



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM  
**SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT**

1. SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT  K	2. FISCAL YEAR PERIOD:  FROM JULY 1, 2,010.CTO JUNE 30, 2,011.0
---	---

**GOALS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS**

3 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

The district, based on its regional plan, has established goals for all areas of solid waste management. However, due to funding constraints, the district is not able to address all of those goals. The following areas are where the district chose to spend district funds in 2010-2011:

1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region.
2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes to the residents of the region.
3. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region and monitoring of dumpsites with the objective of arresting illegal dumpers.
4. Providing educational opportunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to school aged children in the region.
5. Assisting local schools in the proper management of materials in chemistry labs through education and assistance with disposal.
6. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region.

Please see attached sheet with complete information on actions taken on each of the goals listed above.

3 (b). What waste goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region.
2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes to the residents of the region.
3. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region and monitoring of dumpsites with the objective of arresting illegal dumpers.
4. Providing educational opportunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to school aged children in the region.
5. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region.
6. Working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district.

Please see attached for additional information.

4 (a). What recycling goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

1. Please see attached.

2.

4 (b). What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

Please see attached.

5 (a). What resource recovery goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

Please see attached.

5 (b). What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

Please see attached.

**6. SUMMARIZE THE TYPES OF PROJECTS AND RESULTS DURING FISCAL YEAR (ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NEEDED.)**

Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills	Cost of Project	Number of Tons Diverted	Average Cost Per Ton Diverted
Please see attached.			

**Measurable outcomes achieved**

Please see attached.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

**7. SUMMARIZE PROJECTS NOT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION**

Projects not resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills	Cost of Project
Please see attached.	

Measurable outcomes achieved for these projects.  
Please see attached.

**8. IDENTIFY SEPARATE STATISTICS FOR ITEMS BANNED FROM LANDFILLS**

List projects resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills	List cost of project resulting in tonnage diversion	Number of tons diverted from project	Average cost per ton diverted
Please see attached.			

**9. IDENTIFY SEPARATE STATISTICS FOR ITEMS NOT BANNED FROM LANDFILLS**

List projects resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills	List cost of project resulting in tonnage diversion	Number of tons diverted from project	Average cost per ton diverted
Please see attached.			

10. Describe your district's grant proposal evaluation process.  
Please see attached.

Name <input type="checkbox"/> Board <input type="checkbox"/> Council	Address		
Representative of <input type="checkbox"/> County <input type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> City <input type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Please see attached.</u>	City	State	Zip Code
	Telephone number with area code	Fax	
Official Title:	E-mail		
Officer <input type="checkbox"/> Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Vice-Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Secretary <input type="checkbox"/> Treasurer <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____			

Name <input type="checkbox"/> Board <input type="checkbox"/> Council	Address		
Representative of <input type="checkbox"/> County <input type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> City <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	City	State	ZIP Code
	Telephone number with area code	Fax	
Official Title	E-mail		
Officer <input type="checkbox"/> Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Vice-Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Secretary <input type="checkbox"/> Treasurer <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____			

Name <input type="checkbox"/> Board <input type="checkbox"/> Council	Address		
Representative of <input type="checkbox"/> County <input type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> City <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	City	State	ZIP Code
	Telephone number with area code	Fax	
Official Title	E-mail		
Officer <input type="checkbox"/> Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Vice-Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Secretary <input type="checkbox"/> Treasurer <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____			

Name <input type="checkbox"/> Board <input type="checkbox"/> Council	Address		
Representative of <input type="checkbox"/> County <input type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> City <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	City	State	ZIP Code
	Telephone number with area code	Fax	
Official Title	E-mail		
Officer <input type="checkbox"/> Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Vice-Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Secretary <input type="checkbox"/> Treasurer <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____			

Name <input type="checkbox"/> Board <input type="checkbox"/> Council	Address		
Representative of <input type="checkbox"/> County <input type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> City <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	City	State	ZIP Code
	Telephone number with area code	Fax	
Official Title	E-mail		
Officer <input type="checkbox"/> Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Vice-Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Secretary <input type="checkbox"/> Treasurer <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____			

Name <input type="checkbox"/> Board <input type="checkbox"/> Council	Address		
Representative of: <input type="checkbox"/> County <input type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> City <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	City	State	ZIP Code
	Telephone number with area code	Fax	
Official Title	E-mail		
Officer: <input type="checkbox"/> Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Vice-Chair <input type="checkbox"/> Secretary <input type="checkbox"/> Treasurer <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____			

RECEIVED BY  
SEP 26 2011  
SWMP OPERATIONS

**Ozark Rivers Solid Waste Management District  
Annual Report  
September 2011**

**1) District Name: Ozark Rivers Solid Waste Management District (K)**

**2) Fiscal Year Period: July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011**

**3) Goals and Accomplishments:**

**3 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?**

Goals for 2010-2011:

1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region.
2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes to the residents of the region.
3. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region and monitoring of dumpsites with the objective of arresting illegal dumpers.
4. Providing educational opportunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to school aged children in the region.
5. Assisting local schools in the proper management of materials in chemistry labs through education and assistance with disposal.
6. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region.

The district, based on its regional plan, has established goals for all areas of solid waste management. The executive board voted to adopt goals for 2010-11 at their April 13, 2010 meeting. The executive board reviewed grants for 2011 in September 2010 and has chosen to continue to fund most of the projects or types of projects that were funded in the 2010 grant round.

Several of the six goals established by the district cross over and address more than just one of MDNR's criteria of waste reduction, recycling and resource recovery. For the purposes of this report, we have listed each goal and the projects to address each of those goals. Some of the projects address more than one of the goals.

