

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

1. SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

N

2. FISCAL YEAR PERIOD:

FROM JULY 1, 2,009.CTO JUNE 30, 2,010

GOALS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

3 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

District N goals are finding ways of improving recycling in the District, to provide more education programs

The District has been very successful in providing education programs in the school and to local organizations. This will be an on going process.

The HHW facilities were set up in 3 of the District's cities and have collected hazardous material out of the landfill.

The District hands out fliers to residents telling them where there nearest recycle centers are. With the education programs helping to fulfill this project.

3 (b). What waste goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.


The District would like to have a proper way of disposing electronic materials, this seems to be a problem everywhere.

The District does not solicit for grant proposals. Everyone is welcome to provide a grant from the targeted material list.

4 (a). What recycling goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

1. These answers are provided in the above, Goals & Accomplished.

2. District Grant was provided to do the Education programs



4 (b). What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The District's goals are to always help anyone with recycling problems and help Recycling centers with their needs.

Continue the education programs in the District.
 One of the grant was for equipment for their recycling center for more efficiency.
 Cities have asked for bins to improve recycling.

5 (a). What resource recovery goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

The District always has goals to help residents with HHW material, this was accomplished with the HHW containers in the cities.

The District has recycle containers that can be used for local recycling in the District. People can pick them up and return them when they are done with their project. With the Alcoa bins, several of the cities and schools have them in use.

5 (b). What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The District just went out for grant call for this year(some grants stated above).
 The District is always willing to assist anyone with any problem they have.

6. SUMMARIZE THE TYPES OF PROJECTS AND RESULTS DURING FISCAL YEAR (ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NEEDED.)

Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills	Cost of Project	Number of Tons Diverted	Average Cost Per Ton Diverted

Measurable outcomes achieved

Attached is a copy of all the District's recyclables diverted from the the stream:

RECEIVED BY
 JAN 18 2011
 SWMP OPERATIONS

The following is a list of what has been collected in District N: Don't have a price, as they don't send that to me, just what is collected.

City of Monett Recycle Center has diverted from the waste stream:

Cardboard----11.24 ton #1 & 2 plastic--6.6 ton Newsprint ---67.23

Magazines---10.06 Mixed fiber---1.65 Aluminum---2.37 ton Tin cans--7.56 ton

City of Aurora, Pierce City & Verona take their recycling trailers to the Monett Center to empty out.

City of Branson has diverted:

Newspaper & Magazines--151 tons Mixed paper---70 ton Mixed paper--70 ton

Office paper---10 ton Shredded paper---9 ton Plastic---38 ton tin---17 ton

Aluminum--5 ton Plastic bags--8 ton Cardboard--105 ton Glass---161 ton

All Points Recycling, Cassville, MO has diverted:

Cardboard--211.93 ton #1 Plastic--4.42 #2 HDPE plastic--29.03 ton #3-7 plastic--10 ton

ABS plastic--15.37 ton PP plastic--24.71 ton Paper--90.46ton Purge--90.46 ton EPS--38.16 ton

LDPE plastic--59.27 ton Alum--.51 Steel--1.94 ton E.Scrap--12.66 ton Laminated bags--33.89 ton

Purdy School project has diverted:

Cardboard--14.70 White paper--9.04 Newspaper--4.46 ton Magazine/Mix--7.41 Alum--1 ton

Tin--.72 ton #1 Plastic--1.31 ton #2 Milk jugs--.38 ton #2 Mixed--.90 ton Oil filters--3.90 ton

Books--1.09 ton

Exeter School(FFA) has diverted:

Aluminum--1 ton Cardboard--5.77 ton Mixed plastic--1.09 ton

Ralston Purina has diverted from the District a total of 393.21 ton of paper

RECEIVED BY
JAN 18 2011
SWMP OPERATIONS

7. SUMMARIZE PROJECTS NOT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION

Projects not resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills	Cost of Project
District Operation N2010-7	48,241.00

Measurable outcomes achieved for these projects.

The object of the District Operations is to maintain the office and provide technical assistance to the grantees and anyone from the public that may have questions.

8. IDENTIFY SEPARATE STATISTICS FOR ITEMS BANNED FROM LANDFILLS

List projects resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills	List cost of project resulting in tonnage diversion	Number of tons diverted from project	Average cost per ton diverted
3 HHW facilities in District	8,910.23	15.61	570.80

9. IDENTIFY SEPARATE STATISTICS FOR ITEMS NOT BANNED FROM LANDFILLS

List projects resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills	List cost of project resulting in tonnage diversion	Number of tons diverted from project	Average cost per ton diverted

10. Describe your district's grant proposal evaluation process.

The chairman of the board selects 3 members of the executive board to evaluate the grants, along with himself. The District Planner sees that each of the committee receive a copy of the grant applications for review. Each member of the committee has a copy of the evaluation criteria for each of the applications. All applications are reviewed using the same criteria. The members of the committee meet before the board meeting and review them together, than they are presented to the remaining board members. There evaluations and recommended applications for funding are reviewed by the board and vote on final approval.

RECEIVED BY
 JAN 18 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS