STATE OF MISSOURI
Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor Sara Parker Pauley, Director
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
dnr.mo.gov

City of Granby
P. O. Box 500
Granby, MO 64844

Dear Permittee:

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, under the authority granted to the State of Missouri and in compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, we have issued and are enclosing your State Operating Permit to discharge from **City of Granby**, Newton County, Missouri.

Please read your permit and enclosed Standard Conditions. They contain important information on monitoring requirements, effluent limitations, sampling frequencies and reporting requirements.

Monitoring reports required by the special conditions must be submitted on a periodic basis. The required forms are enclosed. Please make copies for your use. Completed forms should be mailed to this office.

Please note that the new effluent limits will take effect on **January 1, 2013**.

This permit is both your Federal NPDES Permit and your new Missouri State Operating Permit and replaces all previous State Operating Permits issued for this facility under this permit number. In all future correspondence regarding this facility, please refer to your State Operating Permit number and facility name as shown on page one of the permit.

Please be aware that nothing in this permit relieves the permittee of any other legal obligations or restrictions, such as other federal or state laws, court orders, or county or other local ordinances or restrictions.

If you were adversely affected by this decision, you may be entitled to an appeal before the administrative hearing commission pursuant to 10 CSR 20-1.020 and Section 621.250, RSMo. To appeal, you must file a petition with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days after the date this decision was mailed or the date it was delivered, whichever date was earlier.

Recycled Paper

If any such petition is sent by registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is mailed; if it is sent by any method other than registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is received by the administrative hearing commission. Any appeal shall be directed to: Administrative Hearing Commission, Truman Building, Room 640, 301 W. High Street, P.O. Box 1557, Jefferson City, MO 65102, Phone: 573-751-2422, Fax: 573-751-5018, website: www.oa.mo.gov/ahc.

If you have questions concerning this permit please contact Mr. Joshua L. Grosvenor, EI of my staff by calling 417-891-4300 or via mail at Southwest Regional Office, 2040 W. Woodland, Springfield, MO 65807-5912.

Sincerely,

SOUTHWEST REGIONAL OFFICE

Cynthia S. Davies
Regional Director

CSD/jgk

Enclosures

STATE OF MISSOURI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION

MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No.	MO-0107581
Owner:	City of Granby
Address:	302 N Main St. P. O. Box 500, Granby, MO 64844
Continuing Authority:	Same as Above
Address:	Same as Above
Facility Name:	Granby WWTF
Facility Address:	North Highway E, Granby MO 64844
Legal Description:	SW¼, NW¼, Sec. 31, T26N, R30W, Newton County
UTM (X/Y):	387634 / 4088087
Receiving Stream:	Unnamed Tributary to Shoal Creek (U)
First Classified Stream and ID:	Shoal Creek (P) (03222) (303d)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.:	(11070207-0803)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Outfall #001 - POTW - SIC #4952
The use or operation of this facility shall be by or under the supervision of a Certified B Operator.
Flow equalization / two (2) basin sequencing batch reactor activated sludge / ultraviolet disinfection / sludge holding basin / sludge is land applied.

Design organic population equivalent is 2,200.
Design flow is 0.22 MGD.
Actual Flow is 0.148 MGD.
Design sludge production is 37.4 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

December 30, 2011
Effective Date

Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources

December 29, 2016
Expiration Date

Cynthia S. Davies, Regional Director, Southwest Regional Office

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The interim effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until **December 31, 2012**. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #001						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/week**	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$	mg/L		45	30	once/month**	****
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		45	30	once/month**	****
pH – Units	SU	***		***	once/month**	grab
E. coli (Note 1)	#/100 ml		630	126	once/week*****	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*		*	once/month**	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month**	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **MONTHLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE **February 28, 2012**. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test	% Survival	See Special Conditions #10	once / permit cycle	24 hour composite

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE**. THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE **January 28, 2016**.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective **January 1, 2013** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Outfall #001						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/week**	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$	mg/L		45	30	once/month**	****
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		45	30	once/month**	****
pH – Units	SU	***		***	once/month**	grab
E. coli (Note 1)	#/100 ml		630	126	once/week*****	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L				once/month**	grab
{April - September}		5.2		1.0		
{October - March}		12.1		2.3		
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month**	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **MONTHLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE **February 28, 2013**. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test	% Survival	See Special Conditions #10			once / permit cycle	24 hour composite
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE**. THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE **January 28, 2016.**						

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED Parts I, II & III STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

MO 780-0010 (8/91)

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

* Monitoring requirement only.