**1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region:** In the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year, the district had 24 active grant projects. Of those, 11 projects addressed this goal. They included:

1. 2009-14 – Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Maries County, Phelps County and Cuba.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011

1 | Page

SWMP OPERATIONS

2. 2010-04 – Funding for institutional recycling containers for the Missouri University of Science and Technology to expand and improve recycling opportunities on campus.
3. 2010-05 – Funding for University Extension to do several workshops on home composting in several communities, including Rolla, Salem, Owensville and Steelville.
4. 2010-07 – Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Phelps, Dent, Maries, Gasconade and Pulaski counties.
5. 2010-11 – Funding to match grant funds from USDA Rural Development to increase participation in special collections, recycling and illegal dump cleanups through raising awareness and information sharing.
6. 2011-04- Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Maries County, Phelps County, Pulaski and Dent Counties.
7. 2011-05 Funding for recycling containers and exhibits for the City of Rolla Recycling Center to provide recycling opportunities and education at the Rolla Recycling Center.
8. 2011-06- Funding for the City of Salem to expand its recycling program.
9. 2011-07- Funding for the Crawford County to start a recycling program.
10. 2011-09- Funding for Phyto Forensics, LLC for Recycling Initiative to expand recycling opportunities on campus of the Missouri University of Science and Technology.
11. 2011-12 -Funding for the Recycling Works program to provide recycling and education at the Owensville School District.

**2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes to the residents of the region:** The special waste collection included waste tires, white goods, electronic waste and HHW. In the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year, the district had 24 active grant projects. Of those, six projects addressed this goal (the electronic waste/ white goods/tire grants are included in both this and goal number 1). They included:

1. 2009-14 - Funding for special waste collections for white goods, tires and e-waste in Maries County, Phelps County and Cuba.
2. 2010-06 - Funding for HHW satellite collection centers in Phelps and Pulaski counties.
3. 2010-07 – Funding for special waste collections for white goods, tires and e-waste in Dent, Phelps, Maries, Gasconade and Pulaski counties.
4. 2010-11 – Funding to match grant funds from USDA Rural Development to increase participation in special collections, recycling and illegal dump cleanups.
5. 2011-02- Funding for HHW satellite collection centers in Phelps and Pulaski counties.
6. 2011-04- Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Maries County, Phelps County, Pulaski and Dent Counties.

**3. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region and monitoring of dumpsites with the objective of arresting illegal dumpers.** Surveillance camera was used at dumpsites and opportunities were provided for residents to report illegal dumping. In the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year, the district had 24 active grant projects. Of those, 9 addressed this goal. They included:

1. 2009-12 – Funding for the MRPC illegal dump cleanup program.
2. 2011-1 – Funding through the district administration/operations grant for surveillance camera, tire cleanup and tire disposal.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

2 | Page

3. 2010-02 – Funding for Maries County Clean Roads and Waterways program to clean up litter and illegal dumpsites in Maries County.
4. 2010-03 – Funding for Phelps County Tough on Trash Initiative that provides for a part-time person to document, investigate and cleanup illegal dumps and litter.
5. 2010-08 – Funding for MRPC's illegal dump cleanup program.
6. 2010-11 – Funding to match grant funds from USDA Rural Development to increase participation in special collections, recycling and illegal dump cleanups.
7. 2011-03- Funding for the illegally dumped tire program that provides transportation and disposal of illegally dumped tires collected by county road crews.
8. 2011-08- Funding for the Maries County Clean Roads and Waterways program to clean up litter and illegal dumpsites in Maries County.
9. 2011-10- Funding for Phelps County Tough on Trash Initiative that provides for a part-time person to document, investigate and cleanup illegal dumps and litter.

**4. Providing educational opportunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to school aged children in the region.** Most of the projects to address this goal are the same as listed above. In the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year, the district had 24 active grant projects. Of those, 11 projects addressed this goal. They included:

1. 2009-14 – Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Maries County, Phelps County and Cuba.
2. 2010-05 – Funding for University Extension to do several workshops on home composting in several communities, including Rolla, Salem, Owensville and Steelville.
3. 2010-07 – Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Phelps, Dent, Maries, Gasconade and Pulaski counties.
4. 2010-09- Funding for City of Rolla Recycling mascot.
5. 2010-11 – Funding to match grant funds from USDA Rural Development to increase participation in special collections, recycling and illegal dump cleanups through raising awareness and information sharing.
6. 2010-12- Funding for the ORSWMD Website Development and Implementation.
7. 2011-04- Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Maries County, Phelps County, Pulaski and Dent Counties.
8. 2011-05 Funding for recycling containers and exhibits for the City of Rolla Recycling Center to provide recycling opportunities and education at the Rolla Recycling Center.
9. 2011-06- Funding for the City of Salem to expand its recycling program.
10. 2011-07- Funding for the Crawford County to start a recycling program.
11. 2011-12 -Funding for the Recycling Works program to provide recycling and education at the Owensville School District.

**5. Assisting local schools in the proper management of materials in chemistry labs through education and assistance with disposal.** The district had one project directly address this goal during 2010-2011 Fiscal Year. Projects to address this goal started in 2007 and continued through December 2010. The district ended the project due to the decline in requests for assistance.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

1. 2009-4 – Funding for the district to continue the school chemistry lab cleanup program.

**6. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region.** In the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year, the district had 24 active grant projects. Of those, 12 included technical assistance components that addressed this goal. They included:

1. 2009-4 – Funding for the school lab program to assist schools with cleaning up and removing unwanted chemicals and providing information to school staff to learn best management practices for school chemistry labs.
2. 2009-12 – Funding the illegal dump cleanup program which includes providing technical assistance to residents, local governments and partner agencies in getting illegal dumpsites cleaned up properly.
3. 2009-14 - Funding to provide special waste collections for cities and counties, assisting those local governments with the proper disposal or recovery of items banned from landfills or difficult to recycle, such as electronics.
4. 2010-06 – Funding to continue the HHW Satellite collection centers – promoting the program and assisting the communities with contractors and other issues.
5. 2010-07 – Funding to provide special waste collections for cities and counties, assisting those local governments with the proper disposal or recovery of items banned from landfills or difficult to recycle, such as electronics.
6. 2010-08 – Funding for the illegal dump cleanup program which includes providing technical assistance to residents, local governments and partner agencies in getting illegal dumpsites cleaned up properly.
7. 2010-11 – Funding to match the USDA grant to provide assistance to local school districts and the public on the proper methods of disposing of and/or recycling solid waste.
8. 2010-12 – Funding the development of a district website that would provide valuable information on solid waste issues and solutions for residents, businesses, local governments and other agencies in the region.
9. 2011-01 - Funding for grant administration that includes providing technical assistance to prospective applicants and grantees on preparing grant applications, providing workshops on grant preparation, completing necessary reports and carrying out projects. This grant also provides for general administration to the district and district implementation and includes hours for technical assistance to member local governments, businesses, organizations and individuals requesting help with solid waste issues including the surveillance camera and county tire cleanup coordination.
10. 2011-02 – Funding to continue the HHW Satellite collection centers – promoting the program and assisting the communities with contractors and other issues.
11. 2011-03 – Funding to continue to pay disposal cost for illegally dumped tires the county road crew picked up in Crawford, Dent, Gasconade, Maries, Phelps, Pulaski and Washington Counties.
12. 2011-04 - Funding to provide special waste collections for cities and counties, assisting those local governments with the proper disposal or recovery of items banned from landfills or difficult to recycle, such as electronics.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

4 | Page

**3 (b). What waste goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.**

The 2010-2011 goals will continue for 2011-2012 with the exception of the goal to assist local schools in the proper management of chemistry labs.

