

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No. MO-0134228

Owner: Lurhaven Heights Subdivision Homeowners Association
Address: 1026 Palisades Blvd., Suite 3, Osage Beach, MO 65065

Continuing Authority: Same as Above
Address: Same as Above

Facility Name: Lurhaven Heights Subdivision WWTF
Facility Address: Lurhaven Road, Camdenton MO 65020

Legal Description: NE¹/₄, SW¹/₄, NE¹/₄, Sec. 27, T39N, R17W, Camden County
UTM (X / Y): 519603 / 4216456

Receiving Stream: Lake of the Ozarks (L2)
First Classified Stream and ID: Lake of the Ozarks (L2) (07205)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10290109-08001)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Outfall #001 – Subdivision / Sewerage Works - SIC # 4952 / 4952

Septic tanks as part of a septic tank effluent pump (STEP) system / septic tank / Microfast 3.0 system / chlorination / dechlorination / sludge disposal by contract hauler.


Design organic population equivalent is 22.2.
Design average daily flow is 0.00222 MGD.
Design sludge production is 0.15 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

June 29, 2010
Effective Date


Mark N. Templeton, Director Department of Natural Resources

June 28, 2015
Expiration Date


Cynthia S. Davies, Regional Director, Southwest Regional Office

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				PAGE NUMBER 2 of 5		
				PERMIT NUMBER MO-0134228		
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:						
OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #001</u>						
Flow	GPD	*		*	once/month**	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L	40		20	once/month**	grab
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	40		20	once/month**	grab
pH – Units	SU	***		***	once/month**	grab
Fecal Coliform (Note 1)	#/100 ml	1,000		400 (Note 2)	once/month**	grab
Total Residual Chlorine as Cl ₂	mg/L	0.019 (Note 3) (0.13 ML)		0.0095 (Note 3) (0.13ML)	once/month**	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	12.1		4.6	once/month**	grab
Temperature	°C	*		*	once/month**	grab
Total Phosphorus as P	mg/L	*		*	once/month**	grab
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/month**	grab
OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	DAILY MINIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #001						
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	*		*	once/month**	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE August 28, 2010 . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
B. STANDARD CONDITIONS						
IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I & III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED <u>October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994</u> , AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.						

MO 780-0010 (8/91)

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** Sample once per month. Reports shall be submitted by the 28th day of the month following the reporting period, e.g. Reporting period is the month of March (sample collected in March), report due by April 28th.
- *** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH for all facilities except lagoons is limited to the range of 6.5-9.0 pH units.

Note 1 - Final limitations and monitoring requirements for Fecal Coliform are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

Note 2 - Monthly average limit for Fecal Coliform is expressed as a geometric mean. Geometric mean for
 n samples = $[a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3 \dots \times a_n]^{1/n}$

Note 3 - This permit contains a Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) limit.

- (a) This effluent limit is below the minimum quantification level (ML) of the most common and practical EPA approved CLTRC methods. The department has determined the current acceptable ML for total residual chlorine to be 0.13 mg/L when using the DPD Colorimetric Method #4500 – CL G. from Standard Methods for the Examination of Waters and Wastewater. The permittee will conduct analyses in accordance with this method, or equivalent, and report actual analytical values. Measured values greater than or equal to the minimum quantification level of 0.13 mg/L will be considered violations of the permit and values less than the minimum quantification level of 0.13 mg/L will be considered to be in compliance with the permit limitation. The minimum quantification level does not authorize the discharge of chlorine in excess of the effluent limits stated in the permit.
- (b) Disinfection is required year-round unless the permit specifically states that “Final limitations and monitoring requirements for Fecal Coliform are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31.” If your permit does not require disinfection during the non-recreational months, do not chlorinate in those months.
- (c) Do not chemically dechlorinate **if it is not needed to meet the limits in your permit**.
- (d) If no chlorine was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as “0 mg/L” TRC.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:

- (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
- (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri’s Water Quality Standards.
- (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri’s list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state’s water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to areawide wastewater treatment system within 90 days of notice of its availability.
4. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
 - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.
- (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.
5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.
6. Water Quality Standards
- (a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
 - (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
 - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.
7. Sludge and Biosolids Use For Domestic Wastewater Treatment Facilities
- (a) Permittee shall comply with the pollutant limitations, monitoring, reporting, and other requirements in accordance with the attached permit Standard Conditions.
 - (b) If sludge is not removed by a contract hauler, permittee is authorized to land apply biosolids. Permit Standard Conditions, Part III shall apply to the land application of biosolids. Permittee shall notify the department at least 180 days prior to the planned removal of biosolids. The department may require submittal of a biosolids management plan for department review and approval as determined appropriate on a case-by-case basis.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

8. Non-standard Technology: Please note that the engineering design includes technology not addressed in Missouri Clean Water Commission Regulations 10 CSR 20-Chapter 8 design standards. To assess the effectiveness of the new technology at this facility, the following special conditions must be followed.
- (a) Upon submission of the renewal application the permittee shall submit an engineering report prepared by a professional engineer to the Southwest Regional Office evaluating the new technology using the 95th percentile performance standard described in the statement of basis. At a minimum the new technology must be evaluated and found to meet the 95th percentile performance standard at a minimum of 60% of the design organic or hydraulic loading to remove this special condition. If 60% organic or hydraulic engineered design loading has not occurred during this permit cycle the special condition cannot be removed during the renewal process; however, an engineering report evaluating the performance standard is still required to be submitted.
 - (b) The permittee acting under the supervision of a professional engineer registered in Missouri shall at a minimum, collect and test samples of wastewater treatment facility effluent as outlined in this permit, measure flow as outlined in this permit, and shall record all maintenance and operational problems experienced with the wastewater treatment facility during the first 60 months of operation. Other sample collection and testing including influent samples, and samples before and after each unit operation or group of unit operations, and other record keeping shall be done at the discretion of the professional engineer as needed to assess the new technology.
 - (c) The new technology will be deemed successful if the performance standard for 95th percentile probability is less than or equal to the permit maximum monthly average limit for each parameter.
 - (d) If the new technology fails to meet the 95th percentile probability performance standard for any parameter, or if the engineer assesses the operation and maintenance problems to be sufficiently serious to require replacement of the new technology, the permittee shall submit engineering report, plans, specifications prepared by a professional engineer registered in Missouri along with construction permit application forms, filing fee to Southwest Regional Office within one hundred twenty (120) calendar days of the date of submittal of the engineering report evaluation that identified the failure. These documents shall outline replacement of the failed new technology with standard technology listed in Missouri Clean Water Commission Regulation 10 CSR 20-Chapter 8. Within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days of receiving the construction permit, the permittee shall construct the replacement facilities and submit the Statement of Work Complete prepared by the professional engineer to Southwest Regional Office.
 - (e) An annual report due each year on **January 28** shall be submitted summarizing any operational problems at the facility, all construction that has been completed with flow tributary to the facility, the percent of organic or hydraulic loading going to the facility, and the general overall performance of the facility (any violation of the effluent limits established in Table A at a minimum).

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Statement of Basis
Lurhaven Heights Subdivision
NPDES #: MO-0134228
Camden County**

A Statement of Basis (Statement) gives pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations and rational for the development of the NPDES Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit). This Statement includes Wasteload Allocations, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations, and Reasonable Potential Analysis calculations as well as any other calculations that effect the effluent limitations of this operating permit. This Statement does not pertain to operating permits that include sewage sludge land application plans and variance procedures, and does not include the public comment process for this operating permit.

A Statement is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

Facility Information

Facility Type: Sewerage Works
Facility SIC Code(s): 4952

Facility Description: Septic tanks as part of a septic tank effluent pump (STEP) system / septic tank / Microfast 3.0 system* / chlorination / dechlorination / sludge disposal by contract hauler
* indicates non-standard technology as designed

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001	0.003441	Equivalent to Secondary	Domestic, New	0

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History:

This is for a new facility. Using non-standard technology therefore a Special Condition is required. Appendix A below shows the calculations needed to make the required determination on whether the facility is meeting the permit limitations.

Receiving Stream Information

Please mark the correct designated waters of the state categories of the receiving stream.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]: Yes ; No
- Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]: Yes ; No
- Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]: Yes ; No
- Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]: Yes ; No
- Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]: Yes ; No
- Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]: Yes ; No
- All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]: Yes ; No

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	8-DIGIT HUC	EDU**
Lake of the Ozarks	L2	07205	LWW, AQL, WBC, SCR	10290109	Ozark / Osage Drainage

* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery (CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND).