** Reports shall be submitted by the 28th day of the month following the reporting period, e.g. Reporting period is the month of March (samples collected weekly and monthly), report due by April 28th.

*** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH for all facilities except lagoons is limited to the range of 6.5-9.0 pH units.

**** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

***** Samples shall be collected **weekly** April 1 through October 31 and submitted monthly.

Note 1 - Final limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

C. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

The facility is required to meet a removal efficiency of **85%** or more. The monitoring requirements shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. To determine removal efficiencies, the influent wastewater shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:

SAMPLING LOCATION AND PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Influent			
Biochemical Oxygen Demand$_5$	mg/L	once / month**	***
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	once /month**	***

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED **MONTHLY**; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE **February 28, 2012**.

MO 780-0010 (8/91)

C. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

** Reports shall be submitted by the 28th day of the month following the reporting period, e.g. Reporting period is the month of March (samples collected monthly), report due by April 28th.

*** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:

   (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:

   (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or

   (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.

   (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.

   (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

   The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.

3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability.

4. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

   The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

   (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"

   (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

(2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;

(3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;

(4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.

(b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.

5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.

6. Water Quality Standards

(a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.

(b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:

(1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;

(2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;

(3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;

(4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;

(5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;

(6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;

(7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;

(8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.

7. The permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permittee shall submit a report on **January 28** each year to the Southwest Regional Office which address measures taken to locate and eliminate sources of infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility.

8. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-8 and 10 CSR 20-9. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. If a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9 is needed, the permittee shall submit a written request to the department for review and, if deemed necessary, approval.

9. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility and are subject to 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)(i), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2.b.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

10. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:

SUMMARY OF ACUTE WET TESTING FOR THIS PERMIT				
OUTFALL	AEC	FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	MONTH
001	100%	once/permit cycle	24 hr. composite*	Any during 2015

* A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampler.

Dilution Series							
AEC%	100%	50%	25%	12.5%	6.25%	(Control) 100% upstream, if available	(Control) 100% Lab Water, also called synthetic water

(a) Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements

(1) Perform a MULTIPLE-dilution acute WET test in the months and at the frequency specified above. For tests which are successfully passed, submit test results using the Department's WET test report form #MO-780-1899 along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including copies of chain-of-custody forms within 30 calendar days of availability to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. If the effluent passes the test, do not repeat the test until the next test period.

(i) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping.

(ii) Any and all chemical or physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% Effluent concentration in addition to analysis performed upon any other effluent concentration.

(iii) All chemical analyses included in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources WET test report form #MO-780-1899 shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form.

(2) The WET test will be considered a failure if mortality observed in effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC is significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; $p = 0.05$) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available, synthetic laboratory control water may be used.

(3) All failing test results along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, INCLUDING THOSE TESTS CONDUCTED UNDER CONDITION (3) BELOW, shall be reported to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the results.

(4) If the effluent fails the test for BOTH test species, a multiple dilution test shall be performed for BOTH test species within 30 calendar days and biweekly thereafter (for storm water, tests shall be performed on the next and subsequent storm water discharges as they occur, but not less than 7 days apart) until one of the following conditions are met: Note: Written request regarding single species multiple dilution accelerated testing will be address by THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM on a case by case basis.

(i) THREE CONSECUTIVE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS PASS. No further tests need to be performed until next regularly scheduled test period.

(ii) A TOTAL OF THREE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS FAIL.

(5) Follow-up tests do not negate an initial failed test.

(6) The permittee shall submit a summary of all test results for the test series along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the third failed test.

(7) Additionally, the following shall apply upon failure of the third follow up MULTIPLE DILUTION test The permittee should contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 14 calendar days from availability of the test results to ascertain as to whether a TIE or TRE is appropriate. If the permittee does not contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM upon the third follow up test failure, a toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) is automatically triggered. The permittee shall submit a plan for conducting a TIE or TRE to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 60 calendar days of the date of the automatic trigger or DNR's direction to perform either a TIE or TRE. This plan must be approved by DNR before the TIE or TRE is begun. A schedule for completing the TIE or TRE shall be established in the plan approval.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

(8) Upon DNR's approval, the TIE/TRE schedule may be modified if toxicity is intermittent during the TIE/TRE investigations. A revised WET test schedule may be established by DNR for this period.
(9) If a previously completed TIE has clearly identified the cause of toxicity, additional TIEs will not be required as long as effluent characteristics remain essentially unchanged and the permittee is proceeding according to a DNR approved schedule to complete a TRE and reduce toxicity. Regularly scheduled WET testing as required in the permit, without the follow-up requirements, will be required during this period.
(10) When WET test sampling is required to run over one DMR period, each DMR report shall contain a copy of the Department's WET test report form that was generated during the reporting period.
(11) Submit a concise summary in tabular format of all WET test results with the annual report.