The district will continue to provide funding for special waste collections and the HHW satellite collection facilities in St. Robert and Rolla. For a portion of the fiscal year, the district benefited from a USDA Rural Development grant secured by MRPC to fund education/awareness programs on solid waste and district programs. In addition to assisting schools with field trips to solid waste processing and disposal facilities, the program encouraged schools to participate in dump and litter cleanup projects. Much of the material removed from dumpsites and litter cleanups is recycled. Even though the USDA grant ended in March 2011, the district provided matching funds for the \$50,000 federal grant and some of those funds remain. The district plans to fund recycling bins for MS&T through a grant to Phyto Forensic, a new recycling program in Crawford County, and expansion of recycling programs for the City of Salem and Gasconade RII School District. The district plans to continue to fund the trash patrol programs in Maries and Phelps counties, as well as the illegal dump surveillance camera.

**4 (a). What recycling goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?**

The following areas are where the district chose to spend district funds in 2010-2011 in the area of recycling.

1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region. (recycling, resource recovery)
2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes, such as tires, white goods, HHW and electronic waste, to the residents of the region. (recycling, resource recovery)
3. Providing recycling education to the school age children and the residents of the region.

In the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year, the district had 24 active grant projects. Of those, 13 projects addressed the above area of recycling. They included:

1. 2009-14 – Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Maries County, Phelps County and Cuba.
2. 2010-04 – Funding for institutional recycling containers for the Missouri University of Science and Technology to expand and improve recycling opportunities on campus.
3. 2010-05 – Funding for University Extension to do several workshops on home composting in several communities, including Rolla, Salem, Owensville and Steelville.
4. 2010-07 – Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Phelps, Dent, Maries, Gasconade and Pulaski counties.
5. 2010-09-Funding for City of Rolla Recycling mascot.
6. 2010-11 – Funding to match grant funds from USDA Rural Development to increase participation in special collections, recycling and illegal dump cleanups through raising awareness and information sharing.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

7. 2010-12- Funding the development of a district website that would provide valuable information on solid waste issues and solutions for residents, businesses, local governments and other agencies in the region.
8. 2011-04- Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Maries County, Phelps County, Pulaski and Dent Counties.
9. 2011-05- Funding for recycling containers and exhibits for the City of Rolla Recycling Center to provide recycling opportunities and education at the Rolla Recycling Center.
10. 2011-06- Funding for the City of Salem to expand its recycling program.
11. 2011-07- Funding for the Crawford County to start a recycling program.
12. 2011-09- Funding for Phyto Forensics, LLC for Recycling Initiative to expand recycling opportunities on campus of the Missouri University of Science and Technology.
13. 2011-12 -Funding for the Recycling Works program to provide recycling and education at the Owensville School District.

**4 (b). What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.**

The recycling goals stated in 4(a) goals will continue for 2011-2012. Most of the programs funded by the district include some type of public awareness or education component. Information is distributed through the special collections and projects in schools. The district expected to receive in the 2012 grant round another application from the company that was awarded funding in 2010 for environmental education. District staff will continue to provide information and educational opportunities through speaking engagements, press releases, the Earth Day program and other opportunities to set up displays or share information on district programs. The district website will be another avenue to provide information.

**5 (a). What resource recovery goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?** Working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district. (waste reduction, recycling and resource recovery) In the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year, the district had 24 active grant projects. Of those, 12 included working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district.

1. 2009-4 – Funding for the school lab cleanup program which includes coordinating inspections of labs with schools, the disposal contractor and MDNR staff.
2. 2009-12 – Funding the illegal dump cleanup program which includes working with numerous partner agencies (US Forest Services, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Missouri Department of Conservation) local businesses, volunteer organizations to get dumpsites cleaned up properly.
3. 2009-14 – Funding the special waste collection program which includes working with several contractors, including MVE as well as city and county governments, local businesses and volunteer groups to organize and hold collection events.
4. 2010-06 – Funding the HHW satellite collection program which includes working with the two host communities and contractors.
5. 2010-07 - Funding the special waste collections program which includes working with several contractors, including Missouri Vocational Enterprises (MVE), as well as city and county government and volunteer groups to organize and hold collection events.

RECEIVED BY

6 | Page

SEP 26 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

6. 2010-08 - Funding the illegal dump cleanup program which includes working with numerous partner agencies (US Forest Services, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Missouri Department of Conservation) local businesses, schools and volunteer organizations to get dumpsites cleaned up properly.
7. 2010-11 – Funding for match funds for the USDA Rural Development grant where the scope of work includes working with local school districts, US Forest Service and other local, state and federal agencies to clean up dumpsites.
8. 2010-12 – Funding for developing a website that includes working with other partner agencies to develop links and share information, including MDNR, EPA, MORA and other solid waste districts.
9. 2011-01 - Funding for the administration/operations grant that includes time for staff to attend state meetings and work on projects with MORA, the planner’s group, the Solid Waste Advisory Committee and MDNR staff.
10. 2011-02- Funding the HHW satellite collection program which includes working with the two host communities and contractors.
11. 2011-03- Funding for county illegally dumped tire cleanup which includes working with local government and MVE.
12. 2011-04- Funding the special waste collection program which includes working with several contractors, including MVE as well as city and county governments, local businesses and volunteer groups to organize and hold collection events.