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:

RECEIVING STREAM (U, C, P)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Lake of the Ozarks	289	423	444

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS TABLE:

MIXING ZONE (CFS)		
[10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(II)(a)]		
1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
72.25	105.75	111

Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions**ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:**

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable ;

The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); CFR §122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

- New facility.

ANTIDEGRADATION:

Policies which ensure protection of water quality for a particular water body where the water quality exceeds levels necessary to protect fish and wildlife propagation and recreation on and in the water. This also includes special protection of waters designated as outstanding natural resource waters. Antidegradation requirements are consistent with 40 CFR 131.12 that outlines methods used to assess

activities that may impact the integrity of a water and protect existing uses. This policy may compel the state to maintain a level of water quality above those mandated by criteria.

Applicable, but deferred ;

As per [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)(D)], the three (3) levels of protection provided by the antidegradation policy in subsections (A), (B), and (C) of this section shall be implemented according to procedures developed by the department. On April 20, 2007, the Missouri Clean Water Commission approved *Missouri Antidegradation Rule and Implementation Procedure* (Antidegradation Rule), which is applicable to new or upgraded/expanded facilities. The implementation of the Antidegradation Rule will be implemented upon promulgation, which is tentatively scheduled for August 2008.

APPLICABLE PERMIT PARAMETERS:

Effluent parameters for conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants have been obtained from the previous NPDES operating permit for this facility, technology based effluent limits, water quality based effluent limits, and from appropriate sections of the renewal application.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Action taken by the department to resolve violations of the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ;

The permittee/facility is not under enforcement action and is considered to be in compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR §403.3(q)].

Not Applicable ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to implement and enforce a Pretreatment Program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters that are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above the Missouri Water Quality Standards.

Not Applicable ;

A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is one method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for domestic wastewater sources.

Not Applicable ;

This facility is not required to meet a removal efficiency because it is a non-POTW.

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSOs), AND INFLOW & INFILTRATION (I&I):

Collection systems are a critical element in the successful performance of the wastewater treatment process. Under certain conditions, poorly designed, built, managed, operated, and/or maintained systems can pose

risks to public health, the environment, or both. Causes of SSOs include, but are not limited to, the following: high levels of I&I during wet weather; blockages; structural, mechanical, or electrical failures; collapsed or broken sewer pipes; insufficient conveyance capacity; and vandalism. Effective and continuous management, operation, and maintenance, as well as ensuring adequate capacity and rehabilitation when necessary are critical to maintaining collection system capacity and performance while extending the life of the system.

Not Applicable ;

This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ;

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

A plan to schedule activities, prohibitions of practices, maintenance procedures, and other management practices to prevent or reduce the pollution of waters of the state. The plan may include, but is not limited to, treatment requirements, operating procedures, and practices to control facility site runoff, spillage or leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

Not Applicable ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the department to release into a given stream after the department has determined to total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable ;

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration
Cs = upstream concentration
Qs = upstream flow
Ce = effluent concentration
Qe = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

WLA MODELING:

Not Applicable ;

A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by department staff.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(CC)], a toxicity test conducted under specified laboratory conditions on specific indicator organism; and as per [40 CFR §122.2], the aggregate toxic effect of an effluent measured directly by a toxicity test.

Not Applicable ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Applicable .

Lake of the Ozarks is listed on the 2002 Missouri 303(d) List for low dissolved oxygen, gas supersaturation and fish trauma.

– This facility is not considered to be a source of the above listed pollutant(s) or considered to contributed to the impairment of Lake of the Ozarks.

Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	UNIT	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MODIFIED	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	GPD	1	*	--	*	N/A	N/A
BOD ₅ **	MG/L	1	40	--	20	N/A	N/A
TSS **	MG/L	1	40	--	20	N/A	N/A
pH (S.U.)	SU	1	6.5-9.0	--	6.5-9.0	N/A	N/A
TOTAL AMMONIA AS N	MG/L	1,5	12.1	--	4.6	N/A	N/A
FECAL COLIFORM	***	1	1,000	--	400	N/A	N/A
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL (MG/L)	MG/L	1	0.019	--	0.0095	N/A	N/A

TEMPERATURE	°C	1,5	*	--	*	N/A	N/A
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MG/L	1,8	*	--	*	N/A	N/A
TOTAL NITROGEN	MG/L	1,8	*	--	*	N/A	N/A
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	MG/L	1,11	*	--	*	N/A	N/A
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						

*** - Monitoring requirement only**

*** - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for Fecal Coliform is a geometric mean.

N/A – Not applicable

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 6. Antidegradation Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 7. Water Quality Model |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 8. Best Professional Judgement |
| 4. Lagoon Policy | 9. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 5. Ammonia Policy | 10. WET test Policy |
| | 11. Dissolved Oxygen Policy |

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅).