(b) Test Conditions
(1) Test Type: Acute Static non-renewal
(2) All tests, including repeat tests for previous failures, shall include both test species listed below unless approved by the department on a case by case basis.
(3) Test species: Ceriodaphnia dubia and Pimephales promelas (fathead minnow). Organisms used in WET testing shall come from cultures reared for the purpose of conducting toxicity tests and cultured in a manner consistent with the most current USEPA guidelines. All test animals shall be cultured as described in the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.
(4) Test period: 48 hours at the "Allowable Effluent Concentration" (AEC) specified above.
(5) Upstream receiving stream water shall be used as dilution water. If upstream water is unavailable or if mortality in the upstream water exceeds 10%, "reconstituted" water will be used as dilution water. Procedures for generating reconstituted water will be supplied by the MDNR upon request.
(6) Tests will be run with 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent, and reconstituted water.
(7) If reconstituted-water control mortality for a test species exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun.
(8) If upstream control mortality exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun using reconstituted water as the dilutant.
(9) Whole-effluent-toxicity test shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Statement of Basis
Granby WWTF
MSOP #: MO-0107581
Newton County

A Statement of Basis (Statement) gives pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations and rationale for the development of the NPDES Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit). This Statement includes Wasteload Allocations, Water Quality Based Effluent Limitations, and Reasonable Potential Analysis calculations as well as any other calculations that effect the effluent limitations of this operating permit. This Statement does not pertain to operating permits that include sewage sludge land application plans and variance procedures, and does not include the public comment process for this operating permit.

A Statement is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

Part I – Facility Information

Outfall #001 - POTW - SIC #4952
The use or operation of this facility shall be by or under the supervision of a Certified B Operator.
Flow equalization / two (2) basin sequencing batch reactor activated sludge / ultraviolet disinfection / sludge holding basin / sludge is land applied.

Design organic population equivalent is 2,200
Design flow is 0.22 MGD
Design sludge production is 37.4 dry tons/year.

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001	0.34	Secondary	Domestic	1.3

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History:
A review of DMR data shows a history of permit compliance

This is for a renewal and modification. Modifications to include correcting the facility description and include flow equalization as approved on construction permit SWRO-2164.

Comments: The facility was last inspected on June 15, 2010. The inspection showed the following unsatisfactory features at the facility: operating without a valid operating permit, failure to correct I & I. The facility is in the process of correcting the deficiencies.

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Check boxes below that are applicable to the facility;

- Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200): ☒
- Fifty (50) or more service connections: ☐

- Owned or operated by or for:

- Municipalities ☒
- Public Sewer District: ☐
- County ☐
- Public Water Supply Districts: ☐
- Private sewer company regulated by the Public Service Commission: ☐
- State or Federal agencies: ☐

This facility currently requires an operator with a B Certification Level. Please see **Appendix A - Classification Worksheet**. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

Operator's Name: Charles Sweet
Certification Number: 3621
Certification Level: A

The listing of the operator above only signifies that staff drafting this operating permit have reviewed appropriate Department records and determined that the name listed on the operating permit application has the correct and applicable Certification Level.

Part III – Receiving Stream Information

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]: ☐
Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]: ☐
Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]: ☐
Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]: ☐
Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]: ☐
Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]: ☐
All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]: ☒

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	8-DIGIT HUC	EDU**
Unnamed Tributary to Shoal Creek	U	N/A	General Criteria	11070207	Ozark/ Neosho
Shoal Creek	P	03222	IRR, LWW, AQL, CLF, WBC-A, SCR, DWS, IND		

* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND).
** - Ecological Drainage Unit

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:

RECEIVING STREAM (U)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Unnamed Tributary to Shoal Creek 3	0	0	0

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS
Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(a)].
Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:
As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable ☒;
The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:
A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

☒ - All limits in this statement are at least as protective as those previously established; therefore, backsliding does not apply.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:
As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)10.], when a Continuing Authority under paragraph 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)1. or 2. is expected to be available for connection within the next five (5) years, any operating permit issued to a permittee under this paragraph, located within the service area of the paragraph (3)(B)1. or 2. facility, shall contain the following special condition… This language is contained in Special Condition #3 of this operating permit.

