

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

1. **Region Identification:** B
 2. **Solid Waste Management District Name:** North Missouri Solid Waste Management District
 3. **Fiscal Year Period:** July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012
-

GOALS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

4. (a) What waste reduction goals did the district have for the most recently completed fiscal year and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals.

Goal #1 – Contact at least two schools in our 11-county region to find out what type of waste that they have and/or produced and how they could divert some of the items from the solid waste stream.

Action for #1 – The District was contacted by some of the schools this year on promoting recycling due to the letters that the District sent out to each of the fifty-one schools that are in our area on promoting Missouri Recycling Day, Earth Day, Funding Availability, etc. These letters have worked on informing our schools about important information.

Goal #2 – Contact at least two businesses in our 11-county region to find out what type of waste that they have and/or produced and how they could divert some of the items from the solid waste stream. The District will work with them to start or expand their recycling efforts, with a motto to start small and get them in the habit of recycling.

Action for #2 – The District has started a listing of businesses that recycle and what they recycle. This will be an ongoing goal of the District until every town has been contacted and we have a list of every business and what they recycle.

Goal #3 – Compile a list of all schools in our 11 county region including what items they recycle and help educate staff and students.

Action for #3 – The District applied for a grant to purchase bins, and even though we were not successful, we did purchase several smaller bins. We have distributed the bins that we have to schools for their recycling programs. The schools send reports to the District on what they have collected and how much they collected. The District has started the list, but it will take some time to talk to each school.

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

Goal #4 – Work on an in depth list of all recycling centers listing exactly that they will collect and work with them on moving their recycling products.

Action to Achieve #4 – The District has a list of the recycling centers. We are adding what they collect and the charges that may apply. The economy has improved and centers are able to move the recycled items that they have collected.

Goal #5 – Work with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources on the County/City wide tire collections.

Action to Achieve #5 – Ann Hamilton presented to the full board of directors, the opportunity to have a one time collection that DNR would pay for the disposal cost of the tires if they could supply the labor for the collection to load the trailers. So far there has been two counties (Linn and Chariton) and one city (Mendon) that have taken advantage of the program.

Goal #6 – The district will provide residents of our district at least five special county wide collections for tires, electronics, appliances, batteries, and household hazardous waste.

Action to Achieve #6 – The District did seven collections this year. Collections were held in Sullivan, Carroll, Putnam, Daviess, Caldwell, and Grundy which collected tires, electronics, appliances, batteries, and household hazardous waste. Livingston County collected tires, electronics, appliances, and batteries. Livingston County will have their household hazardous waste collection in the Fall of 2012.

Goal #7 – The district will apply for additional grants for recycling containers.

Action to Achieve #7 - The District applied for a two container grants but was not successful, but will continue to try.

Goal #8 – The District purchased portable recycling containers and work with at least two cities on special event collections.

Action to Achieve #8 – The District actually borrowed some recycling containers from another District to see if people would be interested in the special event recycling before applying for grant funds. This was successful. The District received a grant to purchase the special containers for special events: including camps, fairs, carnivals, and school events.

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

Goal #9 – The District will continue to work on diverting items that are on our Districts target list. The District has been working with public officials on setting up some kind of recycling program. This will be an extremely hard goal for our District, since there are not many recycling centers in our area. We continue to work with the recycling centers to get the items picked up or have the City/County deliver the items to the centers for sorting.

Action to Achieve #9 – The planner has been working with all of the counties trying to get recycling programs started in their area.

Goal #10 – Promote Recycling at the State Fair.

Action to Achieve #10 – This year, Region B went and spent the day at the booth that Region F sponsored at the fair. The booth highlighted items that were made from recycled items. There were recycling bins placed throughout the fair grounds to collect plastics, cans, and cardboard.

Goal #11 – Work on starting a glass recycling program.

Action to Achieve #11 – The District applied for a grant to purchase two recycling trailers and funds to build a bunker that will be used to store the glass until shipment.

4. (b) What waste reduction goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year? What actions are planned to achieve these goals? Include the types of grant proposals that have been identified to assist in meeting these goals.

Goal #1 – Contact at least two schools in our 11-county region to find out what type of waste that they have and/or produced and how they could divert some of the items from the solid waste stream. The District will work with them to start or expand their recycling efforts, with a motto to start small and get them in the habit of recycling and then eventually expanding their program.

Action for #1 – The District will continue to send letters to the fifty-one schools that are in our area promoting Missouri Recycling Day, Earth Day, Funding Availability, etc. These letters have seemed to work and we will continue to send out all important information in the future. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #2 – Contact at least two businesses in our 11-county region to find out what type of waste that they have and/or produced and how they could divert some of the items from the solid waste stream. The District will work with them to start or expand their recycling efforts, with a motto to start small and get them in the habit of recycling and then eventually expanding their program.

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

Action for #2 – This will be an ongoing goal of the District until every town has been contacted and we have a list of every business and what they recycle. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #3 – Compile a list of all schools in our 11 county region including what items they recycle and if the schools need help in recycling.

