

MISSOURI SWMD DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL PERIOD: JULY 1ST - (PREVIOUS YEAR) TO JUNE 30TH - (CURRENT YEAR) | 2015

District R - Southeast Missouri Solid Waste Management District

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

1 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the districts take to achieve these goals?

The Southeast Missouri Solid Waste Management District (District R) continues to have, as its central goal, the support of state efforts to reduce material going into landfills by 40%. The primary focus of this effort continued to be to provide support in the form of grants-in-aid to local recycling efforts. Germinal efforts at a district-wide approach to address problems beyond the capacity of local rural areas were continued. For example, the District-wide program of providing a subsidy to Midwest Recycling Center, Inc. to support E-waste recycling programs was continued. An effort to develop a regional Household Hazardous Waste program was initiated. This will continue into FY 2016.

1 (b). What waste goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The primary goal remains promoting projects in support of state landfill reduction goals. The method is to offer grants to local reduction/reuse/recycling programs. Besides direct grants the SWMD staff has been instrumental in notifying local governments of other opportunities such as the Scrap Tire Recycling program. The upcoming year will see those programs continued. A slightly tighter focus on local issues will also be a component of the SWMD program. Staff initiated an effort in 2014-15 to develop a regional Household Hazardous Waste drop off program These efforts will continue in 2015-16.

2 (a). What recycling goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

	GOAL:	ACTION:
1	Continue supporting efforts by the State of Missouri to reduce material going into landfills by 40%.	1. The District has never formally quantified recycling goals. Rather, support for local efforts with a special emphasis on new programs when they develop, continues to be the focus. Following revision of the Solid Waste Management Plan in 2011 a slightly greater emphasis on education programs has been incorporated into the proposal evaluation procedure. 2.The primary action of the Solid Waste Management District continued to be to provide funding to local efforts when those efforts were consistent with the District and State goals. All applications are carefully reviewed by DNR staff as a backup to ensure that all relevant terms and conditions are met.

2 (b). What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals?

District R fully intends to continue what they view as a successful program. There has been a shift in emphasis toward education with a grant made to In-the-Green productions, an agency specializing in elementary level educational programs. Construction material recycling remains a priority and organizations proposing projects in this arena will be encouraged to apply during the next grant call. Staff will continue working to develop an HHW collection event on a District-wide basis.

3 (a). What resource recovery goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve thee goals?

The District continues to have, as the central goal, working to achieve the State of Missouri’s long standing goal of reducing materials going into landfills by 40%.

3 (b). What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve thee goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The District has never set specific goals in terms of “tons of materials.” Rather, the District continues what it believes is a very successful program and provides funding to the projects that score highest on the evaluation system reflecting the goals outlined in the Solid Waste Management Plan as revised and adopted in 2011.

4. Summarize the types of projects and results during the fiscal year, including previous fiscal years as part of the 5 year reporting. (add additional rows if needed)

	PROJECT #: *	NAME OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS:	COST OF PROJECT:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:
1	R2014-2	MRC Regional E-Waste Subsidy	20,000.00	703.0000	28.44
2	R2014-3	City of Jackson Purchase of Forklift	17,461.00	229.5300	76.07
3	R2014-4	Holcim(US)/Ste. Genevieve County HHW Collection event	20,000.00	0.0000	0.00
4	R2014-5	Bollinger County Recycling, Inc. Continuation of Program	1,087.50	13.6600	85.18
5	R2014-6	City of Fredericktown Baler Purchase	9,382.50	87.0600	107.77
6	R2014-7	City of Cape Girardeau HHW Collection Event	20,000.00	0.0000	0.00
7	R2014-8	Lucent Window and Door LLC Purchase of Recycling Equipment.	20,000.00	0.0000	0.00
8	R2014-9	Construction Materials Recyclers Purchase of Roll-off Containers	16,925.29	0.0000	0.00
9	R2014-10	Bollinger County Concrete Pad	5,250.00	0.0000	0.00
10	R2015-2	City of Cape Girardeau HHW Collection Event	29,719.50	0.0000	0.00
11	R2015-3	City of Fredericktown Purchase of Recycling Bags	6,605.02	74.9300	88.15
12	R2015-4	MRC Subsidy for E-Waste Collection	23,621.54	177.0000	133.45
13	R2015-5	Bollinger County Recycling, Inc. Operating/Maintenance Expenses	2,290.00	10.4200	219.77