ANTIDEGRADATION:
Policies which ensure protection of water quality for a particular water body where the water quality exceeds levels necessary to protect fish and wildlife propagation and recreation on and in the water. This also includes special protection of waters designated as outstanding natural resource waters. Antidegradation requirements are consistent with 40 CFR 131.12 that outlines methods used to assess activities that may impact the integrity of a water and protect existing uses. This policy may compel the state to maintain a level of water quality above those mandated by criteria.

Not Applicable ☒;
Renewal no degradation proposed and no further review necessary.

APPLICABLE PERMIT PARAMETERS:
Effluent parameters for conventional, non-conventional, and toxic pollutants have been obtained from the previous NPDES operating permit for this facility, technology based effluent limits, and from appropriate sections of the renewal application.

Bio-solids, Sludge, & Sewage Sludge:
Bio-solids are solid materials resulting from wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sludge is any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility or any other such waste having similar characteristics and effect. Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address: http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pub/index.html, items WQ422 through WQ449.

☒ - Permittee land applies biosolids in accordance with Standard Conditions III and a Department approved biosolids management plan.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:
Action taken by the Department to resolve violations of the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ☒;
The permittee/facility is not under enforcement action and is considered to be in compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and condition of an operating permit.

FINDING OF AFFORDABILITY:
Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo., the Department is required to determine whether a permit or decision is affordable and makes a finding of affordability for certain permitting and enforcement decisions. This requirement applies to discharges from combined or separate sanitary sewer systems or publically-owned treatment works.

☒ Applicable; The Department is required to determine findings of affordability because the permit applies to a **combined or separate sanitary sewer system for a publically-owned treatment works.**

Finding of affordability - The department has made a reasonable search for empirical data indicating the permit is affordable. The search consisted of a review of department records that might contain economic data on the community, a review of information provided by the applicant as part of the application, and public comments received in response to public notices of this draft permit. If the empirical cost data was used by the permit writer, this data may consist of median household income, any other ongoing projects that the Department has knowledge, and other demographic financial information that the community provided as contemplated by Section 644. 145.3.

The department is hereby making a finding based from the following facts:

☒ The department is not aware of any significant economic impacts this permit would cause on distressed populations;
☒ The department is not aware of any other more cost effective wastewater treatment options that would achieve the required effluent quality;

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:
The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Not Applicable ☒;
The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):
Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters that are or may be discharged at a level which will cause, have reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above the Missouri Water Quality Standards.

Applicable ☒;
A RPA was conducted for this facility for Ammonia and determined that this facility has the potential to cause or contribute to violations of Water Quality. Please see **APPENDIX B – RPA RESULTS.**

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:
Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD_5) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs). Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm

Applicable ☒;
Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSOS), BYPASSES, INFLOW & INFILTRATION (I&I) – PREVENTION/REDUCTION:
Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(11)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSO's have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to (1) enter and overload the collection system, and (2) overload the treatment facility. Additionally, SSO's can be also be caused by lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Additionally, Missouri RSMo §644.026.1 mandates that the Department require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities.

☒ - In accordance with Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(15) and 40 CFR Part 122.41(e), the permittee is required to develop and/or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system and shall be required in this operating permit by either means of a Special Condition or Schedule of Compliance. In addition, the Department considers the development of this program as an implementation of this condition. Additionally, 40 CFR Part 403.3(o) defines a POTW to include any device and systems used in the storage, treatment, recycling and reclamation of municipal sewage or industrial wastes of liquid nature. It also includes sewers, pipes, and other conveyances only if they convey wastewater to a POTW Treatment Plant.

At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA's Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002). The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used by the EPA to evaluate a collection system's management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium, and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):
A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Not Applicable ☒;
This permit does not contain a SOC.

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):
In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's *Storm Water Management for Industrial Activities: Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices* [EPA 832-R-92-006] (Storm Water Management), BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Storm Water Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges.