**5 (b). What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.** The district is providing matching funds for a federal grant through USDA Rural Development which started in October 2009. The federal grant ended on March 31, 2011. The project continues on with the matching funds from the district. This project distributes information on district programs and disposal and recycling options through the schools. In addition it provides financial assistance to schools in taking field trips to recycling and disposal facilities in the region. To date, 31,200 brochures were printed and distributed to school children and the public, 120 educational folders have been prepared and distributed to schools in the district, 15 schools and one youth program have used the program to take field trips. The program encourages the schools to move from awareness of solid waste issues to taking action in their communities to address littering and illegal dumping through cleanups. Staff is advertising special collections being held in the fall of 2011. As always, the district will continue to foster partnerships with local, state and federal organizations to further the districts goals and reduce the amount of solid waste being landfilled.

The goals for 2011-2012:

1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region.
2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes to the residents of the region.
3. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region and monitoring of dumpsites with the objective of arresting illegal dumpers.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011 7 | Page

SWMP OPERATIONS

4. Providing educational opportunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to school aged children in the region.
5. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region.
6. Working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district.

The district plans to continue supporting key goals such as expanding recycling opportunities and addressing illegal dumping. The district will seek to fund projects that will meet these goals and the overall state goal of reducing the volume of waste being landfilled.

**6. SUMMARIZE THE TYPES OF PROJECTS AND RESULTS DURING FISCAL YEAR**  
**(Projects resulting in tonnage diversion from landfills.** Include number and cost of projects, tons diverted, average cost per ton diverted. Identify separate statistics for items banned and not banned from landfills).

As stated previously, the district had 24 open grants during 2010-2011. These included three grants from 2009 that received extensions; 10 projects from 2010; FY 2010-2011 district operation project and 10 projects with grant periods from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011.

Of the 29 grants, 20 had tonnage diversion components. Several of these projects are still open. The following data shows the project, the diversion goal, progress towards the goal to-date if the grant is open or final figures on diversion for the grant period if the grant is closed. It also shows the dollar amount of the grant, and the estimated cost per ton. There are notes on the types of materials recovered and information regarding those projects where diversion was not the primary goal of the project. In some cases, the cost per ton is astronomically high when viewed from a strictly cost per ton in a one-year period perspective. Cost per ton is an easy method for evaluating projects, but it does not account for educational components, start up expenses that will reduce over time or the cost of piloting entirely new programs. The district stresses that even if projects have tonnage diversion components – diversion may not be the primary goal of the project and high costs per ton do not necessarily mean that the project was unsuccessful. The district prefers to evaluate projects on all of their goals rather than just strictly diversion, as this section of the annual report requires. Because of how the reporting dates fell, and due to some grant extensions, some grantees have multiple grants open during the reporting period.

Projects that include banned items are identified with an asterisk.

<b>Project Name &amp; #</b>	<b>Diversion Goal</b>	<b>Actual Diversion</b>	<b>Grant \$\$ Expended</b>	<b>Est. Cost Per Ton</b>	<b>Open or Closed?</b>
Chem Lab Cleanup 2009-4	1 ton	.23	\$ 7,154.07	\$31,104.65	Closed

Much of the materials removed from school labs cannot be recycled, so the recovery rate is very low. The focus of this projects is making schools safer through the removal of hazardous materials from schools and educating the schools on best management practices for school chemistry labs. As interest for this service declined significantly, it was discontinued at the end of 2010.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011

8 | Page

SWMP OPERATIONS

<b>Project Name &amp; #</b>	<b>Diversion Goal</b>	<b>Actual Diversion</b>	<b>Grant \$\$ Expended</b>	<b>Est. Cost Per Ton</b>	<b>Open or Closed?</b>
MRPC HHW Coll. 2010-06	5 tons	5.94 tons	\$ 19,299.51	\$3,249.08	Closed
MRPCHHW Coll. 2011-02	5 tons	----	-0-	-0-	Open

The purpose of this grant is to provide funding for advertising, supplies and disposal costs for the two HHW satellite collections centers in Rolla and St. Robert. The district only counts the materials that are recycled in its tonnage figures reported here. The tonnage figure does not include the HHW materials properly disposed of by the contractor. This project is very popular as it provides a year round service to residents rather than the one day per year collections that were held prior to the establishment of the satellite collection centers.

*MRPC Tire 2010-8	50 tons	50.68	\$ 8,532.19	\$168.35	Open
*OR Tire 2011-03	50 tons	----	-0-	-0-	Open

The purpose of these ongoing projects is to address illegal dumping by providing transportation and proper disposal of illegally dumped tires picked up by county road crews.

*MRPC Spec. Col. 2009-14	30 tons	84.10 tons	\$39,761.73	\$472.79	Closed
*MRPC Spec. Col. 2011-04	45 tons	0 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open

The purpose of these grants is to provide an opportunity for residents to properly dispose of their white goods, tires and electronics. Fees were charged for the tires collected and participants were asked to pay half the cost of disposing of old TVs and monitors. The grant subsidized half the cost of the TVs and monitors.

*Illegal Dump 2009-12	5 tons	30.07 tons	\$ 21,776.45	\$ 724.09	Closed
*Illegal Dump 2010-11	5 tons	.05	\$ 5,454.10	\$ 10,908.20	Open

The purpose of these two projects is to address illegal dumping through education/awareness and partnership building with local governments, citizens and state and federal agencies. The goal is to cleanup dumpsites and reduce the incidents of illegal dumping. During cleanups, every effort is made to recycle banned items such as tires and appliances. It is not always possible to get accurate counts on how much of these materials are removed for recycling. In addition, the 2010-11 is a USDA match grant for the "Awareness to Action -Water Protection through Solid Waste Management". The main focus of the project is education and awareness. The project sponsored field trips for schools to visit solid waste management facilities, like recycling centers, transfer station and landfills. The USDA funds was used to print 31,200 Where Can I Disposed of brochures and distributed to students and the public and 120 educational folders were compiled and distributed to all schools in the region. The USDA funds were also spent on organizing field trips for 10 schools and the Pathways organization (650 students, 14 Pathways clients, 30 teachers, 27 parents). The district grant K2010-11 was spent on organizing dump cleanups with 56 volunteers participated as well as sponsoring 4 field trips for 167 students and eight teachers.

