 2019 at 10:40 AM CST by [dstandley@moswmd-f.com](mailto:dstandley@moswmd-f.com)

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Commission</b>
Tom Wright	Chairman	Miller County Commissioner
Dave Van Dee	Vice Chairman	City Administrator
Jeana Woods	Secretary/Treasurer	City Administrator
Chuck Jordan	Board Member	City Councilman
Randy Angst	Board Member	Laclede County Commissioner
Jeff Hancock	Board Member	City Administrator
Greg Hasty	Board Member	Camden County Commissioner
Edith Long	Board Member	City Clerk, Iberia