Not Applicable ☒;
At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:
As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined to total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable ☒;
Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)}$$ (EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5)

Where C = downstream concentration
C_s = upstream concentration
Q_s = upstream flow
C_e = effluent concentration
Q_e = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":
Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

WLA MODELING:
Not Applicable ☒;
A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:
Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:
A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable ☒;
Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing are also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following RSMo apply: §644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; §644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc…); and §644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:
☐ Facility is a designated Major.
☐ Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
☐ Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
☐ Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
☐ Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH_3)
☒ Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow ≥ 22,500 gpd.
☐ Other – please justify.

40 CFR 122.41(m) - Bypasses:
The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from "bypassing" untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass, which includes blending, is defined as an

intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-2.010(11) defines a bypass as the diversion of wastewater from any portion of wastewater treatment facility or sewer system to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri's Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar.

☒ - The permittee has not entered or does not meet the necessary requirements for entering into a VCA with the Department.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):
Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Applicable ☒;
Shoal Creek is listed on the 2008 Missouri 303(d) List for Bacteria.

☒ – This facility is not considered to be a source of the above listed pollutant(s) or considered to contribute to the impairment of Shoal Creek.

Adjusted Design Flow:
10 CSR 20-6.011(1)(B)1. provides for an Adjusted Design Flow when calculating permit fees on human sewage treatment facilities. If the average flow is sixty percent (60%) or less than the system's design flow, the average flow may be substituted for the design flow when calculating the permit fee on human sewage treatment facilities. If the facility's actual average flow is consistently 60% or less than the permitted design flow, the facility may qualify for a reduction in your fee when:

- The facility has a valid permit, or has applied for re-issuance, is in compliance with the terms, conditions and effluent limitations of the permit, and the facility has a good compliance history; and

- Flow is not expected to exceed 60% of design flow for the remaining term of the existing operating permit.

Not Applicable ☒;
Municipalities, POTWs, and Industrials do not qualify for Adjusted Design flows.

Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	UNIT	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MODIFIED	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	MGD	1	*		*	NO	S
BOD_5	MG/L	1	--	45	30	NO	S
TSS	MG/L	1	--	45	30	NO	S
pH (S.U.)	SU	1	6.5-9.0	--	6.5-9.0	YES	6.0-9.0
AMMONIA AS N (OCTOBER - MARCH)	MG/L	1,5,8	12.1	--	2.3	YES	MONITORING
AMMONIA AS N (APRIL - SEPTEMBER)	MG/L	1,5,8	5.3	--	1.0	YES	MONITORING
ESCHERICHIA COLI	***	1,2,3	--	630	126	YES	NONE
FECAL COLIFORM	MG/L	1,8	--	--	--	YES	1,000 MDL / 400 AML
TEMPERATURE	^{o}C	8	--	--	--	YES	MONITORING
OIL & GREASE	MG/L	3, 8	15		10	NO	S
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST	Please see WET Test in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						

<u>* - Monitoring requirement only</u>

*** - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for E. coli is a geometric mean.

**** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

N/A – Not applicable

S – Same as previous operating permit

Basis for Limitations Codes:

1. State or Federal Regulation/Law
2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA)
3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits
4. Lagoon Policy
5. Ammonia Policy
6. Antidegradation Policy
7. Water Quality Model
8. Best Professional Judgment
9. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL
10. WET test Policy
11. Dissolved Oxygen Policy

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

<u>Flow</u>. In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.

<u>Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD_5)</u>.

☒ – 45 mg/L Weekly Average and 30 mg/L Monthly Average effluent limitations, as per [10 CSR 20-7.015].

<u>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</u>.

☒ – 45 mg/L Weekly Average and 30 mg/L Monthly Average effluent limitations, as per [10 CSR 20-7.015].

pH.

☒ – pH is limited to the range of 6.5 – 9.0 pH units, as per [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(E)]. pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.

Temperature. Temperature has been removed because it is no longer pertinent in determining ammonia limitations.

Ammonia as N

Assuming a first order decay process, ammonia decay was calculated using the following equation

$$D(t) = e^{-K_T t}$$

where

$D(t)$ = fraction of the initial ammonia concentration remaining at *t*
K_T = ammonia decay rate coefficient at local temperature [days^{-1}]
t = travel time [days]

The fraction of ammonia remaining, *D(t)*, was computed for all four seasons:

$$D_{summer} = 0.9884$$
$$D_{winter} = 0.9984$$

Assuming that early life stages are present, the Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C., Table B1, & Table B3] apply and will be used in conjunction with the decay calculations above.