Action for #3 – The District will continue to compile the list, but it will take some time to talk to each school. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #4 – Work on an in depth list of all recycling centers listing exactly that they will collect and work with them on moving their recycling products.

Action to Achieve #4 – The District has a list of the recycling centers and will continue to check with them on the items that they collect and fees that may apply. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #5 – Work with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources on the County/City Wide tire collections. The District would like to have a collection in each of our 11 counties.

Action to Achieve #5 – Currently, DNR isn't adding new Cities or Counties until January, 2013 for collections that will be held in 2014. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #6 – The district will provide residents of our district at least five special county collections for tires, electronics, appliances, batteries, and household hazardous waste.

Action to Achieve #6 – The District will apply for a banned items grant that will pay for the disposal/recycling cost related to these collections. Staff time will be charged to plan implementation.

Goal #7 – The district will apply for additional grants for recycling containers.

Action to Achieve #7 - The District applied for grants thru USDA, but was unsuccessful.

Goal #8 – The District will work with at least two cities on special event collections.

Action to Achieve #8 – Even though there weren't a lot of items collected last year, with more education and posters about what to recycle at the events will help. (Plan Implementation)

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

Goal #9 – The District will continue to work on diverting items that are on our Districts target list.

Action to Achieve #9 – The District has been working with public officials on setting up some kind of recycling program in their communities. This will be an extremely hard goal for our District, since there are not many recycling centers in our area. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #10 – Promote Recycling at the State Fair.

Action to Achieve #10 – Region B will spend the day at the booth that Region F sponsored at the fair educating and talking to patrons about recycling in Missouri. The District wants to create a brochure/pamphlet spotlighting our District. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #11 – Implementing the glass recycling program.

Action to Achieve #11 – The District was awarded a grant to purchase two recycling trailer and to build a bunker that will be used to store the glass until shipment. Education of glass recycling and the partnerships have to be done. (Plan Implementation)

5. (a) What recycling goals did the district have for the most recently completed fiscal year and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals.

The District wanted to work with recyclers in our region to utilize district grant funds to create or expand upon the recycling industry in our region; especially projects that work impact the region's economic development. The District has been working with three of our Sheltered Workshops and provided new equipment for them to help with the efficiency of their business. The economy has been a big factor at the beginning of the year, but the markets have improved and the sheltered workshops and recyclers are able to move the product that they have collected.

Goal #1 – Contact at least two schools in our 11-county region to find out what type of waste that they have and/or produced and how they could divert some of the items from the solid waste stream.

Action for #1 – The District was contacted by some of the schools this year on promoting recycling due to the letters that the District sent out to each of the fifty-one schools that are in our area on promoting Missouri Recycling Day, Earth Day, Funding Availability, etc. These letters have worked on informing our schools about important information.

RECEIVED BY
OCT 30 2012
SWMP OPERATIONS

Goal #2 – Contact at least two businesses in our 11-county region to find out what type of waste that they have and/or produced and how they could divert some of the items from the solid waste stream. The District will work with them to start or expand their recycling efforts, with a motto to start small and get them in the habit of recycling.

Action for #2 – The District has started a listing of businesses that recycle and what they recycle. This will be an ongoing goal of the District until every town has been contacted and we have a list of every business and what they recycle.

Goal #3 – The District purchased portable recycling containers and work with at least two cities on special event collections.

Action to Achieve #3 – The District actually borrowed some recycling containers from another District to see if people would be interested in the special event recycling before applying for grant funds. This was successful. The District received a grant to purchase the special containers for special events: including camps, fairs, carnivals, and school events.

Goal #4 – The District will continue to work on diverting items that are on our Districts target list. The District has been working with public officials on setting up some kind of recycling program. This will be an extremely hard goal for our District, since there are not many recycling centers in our area. We continue to work with the recycling centers to get the items picked up or have the City/County deliver the items to the centers for sorting.

Action to Achieve #4 – The planner has been working with all of the counties trying to get recycling programs started in their area.

Goal #5 – Work on starting a glass recycling program.

Action to Achieve #5 – The District applied for a grant to purchase two recycling trailer and funds to build a bunker that will be used to store the glass until shipment.

Goal #6 – The District wanted to continue the battery and ink jet recycling program, and added cell phones and rechargeable batteries to the collections.

Action to Achieve #6 – The District will continue to purchase containers until they have one in each City Hall and School in our 11 county region.

5. (b) What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year? What actions does the district plan to achieve these goals? Include the types of grant proposals that have been identified to assist in meeting these goals.

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

Goal #1 – Contact at least two schools in our 11-county region to find out what type of waste that they have and/or produced and how they could divert some of the items from the solid waste stream. The District will work with them to start or expand their recycling efforts, with a motto to start small and get them in the habit of recycling and then eventually expanding their program.

Action for #1 – The District will continue to send letters to the fifty-one school that are in our area promoting Missouri Recycling Day, Earth Day, Funding Availability, etc. These letters have seemed to work and we will continue to send out all important information in the future. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #2 – Contact at least two businesses in our 11-county region to find out what type of waste that they have and/or produced and how they could divert some of the items from the solid waste stream. The District will work with them to start or expand their recycling efforts, with a motto to start small and get them in the habit of recycling and then eventually expanding their program.

Action for #2 – This will be an ongoing goal of the District until every town has been contacted and we have a list of every business and what they recycle. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #3 – The District will work with at least two cities on special event collections.

Action to Achieve #3 – Even though there weren't a lot of items collected last year, with more education and posters about what to recycle at the events will help. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #4 – The District will continue to work on diverting items that are on our Districts target list.

Action to Achieve #4 – The District has been working with public officials on setting up some kind of recycling program in their communities. This will be an extremely hard goal for our District, since there are not many recycling centers in our area. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #5 – Implementing the glass recycling program.

Action to Achieve #5 – The District was awarded a grant to purchase two recycling trailer and to build a bunker that will be used to store the glass until shipment. Education of glass recycling and the partnerships have to be done. (Plan Implementation)

Goal #6 – The District wanted to continue the battery and ink jet recycling program, and added cell phones and rechargeable batteries to the collections. The District will continue to purchase containers until they have one in each City Hall and School in our 11 county region.

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS 7

6. (a) What resource recovery goals did the district have for the most recently completed fiscal year and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals.

The District wants to focus on grant applications that would offer recycling in areas where there was no recycling available, since our District has over seventy-five towns in the region and only two offer curbside recycling. The District funded two county wide projects, one recycling trailer, and a pick up to move the recycling trailers that are placed in the area to have a place to pick up recyclables. The District has been working with three towns to start a curbside recycling program.

Goal #1 – The district will provide residents of our district at least five special county wide collections for tires, electronics, appliances, batteries, and household hazardous waste.

Action to Achieve #1 – The District did seven collections this year. Collections were held in Sullivan, Carroll, Putnam, Daviess, Caldwell, and Grundy which collected tires, electronics, appliances, batteries, and household hazardous waste. Livingston County collected tires, electronics, appliances, and batteries. Livingston County will have their household hazardous waste collection in the Fall of 2012. The electronic collections accomplished by charging fees to residents for their electronics. The District has had a huge amount of televisions that were brought in to be recycled. Fees are also charged for appliances and tires.

Goal #2 – The District will continue to work on diverting items that are on our Districts target list. The District has been working with public officials on setting up some kind of recycling program. This will be an extremely hard goal for our District, since there are not many recycling centers in our area. We continue to work with the recycling centers to get the items picked up or having the City/County deliver the items to the centers for sorting.

Action to Achieve #2 – The planner has been working with all of the counties trying to get recycling programs started in their area. The District concentrates on paper, plastics, and aluminum that is easily recycled and transported to recycling centers, keeping them out of the landfills and conserving our natural resources. The District is working with county officials to see if they would be interested in moving a recycling trailer if there was one available to areas in their county where recycling was not available.

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

6. (b) what resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year and what actions does the district plan to achieve these goals? Include the types of grant proposals that have been identified to assist in meeting these goals.

The District wants to focus on grant applications that would offer recycling in areas were there was no recycling available, since our District has over seventy-five towns in the region and only two offer curb side recycling.

Goal #1 – The district will provide residents of our district at least five special county collections for tires, electronics, appliances, batteries, and household hazardous waste.

Action to Achieve #1 – The District will apply for a banned items grant that will pay for the disposal/recycling cost related to these collections. There are many valuable metals that are in these electronics that can recycled and reused. Staff time will be charged to plan implementation.

Goal #9 – The District will continue to work on diverting items that are on our Districts target list.

Action to Achieve #9 – The District has been working with public officials on setting up some kind of recycling program in their communities. This will be an extremely hard goal for our District, since there are not many recycling centers in our area. The District concentrates on paper, plastics, and aluminum that is easily recycled and transported to recycling centers, keeping them out of the landfills and conserving our natural resources. The District is also working on starting a glass recycling program. This will be a tremendous benefit to the regions, but to get one started will take a lot of planning. (Plan Implementation)

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

7. (a) List all projects open during the most recently completed fiscal year. (Note: This includes projects that may have closed during the year. Attach additional sheets if needed.)

Project Number	Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversion From Landfill	Cost of Project	Number of Tons Diverted	Average Cost Per Ton Diverted
B2010-03	Hope Haven Service Truck	\$15,000.00		Not Complete
B2010-04	Region B - Banned Items	\$31,912.15		Not Complete
B2010-05	Caldwell County Recycling	\$14,300.00		Not Complete
B2010-06	Grundy County Recycling	\$3,500.00		Not Complete
B2010-07	Hope Haven Recycling Trailer	\$14,000.00		Not Complete
B2011-03	Hope Haven Semi Truck	\$16,500.00		Not Complete
B2011-04	Chillicothe Municipal Utilities Composting Screen	\$10,000.00		Not Complete
B2011-05	Salisbury Recycling Program	\$10,995.50		Deobligated Funds
B2011-06	Region B - Special Events Recycling	\$4,000.00		Not Complete
B2012-03	Region B - Recycling Equipment	\$15,000.00		Not Complete
B2012-04	Gilman City Recycling Bin Program	\$2,000.00		Not Complete
B2012-05	Unionville Recycling Bin Program	\$6,519.00		Not Complete
B2012-06	Chariton County Shelter Workshop Box Van	\$10,000.00		Not Complete
B2012-07	Gallatin Recycling Bin Program	\$6,750.00		Not Complete
B2012-08	Bishop Hogan Can Recycling Bin	\$1,000.00		Not Complete
B2012-09	Region B - Banned Items	\$25,000.00		Not Complete
B2012-10	Region B - Glass Recycling Project	\$20,000.00		Not Complete
B2012-11	Trenton Used Oil Furnace	\$9,200.00		Not Complete

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

7. (b) Were there any other measurable outcomes achieved by the above listed projects? List project number, type of other measurable outcome and quantity.

