

Missouri SWMD District Annual Report

Member: District R - Southeast Missouri Solid Waste Management District

Year: 2014

Cycle: Fiscal Period: July 1st - June 30th

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

1 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the districts take to achieve these goals?

The Southeast Missouri Solid Waste Management District (District R) continues to have, as its central goal, the support of state efforts to reduce material going into landfills by 40%. The primary focus of this effort continued to be to provide support in the form of grants-in-aid to local recycling efforts. Germinal efforts at a district-wide approach to address problems beyond the capacity of local rural areas were continued. For example, the District-wide program of providing a subsidy to Midwest Recycling Center, Inc. to support E-waste recycling programs was continued. Once the question of the existence of the Solid Waste Management District program that was raised by SB 13 was resolved the District finally released a grant call late in Calendar Year 2013.

1 (b). What waste goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The primary goal remains promoting projects in support of state landfill reduction goals. The method is to offer grants to local reduction/reuse/recycling programs. Besides direct grants the SWMD staff has been instrumental in notifying local governments of other opportunities such as the Scrap Tire Recycling program. The upcoming year will see those programs continued. A slightly tighter focus on local issues will also be a component of the SWMD program. Staff will contact private sector firms qualified to support Household Hazardous Waste programs and attempt to develop a program what will finally allow all of the member counties to have at least one HHW collection event at least every two years.

2 (a). What recycling goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

	GOAL:	ACTION:
1	The District has never formally quantified recycling goals. Rather, support for local efforts with a special emphasis on new programs when they develop, continues to be the focus. Following revision of the Solid Waste Management Plan in 2011 a slightly gr	The primary action of the Solid Waste Management District continued to be to provide funding to local efforts when those efforts were consistent with the District and State goals. All applications are carefully reviewed by DNR staff as a backup to ensure that all relevant terms and conditions are met.

2 (b). What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals?

District R fully intends to continue what they view as a successful program. There has been a shift in emphasis toward education and to specifically support recycling of construction materials. To that end a grant offer was made to a private firm specializing in construction material recycling. Staff has also been directed to contact HHW vendors to determine the potential for offering HHW collection events on a District-wide basis.

3 (a). What resource recovery goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

The District continues to have, as the central goal, working to achieve the State of Missouri's long standing goal of reducing materials going into landfills by 40%. The confusion resulting from SB13 and the questions involving the continued existence of the SWMD program delayed offering a Grant Call until into the third quarter of FY 2013-14. Contracts were finally signed on April 1, 2014 and as of this writing two of those have not yet been received back. The data presented in 4. below reflects the first quarter report only.

3 (b). What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The District has never set specific goals in terms of "tons of materials." Rather, the District continues what it believes is a very successful program and provides funding to the projects that score highest on the evaluation system reflecting the goals outlined in the Solid Waste Management Plan as revised and adopted in 2011.

4. Summarize the types of project and results during fiscal year (add additional rows if needed.)

PROJECT #:	NAME OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS:	COST OF PROJECT:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:
1 R2014-2	MRC Regional E-Waste Subsidy	20,000.00	280.0000	71.42
2 R2014-3	City of Jackson Purchase of Forklift	17,461.00	136.4000	128.01
3 R2014-4	Holcim(US)/Ste. Genevieve County HHW Collection event	20,000.00	0.0000	0.00
4 R2014-5	Bollinger County Recycling, Inc. Continuation of Program	1,087.50	11.8000	92.16
5 R2014-6	City of Fredericktown Baler Purchase	9,382.50	50.0000	187.65
6 R2014-7	City of Cape Girardeau HHW Collection Event	20,000.00	0.0000	0.00
7 R2014-8		20,000.00	0.0000	0.00

PROJECT #:	NAME OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS:	COST OF PROJECT:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:
	Lucent Window and Door LLC Purchase of Recycling Equipment.			
8	R2014-9 Construction Materials Recyclers Purchase of Roll-off Containers	16,925.29	0.0000	0.00
9	R2014-10 Bollinger County Concrete Pad	5,250.00	11.8000	444.92

5. Summarize projects not resulting in Tonnage Diversion

PROJECTS NOT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS:	COST OF PROJECT:	MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS:
1 N/A		

6. Identify separate statistics for items banned from landfills:

LIST PROJECTS RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS:	LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:	MEASURABLE OUTCOMES ACHIEVED FOR THESE PROJECTS:
1 N/A				

7. Identify separate statistics for items NOT banned from landfills:

LIST PROJECTS RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION FROM LANDFILLS:	LIST COST OF PROJECT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION:	NUMBER OF TONS DIVERTED FROM PROJECT:	AVERAGE COST PER TON DIVERTED:
1 See 4 above and attached details			

8. Describe your district's grant proposal evaluation process.

A grant call is authorized by the Board of Directors. All Counties and Cities with a population of 500 or more are notified directly. A formal Public Notice is placed in the newspaper of record in each County. Beside these "required" steps, a press release is prepared and sent to all local media. In addition, direct email notification is provided to anyone who has indicated an interest in SWMD funding. Once the deadline has passed, and at least a month is always allowed for the application process, a Proposal Review Committee reviews the proposals and "scores" them using the Evaluation Sheet included as Attachment 2 in the attached Annual Report in Microsoft Word format. In cases where the Proposal Review Committee recommends less than full funding of a project the applicant is notified of this and asked if they will be able to proceed at the reduced funding

level. The Proposal Review Committee then offers its recommendations at the following Board of Directors meeting. Once the Board of Directors makes its final decision staff initiates the process of approval through DNR, the preparation and implementation of Financial Assistance Agreements, and beginning work on the project.

Upload File:

FY 2013-14 District R Annual Report.docx *Maximum of 5 mb file size*

Response created on Aug 1, 2014 at 11:37 AM CDT by dgrimes@semorpc.org

Response last updated on Oct 22, 2014 at 03:02 PM CDT by dgrimes@semorpc.org