In addition to the above tonnage of recycling materials recycled, grant 2009-12 sent 49.54 tons of trash and grant 2010-11 to date sent 10.76 tons of trash to the landfilled.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011 9 | Page

SWMP OPERATIONS

<b>Project Name &amp; #</b>	<b>Diversion Goal</b>	<b>Actual Diversion</b>	<b>Grant \$\$ Expended</b>	<b>Est. Cost Per Ton</b>	<b>Open or Closed?</b>
-----------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------

*Maries County 2010-02	9 tons	9.07 tons	\$ 5,578.47	\$ 615.05	Closed
---------------------------	--------	-----------	-------------	-----------	--------

*Maries County 2011-08	9 tons	3.03 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
---------------------------	--------	-----------	--------	--------	------

These projects' objective is to address illegal dumping and littering along county roads. Recovering recyclables is an expansion of the project, but not its primary purpose. Recovered materials include metals, tires, aluminum and plastics. The 2010-02 grant recovered 9.07 tons and removed and disposed of 5.23 tons of trash. The 2011-08 project has recovered 3.03 tons of recyclables and removed 3.18 tons of trash.

*Phelps Co. 2010-3	2 tons	5.48 tons	\$12,564.23	\$2,292.74	Closed
-----------------------	--------	-----------	-------------	------------	--------

*Phelps Co. 2011-10	2 tons	2.925 tons	\$4,583.73	\$1,567.09	Open
------------------------	--------	------------	------------	------------	------

The purpose of these projects is to address illegal dumping and littering in Phelps County. In 2008, 2009 and 2010, 2011, the project was expanded to include a recycling component and recyclables are now being sorted from the illegally dumped material and recycled. The 2010-03 grant recovered 5.48 tons and removed and disposed of 8.62 tons of trash. The 2011-10 project has recovered 2.925 tons of recyclables and removed 3.97 tons of trash to date.

Recycling Works 2011-12	3 tons	5.47 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
----------------------------	--------	-----------	--------	--------	------

The primary purpose of the project is education on the 3 Rs for students at Gasconade R-II.

Recycling Ctr MST 2010-04	1 ton	1.95 tons	\$6,672.50	\$8,340.63	Open
------------------------------	-------	-----------	------------	------------	------

Recycling Initiative 2011-09	1 ton	.8 ton	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
---------------------------------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------

These two grants are part of a recycling program on the campus of Missouri University of Science and Technology and include both recycling and an education/awareness component.

Rolla Recycling bins and exhibits 2011-05	---	86.6 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
---	-----	-----------	--------	--------	------

The main purpose of this grant is educational with part of the grant funds used to purchase recycling bins for the Rolla Recycling Center. The tonnage goal was not set. The bins are collecting a lot of tonnage of recycling materials.

Salem Recycling 2011-06	14 tons	6.9 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
----------------------------	---------	----------	--------	--------	------

This project is purchasing a recycling trailer and bins to expand and enhance the City of Salem's recycling program.

Crawford Recycling 2011-07	5 tons	-----	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
-------------------------------	--------	-------	--------	--------	------

This project is purchasing a recycling trailer and bins to start a recycling program in Crawford County.

UE Food Waste 2010-05	125 tons	170 tons	\$ 9,656.05	\$ 56.80	Closed
--------------------------	----------	----------	-------------	----------	--------

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011 10 | Page

SWMP OPERATIONS

This project is educating residents on composting food waste. Workshops on composting were organized. Two hundred individuals were trained. Demonstration sites were established. PSA were produced and ran on local TV channels.

**7. SUMMARIZE PROJECTS NOT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION.** Include Project numbers not resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills and Cost of Project

Of the 24 projects active during the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year, three did not result in tonnage diversion. Those projects are listed below:

<b>Project</b>	<b>Name/Number</b>	<b>Grant Amount/Expended</b>	<b>Status</b>
OR Admin/Operations – 2011-1		\$111,820.00 / \$111,820.00	Closed
The purpose of this project is to provide administrative support – both general and for district grants for the district, as well as provide staff support, supplies, travel, etc. for district implementation activities such as Earth Day, Trash Patrol, environmental resources center, technical assistance, etc.			
Rolla Recycling Mascot – 2010-09		\$ 5,000.00 / \$5,000.00	Closed
The purpose of this grant is to provide a recycling mascot for the Rolla Recycling Center to use for special events such as Earth Day, local festivals and fairs and tours of the facility. The mascot’s purpose is to make recycling education fun and appealing for area children.			
ORSWMD Website-2010-12		\$12,763.10/ 779.60	Open
The purpose of this project is to develop and implement a district website that would provide valuable information on solid waste issues and solutions for residents, businesses, local governments and other agencies in the region. The project was delayed because MRPC staff turnover.			

**8. IDENTIFY SEPARATE STATISTICS FOR ITEMS BANNED FROM LANDFILLS.**

It is not always possible to separate the weight of only items banned from landfills. For example, when we hold special waste collections, the contractor hauled both electronics and appliance in the same trucks since the materials go to the same warehouse for processing. The weight of materials would be a combined weight of both materials.

<b>Project Name &amp; #</b>	<b>Diversion Goal</b>	<b>Actual Diversion</b>	<b>Grant \$\$ Expended</b>	<b>Est. Cost Per Ton</b>	<b>Open or Closed?</b>
*Illegal Dump 2009-12	5 tons	30.07 tons	\$ 21,776.45	\$ 724.09	Closed
*Illegal Dump 2010-11	5 tons	.05	\$ 5,454.10	\$ 10,908.20	Open

The purpose of these two projects is to address illegal dumping through education/awareness and partnership building with local governments, citizens and state and federal agencies. The goal is to cleanup dumpsites and reduce the incidents of illegal dumping. During cleanups, every effort is made to recycle banned items such as tires and appliances. It is not always possible to get accurate counts on how much of these materials are removed for recycling. The 2009-12 grant has removed 49.54 tons of trash and recycled 30.07 tons of special waste. The 2010-11 is an USDA match grant fund for the “Awareness to Action –Water Protection through Solid Waste Management”. The main focus of the project is education and awareness. The project sponsored field trips for schools to visit solid waste management facilities, like recycling centers, transfer station and landfills. The USDA funds was used to print 31,200 “Where Can I Disposed of ... ..?” brochures and distributed to students and the public and 120 educational folders were compiled and distributed to all schools in the region. The USDA funds were also spent on organizing field trips for 10 schools and Pathways organization (650 students, 14 Pathways clients, 30 teachers, 27 parents)

The district grant K2010-11 was spent on organizing dump cleanups with 56 volunteers participated as well as sponsoring 4 field trips for 167 students and eight teachers. To date, grant 2010-11 sent 10.76 tons of trash to the landfilled and recycled .05 tons of electronics waste.