Project Number	Outcome Measure	Unit of Measure (Quantity)	Cost of Project	Average Cost Per Unit of Measure
B2010-03	Hope Haven Service Truck Reporting Tonnage		\$15,000.00	Not Complete
B2010-04	Region B - Banned Items Number of Counties		\$31,912.15	Not Complete
B2010-05	Caldwell County Recycling Reporting Tonnage		\$14,300.00	Not Complete
B2010-06	Grundy County Recycling Reporting Tonnage		\$3,500.00	Not Complete
B2010-07	Hope Haven Recycling Trailer Reporting Tonnage		\$14,000.00	Not Complete
B2011-03	Hope Haven Semi Truck Reporting Tonnage		\$16,500.00	Not Complete
B2011-04	Chillicothe Municipal Utilities Composting Screen Reporting Tonnage		\$10,000.00	Not Complete
B2011-05	Salisbury Recycling Program Reporting Tonnage		\$10,995.50	Deobligated Funds
B2011-06	Region B - Special Events Recycling Number of Events & Tonnage		\$4,000.00	Not Complete
B2012-03	Region B - Recycling Equipment Reporting Tonnage		\$15,000.00	Not Complete
B2012-04	Gilman City Recycling Bin Program Reporting Tonnage		\$2,000.00	Not Complete
B2012-05	Unionville Recycling Bin Program Reporting Tonnage		\$6,519.00	Not Complete
B2012-06	Chariton County Shelter Workshop Box Van Reporting Tonnage		\$10,000.00	Not Complete
B2012-07	Gallatin Recycling Bin Program Reporting Tonnage		\$6,750.00	Not Complete
B2012-08	Bishop Hogan Can Recycling Bin Reporting Tonnage		\$1,000.00	Not Complete
B2012-09	Region B - Banned Items Number of Counties		\$25,000.00	Not Complete
B2012-10	Region B - Glass Recycling Project Reporting Tonnage		\$20,000.00	Not Complete
B2012-11	Trenton Used Oil Furnace Reporting Tonnage		\$9,200.00	Not Complete

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

8. Summarize projects that did not result in tonnage diversion.

Project Number	Name of Project with Other Than Tonnage as Outcome Measure	Outcome Measure	Unit of Measure (Quantity)	Cost of Project	Average Cost Per Unit of Measure
B2012-01	District Operations	Goals		\$ 30,146.60	
B2012-02	Plan Implementation	Goals		\$ 17,353.40	

9. Identify separately projects that managed items banned from landfills.

Project Number	Name of Project with Other Than Tonnage as Outcome Measure	Outcome Measure	Unit of Measure (Quantity)	Cost of Project	Average Cost Per Unit of Measure
B2010-04	Region B - Banned Items	Number of Counties		\$ 31,912.15	Not Complete
B2012-09	Region B - Banned Items	Number of Counties		\$ 25,000.00	Not Complete

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

11. Describe your district's grant proposal evaluation process.

The NMSWMD Executive Board will evaluate each proposal that is determined to be eligible and complete. The evaluation method will include the following evaluation criteria as appropriate per project category:

1. Conformance with the integrated solid waste management hierarchy as described in the Missouri Policy on Resource Recovery. No grant funds will be made available for incineration without energy recovery or solid waste disposal. (10 CSR 80-9.050);
2. Conformance with the District Targeted Materials List;
3. Degree to which the project contributes to community-based economic development;
4. Degree to which the funding to the project will adversely affect existing private entities in the market segment;
5. Degree to which the project promotes waste reduction or recycling or results in an environmental benefit related to solid waste management through the proposed process;
6. Demonstrated cooperative efforts through a public-private partnership or among political subdivisions ;
7. Compliance with federal, state or local requirements;
8. Transferability of results;
9. The need for the information;
10. Technical ability of the applicant;
11. Managerial ability of the applicant;
12. Ability to implement in a timely manner;
13. Technical feasibility;
14. Availability of feedstock;
15. Level of commitment for financing;
16. Type of contribution by applicant;
17. Effectiveness of marketing strategy;
18. Quality of budget;
19. Selected financial ratios;
20. Timeliness of quarterly status reports; and
21. Project based in the 11-county district of Region B

A copy of the form used in this process is included on the next page.

