

STATE OF MISSOURI  
**DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**  
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



**MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT**

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92<sup>nd</sup> Congress) as amended,

Permit No. MO-0116131

Owner: Nelco Inc.  
Address: P O Box 209, Cape Fair, MO 65624

Continuing Authority: Same as Above  
Address: Same as Above

Facility Name: Edgewater Village WWTF  
Facility Address: Edgewater Village, Cape Fair MO 65624

Legal Description: NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, Sec. 01, T23N, R24W, Stone County  
UTM (X/Y): 456753 / 4064106

Receiving Stream: Unnamed Tributary to Table Rock Lake (U)  
First Classified Stream and ID: Table Rock Lake (L2) (07313) 303 (d)  
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (11010002-070001)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

**FACILITY DESCRIPTION**

Outfall #001 - Subdivision / Sewerage Works - SIC #8641 / 4952

Extended aeration / chemical addition to facilitate phosphorus removal / chlorination / dechlorination / sludge is land applied.

Design organic population equivalent is 281.  
Design average daily flow is 0.0225 MGD.  
Design sludge production is 7.3 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 621.250 RSMo, Section 640.013 RSMo and Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

December 30, 2011      July 26, 2013  
Effective Date      Revised Date

  
Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources

December 29, 2016  
Expiration Date

  
John Madras, Director, Water Protection Program

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				PAGE NUMBER 2 of 6		
				PERMIT NUMBER MO-0116131		
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:						
OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #001</u>						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/month**	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand <sub>5</sub>	mg/L		30	20	once/month**	****
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		30	20	once/month**	****
pH – Units	SU	***		***	once/month**	grab
<i>E. coli</i> (Note 1)	#/100 ml	630		126	once/month**	grab
Total Residual Chlorine as Cl <sub>2</sub>	mg/L	0.016 (Note 2) (0.13 ML)		0.0082(Note 2) (0.13ML)	once/month**	grab
Total Phosphorus as P	mg/L	*		0.5	once/month**	****
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*		*	once/month**	grab
Aluminum, Total Recoverable (Note 3)	mg/L	*		*	once/month**	****
Iron, Total Recoverable (Note 3)	mg/L	*		*	once/month***	****
OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	DAILY MINIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #001</u>						
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	*		*	once/month**	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE NEXT REPORT IS DUE <u>September 28, 2013</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test	% Survival		See Special Conditions #8		once / permit cycle	24 hour composite
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE</u> . THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>January 28, 2016</u> .						
B. STANDARD CONDITIONS						
IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I &amp; III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED <u>October 1, 1980</u> and <u>August 15, 1994</u> , AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.						

**A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)**

- \* Monitoring requirement only.
- \*\* Reports shall be submitted by the 28<sup>th</sup> day of the month following the reporting period, e.g. Reporting period is the month of March (samples collected monthly or weekly), report due by April 28<sup>th</sup>.
- \*\*\* pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH for all facilities except lagoons is limited to the range of 6.5-9.0 pH units.

**A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)**

\*\*\*\* A composite sample made up from a minimum of four grab samples collected within a 24-hour period with a minimum of two hours between each grab sample. A person may physically collect the four grab samples or a composite sampler may be set up to collect the four grab samples.

Note 1 - Final limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. Geometric mean for n samples =  $[a_1 \times a_2 \times a_3 \dots \times a_n]^{1/n}$

Note 2 - This permit contains a Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) limit.

- (a) This effluent limit is below the minimum quantification level (ML) of the most common and practical EPA approved CLTRC methods. The Department has determined the current acceptable ML for total residual chlorine to be 0.13 mg/L when using the DPD Colorimetric Method #4500 – CL G. from Standard Methods for the Examination of Waters and Wastewater. The permittee will conduct analyses in accordance with this method, or equivalent, and report actual analytical values. Measured values greater than or equal to the minimum quantification level of 0.13 mg/L will be considered violations of the permit and values less than the minimum quantification level of 0.13 mg/L will be considered to be in compliance with the permit limitation. The minimum quantification level does not authorize the discharge of chlorine in excess of the effluent limits stated in the permit.
- (b) Disinfection is required year-round unless the permit specifically states that “Final limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31.” If your permit does not require disinfection during the non-recreational months, **do not disinfect in those months. If the facility uses chlorine year-round to meet the Final Ammonia effluent limitations (Break-Point Chlorination), then the Total Residual Chlorine effluent limit shall be met and tested year-round.**
- (c) Do not chemically dechlorinate **if it is not needed to meet the limits in your permit.**
- (d) If no chlorine was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as “0 mg/L” TRC.

Note 3- If no Aluminum or Iron was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as “0 mg/L”.

**C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:

- (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
  - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
  - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
- (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri’s Water Quality Standards.
- (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri’s list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state’s water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability.

**C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)**

4. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
  - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
  - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
  - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
  - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.
- (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.

5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.

6. Water Quality Standards

- (a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
- (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
  - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
  - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
  - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
  - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
  - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
  - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
  - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
  - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.

7. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility and are subject to 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)(i), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2.b. Bypasses are to be reported to the Southwest Regional Office.

8. The facility must be sufficiently secured to restrict entry by children, livestock and unauthorized persons as well as to protect the facility from vandalism.

9. At least one gate must be provided to access the wastewater treatment facility and provide for maintenance and mowing. The gate shall remain locked except when opened by the permittee to perform operational monitoring, sampling, maintenance, mowing, or for inspections by the Department.

10. At least one (1) warning sign shall be placed on each side of the facility enclosure in such positions as to be clearly visible from all directions of approach. There shall also be one (1) sign placed for every five hundred feet (500') (150 m) of the perimeter fence. A sign shall also be placed on each gate. Minimum wording shall be SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITY—KEEP OUT. Signs shall be made of durable materials with characters at least two inches (2") high and shall be securely fastened to the fence, equipment or other suitable locations.

**C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)**

11. An Operation and Maintenance (O & M) manual shall be maintained by the permittee and made available to the operator. The O & M manual shall include key operating procedures and a brief summary of the operation of the facility.
12. An all-weather access road shall be provided to the treatment facility.
13. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:

SUMMARY OF ACUTE WET TESTING FOR THIS PERMIT				
OUTFALL	AEC	FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	MONTH
001	100%	Once/Permit Cycle	24 hr. composite*	Any

\* A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampler.

Dilution Series							
AEC%	100%	50%	25%	12.5%	6.25%	(Control) 100% upstream, if available	(Control) 100% Lab Water, also called synthetic water

(a) Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements

- (1) Perform a MULTIPLE-dilution acute WET test in the months and at the frequency specified above. For tests which are successfully passed, submit test results using the Department's WET test report form #MO-780-1899 along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including copies of chain-of-custody forms within 30 calendar days of availability to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. If the effluent passes the test, do not repeat the test until the next test period.
  - (i) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping.
  - (ii) Any and all chemical or physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% Effluent concentration in addition to analysis performed upon any other effluent concentration.
  - (iii) All chemical analyses included in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources WET test report form #MO-780-1899 shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form.
- (2) The WET test will be considered a failure if mortality observed in effluent concentrations for either specie, equal to or less than the AEC, is significantly different (at the 95% confidence level;  $p = 0.05$ ) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available, synthetic laboratory control water may be used.
- (3) All failing test results along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, INCLUDING THOSE TESTS CONDUCTED UNDER CONDITION (4) BELOW, shall be reported to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the results.
- (4) If the effluent fails the test for BOTH test species, a multiple dilution test shall be performed for BOTH test species within 30 calendar days and biweekly thereafter (for storm water, tests shall be performed on the next and subsequent storm water discharges as they occur, but not less than 7 days apart) until one of the following conditions are met: Note: Written request regarding single species multiple dilution accelerated testing will be address by THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM on a case by case basis.
  - (i) THREE CONSECUTIVE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS PASS. No further tests need to be performed until next regularly scheduled test period.
  - (ii) A TOTAL OF THREE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS FAIL.
- (5) Follow-up tests do not negate an initial failed test.
- (6) The permittee shall submit a summary of all test results for the test series along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the third failed test.

**C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)**

- (7) Additionally, the following shall apply upon failure of the third follow up MULTIPLE DILUTION test. The permittee should contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 14 calendar days from availability of the test results to ascertain as to whether a TIE or TRE is appropriate. If the permittee does not contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM upon the third follow up test failure, a toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) is automatically triggered. The permittee shall submit a plan for conducting a TIE or TRE to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 60 calendar days of the date of the automatic trigger or DNR's direction to perform either a TIE or TRE. This plan must be approved by DNR before the TIE or TRE is begun. A schedule for completing the TIE or TRE shall be established in the plan approval.
- (8) Upon DNR's approval, the TIE/TRE schedule may be modified if toxicity is intermittent during the TIE/TRE investigations. A revised WET test schedule may be established by DNR for this period.
- (9) If a previously completed TIE has clearly identified the cause of toxicity, additional TIEs will not be required as long as effluent characteristics remain essentially unchanged and the permittee is proceeding according to a DNR approved schedule to complete a TRE and reduce toxicity. Regularly scheduled WET testing as required in the permit, without the follow-up requirements, will be required during this period.
- (10) When WET test sampling is required to run over one DMR period, each DMR report shall contain a copy of the Department's WET test report form that was generated during the reporting period.
- (11) Submit a concise summary in tabular format of all WET test results with the annual report.

(b) Test Conditions

- (1) Test Type: Acute Static non-renewal
- (2) All tests, including repeat tests for previous failures, shall include both test species listed below unless approved by the department on a case by case basis.
- (3) Test species: Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow). Organisms used in WET testing shall come from cultures reared for the purpose of conducting toxicity tests and cultured in a manner consistent with the most current USEPA guidelines. All test animals shall be cultured as described in the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.
- (4) Test period: 48 hours at the "Allowable Effluent Concentration" (AEC) specified above.
- (5) Upstream receiving stream water shall be used as dilution water. If upstream water is unavailable or if mortality in the upstream water exceeds 10%, "reconstituted" water will be used as dilution water. Procedures for generating reconstituted water will be supplied by the MDNR upon request.
- (6) Tests will be run with 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent, and reconstituted water.
- (7) If reconstituted-water control mortality for a test species exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun.
- (8) If upstream control mortality exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun using reconstituted water as the dilutant.
- (9) Whole-effluent-toxicity test shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources  
Statement of Basis  
Edgewater Village WWTF  
MSOP #: MO-0116131  
Stone County**

A Statement of Basis (Statement) gives pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations and rationale for the development of the NPDES Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit). This Statement includes Wasteload Allocations, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations, and Reasonable Potential Analysis calculations as well as any other calculations that effect the effluent limitations of this operating permit. This Statement does not pertain to operating permits that include sewage sludge land application plans and variance procedures, and does not include the public comment process for this operating permit.

A Statement is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

Plans and specifications for this facility have been reviewed under construction permit number CP0000932 by the Department of Natural Resources. The design engineer, a registered Missouri professional engineer, has certified that the plans and specifications meet all requirements of 10 CSR 20-Chapter 8 Waste Treatment Design. The construction permit is due to a modification to the existing facility to install phosphorus removal equipment to meet the effluent limit for Total Phosphorus and therefore does not fall under the Missouri State's Antidegradation Policy.

**Part I – Facility Information**

Facility Type: NON-POTW  
Outfall #001 - Subdivision / Sewerage Works - SIC #8641 / 4952

Facility Description: Extended aeration / chemical addition to facilitate phosphorus removal / chlorination / dechlorination / sludge is land applied.

**OUTFALL(S) TABLE:**

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001	0.0348	Secondary	Domestic Modification	0.19

**Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History:**

A review of the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) indicated an exceedance of Chlorine from April 2006 through October 2006, an exceedance of Total Phosphorus from February 2008 through April 2008, June 2008, November 2008, December 2008 and April 2010, and missing DMRs in November 2005, May 2007 and January 2008.

This is for a modification to reduce the flow from 45,000 gpd to 22,500 gpd and install phosphorus removal.

Comments: The facility was last inspected on February 3, 2009. The inspection showed the following unsatisfactory features at the facility phosphorus removal equipment installed without a construction permit, sludge in the receiving stream and failure to operate and maintain. The facility is in the process of correcting the issues.

**Part II – Operator Certification Requirements**

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Not Applicable ; This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

**Part III – Receiving Stream Information**

**APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:**

As per Missouri’s Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall’s Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]:
- Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]:
- Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]:
- Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]:
- Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]:
- Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]:
- All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1<sup>st</sup> classified receiving stream’s beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

**RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:**

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	8-DIGIT HUC	EDU**
Unnamed Tributary to Table Rock Lake	U	N/A	General Criteria	11010002	Ozark/White
Table Rock Lake	L2	07313	AQL, LWW, WBC-A, SCR		

- \* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND).
- \*\* - Ecological Drainage Unit

**RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:**

RECEIVING STREAM	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Table Rock Lake	14.1	35.5	129

**SEE APPENDIX A. RENEWAL....THESE VALUES ARE SUPERSEDED BY THE RENEWAL.**

**MIXING CONSIDERATIONS TABLE:**

MIXING ZONE (CFS) [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(II)(a)]		
1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
3.525	8.75	32.25

Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

**SEE APPENDIX A. RENEWAL.... THESE VALUES ARE SUPERSEDED BY THE RENEWAL.**

## **Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions**

### **ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:**

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable ;

The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

### **ANTI-BACKSLIDING:**

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

- All limits in this statement are at least as protective as those previously established; therefore, backsliding does not apply.

### **AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:**

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)10.], when a Continuing Authority under paragraph 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)1. or 2. is expected to be available for connection within the next five (5) years, any operating permit issued to a permittee under this paragraph, located within the service area of the paragraph (3)(B)1. or 2. facility, shall contain the following special condition... This language is contained in Special Condition #3 of this operating permit.

### **ANTIDegradation:**

Policies which ensure protection of water quality for a particular water body where the water quality exceeds levels necessary to protect fish and wildlife propagation and recreation on and in the water. This also includes special protection of waters designated as outstanding natural resource waters. Antidegradation requirements are consistent with 40 CFR 131.12 that outlines methods used to assess activities that may impact the integrity of a water and protect existing uses. This policy may compel the state to maintain a level of water quality above those mandated by criteria.

Applicable ;

- As per [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)(D)], the three (3) levels of protection provided by the antidegradation policy in subsections (A), (B), and (C) of this section shall be implemented according to procedures developed by the department. On April 20, 2007, the Missouri Clean Water Commission approved *Missouri Antidegradation Rule and Implementation Procedure* (Antidegradation Rule), which is applicable to new or upgraded/expanded facilities. Because the facility was modified before August 31, 2008, an antidegradation review is not required.

### **APPLICABLE PERMIT PARAMETERS:**

Effluent parameters for conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants have been obtained from the previous NPDES operating permit for this facility, technology based effluent limits, and from appropriate sections of the renewal application.

**Bio-solids, Sludge, & Sewage Sludge:**

Bio-solids are solid materials resulting from wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sludge is any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility or any other such waste having similar characteristics and effect. Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address:  
<http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pub/index.html>, items WQ422 through WQ449.

Not applicable;

This condition is not applicable to the permittee for this facility.

**COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:**

Action taken by the Department to resolve violations of the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

Not Applicable .

The permittee/facility is not under enforcement action and is considered to be in compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

**PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:**

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works  
[40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Not Applicable .

At this time, the permittee is not required to implement and enforce a Pretreatment Program.

**REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):**

Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters that are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above the Missouri Water Quality Standards.

Not Applicable .

A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

**REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:**

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD<sub>5</sub>) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs). Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ [www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm](http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm)

Not Applicable .

This wastewater treatment facility is not a POTW. Influent monitoring is not being required to determine percent removal.

**SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSOs), BYPASSES, INFLOW & INFILTRATION (I&I) – PREVENTION/REDUCTION:**

Sanitary Sewer Systems (SSSs) are municipal wastewater collection systems that convey domestic, commercial, and industrial wastewater, and limited amounts of infiltrated groundwater and storm water (i.e. I&I), to a POTW. SSSs are not designed to collect large amounts of storm water runoff from precipitation events.

Untreated or partially treated discharges from SSSs are commonly referred to as SSOs. SSOs have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to overload the system, lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. A SSO is defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release from a SSS. SSOs can occur at any point in an SSS, during dry weather or wet weather. SSOs include overflows that reach waters of the state. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations. SSSs can back up into buildings, including private residences. When sewage backups are caused by problems in the publicly-owned portion of an SSS, they are considered SSOs.

Not Applicable ;

This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

**SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):**

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ;

This permit does not contain a SOC.

**STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):**

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when:

(1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's *Storm Water Management for Industrial Activities: Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices* [EPA 832-R-92-006] (Storm Water Management), BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Storm Water Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges.

Not Applicable ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

**WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:**

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined to total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable ;

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration

C<sub>s</sub> = upstream concentration

Q<sub>s</sub> = upstream flow

C<sub>e</sub> = effluent concentration

Q<sub>e</sub> = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

**WLA MODELING:**

Not Applicable ;

A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

**WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:**

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

**WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:**

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable ;

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing are also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following RSMo apply: §644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; §644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc...); and §644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:

- Facility is a designated Major.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH<sub>3</sub>)
- Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow  $\geq$  22,500 gpd.
- Other – please justify.

**303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):**

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Applicable ;

Table Rock Lake is listed on the 2008 Missouri 303(d) List for nutrients.

– This facility is not considered to be a source of the above listed pollutant(s) or considered to contribute to the impairment of Tble Rock Lale.

**Adjusted Design Flow:**

10 CSR 20-6.011(1)(B)1. provides for an Adjusted Design Flow when calculating permit fees on human sewage treatment facilities. If the average flow is sixty percent (60%) or less than the system's design flow, the average flow may be substituted for the design flow when calculating the permit fee on human sewage treatment facilities. If the facility's actual average flow is consistently 60% or less than the permitted design flow, the facility may qualify for a reduction in your fee when:

- The facility has a valid permit, or has applied for re-issuance, is in compliance with the terms, conditions and effluent limitations of the permit, and the facility has a good compliance history; and
- Flow is not expected to exceed 60% of design flow for the remaining term of the existing operating permit.

Not Applicable ;

At this time, the permittee has not requested an Adjusted Design Flow modification.

**Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall**

**EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:**

PARAMETER	UNIT	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MODIFIED	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	GPD	1	*		*	NO	S
BOD <sub>5</sub>	MG/L	1		30	20	NO	S
TSS	MG/L	1		30	20	NO	S
PH (S.U.)	SU	1	6.5-9.0		6.5-9.0	YES	6.0-9.0
AMMONIA AS N	MG/L	1,5	*	--	*	YES	NONE
ESCHERICHIA COLI	***	1, 2	Please see Escherichia Coli (E. coli) in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.				
FECAL COLIFORM	***	1,8	--	--	--	YES	1,000 MDL/ 400 AML
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL	MG/L	1	0.016 (0.13 ML)		0.0082 (0.13 ML)	YES	0.01 MDL/ 0.01 AML
TEMPERATURE	°C	1,5	*	--	*	YES	NONE
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	MG/L	1,11	*	--	*	YES	NONE
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MG/L	1	*	--	0.5	NO	S
IRON, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	MG/L	1	*	--	*	YES	NONE
ALUMINUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	MG/L	1	*	--	*	YES	NONE
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST	Please see WET Test in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						

**\* - Monitoring requirement only**

\*\*\* - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for Fecal Coliform and *E. Coli* is a geometric mean.

\*\*\*\* - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

N/A – Not applicable

S – Same as previous operating permit

**Basis for Limitations Codes:**

- |  |                                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law       | 6. Antidegradation Policy         |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 7. Water Quality Model            |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits   | 8. Best Professional Judgment     |
| 4. Lagoon Policy                         | 9. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 5. Ammonia Policy                        | 10. WET test Policy               |
|  | 11. Dissolved Oxygen Policy       |

**OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:**

**Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.

**Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>).**

- Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information.**

**Total Suspended Solids (TSS).**

- Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information.**

**pH.**

- pH is limited to the range of 6.5 – 9.0 pH units, as per [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(E)]. pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.

**Temperature.** Monitoring requirement due to the toxicity of Ammonia varies by temperature.

**Ammonia as N.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for ammonia is included to determine whether a “reasonable potential” exists to exceed water quality standards after the discharge begins.

**Escherichia coli (E. coli).** Monthly average of 126 per 100 ml as a geometric mean and Daily Maximum of 630 during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), to protect Whole Body Contact Recreation (A) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C). Daily Maximum effluent variability will be evaluated in development of a future effluent limit. An effluent limit for both monthly average and daily maximum is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d).

**Fecal Coliform.** Fecal coliform limitations are being replaced with e. coli limitations.

**Total Residual Chlorine (TRC).** Warm-water Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = 10 µg/L, CMC = 19 µg/L [10 CSR 20-7.031, Table A]. Background TRC = 0.0 µg/L. Due the fact the flows through the lakes are large, Acute criteria will be used only.

$$((Q_e + Q_s) * C - (Q_s * C_s)) / Q_e$$

$$\text{Acute: } C_e = ((0.0348 + 0) * 0.019 - (0 * 0)) / 0.0348 = 0.019$$
$$\text{WLA}_a = 0.019 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$\text{LTA}_a = 0.019 (0.321) = 0.0061 \text{ mg/L} \quad [\text{CV} = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

$$\text{MDL} = 0.0061(3.114) = \mathbf{0.019 \text{ mg/L}} \quad [\text{CV} = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$
$$\text{AML} = 0.0061(1.55) = \mathbf{0.0095 \text{ mg/L}} \quad [\text{CV} = 0.6, 95^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile, } n = 4]$$

**See the 2011 Renewal Statement of Basis in Appendix A.**

**Total Phosphorus**

0.5 mg/L per 10 CSR 20 - 7.015 (3).

**Aluminum, Total Recoverable** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for aluminum is included to determine whether a “reasonable potential” exists to exceed water quality standards after the discharge begins.

**Iron, Total Recoverable** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for iron is included to determine whether a “reasonable potential” exists to exceed water quality standards after the discharge begins.

**Dissolved Oxygen.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for dissolved oxygen are included to determine whether “reasonable potential” to exceed water quality standards exists after the discharge begins.

**WET Test.** WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring*. It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.

- Chronic
- Acute

**No less than ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE:**

- Municipality or domestic facility with a design flow  $\geq 22,500$  gpd, but less than 1.0 MGD.

Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) calculations determine if the facility is to conduct single dilution or multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to unclassified or Class C receiving streams, the AEC% is 100%. Facilities with less than 100% for an AEC% will have multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to Lakes and have Acute WET testing, the AEC% is 100% due to [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] ZID not allowed for Lakes.

**Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements.**

PARAMETER	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	REPORTING FREQUENCY
FLOW	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
BOD <sub>5</sub>	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TSS	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
PH	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TEMPERATURE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
AMMONIA AS N	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
E. COLI	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TOTAL RESIDUAL CHLORINE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
IRON, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
ALUMINUM, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MONTHLY	MONTHLY

**Sampling Frequency Justification:**

Sampling frequency was retained from the previous operating permit. Except for *E. coli*, the Clean Water Commission has directed the Department to proceed with amending 10 CSR 20-7.015 to reduce the sampling frequency required for *E. coli* to a lesser frequency, still protective of water quality standards, for smaller facilities, including those with discharges of 100,000 gallons per day or less.

**Sampling Type Justification**

Due to the small amount of flow sample type shall be modified composites.

### **Administrative Requirements**

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

**Date of Factsheet:** December 13, 2010

Mr. Joshua L. Grosvenor, EI  
WP Engineering Unit  
(417) 891-4300  
[josh.grosvenor@dnr.mo.gov](mailto:josh.grosvenor@dnr.mo.gov)

Finalized by Todd Blanc,  
Engineering Section, Water Protection Program,  
314-416-2064  
[Todd.blanc@dnr.mo.gov](mailto:Todd.blanc@dnr.mo.gov)  
July 16, 2013

**Appendix A. 2011 Renewal Statement of Basis**

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources  
Statement of Basis  
Edgewater Village WWTF  
MSOP #: MO-0116131  
Stone County**

A Statement of Basis (Statement) gives pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations and rationale for the development of the NPDES Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit). This Statement includes Wasteload Allocations, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations, and Reasonable Potential Analysis calculations as well as any other calculations that effect the effluent limitations of this operating permit. This Statement does not pertain to operating permits that include sewage sludge land application plans and variance procedures, and does not include the public comment process for this operating permit.

A Statement is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

**Part I – Facility Information**

Facility Type: NON-POTW  
Subdivision- SIC #8641

Facility Description: Extended aeration / chlorination / dechlorination /sludge holding tank / sludge is land applied

**OUTFALL(S) TABLE:**

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001	0.07	Secondary	Domestic wastewater	~0.2

**Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History:**

A review of Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) from November 2005 to recent was conducted. The facility failed to submit DMRs for: 8/31/2011, 4/30/2011, 3/31/2010, 4/30/2008, 1/31/2008, 11/30/2007, 5/31/2007, 1/31/2007, 1/31/2006, and 11/30/2005. The facility reported effluent exceedances for: Total Residual Chlorine- 4/30/2007, 11/30/2006, 10/31/2006, 9/30/2006, 8/31/2006, 7/31/2006, 6/30/2006, 5/31/2006, and 4/30/2006; Total Phosphorous- 4/30/2010, 12/31/2008, 11/30/2008, 6/30/2008, 3/31/2008, and 2/29/2008.

This is for a renewal. The Adjusted Design Flow is being removed due to the facility's compliance history.

Comments: The facility was last inspected on February 3, 2009. The inspection showed the following unsatisfactory features at the facility: phosphorous removal equipment was being utilized without obtaining a Construction Permit, significant DMR violations, sludge in receiving stream, annual sludge reports not submitted, Schedule of Compliance not met, and several operation and maintenance issues. The facility is working with the Department to correct the deficiencies. The facility received a Construction Permit to install phosphorous removal equipment (CP 0000932).

Other Comments: Since this permit was Public Noticed, the following changes were made: Note 3 was removed being it does not apply; Special Condition #7 was removed because it does not apply, and the WET test language was revised per current Policy.

**Part II – Operator Certification Requirements**

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Not Applicable ; This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

**Part III – Receiving Stream Information**

**APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:**

As per Missouri’s Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall’s Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]:
- Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]:
- Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]:
- Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]:
- Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]:
- Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]:
- All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1<sup>st</sup> classified receiving stream’s beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

**RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:**

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	8-DIGIT HUC	EDU**
Unnamed Tributary to Table Rock Lake	U	N/A	General Criteria	11010001	Ozark/ White
Table Rock Lake, 303(d)	L2	07313	General Criteria, LWW, AQL, WBC-A, SCR		

\* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND).

\*\* - Ecological Drainage Unit

**RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:**

RECEIVING STREAM (U, C, P)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Unnamed Tributary to Table Rock Lake	0	0	0

**MIXING CONSIDERATIONS**

Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(a)].

Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

**Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions**

**ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:**

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable ;

The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

**ANTI-BACKSLIDING:**

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

- All limits in this statement are at least as protective as those previously established; therefore, backsliding does not apply.

**AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:**

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)10.], when a Continuing Authority under paragraph 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)1. or 2. is expected to be available for connection within the next five (5) years, any operating permit issued to a permittee under this paragraph, located within the service area of the paragraph (3)(B)1. or 2. facility, shall contain the following special condition... This language is contained in Special Condition #3 of this operating permit.

**ANTIDegradation:**

Policies which ensure protection of water quality for a particular water body where the water quality exceeds levels necessary to protect fish and wildlife propagation and recreation on and in the water. This also includes special protection of waters designated as outstanding natural resource waters. Antidegradation requirements are consistent with 40 CFR 131.12 that outlines methods used to assess activities that may impact the integrity of a water and protect existing uses. This policy may compel the state to maintain a level of water quality above those mandated by criteria.

Not Applicable ;

Renewal no degradation proposed and no further review necessary.

**APPLICABLE PERMIT PARAMETERS:**

Effluent parameters for conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants have been obtained from the previous NPDES operating permit for this facility, technology based effluent limits, and from appropriate sections of the renewal application.