<b>Project Name &amp; #</b>	<b>Diversion Goal</b>	<b>Actual Diversion</b>	<b>Grant \$\$ Expended</b>	<b>Est. Cost Per Ton</b>	<b>Open or Closed?</b>
-----------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------

*Maries County 2010-02	9 tons	9.07 tons	\$ 5,578.47	\$ 615.05	Closed
---------------------------	--------	-----------	-------------	-----------	--------

*Maries County 2011-08	9 tons	3.03 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
---------------------------	--------	-----------	--------	--------	------

These projects' objective is to address illegal dumping and littering along county roads. Recovering recyclables is an expansion of the project, but not its primary purpose. Recovered materials include metals, tires, aluminum and plastics. The 2010-02 grant recovered 9.07 tons and removed and disposed of 5.23 tons of trash. The 2011-08 project has recovered 3.03 tons of recyclables and removed 3.18 tons of trash.

*MRPC Tire 2010-8	50 tons	50.68	\$ 8,532.19	\$168.35	Open
----------------------	---------	-------	-------------	----------	------

*OR Tire 2011-03	50 tons	----	-0-	-0-	Open
---------------------	---------	------	-----	-----	------

The purpose of these ongoing projects is to address illegal dumping by providing transportation and proper disposal of illegally dumped tires picked up by county road crews.

*MRPC Spec. Col. 2009-14	30 tons	84.10 tons	\$39,761.73	\$472.79	Closed
-----------------------------	---------	------------	-------------	----------	--------

*MRPC Spec. Col. 2011-04	45 tons	0 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
-----------------------------	---------	--------	--------	--------	------

The purpose of these grants is to provide an opportunity for residents to properly dispose of their white goods, tires and electronics. Fees were charged for the tires collected and participants were asked to pay half the cost of disposing of old TVs and monitors. The grant subsidized half the cost of the TVs and monitors.

*Phelps Co. 2010-3	2 tons	5.48 tons	\$12,564.23	\$2,292.74	Closed
-----------------------	--------	-----------	-------------	------------	--------

*Phelps Co. 2011-10	2 tons	2.925 tons	\$4,583.73	\$1,567.09	Open
------------------------	--------	------------	------------	------------	------

The purpose of these projects is to address illegal dumping and littering in Phelps County. In 2008, 2009 and 2010, 2011, the project was expanded to include a recycling component and recyclables are now being sorted from the illegally dumped material and recycled. The 2010-03 grant recovered 5.48 tons and removed and disposed of 8.62 tons of trash. The 2011-10 project has recovered 2.925 tons of recyclables and removed 3.97 tons of trash to date.

## 9. IDENTIFY SEPARATE STATISTICS FOR ITEMS NOT BANNED FROM LANDFILLS

<b>Project Name &amp; #</b>	<b>Diversion Goal</b>	<b>Actual Diversion</b>	<b>Grant \$\$ Expended</b>	<b>Est. Cost Per Ton</b>	<b>Open or Closed?</b>
-----------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------

Chem Lab Cleanup 2009-4	1 ton	.23	\$ 7,154.07	\$31,104.65	Closed
----------------------------	-------	-----	-------------	-------------	--------

Much of the materials removed from school labs cannot be recycled, so the recovery rate is very low. The focus of these projects is making schools safer through the removal of hazardous materials from schools and educating the schools on best management practices for school chemistry labs. As interest for this service has declined significantly, we are discontinuing the program at the end of 2010.

RECEIVED BY

12 | SEP 26 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

<b>Project Name &amp; #</b>	<b>Diversion Goal</b>	<b>Actual Diversion</b>	<b>Grant \$\$ Expended</b>	<b>Est. Cost Per Ton</b>	<b>Open or Closed?</b>
MRPC HHW Coll. 2010-06	5 tons	5.94 tons	\$ 19,299.51	\$3,249.08	Closed
MRPCHHW Coll. 2011-02	5 tons	----	-0-	-0-	Open

The purpose of this grant is to provide funding for advertising, supplies and disposal costs for the two HHW satellite collections centers in Rolla and St. Robert. The district only counts the materials that are recycled in its tonnage figures reported here. The tonnage figure does not include the HHW materials properly disposed of by the contractor. This project is very popular as it provides a year round service to residents rather than the one day per year collections that were held prior to the establishment of the satellite collection centers.

Recycling Works 2011-12	3 tons	5.47 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
-------------------------	--------	-----------	--------	--------	------

The primary purpose of the project is education on the 3 Rs for students at Gasconade R-II.

Recycling Ctr MST 2010-04	1 ton	1.95 tons	\$6,672.50	\$8,340.63	Open
Recycling Initiative 2011-09	1 ton	.8 ton	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open

These two grants are part of a recycling program on the campus of Missouri University of Science and Technology and include both recycling and an education/awareness component.

Rolla Recycling bins and exhibits 2011-05	---	86.6 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
---	-----	-----------	--------	--------	------

The main purpose of this grant is educational with part of the grant funds used to purchase recycling bins for the Rolla Recycling Center. The tonnage goal was not set. The bins are collecting a lot of tonnage of recycling materials.

Salem Recycling 2011-06	14 tons	6.9 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
-------------------------	---------	----------	--------	--------	------

This project is purchasing a recycling trailer and bins to expand and enhance the City of Salem's recycling program.

Crawford Recycling 2011-07	5 tons	-----	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
----------------------------	--------	-------	--------	--------	------

This project is purchasing a recycling trailer and bins to start a recycling program in Crawford County.