RECEIVED BY
OCT 30 2012
SWMP OPERATIONS

**NMSWMD SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
District Grant Evaluation Review Form**

PROJECT TITLE: _____

PROJECT CATEGORY _____

WASTE REDUCTION - **WR**
COMPOSTING - **CO**
EDUCATION - **ED**
RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT - **RD**

COLLECTION/PROCESSING - **CP**
MARKET DEVELOPMENT - **MD**
ENERGY RECOVERY - **ER**
RECYCLING - **RC**

EVALUATION CRITERIA

NOTE: Project must be based in the 11 county district of Region B to be eligible.

Conformance to State Policies and Targets

1. Conforms with State Resource Recovery Priorities: Priority is granted to projects which work toward implementing Missouri's Policy on Resource Recovery.

- 10 points The project promotes and/or practices waste reduction or reuse.
- 5 points The project promotes and/or practices collection/processing, market development or composting.
- 2 points The project promotes and/or practices recovery and use of energy from waste materials.

_____ **Points**

2. Reduces, reuses or recycles materials included on the District Targeted Materials List

- 10 points The project reduces, reuses or recycles a targeted material. (1-11)
- 5 points The project reduces, reuses or recycles a material not targeted by the District. (12-14)
- 0 points The project does not reduce, reuse or recycle any specific material.

_____ **Points**

3. Degree of waste reduction or recycling diversion: Criterion will be judged by the amount of waste reduction or recycling diversion, with consideration given to cost per ton, resulting through the proposed process or service over the short or long term.

- 10 points Proposal will lead to significant diversion rates of targeted materials and provides credible evaluation procedures to determine if project goals are met;
- 5 points Proposal will lead to moderate diversion rates and provides credible evaluation procedures;
- 0 points Proposal will lead to marginal diversion rates and does not provide credible evaluation procedures.

_____ **Points**

4. Market Strategy:

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

- 5 points The project has a strong marketing strategy.
- 3 points The project has an acceptable marketing strategy.
- 1 point The marketing strategy is questionable.
- 0 points The project has no marketing strategy included.

____ **Points**
 ____ **SUBTOTAL for Conformance to State Policies**

Cooperative Efforts/Community Impacts

5. Availability of Information/Service: Criterion will be judged on the quality and accessibility of the method the project uses to disseminate information or services.

- 5 points High quality information/service will be readily available at no fee.
- 3 points Information/service of medium quality or will be available for a fee.
- 0 points Information is of low quality or will be kept proprietary.

____ **Points**

6. Need for service, information or market: Criterion will be evaluated by the evidence documenting the need for: i) the proposed service, information or market or ii) for the strength of commitments from the end-markets.

- 10 points Proposal provides compelling evidence of continued need which provides information/ service/ market in target area.
- 5 points Proposal demonstrates intermediate level of need which provides information/service/market in target area.
- 0 points Proposal does not demonstrate need in the target area.

____ **Points**

7. Supply of Recovered Materials or Target Audience: Criterion measures the strength of commitment for feedstock needed to complete a manufacturing or collection project or the documented availability of targeted materials or targeted audience in a waste reduction or education project, as documented by: letters of commitment, contracts or other verifiable documents.

- 15 points Proposal identifies a committed supply of feedstock or available audience within the district necessary to complete the project goals.
- 5 points Proposal identifies a sufficient and regular supply of feedstock or available audience from within and outside of the district.
- 0 points Supply of feedstock or available audience is inadequate or questionable.

____ **Points**

8. Cooperative Efforts: Criterion will be judged by the evidence of efforts to work cooperatively with local governments and other partner organizations in the district, as documented by a letter, ordinance or resolution from the local governing body from the jurisdiction in which the project will be located.

- 10 points Proposal includes documentation of support and approval of local governing body and support of other partner organization(s)
- 5 points Proposal includes documentation of support and approval of local governing body.

RECEIVED BY
 OCT 30 2012
 SWMP OPERATIONS

0 points Inadequate documentation of cooperative efforts is included in the proposal.

___ **Points**

9. Community-based economic development: Criterion will be judged by the evidence of efforts to promote sustainable economic development in the District including local markets, development of jobs, and retention of jobs.

10 points Proposal promotes community-based economic development.
5 points Proposal promotes economic development.
0 points Proposal provides no documentation to support economic develop

___ **Points**

10. Transferability of results: Criterion will be evaluated by the extent to which project innovations and successes may be applied elsewhere in the district.

10 points Proposal clearly demonstrates how program concept may be applied in other communities;
5 points Proposal demonstrates the possibility of transferring project results to other communities;
0 points Proposal does not demonstrate transferability.

___ **Points**

11. Degree to which funding the project will impact existing entities in the NMSWMD SWMD: Criterion will measure the adverse impact of project funding on existing entities in the market segment.

15 points Project will not have a negative impact on existing entities, and will have significant benefits on other entities.
10 points Project will have minor negative impacts, and benefits significantly outweigh negative impacts
5 points Project has a higher degree of negative impacts on existing entities, and lower benefits for existing entities.
0 points Project will have a significant negative impact on existing entities, or the proposal does not address the issue.

___ **Points**

12. Education: Criterion will be judged by the degree of community outreach which promotes the project and/or improves participation in waste reduction, reuse and recycling practices.