– 40 mg/L Daily Maximum and 20 mg/L Monthly Average effluent limitations, as per [10 CSR 20-7.015]. The daily maximum is calculated by $(20 \times 3.114) / 1.5524 = 40$ mg/L daily maximum.

Total Suspended Solids (TSS).

– 40 mg/L Daily Maximum and 20 mg/L Monthly Average effluent limitations, as per [10 CSR 20-7.015]. The daily maximum is calculated by $(20 \times 3.114) / 1.5524 = 40$ mg/L daily maximum.

pH. Effluent limitations are 6.5-9.0 as per [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(E)].

Temperature. Monitoring requirement due to the toxicity of Ammonia varies by temperature.

Ammonia as N: Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C. & Table B3]. Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L.

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg N/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg N/L)
Mar 1 – May 31	16	7.8	2.8	12.1
Jun 1 – Aug 31	28	7.8	1.3	12.1
Sept 1 – Nov 30	16	7.8	2.8	12.1
Dec 1 – Feb 29	6	7.8	3.1	12.1

Spring: Mar 1 – May 31, Summer: Jun 1 – Aug 31, Fall: Sep 1 – Nov 30, Winter: Dec 1 – Feb 29

Summer – Zone of Initial Dilution is not allowed. Mixing Zone is allowed = 32.25 cfs

Acute

$$((Q_e + Q_s) * C - (Q_s * C_s)) / Q_e$$

$$((0.003441 + 0) * 12.1 - (0 * 0.037)) / 0.003441 = 12.1$$

$$LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L } (0.321) = 3.9 \text{ mg N/L} \quad [CV = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

Chronic

$$((0.003441 + 72.25) * 1.3 - (72.25 * 0.037)) / 0.003441 = 26,520$$

$$LTA_c = 26,520 \text{ mg/L } (0.780) = 20,685 \text{ mg N/L} \quad [CV = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile, 30 day average}]$$

Acute is more protective

$$MDL = 3.9 \text{ mg/L } * 3.11 = 12.1 \text{ mg N/L} \quad [CV = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

$$AML = 3.9 \text{ mg/L } * 1.19 = 4.6 \text{ mg N/L} \quad [CV = 0.6, 95^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile, } n = 30]$$

Because the chronic summer number is the smallest compared to fall, spring, and winter and the summer chronic was higher than the acute, the other seasons for chronic were not calculated because it would have shown that the acute value would be more protective.

Maximum Daily Limit (mg N/L)	Average Monthly Limit (mg N/L)
12.1	4.6

Fecal Coliform.

$$((Q_e + Q_s) * C - (Q_s * C_s)) / Q_e$$

$$\text{Chronic: } C_e = ((0.003441 + 105.8) * 200 - (105.8 * 0)) / 0.003441 = 6,149,575$$

$$WLA_c = 6,149,575 / 100 \text{ mL}$$

$$LTA_c = 6,149,575 (0.5274) = 3243285 / 100 \text{ mL} \quad [CV = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

$$MDL = 3243285 (3.114) = 10099589 / 100 \text{ mL} \quad [CV = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

$$AML = 3243285 (1.55) = 5027091 / 100 \text{ mL} \quad [CV = 0.6, 95^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile, } n = 4]$$

The technology based limits are more protective as per 10 CFS 20-7.015, 1,000 / 100 mL daily maximum and 400 / 100 mL monthly average.