## 10. DESCRIBE YOUR DISTRICT'S GRANT PROPOSAL EVALUATION PROCESS.

The district has developed an evaluation form for reviewing grants (copy attached). The entire executive board meets to review and evaluate grants. Each grant is reviewed and discussed in the group setting and then each board member evaluates it individually. Evaluation forms are collected and staff tallies the scores. Because the district always has more requests for funds than

RECEIVED BY  
13 | Page  
SEP 26 2011

dollars available, the board decides which grants, if any, will not be funded at all. These will be those with the lowest scores. There are usually proposals submitted that do not meet the district's goals or conflict with district policy (the proposal would result in an unfair business advantage or competes directly with an existing program.) The board then decides, based on funds available, how many of the grants with the highest scores can be funded fully and where in the scores to go from full funding to partial funding. If the board chooses to partially fund a project, they will look at the budget and determine which aspects of the project they are willing to fund (for example, they will buy the baler but will not pay for advertising.)

NOTE: Although the board has never felt compelled to fund all proposals submitted, and they have never been in the situation where there are more funds than requests for funds, the board has adopted, effective September 2006, a policy to not fund projects that score below a certain level – 70 percent. MDNR has encouraged all districts to do this and the ORSWMD has officially adopted this policy.

What is the district's policy for funding applicants who have received grants in prior years? The district does not prohibit applicants from requesting funds each year, but it does expect that the proposals will be for expansions of current projects, not just continuations of the same project. If proposals do not show that the applicant is expanding services in some way, the board will be less likely to fund the project.

What is the district's policy for funding the on-going operations of applicants? The only on-going projects that the district funds are its own plan implementation programs – special collections, Earth Day activities, technical assistance, etc. Proposals from outside entities are expected to show some kind of expansion or improvement over previous proposals. For instance, the district has provided multiple grants to the Maries County Clean Roads and Waterways program, but that project continues to expand its activities – not only providing litter and illegal dumping cleanups but now also recycling much of the material collected through those cleanups. The same applies to the Tough on Trash program in Phelps County.

## **Ozark Rivers Solid Waste Management District Council July 2011**

All persons listed are on the full council. Those who are also on the executive board have an asterisk next to their names.

Presiding Commissioner Leo Sanders  
P. O. Box AS  
Steelville, MO 65565  
(Crawford County)  
(573) 775-2376  
FAX: (573) 775-3066

Mayor Leonard Armstrong  
P. O. Box 164  
Bourbon, MO 65441  
(City of Bourbon)  
(573) 732-5550  
FAX: (573) 732-5808

Les Murdock\*, Alderman  
901 Hwy DD  
Cuba, MO 65453

Mayor Terry Palmer  
P. O. Box M  
Steelville, MO 65565

RECEIVED BY

SEP 26 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

(City of Cuba)  
(573) 885-7432  
FAX: (573) 885-3216

Mayor Thomas Leasor  
210 W. Washington Street  
Sullivan, MO 63080  
(City of Sullivan)  
(573) 468-4612  
FAX: (573) 468-8207

Presiding Commissioner Darrell Skiles\*  
400 N. Main  
Salem, MO 65560  
(Dent County)  
(573) 729-4144  
FAX: (573) 729-3350  
**Secretary**

Associate Commissioner Gary Larson  
400 N. Main  
Salem, MO 65560  
(Dent County)  
(573) 729-4144  
FAX: (573) 729-3350

Associate Commissioner Matt Penning\*  
119 East First Street  
Hermann, MO 65041  
(Gasconade County)  
(573) 486-5427  
FAX: (573) 486-8893

John E. Campbell\*, Public Works Supt.  
1902 Jefferson Street  
Hermann, MO 65041  
(City of Hermann)  
(573) 486-5400  
FAX: (573) 486-5432

Presiding Commissioner Ray Schwartze\*  
P. O. Box 205  
Vienna, MO 65582  
(Maries County)  
(573) 422-3388  
FAX: (573) 422-3269

(City of Steelville)  
(573) 775-2815  
FAX: (573) 775-5914

Arthur Cook\*, citizen  
55 Dogwood Lane  
Steelville, MO 65565  
(Crawford County)  
(573) 775-4406

Bill Huffman\*, City Engineer  
400 N. Iron Street  
Salem, MO 65560  
(City of Salem)  
(573) 729-4811  
FAX: (573) 729-5371

Presiding Commissioner Ron Jost  
119 East First Street  
Hermann, MO 65041  
(Gasconade County)  
(573) 486-5427  
FAX: (573) 486-8893

Mayor Trish O'Dell  
P. O. Box 40  
Bland, MO 65014  
(City of Bland)  
(573) 646-3252  
FAX: (573) 646-5210

Mayor Dixon Somerville  
107 W. Sears  
Owensville, MO 65066  
(City of Owensville)  
(573) 437-2812  
FAX: (573) 437-5812

Associate Commissioner Doug Drewel  
P. O. Box 205  
Vienna, MO 65582  
(Maries County)  
(573) 422-3388  
FAX: (573) 422-3269

RECEIVED BY  
SEP 26 2011  
SWMP OPERATIONS

**ORSWMD Council 7/11 cont'd.**

Mayor Jamie Jones  
P. O. Box 196  
Vienna, MO 65582  
(City of Vienna)  
(573) 422-3549  
FAX: (573) 422-6110

Presiding Commissioner Randy Verkamp\*  
200 N. Main Street  
Rolla, MO 65401  
(Phelps County)  
(573) 458-6000  
FAX: (573) 458-6119

**Treasurer**

Mayor Paul Smith  
380 Eisenhower  
Doolittle, MO 65401  
(City of Doolittle)  
(573) 762-2601

David Watkins  
401 West Washington  
St. James, MO 65559  
(City of St. James)  
(573) 265-7013  
FAX: (573) 265-5585

Theresa Cook\*  
Waynesville R-VI School District  
200 Fleetwood Dr.  
Waynesville, MO 65583  
(Pulaski County)  
(573) 774-6169  
FAX: (573) 774-6159

Mayor Cliff Hammock  
601 Historic 66 W  
Waynesville, MO 65583  
(City of Waynesville)  
(573) 774-6171  
FAX: (573) 774-5647