10 points Proposal clearly demonstrates community outreach methods identifying audience and number reached.
5 points Proposal includes a community outreach component identifying audience.
0 points Proposal does not include or demonstrate community outreach component.

___ **Points**

___ **SUBTOTAL for Cooperative Efforts/Community Impact**

RECEIVED BY

OCT 30 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

Administrative Considerations

13. Financial strategy, match, commitment and selected financial ratios: Criterion will measure quality of budget and applicant's financial stability to successfully implement project

- 10 points Project has a detailed and comprehensive budget, including budget notes for itemized expenses over \$5,000, financing for the project is committed and documented. Financial reports indicate credit worthiness and financial stability of the applicant and the applicant's business or organization.

 - 5 points Project budget may be less detailed or have questionable items, financing not completely secured, match requirements overstated, questionable or not yet committed. Financial reports indicate marginal credit worthiness and financial stability for the applicant and the applicant's business or organization.

 - 0 points Adequate project financing has not been demonstrated. Financial reports not submitted or indicate poor credit worthiness or financial stability. Project budget is vague or incomplete, financing questionable and match requirements uncertain or unsatisfactory.
- _____ Points

14. Past Performance Rating:

NEGATIVE POINTS

- 0 points Applicant has demonstrated satisfactory performance in the administration of a previous grant project.
 - 10 points Applicant has demonstrated less than satisfactory performance in the administration of a previous grant project.
 - 25 points Applicant has failed to meet the minimum performance requirements of a previous project funded by the district or MDNR, due to non-criminal mismanagement.
 - 50 points Applicant has been convicted of defrauding the district or MDNR or has failed to honor a previous contractual agreement with the district or MDNR.
- _____ Points

15. Completeness of Application: including applicant profile cover sheet, applicant checklist, budget form, time line, project site identification, executive summary, qualifications and the required attachments enumerated in the application package.

NEGATIVE POINTS

- 0 points Specified areas are complete and no additional data is required to complete the review of the application.
- 5 points Specified areas are substantially complete, but additional data is required to complete the review.

RECEIVED BY
OCT 30 2012
SWMP OPERATIONS

- 20 points Specified areas are not complete and the data provided is insufficient for consideration of the entire application.

___ **Points**

16. **Managerial Experience of Applicant:** Criterion measures the ability of the applicant to manage (i.e. personnel, financial administration, etc.) the project based on previous work experience and demonstrated expertise in the field. Are qualifications and/or resume provided for those managing the project?

10 points Extensive experience (5 years or more).

5 points Limited experience.

0 points No experience.

___ **Points**

___ **SUBTOTAL for Administrative Considerations**

Technical Considerations

17. **Technical Capability of Applicant:** Criterion measures the ability of the applicant to implement and operate the project based on previous work experience and demonstrated expertise in the field.

10 points Extensive experience (5 years or more).

5 points Limited experience.

0 points No experience.

___ **Points**

18. **Project Implementation:** Criterion measures the technical feasibility of completing the project in a realistic time frame.

10 points The project is likely to be implemented based on the timeline, technical specifications and budget provided in the application.

5 points There are concerns that the project may not be implemented in a timely manner, based on the timeline, technical specifications and budget provided in the application.

0 points The project is not likely to be implemented in a timely manner.

___ **Points**

19. **Compliance with Federal, State or Local Requirements (permits, waivers, licenses):** Criterion will evaluate the applicant's assessment of needed permits, waivers and/or licenses

10 points Projects which require permits, waivers or licenses have been obtained and are included in the application, or demonstrates that permits are not needed and documentation is provided as requested.

5 points Projects which require permits, waivers or licenses have been identified but not yet obtained.

0 points Requirements for permits, waivers or licenses have not been identified nor addressed.

RECEIVED BY
OCT 30 2012
SWMP OPERATIONS

____ Points

____ SUBTOTAL for Technical Considerations

____ TOTAL POINTS [170 points possible]

Updated March 2012

Project must score a minimum of 127 to be considered for funding.

RECEIVED BY
OCT 30 2012
SWMP OPERATIONS

North Missouri Solid Waste Management - Region B
 Listing of Board of Directors and Executive Board
 June 30, 2012