Season	Temp (^oC)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg N/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg N/L)
Oct. 1 – March 31	6	7.8	3.1	12.1
April 1 – Sept. 30	27	7.8	1.4	12.1

Winter: Oct 1 – March 31, Summer: April 1 – Sept. 30

$$\text{Chronic WLA} = (CCC)(D_{season})^{-1}$$

Summer – Chronic WLA = (1.4 mg N/L)(0.988)$^{-1}$ = 1.416 mg N/L, Acute WLA = 12.1 mg N/L. No mixing zone is allowed. Discharges to Unclassified Stream.

LTA_c = 1.416 mg/L (0.352) = 0.498 mg N/L [CV = 2.9, 99th Percentile, 30 day average]
LTA_a = 12.1 mg/L (0.094) = 1.137 mg N/L [CV = 2.9, 99th Percentile]

MDL = 0.498 mg/L (10.7) = **5.3 mg N/L** [CV = 2.9, 99th Percentile]
AML = 0.498 mg/L (2.0) = **1.0 mg N/L** [CV = 2.9, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Winter – Chronic WLA = (3.1 mg N/L)(0.998)$^{-1}$ = 3.105 mg N/L, Acute WLA = 12.1 mg N/L. No mixing zone is allowed. Discharges to Unclassified Stream.

LTA_c = 3.105 mg/L (0.415) = 1.289 mg N/L [CV = 2.4, 99th Percentile, 30 day average]
LTA_a = 12.1 mg/L (0.105) = 1.271 mg N/L [CV = 2.4, 99th Percentile]

MDL = 1.271 mg/L (9.5) = **12.1 mg N/L** [CV = 2.4, 99th Percentile]
AML = 1.271 mg/L (1.8) = **2.3 mg N/L** [CV = 2.4, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Season	Maximum Daily Limit (mg N/L)	Average Monthly Limit (mg N/L)
Oct 1 – March 31	12.1	2.3
April 1 – Sept 30	5.3	1.0

Fecal Coliform. *E. coli* has replaced fecal coliform at the applicable bacteria criteria in Missouri's water quality standards.

Escherichia coli (E. coli). Monthly average of 126 per 100 ml as a geometric mean and Weekly Average of 630 during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), to protect Whole Body Contact Recreation (A) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C). Weekly Average effluent variability will be evaluated in development of a future effluent limit. An effluent limit for both monthly average and weekly average is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d).

Oil & Grease. Conventional pollutant, effluent limitation for protection of aquatic life; 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L daily maximum.

WET Test. WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring*. It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.

☒ Acute

☒ No less than **ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE:**

☒ Municipality or domestic facility with a design flow ≥ 22,500 gpd, but less than 1.0 MGD.

Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) calculations determine if the facility is to conduct single dilution or multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to unclassified or Class C receiving streams, the AEC% is 100%. Facilities with less than 100% for an AEC% will have multiple dilution WET testing. Facilities that discharge to Lakes and have Acute WET testing, the AEC% is 100% due to [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] ZID not allowed for Lakes.

Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements.

PARAMETER	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	REPORTING FREQUENCY
FLOW	WEEKLY	MONTHLY
BOD_5	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
TSS	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
pH	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
AMMONIA AS N	MONTHLY	MONTHLY
E. COLI	WEEKLY	MONTHLY
OIL & GREASE	MONTHLY	MONTHLY

Sampling Frequency Justification:

Sampling frequency retained from previous operating permit with the exception of *E. coli*, weekly sampling is required per 10 CSR 7.015.

Sampling Type Justification
As per 10 CSR 20-7.015samples collected for mechanical plants shall be 24 hour composites.

Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

Date of Factsheet: October 12, 2011

Mr. Josua L. Grosvenor, EI
WP Engineering Unit
(417) 891-4300
josh.grosvenor@dnr.mo.gov

Appendix A

10 CSR 20-9.020
All wastewater treatment systems serving a population equivalent greater than two hundred (200) or with fifty (50) or more service connections, owned or operated by or for municipalities, public sewer districts, counties, public water supply districts, private sewer companies regulated by the Public Service Commission and the state or federal agencies.