**Bio-solids, Sludge, & Sewage Sludge:**

Bio-solids are solid materials resulting from wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sludge is any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility or any other such waste having similar characteristics and effect. Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works.

Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address:

<http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pub/index.html>, items WQ422 through WQ449.

- Permittee land applies biosolids in accordance with Standard Conditions III and a Department approved biosolids management plan.

**COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:**

Action taken by the Department to resolve violations of the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ;

The permittee/facility is not under enforcement action and is considered to be in compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

**FINDING OF AFFORDABILITY:**

Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo., the Department is required to determine whether a permit or decision is affordable and make a finding of affordability for certain permitting and enforcement decisions. This requirement applies to discharges from combined or separate sanitary sewer systems or publicly-owned treatment works.

Not Applicable;

The Department is not required to determine findings of affordability because the facility is not a **combined or separate sanitary sewer system or a publicly-owned treatment works**.

**PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:**

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works

[40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee's pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users, /
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

Not Applicable ;

The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

**REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):**

Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters that are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above the Missouri Water Quality Standards.

Not Applicable ;

A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

**REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:**

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD<sub>5</sub>) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs). Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ [www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm](http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm)

Not Applicable ;

This wastewater treatment facility is not a POTW. Influent monitoring is not being required to determine percent removal.

**SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSOs), BYPASSES, INFLOW & INFILTRATION (I&I) – PREVENTION/REDUCTION:**

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(11)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSO's have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to (1) enter and overload the collection system, and (2) overload the treatment facility. Additionally, SSO's can be also be caused by lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial location/s.

Additionally, Missouri RSMo §644.026.1 mandates that the Department require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities.

- Not applicable. This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

**SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):**

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ;

This permit does not contain a SOC.

**STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):**

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's *Storm Water Management for Industrial Activities: Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices* [EPA 832-R-92-006] (Storm Water Management), BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Storm Water Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges.

Not Applicable ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

**WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:**

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined to total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable ;

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration

C<sub>s</sub> = upstream concentration

Q<sub>s</sub> = upstream flow

C<sub>e</sub> = effluent concentration

Q<sub>e</sub> = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

**WLA MODELING:**

Not Applicable ;

A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

**WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:**

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

**WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:**

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable ;

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing are also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following RSMo apply: §644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; §644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc...); and §644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:

- Facility is a designated Major.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH<sub>3</sub>)
- Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow  $\geq$  22,500 gpd.
- Other – please justify.

**40 CFR 122.41(m) - Bypasses:**

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from “bypassing” untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass, which includes blending, is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-2.010(11) defines a bypass as the diversion of wastewater from any portion of wastewater treatment facility or sewer system to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri’s Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar.

- Not Applicable, this facility does not bypass.

**303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):**

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Applicable ;

Table Rock Lake is listed on the 2008 Missouri 303(d) List for nutrients.

– This facility is considered to be a source of or has the potential to contribute to the above listed pollutant(s). A phosphorous limit of 0.5 mg/L has been established for all discharges into the Missouri portion of Table Rock Lake basin equal to or greater than 22,500 gallons per day, or those facilities permitted after May 9, 1994.

**Adjusted Design Flow:**

10 CSR 20-6.011(1)(B)1. provides for an Adjusted Design Flow when calculating permit fees on human sewage treatment facilities. If the average flow is sixty percent (60%) or less than the system's design flow, the average flow may be substituted for the design flow when calculating the permit fee on human sewage treatment facilities. If the facility's actual average flow is consistently 60% or less than the permitted design flow, the facility may qualify for a reduction in your fee when:

- The facility has a valid permit, or has applied for re-issuance, is in compliance with the terms, conditions and effluent limitations of the permit, and the facility has a good compliance history; and
- Flow is not expected to exceed 60% of design flow for the remaining term of the existing operating permit.

Not Applicable ;

The permittee previously had an Adjusted Design Flow however it has been removed due to compliance history.

**Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall**

**EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:**

PARAMETER	UNIT	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MODIFIED	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	MGD	1	*		*	NO	S
BOD <sub>5</sub>	MG/L	1		30	20	NO	S
TSS	MG/L	1		30	20	NO	S
PH (S.U.)	SU	1	6.5-9.0		6.5-9.0	YES	6.0-9.0
AMMONIA AS N	MG/L	5	*		*	NO	****
FECAL COLIFORM	#/100 ML	-	-		-	REMOVED	1000, 400
ESCHERICHIA COLI	***	1,2,3	630		126	NO	****
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL	MG/L	3	0.016 (0.13 ML)		0.0082 (0.13 ML)	YES	0.01, 0.01
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	MG/L	11	*		*	NO	****
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MG/L	1	*		0.5	NO	S
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST	Please see WET Test in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						

**\* - Monitoring requirement only**

\*\*\* - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for E. coli is a geometric mean.

\*\*\*\* - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

N/A – Not applicable

S – Same as previous operating permit

**Basis for Limitations Codes:**

- |  |                                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law       | 6. Antidegradation Policy         |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 7. Water Quality Model            |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits   | 8. Best Professional Judgment     |
| 4. Lagoon Policy                         | 9. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 5. Ammonia Policy                        | 10. WET test Policy               |
|  | 11. Dissolved Oxygen Policy       |

**OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:**

**Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.

**Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>).**

- Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information**.

**Total Suspended Solids (TSS).**

- Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information**.

**pH.**

- pH is limited to the range of 6.5 – 9.0 pH units, as per [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(E)]. pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.

**Ammonia as N.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for ammonia is included to determine whether a “reasonable potential” exists to exceed water quality standards after the discharge begins.