City/County Name	Name Official Title	Phone Number Fax Number	Executive Board Member/ Position	Address Email Address
Caldwell County	C.R. Motsinger Presiding Commissioner	816-586-2571 816-586-3001	Yes Member	P.O. Box 67 Kingston, MO 64650 Email: consumers.oil@plantpioneer.com
Alternate	Donnie Cox Commissioner	816-586-2571 816-586-3001		P.O. Box 67 Kingston, MO 64650 Email: countyclerk@centurytel.net
City of Braymer	Kenny Joe Rogers Mayor	660-645-2355 660-645-2390		108 E 2nd Street, P.O. Box 125 Braymer, MO 64624 Email: tmallory_54@hotmail.com
Alternate	N/A			
City of Hamilton	Gary Brown Mayor	816-583-4911 816-583-4929		200 South Davis Hamilton, MO 64644 Email: debbieallen@hotmail.com
Alternate	Dale Wallace City Administrator	816-583-4911 816-583-4929		200 South Davis Hamilton, MO 64644 Email: hamiltoncity@live.com
City of Polo	Jesse Innis Mayor	660-354-2345 660-354-2346		1010 Main St., P.O. Box 307 Polo, MO 64671 Email: cityhal@greenhills.net
Alternate	N/A			
Carroll County	Nelson Heil Presiding Commissioner	660-542-0615 660-542-0621	Yes President	229 East 5th Street Norborne, MO 64668 Email: nheil@greenhills.net
Alternate	Jim Stewart Associate Commissioner	660-542-0615 660-542-0621		8 South Main, Suite 6 Carrollton, MO 64633 Email: countyclerk@carrollcomo.org
Town of Carrollton	Trella Ward Planning/Zoning	660-542-1414 660-542-8205		206 W Washington Avenue Carrollton, MO 64633 Email: clerk.cpd@sbcglobal.net
Alternate	N/A			

RECEIVED
 OCT 30 2012
 SWAMP OPERATIONS

North Missouri Solid Waste Management - Region B
 Listing of Board of Directors and Executive Board
 June 30, 2012

City/County Name	Name Official Title	Phone Number Fax Number	Executive Board Member/ Position	Address Email Address
City of Norborne Alternate	Roger Leabo Mayor N/A	660-593-3514 660-593-3514		122 Maple Street Norborne, MO 64668 Email: power@greenhills.net
Chariton County Alternate	Tony McCollum Presiding Commissioner Terry Smith	660-288-3200 x 4 660-288-3403 660-548-3308	Yes Vice-President	30340 Hwy O Keytesville, MO 65261 Email: charitonclerk@centurytel.net 504 W. Broadway Brunswick, MO 65236 Email: none
City of Brunswick Alternate	Jerry Calvert Mayor N/A	660-548-3048 660-548-3360		115 W Broadway, PO Box 157 Brunswick, MO 65236 Email: none
City of Keytesville Alternate	Anthony Lee Mayor N/A	660-288-3745 660-288-3745		404 West Bridge Street Keytesville, MO 65261 Email: citykey@mcmsys.com
City of Salisbury Alternate	Del Dee Meyer Mayor N/A	660-388-6197 660-388-5608		128 West 2nd Street, P.O. Box 168 Salisbury, MO 65281 Email: citysalis@cvalley.net
Daviess County Alternate	Randy Sims Presiding Commissioner David Cox Associate Commissioner	660-663-2641 660-663-3075 660-663-2641 660-663-3075	Yes Member	102 N Main Street Gallatin, MO 64640 Email: daviess@sos.mo.gov 102 N Main Street Gallatin, MO 64640 Email: daviess@sos.mo.gov

RECEIVED BY
 OCT 30 2012
 SWMS OPERATIONS

North Missouri Solid Waste Management - Region B
 Listing of Board of Directors and Executive Board
 June 30, 2012

City/County Name	Name Official Title	Phone Number Fax Number	Executive Board Member/ Position	Address Email Address
City of Gallatin	Barb Ballew Mayor	660-663-2011 660-663-3937		112 East Grand Gallatin, MO 64640 Email: none
Alternate	Zac Johnson City Administrator	660-663-2011 660-663-3937		112 East Grand Gallatin, MO 64640 Email: zjohnson@gallatinmo.com
City of Jamesport	Jack Turner Mayor	660-684-6111 660-684-6854		112 S Broadway, PO Box 222 Jamesport, MO 64648 Email: jymsport@grm.net
Alternate	N/A			
Grundy County	Kerry Sampson City Administrator	660-359-2013 660-359-2284	Yes Secretary/ Treasurer	1100 Main Street, P.O. Box 188 Trenton, MO 64683 Email: cityadmin@trentonmo.com
Alternate	Rick Hull Presiding Commissioner	660-359-4040 x 5 660-359-6786		2201 Mariner Road Trenton, MO 64683 Email: commissioners@grundycountymo.com
City of Trenton	Kerry Sampson City Administrator	660-359-2013 660-359-2284		1100 Main Street, P.O. Box 188 Trenton, MO 64683 Email: cityadmin@trentonmo.com
Alternate	Nick McHargue Mayor	660-359-2013 660-359-2284		1100 Main Street, P.O. Box 188 Trenton, MO 64683 Email:
Harrison County	Jack Hodge Presiding Commissioner	660-425-6424 660-425-3772	Yes Member	1505 Main Street, P.O. Box 525 Bethany, MO 64424 Email: harrisoncounty@ymail.com
Alternate	George Bowles Northern Commissioner	660-425-6424 660-425-3772		1505 Main Street, P.O. Box 525 Bethany, MO 64424 Email: harrisoncounty@ymail.com

RECEIVED BY
 OCT 10 2012
 WMP OPERATIONS

North Missouri Solid Waste Management - Region B
 Listing of Board of Directors and Executive Board
 June 30, 2012