Column A

Item	Points	Points Assigned
Maximum population equivalent (P.E.) served, peak day	1 pt. Per 10,000 PE or major fraction thereof	0
Design flow (avg. day) or peak month's flow, (avg. day) whichever is larger	Maximum: 10 Points 1 pt. Per MGD or major fraction thereof	0
REQUIRED LABORATORY CONTROL Performed by plant personnel (highest level only)		
Lab work done outside the plant	0	
Push – button or visual methods for simple tests such as pH, settleable solids	3	
Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, titrations, solids, volatile content	5	
More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedure, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	7	7
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph	10	
TOTAL Page 1 Column A		**7**

Column B

Item	Points	Points Assigned
EFFLUENT DISCHARGE RECEIVING WATER SENSITIVITY		
Missouri or Mississippi River	0	
All other stream discharges except to losing streams and stream reaches supporting whole body contact reaction	1	
Discharge to lake or reservoir outside of designated whole body contact recreational area	2	
Discharge to losing stream, or stream, lake or reservoir area supporting whole body contact recreation	3	3
HEADWORKS - PRELIMINARY TREATMENT		
Raw wastes subject to toxic waste discharges	6	
Screening and/or comminution	3	3
Grit removal	3	3
Plant pumping of main flow (If 51% or greater flow comes into plant)	3	3
PRIMARY TREATMENT		
Primary clarifiers (Flow EQ basins)	5	
Combined sedimentation/digestion (includes big septic tank or if cities clean out STEP system)	5	
Chemical addition (except chlorine, enzymes)	4	
TOTAL Page 1 Column B		**12**

Column A		
Item	**Points**	**Points Assigned**
Direct reuse or recycle of effluent	6	
Land Disposal – Low rate (Irrigation) < 24" year	3	
Land Disposal – High rate (Irrigation) > 24" year	5	
Overland flow	4	
Variation in Raw Wastes (highest level only) (DMR exceedances & Design Flow exceedances)		
Variations do not exceed those normally or typically expected	0	
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100 to 200 % in strength and/or flow (use design flow for determination)	2	
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200 percent in strength and/or flow (use design flow for determination)	4	4
SOLIDS HANDLING - SLUDGE		
Thickening (Lagoon sludge holding basin)	5	5
Anaerobic digestion	10	
Aerobic digestion	6	
Evaporative sludge drying	2	
Mechanical dewatering (belt thickeners)	8	
Solids reduction (incineration, wet oxidation, composting)	12	
Land application	6	6
TOTAL Page 2 Column A		**15**
Grand Total		**53**

Column B		
Item	**Points**	**Points Assigned**
SECONDARY TREATMENT		
Trickling filter and other fixed film media with secondary clarifiers (recirculating sand filters)	10	
Activated sludge with secondary clarifiers (including extended aeration and oxidation ditches)	15	15
Stabilization ponds without aeration	5	
Aerated lagoon (Lemna)	8	
Advanced Waste Treatment Polishing pond (Lemna)	2	
Chemical/physical – without secondary (carbon filters such as at Wilson's Creek WWTF)	15	
Chemical/physical – following secondary (adding alum if not at headworks, tertiary filters)	10	
Biological or chemical biological (multi stage biological treatment, SBR and 3-phase biological treatment)	12	
Carbon Regeneration	4	
DISINFECTION		
Chlorination or comparable	5	
Dechlorination	2	
On-site generation of disinfectant (ozone)	5	
Ultraviolet light	4	4
TOTAL Page 2 Column B		**19**

Level of Certification Required

D	C	B	A
≤ 25	26 – 50	51 – 70	≥71

PREPARED BY:

Joshua L. Grosvenor, EI — August 30, 2011

(Name) **(Date)**

APPENDIX B – RPA RESULTS:

Parameter	CMC*	RWC Acute*	CCC*	RWC Chronic*	n**	Range max/min	CV***	MF	RP Yes/No
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Summer) mg/L	12.1	96	1.4	96	32	15.8/0.09	2.9	6.086	Yes
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Winter) mg/L	12.1	14	3.1	14	30	2.5/0.0	2.4	5.557	Yes

N/A – Not Applicable
* - Units are (µg/L) unless otherwise noted.
** - If the number of samples is greater than 10, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.
*** - Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the sample set by the Mean of the same sample set.
RWC – Receiving Water Concentration. It is the concentration of a toxicant or the parameter toxicity in the receiving water after mixing (if applicable).
n – Is the number of samples.
MF – Multiplying Factor. 99% Confidence Level and 99% Probability Basis.
RP – Reasonable Potential. It is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors including, as a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii).

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2). A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request.