

## Committee Purpose:

- ❑ Provide access to public financing for community's water and wastewater infrastructure needs
- ❑ Coordinate the financial resources of funding agencies to maximize public infrastructure fund
- ❑ Jointly review proposed projects to ensure projects are affordable



For more information on  
The Missouri Water and Wastewater  
Review Committee visit the  
following website

[dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/srf/additional-resources.htm](http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/srf/additional-resources.htm)



Nothing in this document may be used to implement any enforcement action or levy any penalty unless promulgated by rule under chapter 536 or authorized by statute.

# The Missouri Water and Wastewater Review Committee (MWWRC)



“Water is the driving  
force of all nature”  
-Leonardo da Vinci

## The Missouri Water and Wastewater Review Committee

is a group of individuals representing three agencies that provide funding to communities for water and wastewater infrastructure improvements. The committee helps community leaders pursue funding options by reviewing proposals and engineering reports and making recommendations or extending an invitation to apply for funding. This collaborative effort between funding agencies allows them to stretch limited funding dollars and support the greatest number of projects. The committee meets once a month to review proposals.

### Committee Members:

- Missouri Department of Natural Resources - State Revolving Fund and Public Drinking Water Branch
- Missouri Department of Economic Development -Community Development Block Grant Program
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, - Rural Development

### Application Process:

1. Community works with a consulting engineer to submit a two-page application and six copies of an engineering report or facility plan
2. Committee usually meets monthly to review project proposals
3. Project proposals received after the first of the month are placed on the agenda for the following month
4. Committee provides a response letter with comments, questions, or an invitation to apply for funding
5. Comments focus on proposed financial packages, design alternatives and the necessity of the project

### What barriers could prevent a proposal from receiving an invitation to apply for funding?

- Utility is currently operating financially at a deficit
- Community's unwillingness to consider reasonable regionalization alternatives
- Lack of documentation of need for the project
- Limited inclusion of low-cost alternatives