City/County Name	Name Official Title	Phone Number Fax Number	Executive Board Member/ Position	Address Email Address
City of Bethany	Richard Graner Mayor	660-425-3511 660-425-7889		206 North 16th Street, P.O. Box 344 Bethany, MO 64424 Email: rgraner@grm.net
Alternate	Jan Hagler City Administrator	660-425-8673 660-425-7889		206 North 16th Street, P.O. Box 344 Bethany, MO 64424 Email: bethadm@grm.net
City of Ridgeway	Opal D. Smith Mayor	660-872-6414 660-872-0114		708 Main Street, P.O. Box 182 Ridgeway, MO 64481 Email: ccdfway@grm.net
Alternate	N/A			
Linn County	Bill Dorsey 1st District Commissioner	660-895-5417 660-895-5527	Yes Member	108 North High, P.O. Box 92 Linneus, MO 64653 Email: linn@sos.mo.gov
Alternate	Dick King Presiding Commissioner	660-895-5417 660-895-5527		108 North High, P.O. Box 92 Linneus, MO 64653 Email: linn@sos.mo.gov
City of Brookfield	Jack Forbes Mayor	660-258-3377 660-258-4129		116 West Brooks Brookfield, MO 64628 Email: dltarpening@yahoo.com
Alternate	N/A			
City of Marceline	Luke Lewis City Administrator	660-376-3528 660-376-3898		116 North Main Street USA Marceline, MO 64658 Email: luke.lewis@marceline.org
Alternate	N/A			
City of Bucklin	Don Noah Mayor	660-695-3221 660-695-3221		22 South Livingston, P.O. Box 76 Bucklin, MO 64631 Email: cbucklin@cvalley.net
Alternate	N/A			

RECEIVED BY
 6/27/2012
 10:30 AM
 ENVIRONMENTAL
 OPERATIONS

North Missouri Solid Waste Management - Region B
 Listing of Board of Directors and Executive Board
 June 30, 2012

City/County Name	Name Official Title	Phone Number Fax Number	Executive Board Member/ Position	Address Email Address
Livingston County	Eva Danner-Horton Presiding Commissioner	660-646-8000 x 202 660-646-8010	Yes Member	1220 Elm Chillicothe, MO 64601 Email: countycommission@livingstoncomo.com
Alternate	Todd Rodenberg Western Commissioner	660-646-8000 x 218 660-646-8010		700 Webster Chillicothe, MO 64601 Email: countycommission@livingstoncomo.com
City of Chillicothe	Chuck Haney Mayor	660-646-2424 660-646-6811		715 N. Washington Chillicothe, MO 64601 Email: mayor@chillicothecity.org
Alternate	Troy Figg CMU	660-646-1664 660-646-4181		920 Washington Street Chillicothe, MO 64601 Email: tfigg@cmuchillicothe.com
Mercer County	Clifford Shipley Presiding Commissioner	660-748-3425 660-748-3445	Yes Member	R#1 Box 218 A Mercer, MO 64661 Email: mcclerk@grm.net
Alternate	Carolyn Kost	660-748-3425 660-748-3445		R#1 Box 218 A Mercer, MO 64661 Email: mcclerk@grm.net
City of Princeton	Michael Greenlee Mayor	660-748-4375 660-748-4776		507 West Main Princeton, MO 64673 Email: cityprin@grm.net
Alternate	N/A			
Putnam County	Randy Sands Presiding Commissioner	660-947-2674 660-947-4214	Yes Member	17470 Hwy 129 Unionville, MO 63565 Email: rasands@nemr.net
Alternate	Shane Bradshaw Associate Commissioner	660-947-2674 660-947-4214		1601 Main Street Unionville, MO 63565 Email: putclerk@nemr.net

RECEIVED BY
 DOT 30012
 SWMS OPERATIONS

North Missouri Solid Waste Management - Region B
 Listing of Board of Directors and Executive Board
 June 30, 2012

City/County Name	Name Official Title	Phone Number Fax Number	Executive Board Member/ Position	Address Email Address
City of Unionville Alternate	Don Fowler Mayor N/A	660-947-2438 660-947-7756		1611 Grant Street, P.O. Box 255 Unionville, MO 63565 Email: donnae@nemr.net
Sullivan County	Chris May Presiding Commissioner	660-265-3434 660-265-3724	Yes Member	44434 Robin Road Humphreys, MO 64646 Email: scbridge@windstream.net
Alternate	Danny Busick 2nd District Commissioner	660-265-3434 660-265-3724		P.O. Box 148 Newtown, MO 64667 Email: scbridge@windstream.net
City of Green City Alternate	Richard Page Mayor N/A	660-874-4219 660-874-5813		4 Green Street, PO Box 235 Green City, MO 63545 Email: city@nemr.net
City of Milan	William Phillips Mayor	660-265-4411 660-265-3005		212 East 2nd Street, P.O. Box 247 Milan, MO 63556 Email: milan@nemr.net
Alternate	Jim Onello City Administrator	660-265-4491 660-265-3005		212 East 2nd Street, P.O. Box 247 Milan, MO 63556 Email: cityadm@nemr.net

RECEIVED BY
 OCT 30 2012
 SWMP OPERATIONS