**Fecal Coliform.** *E. coli* has replaced fecal coliform at the applicable bacteria criteria in Missouri’s water quality standards.

**Escherichia coli (E. coli).** Monthly average of 126 (WBC A) per 100 ml as a geometric mean and Daily Maximum 630 during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), to protect Whole Body Contact Recreation (A) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C). Daily Maximum effluent variability will be evaluated in development of a future effluent limit. An effluent limit for both monthly average and daily maximum is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d).

**Total Residual Chlorine (TRC).** Warm-water Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = 10 µg/L, CMC = 19 µg/L [10 CSR 20-7.031, Table A]. Background TRC = 0.0 µg/L.

$$((Q_e + Q_s) \cdot C - (Q_s \cdot C_s)) / Q_e$$

Acute:  $C_e = ((0.07+0) \cdot 0.019 - (0 \cdot 0)) / 0.07 = 0.019$   
WLA<sub>a</sub> = 0.019 mg/L

Chronic:  $C_e = ((0.07 + 0) \cdot 0.01 - (0 \cdot 0)) / 0.07 = 0.01$   
WLA<sub>c</sub> = 0.01 mg/L

LTA<sub>a</sub> = 0.019 (0.321) = 0.0061 mg/L [CV = 0.6, 99<sup>th</sup> Percentile]  
LTA<sub>c</sub> = 0.01 (0.5274) = **0.005274** mg/L [CV = 0.6, 99<sup>th</sup> Percentile]

MDL = 0.005274(3.114) = 0.016 mg/L [CV = 0.6, 99<sup>th</sup> Percentile]  
AML = 0.005274(1.55) = 0.0082 mg/L [CV = 0.6, 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile, n = 4]

**Total Phosphorus**

To Table Rock Land and Taneycomo  
0.5 mg/L per 10 CSR 20 - 7.015 (3).

**Dissolved Oxygen.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring for dissolved oxygen are included to determine whether “reasonable potential” to exceed water quality standards exists after the discharge begins.

**WET Test.** WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department’s Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring*. It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.

- Chronic
- Acute

**No less than ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE:**

- Municipality or domestic facility with a design flow  $\geq 22,500$  gpd, but less than 1.0 MGD.
- Other, please justify.

Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) calculations determine if the facility is to conduct single dilution or multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to unclassified or Class C receiving streams, the AEC% is 100%. Facilities with less than 100% for an AEC% will have multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to Lakes and have Acute WET testing, the AEC% is 100% due to [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] ZID not allowed for Lakes.

**Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements.**

PARAMETER	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	REPORTING FREQUENCY
FLOW	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
BOD <sub>5</sub>	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TSS	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
PH	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
AMMONIA AS N	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
<i>E. COLI</i>	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TOTAL RESIDUAL CHLORINE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
DISSOLVED OXYGEN	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
WET TEST	ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE	

**Sampling Frequency Justification:**

Monthly sampling is being retained due to the facility's compliance history.

The Clean Water Commission has directed the Department to proceed with amending 10 CSR 20-7.015 to reduce the sampling frequency required for E.coli to a lesser frequency, still protective of water quality standards, for smaller facilities, including those with discharges of 100,000 gallons per day or less.

**Sampling Type Justification**

As per 10 CSR 20-7.015 samples collected for mechanical plants shall be 24 hour composites, however, due to the small amount of flow sample type shall be modified composites.


**Administrative Requirements**

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

**Date of Factsheet:** October 3, 2011

Gwenda J. Bassett  
WP Permitting and Assistance Unit  
(417) 891-4300  
[Gwenda.Bassett@dnr.mo.gov](mailto:Gwenda.Bassett@dnr.mo.gov)

C11717  
AP15817



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM  
APPLICATION FOR AN OPERATING PERMIT FOR DOMESTIC OR MUNICIPAL  
WASTEWATER (≤100,000 gallons per day)

FOR AGENCY USE ONLY	
CHECK NUMBER	196111464
DATE RECEIVED	6/12/13
FEE SUBMITTED	912.50

8B

PLEASE READ THE ACCOMPANYING INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM

**1. THIS APPLICATION IS FOR:**

An operating permit for a new (including antidegradation review) or unpermitted facility. Construction Permit # \_\_\_\_\_

An operating permit renewal: Permit #MO- \_\_\_\_\_ Expiration Date \_\_\_\_\_

An operating permit modification: Permit #MO- 0116131 Reason: Phosphorus Removal Addition

1.1 Is the appropriate fee included with the application (see instructions for appropriate fee)?  YES  NO

1.2 Is a facility description included with this application (see 7.1)?  YES  NO

**2. FACILITY**

NAME Edgewater Village WWTF		TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE (417) 538-4306	
ADDRESS (PHYSICAL) Edgewater Village	CITY Cape Fair	STATE Mo	ZIP CODE 65624
OUTFALL NUMBER For multiple outfalls, this is number 1 of 1			
Estimated (actual) flow: 12,999 gpd, Design Average Flow: 22,500 gpd, Design Peak Hourly Flow: 3,750 gph			
2.1 Legal description: NE ¼, SW ¼, SW ¼, Sec. 1, T 23n, R 24w		County Stone	
2.2 UTM Coordinates Easting (X): 456754 Northing (Y): 4064109 For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)			
2.3 Name of receiving stream: Unnamed Tributary to Table Rock Lake			

**3. OWNER**

NAME Nelco, Inc.	E-MAIL ADDRESS nelhoward@edgewaterivillage.com	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE (417) 538-4306	
ADDRESS P.O. Box 209	CITY Cape Fair	STATE Mo	ZIP CODE 65624

3.1 Request review of draft permit prior to public notice?  YES  NO

**4. CONTINUING AUTHORITY: Permanent organization that will serve as the continuing authority for the operation, maintenance and modernization of the facility.**

NAME Nelco, Inc.	E-MAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE (417) 538-4306	
ADDRESS P.O. Box 209	CITY Cape Fair	STATE Mo	ZIP CODE 65624

**5. OPERATOR**

NAME RUSTY CLINKENBEARD	CERTIFICATE NUMBER
E-MAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 417-239-7204

**6. FACILITY CONTACT**

NAME Nel Howard	TITLE Owner
E-MAIL ADDRESS nelhoward@edgewaterivillage.com	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE 417-538-4306

**7. DESCRIPTION OF FACILITY**

7.1 Describe the facility (attach additional sheet if required) and attach a flow chart showing the influents, treatment facilities and outfalls.  
Extended Aeration Treatment Plant with Chemical Addition to Facilitate Phosphorus Removal, Rapid Mix and Settling, Chlorination, Dechlorination, and sludge holding tank.