Total Residual Chlorine (TRC). Warm-water Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = 10 µg/L, CMC = 19 µg/L [10 CSR 20-7.031, Table A]. Background TRC = 0.0 µg/L. Due the fact the flows through the lakes are large, Acute criteria will be used only.

$$((Q_e + Q_s) * C - (Q_s * C_s)) / Q_e$$

$$\text{Acute: } C_e = ((0.003441 + 0) * 0.019 - (0 * 0)) / 0.003441 = 0.019$$

$$WLA_a = 0.019 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$LTA_a = 0.019 (0.321) = 0.0061 \text{ mg/L} \quad [CV = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

$$MDL = 0.0061 (3.114) = \mathbf{0.019 \text{ mg/L}} \quad [CV = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

$$AML = 0.0061 (1.55) = \mathbf{0.0095 \text{ mg/L}} \quad [CV = 0.6, 95^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile, } n = 4]$$

Total Phosphorus. New nutrient criteria will be coming out in the near future for Lakes. Monitoring only to gather information about facilities and to determine the impacts if any to the lake for this parameter.

Total Nitrogen. New nutrient criteria will be coming out in the near future for Lakes. Monitoring only to gather information about facilities and to determine the impacts if any to the lake for this parameter.

Dissolved Oxygen. Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for dissolved oxygen are included to determine whether “reasonable potential” to exceed water quality standards exists after the discharge begins.

Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements.

PARAMETER	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	REPORTING FREQUENCY
FLOW	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
BOD ₅	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TSS	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
pH (S.U.)	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TEMPERATURE (°C)	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TOTAL AMMONIA AS N	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
FECAL COLIFORM (NOTE 1)	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL (MG/L)	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS (MG/L)	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TOTAL NITROGEN (MG/L)	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
DISSOLVED OXYGEN (MG/L)	MONTHLY	MONTHLY

Sampling Frequency Justification:

This permit was public noticed previously with quarterly sampling and will remain.

Sampling Type Justification

This permit was public noticed previously with grab samples and will remain.

Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

Date of Factsheet: June 21, 2010

Mr. Joshua L. Grosvenor, EI
WP Engineering Unit
(417) 891-4300
josh.grosvenor@dnr.mo.gov

APPENDIX A – NON-STANDARD TECHNOLOGY DETERMINATION:

Please note that the engineering design includes technology not addressed in Missouri Clean Water Commission Regulations 10 CSR 20-Chapter 8 design standards. To assess the effectiveness of the new technology at this facility, the following special conditions must be followed.

Upon submission of the renewal application the permittee shall submit an engineering report prepared by a professional engineer to the Southwest Regional Office evaluating the new technology using the 95th percentile performance standard described in the statement of basis. At a minimum the new technology must be evaluated and found to meet the 95th percentile performance standard at a minimum of 60% of the design organic or hydraulic loading to remove this special condition. If 60% organic or hydraulic engineered design loading has not occurred during this permit cycle the special condition cannot be removed during the renewal process; however, an engineering report evaluating the performance standard is still required to be submitted. At the minimum, this evaluation shall include:

- (a) Calculation of the mean (average) test results for all wastewater treatment facility effluent sample results collected under this permit for all parameters that have a maximum average monthly permit limit except pH.
- (b) Calculation of the standard deviation of all test results noted above based on the following:

$$\text{Standard deviation} = \left[\frac{(R_1 - \text{mean})^2 + (R_2 - \text{mean})^2 + (R_3 - \text{mean})^2 + \dots + (R_n - \text{mean})^2}{n - 1} \right]^{1/2}$$

where R₁, R₂, R₃, etc. are the individual sample results
n is the total number of samples

- (c) Calculation of the Coefficient of Variation (cv) for all test results noted above based on the following:

$$cv = \left[\frac{\text{Standard Deviation}}{\text{Mean}} \right]$$

- (d) Calculation of the Standard Deviation of Logarithms (σ) for all test results noted above based on the following:

$$\sigma = \left[\ln \left[\frac{cv^2 + 1}{4} \right] \right]^{1/2}$$

where ln is the natural logarithm to base e
e is 2.718281828

- (e) Calculation of the Performance Standard (P) for 95th percentile probability for all test results noted above based on the following:

$$P = (\text{mean})e^{(z\sigma - 0.5\sigma^2)}$$

where z is 1.645 for 95 percentile probability

The new technology will be deemed successful if the performance standard for 95th percentile probability is less than or equal to the permit maximum monthly average limit for each parameter at a minimum of 60% hydraulic or organic loading.