14	R2015-6	Bollinger County Recycling Center Safety Upgrades.	3,000.00	0.0000	0.00
15	R2015-7	In-The Green Productions Education Program	15,000.00	0.0000	0.00
16	R2015-8	Holcim/Ste. Genevieve County HHW Collection Event	30,360.71	0.0000	0.00
17	R2015-9	Perry County HHW Collection Event	18,838.43	0.0000	0.00

5. Summarize projects not resulting in Tonnage Diversion

	LIST PROJECTS BY NUMBER AND NAME NOT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS.	COST OF PROJECT:	MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS:
1	R2014-4	20,000.00	Project is a HHW collection event held November, 2014. Sub grantee is still awaiting final billing from vendor and therefore no payment has been made and no tonnage data is available.
2	R2014-7	20,000.00	Project was to be a HHW collection event. Grant was rescinded at the request of the subgrantee.
3	R2014-8	20,000.00	Project was to help fund equipment in support of a recycling program at a local manufacturer. Grant was rescinded at the request of the subgrantee.
4	R2014-9	16,925.29	Project was to help fund equipment in support of a construction material recycling program. Grant was rescinded at the request of the subgrantee.
5	2014-10	5,250.00	Project was to pour a concrete loading area to facilitate shipment of recycled materials. Tonnage diversion is reported under R2014-5, Bollinger County Recycling, Inc. Project R2014-10 was required since Bollinger County owns the building and leases it to Bollinger County Recycling, Inc.
6	R2015-2	29,719.50	Project is a one-day HHW collection event scheduled for October, 2015. No data available yet.

7	R2015-6	3,000.00	Project involved safety upgrades at the County owned recycling center building. Tonnage diversion is reported under R2015-5. Project R2015-6 was required since Bollinger County owns the building and leases it to Bollinger County Recycling, Inc.
8	R2015-7	15,000.00	Project involved educational programs presented to at least 25 elementary schools. Initiated in the fall of 2014 and responses from participating schools have been universally positive.
9	R2015-8	30,360.71	Project is a one-day HHW collection event scheduled for October, 2015. No data available yet.
10	R2015-9	18,838.43	Project is a one-day HHW collection event scheduled for October, 2015. No data available yet.

6. Identify separate statistics for items banned from landfills:

	LIST PROJECTS BY NUMBER AND NAME RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS.	LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:	MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS:
1	N/A				

7. Identify separate statistics for items NOT banned from landfills:

	LIST PROJECTS BY NUMBER AND NAME RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS.	LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:
1	See 4 above.			

8. Describe your district's grant proposal evaluation process.

A grant call is authorized by the Board of Directors. All Counties and Cities with a population of 500 or more are notified directly. A formal Public Notice is placed in the newspaper of record in each County. Beside these "required" steps, a press release is prepared and sent to all local media. In addition, direct email notification is provided to anyone who has indicated an interest in SWMD funding. Once the deadline has passed, and at least a month is always allowed for the application process, a Proposal Review Committee reviews the proposals and "scores" them using the Evaluation Sheet included as Attachment 2 below. In cases where the Proposal Review Committee recommends less than full funding of a project the applicant is notified of this and asked if they will be able to proceed at the reduced funding level. The Proposal Review Committee then offers its recommendations at the following Board of Directors meeting. Once the Board of Directors makes its final decision staff initiates the process of approval through DNR, the preparation and implementation of Financial Assistance Agreements, and beginning work on the project.

Upload File:

[FY 2014-15 District R Annual Report.pdf](#) *Maximum of 5 mb file size*



District comments

 AUDIT ONLY

DNR Comments:

Response created on: Sep 14, 2015 at 02:21 PM CDT by dgrimes@semorpc.org

Response last updated on: Sep 16, 2015 at 11:27 AM CDT by dgrimes@semorpc.org