7.2 Attach an aerial photograph or USGS topographic map showing the location of the facility and outfall.

7.3 Design flow for this outfall: 45,000 Total design flow for the facility: 22,500 Actual flow for this outfall: 6,900 to 12,999 gpd

7.4 Number of people presently connected or population equivalent (P.E.): 50 homes Design P.E.: 281

7.5 Does the facility accept or process leachate from landfills?  Yes  No



**8. ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION**8.1 Facility SIC code: 4952; Discharge SIC code: 4952

## 8.2 Milestone dates:

Date of completion of construction of facility: 1998+/-Dates of any construction modifications to the facility (along with description of modification): As follows:

2009 construction of phosphorus removal chemical storage and feed pump completed.

2011 Chemical Feed relocated to front of plant to meet effluent limits in lieu of constructing settling tanks.

## 8.3 Connections to the facility:

Number of units presently connected: Homes 50 Trailers 0 Apartments 0Other (including industrial) 0 (If industrial, see instructions 8.1)Number of commercial establishments: 0Daily number of employees working (total estimate): 0 Daily number of customers/guests (total estimate): 0

8.4 Length of pipe in the sewer collection system? \_\_\_\_\_ feet or \_\_\_\_\_ miles (either unit is appropriate.)

8.5 Does any bypassing occur in the collection system or at the treatment facility?  Yes  No (If yes, explain.)8.6 Does significant infiltration occur in the collection system?  Yes  No (If yes, explain and attach proposed repair.)**9. DISCHARGE INFORMATION**9.1 Will the discharge be continuous throughout the year?  Yes  No9.2 Discharge will occur during the following months: Jan. to Dec.9.3 How many days of the week will the discharge occur? 79.4 Is wastewater land-applied?  Yes  No (If yes, attach Form I.)9.5 Will chlorine be added to the effluent?  Yes  NoIf chlorine is added, what is the resulting residual? <10 µg/l (micrograms per liter)9.6 Does this facility discharge to a losing stream or sinkhole?  Yes  No9.7 Has a waste load allocation study been completed for this facility?  Yes  No**10. List all permit violations, including effluent limit exceedances, in the last five years. Attach a separate sheet if necessary. If none, write none.**

See MoDNR records

.

**11. SLUDGE HANDLING, USE AND DISPOSAL**11.1 Is the sludge a hazardous waste as defined by 10 CSR 25?  Yes  NoSludge production, including sludge received from others: 7.3 Design Dry Tons/Year \_\_\_\_\_ Actual Dry Tons/Year

11.3 Capacity of sludge holding structures:

Sludge storage provided: 1,300 cubic feet; \_\_\_\_\_ days of storage; \_\_\_\_\_ average percent solids of sludge; No sludge storage is provided.

Type of Storage:

 Holding tank  Building Basin Other (Please describe) \_\_\_\_\_ Concrete Pad

Sludge Treatment:

 Anaerobic Digester Lagoon Composting Storage Tank Aerobic Digester Other (Attach description) Lime Stabilization Air or Heat Drying

Sludge Use or Disposal:

 Land Application Surface Disposal (Sludge Disposal Lagoon, Sludge held for more than two years) Contract Hauler Incineration Hauled to Another Sludge Retained in Wastewater treatment lagoon

Treatment Facility

 Other \_\_\_\_\_ Attach explanation sheet. Solid Waste Landfill

Person responsible for hauling sludge to disposal facility

 By Applicant By Others (complete below)

NAME

TILLMAN SEPTIC SERVICES

E-MAIL ADDRESS

ADDRESS

HWY. 00

CITY

KIMBERLING CITY

STATE

Mo

ZIP CODE

65686

CONTACT PERSON

KEN TILLMAN

TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE

(417) 739-4780

PERMIT NO.

MO- 0122530

Sludge use or disposal facility

 By applicant By others (Please complete below.)

NAME

TILLMAN SEPTIC SERVICES

E-MAIL ADDRESS

ADDRESS

HWY. 00

CITY

KIMBERLING CITY

STATE

Mo

ZIP CODE

65686

CONTACT PERSON

KEN TILLMAN

TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE

(417) 739-4780

PERMIT NO.

MO-

Does the sludge or biosolids disposal comply with federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503?

 Yes  No (Please explain)**12. DOWNSTREAM LANDOWNERS - ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NECESSARY. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.**

NAME

ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

ADDRESS

700 WEST CAPITOL BOX 867

CITY

LITTLE ROCK

STATE

Ar

ZIP CODE

72203

**13. CERTIFICATION**

I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in the application, that to the best of my knowledge and belief such information is true, complete and accurate, and if granted this permit, I agree to abide by the Missouri Clean Water Law and all rules, regulations, orders and decisions, subject to any legitimate appeal available to applicant under the Missouri Clean Water Law.

NAME AND OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT)

Mel Howard

TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE

417-538-9151

SIGNATURE

Mel Howard

DATE SIGNED

5/22/13