Mayor Tony Gieck\*  
P. O. Drawer 813  
Belle, MO 65013  
(City of Belle)  
(573) 859-3513  
FAX: (573) 859-3821

Ben McWilliams, Litter Control Officer  
200 N. Main Street  
Rolla, MO 65401  
(Phelps County)  
(573) 458-6000  
FAX: (573) 458-6119

Brady Wilson\*, Director of Environmental  
Services Dept., P. O. Box 979  
Rolla, MO 65401  
(City of Rolla)  
(573) 364-6693  
FAX: (573) 426-6947

**Vice Chair**

Presiding Commissioner Gene Newkirk  
301 Historic 66 East  
Waynesville, MO 65583  
(Pulaski County)  
(573) 774-4701  
FAX: (573) 774-5601

Mayor James Morgan\*  
P. O. Box 116  
Crocker, MO 65452  
(City of Crocker)  
(573) 736-5327  
FAX: (573) 736-5438

Doug Adkins, Transfer Station Manager  
194 Eastlawn Ave.# A  
St. Robert, MO 65584  
(City of St. Robert)  
(573) 336-5155  
FAX: (573) 336-7789

RECEIVED BY  
SEP 20 2011  
SWMP OPERATIONS

**ORSWMD Council 7/11 cont'd.**

Scott Murrell\*  
Directorate of Engineering and Housing  
Environmental Office  
US Army MANSCEN & FLW  
IMNW-LNW-PWEE,  
1334 First Street, Bldg 2101  
Ft. Leonard Wood, MO 65473  
(Fort Leonard Wood)  
(573) 596-0882  
FAX: (573) 596-0869

**Chairman**

Mayor Ben Copeland  
P. O. Box 177  
Dixon, MO 65459  
(City of Dixon)  
(573) 759-6115  
FAX: (573) 759-7864

Associate Commissioner Todd Moyer  
102 N. Missouri  
Potosi, MO 63664  
(Washington County)  
(573) 438-6111  
FAX: (573) 438-4038

Mayor Lucy Henson  
Box 798  
Richland, MO 65459  
(City of Richland)  
(573) 765-4421

Presiding Commissioner Marvin Wright\*  
102 N. Missouri  
Potosi, MO 63664  
(Washington County)  
(573) 438-6111  
FAX: (573) 438-4038

Gary Gilliam\*  
Resource Management Companies  
4375 Rider Trail North  
Earth City, MO 63045  
(City of Potosi)  
(294) 770-9898  
FAX: (294) 770-1413

**Annual Financial Statements for most recent district fiscal year:** Please see attached documents which include all of the following:

- **Balance Sheet Statement**
- **Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and changes in Fund balance**
- **Statement of Sub-grant Expenditures that provides expenditures by sub-grant and provides clear references to the projects as agreed to in the FAA.**

RECEIVED BY  
SEP 26 2011  
SWMP OPERATIONS



5. Demonstrates cooperative efforts through a public/private partnership or among political subdivisions.

**Possible Points: 10**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

6. Compliance with federal, state or local requirements. (For example –are there permits, licenses, security interest or waivers required and if so have they been/will be attained.)

**Possible Points: 20**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

7. Transferability of results. (For example – can the project, if successful, be easily duplicated elsewhere?)

**Possible Points: 5**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

8. The need for the information. (Does the local jurisdiction, region or state need the information that the project proposed to gather?)

**Possible Points: 5**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

9. Technical ability of the applicant. (Does the applicant have the expertise required to successfully complete the project.)

**Possible Points: 10**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

10. Managerial ability of the applicant. (Does the applicant have the managerial expertise to complete the project and fulfill the reporting requirements of the grant.)

**Possible Points: 10**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

11. Ability to implement in a timely manner. (Can the project be completed within the time constraints of the one-year grant period or 18 months if equipment is purchased.)

**Possible Points: 10**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

12. Technical feasibility. (Is the applicant capable of carrying out the technical aspects of the grant and is the project using proven technology.)

**Possible Points: 10**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

13. Availability of feedstock. (If using recovered materials, is there enough volume of material available to carry out the project and has the applicant secured an adequate source to provide feedstock.)

**Possible Points: 10**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

14. Level of commitment for financing. (To what level has the applicant committed financial resources to the project outside of proposed grant funds.)

**Possible Points: 5**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

15. Type of contribution by applicant. (What type of support is being provided by the applicant – in-kind, cash, infrastructure, etc.)

**Possible Points: 5**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

16. Effectiveness of marketing strategy.

**Possible Points: 10**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

17. Quality of budget. (How well thought out and complete is the budget. Have all aspects of the project been included in the budget and are all expenditures reasonable.)

**Possible Points: 20**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

18. Selected financial ratios.

**Possible Points: 10**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

19. Degree to which funding to the project will adversely affect existing private entities in the market segment. (The proposal must include narrative that explains whether or not funding the project will adversely affect other existing private businesses and if so, to what degree).

**Possible Negative Points: -20**

**Points Removed: \_\_\_\_\_**

20. Past compliance with district grant rules rating: Negative points

0 points- Applicant has demonstrated satisfactory compliance with district grant rules on previous grant project(s).

25 points- Applicant failed to comply with district grant rules on previous grant project(s).

50 points- Applicant has been convicted of defrauding the district or MDNR or has failed to honor a previous contractual agreement with the district or MDNR.

**Possible Negative Points: -50**

**Points Removed: \_\_\_\_\_**

21. Completeness of Application: Negative points

0 points- Specified areas are complete and no additional data is required.

5 points- Specified areas are substantially complete, but additional data is required before application can be submitted to MDNR.

25 points- Specified areas are not complete and data provided is insufficient for consideration of the entire application.

**Possible Negative Points: -25**

**Points Removed: \_\_\_\_\_**

22. Bonus Points. Reviewers may award up to 10 points for general attractiveness, Innovation and applicability to district programs and plan.

**Possible Points: 10**

**Points Awarded: \_\_\_\_\_**

**Total Points \_\_\_\_\_ Percentage \_\_\_\_\_%**

**(Final score will be a percentage calculation based on applicable criteria only)**