

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No. MO-0040843

Owner: City of Marshfield
Address: 798 S. Marshall St., Marshfield, MO 65706

Continuing Authority: Same as above
Address: Same as above

Facility Name: Marshfield Wastewater Treatment Facility
Facility Address: 325 Brinkley Road, Marshfield, MO 65706

Legal Description: See page two (2)
Latitude/Longitude: See page two (2)

Receiving Stream: See page two (2)
First Classified Stream and ID: See page two (2)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: See page two (2)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

See page two (2)

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

December 10, 2010
Effective Date


Kip A. Stetzer, Acting Director, Department of Natural Resources

December 9, 2015
Expiration Date


John Madras, Director, Water Protection Program

FACILITY DESCRIPTION (continued):

Outfalls #001 & #003 – Discharges from these outfalls are no longer authorized and shall be subject to 40 CFR 122.41(m), and shall be reported according to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)(ii).

Outfall 002 - POTW - SIC #4952 - **Certified Operator Level “B” Required**

Complete Mix Activated Sludge/ Secondary Clarifiers/ Tertiary Filters/ Chlorination & De-chlorination/ Sludge Storage Basins/
Sludge is land applied by permittee.

Design population equivalent is 15,000.

Design Flow is 1.5 MGD

Design sludge production is 210 dry tons/year.

Legal Description: NE ¼, SE ¼, Section 33, T31N, R18W, Webster County
UTMs X = 507697, Y = 4134773
Receiving Stream: Unnamed tributary to West Fork Niangua River (U) - Losing
First Classified Stream and ID: West Fork Niangua River (P) (01175)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10290110 – 010001)

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

PAGE NUMBER 3 of 11

PERMIT NUMBER MO-0040843

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The interim effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until two (2) years and 364 days after the effective date of this permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #002</u>						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/day	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		15	10	once/week	24 hr. composite
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		20	15	once/week	24 hr. composite
pH – Units	SU	**		**	once/week	grab
Temperature	°C	*		*	once/week	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*		*	once/week	grab
Escherichia coli (E. coli) (Note 1)	#/100 mL	126		126	once/week	grab
Total Residual Chlorine (Note 2)	mg/L	0.017 (0.13 ML)		0.008 (0.13 ML)	once/week	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	20		15	once/month	grab
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination (Note 3)	µg/L	9		5	once/month	grab
Chromium III, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/month	24 hr. composite
Chromium VI, Dissolved	µg/L	*		*	once/month	grab
Copper, Total Recoverable	µg/L	37		37	once/month	24 hr. composite
Lead, Total Recoverable	µg/L	29		29	once/month	24 hr. composite
Zinc, Total Recoverable	µg/L	440		440	once/month	24 hr. composite

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE January 28, 2011. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test	% Survival	See Special Conditions #10	once/year	24 hr. composite
------------------------------------	------------	----------------------------	-----------	------------------

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ANNUALLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE AUGUST 28, 2011.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED Parts I, II, & III STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					PAGE NUMBER 4 of 11	
					PERMIT NUMBER MO-0040843	
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective three (3) years from the effective date of this permit and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:						
OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #002</u>						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/day	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		15	10	once/week	24 hr. composite
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		20	15	once/week	24 hr. composite
pH – Units	SU	**		**	once/week	grab
Temperature	°C	*		*	once/week	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*		*	once/week	grab
Escherichia coli (E. coli) (Note 1)	#/100 mL	126		126	once/week	grab
Total Residual Chlorine (Note 2)	mg/L	0.017 (0.13 ML)		0.008 (0.13 ML)	once/week	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination (Note 3)	µg/L	9		3	once/month	grab
Chromium III, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/month	24 hr. composite
Chromium VI, Dissolved	µg/L	15		6.0	once/month	grab
Copper, Total Recoverable	µg/L	26		14	once/month	24 hr. composite
Lead, Total Recoverable	µg/L	17		9	once/month	24 hr. composite
Zinc, Total Recoverable	µg/L	253.5		137.2	once/month	24 hr. composite
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>January 28, 2014</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test	% Survival	See Special Conditions #10		once/year	24 hr. composite	
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>ANNUALLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>AUGUST 28, 2014</u> .						
B. STANDARD CONDITIONS						
IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I, II, & III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED <u>October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994</u> , AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.						

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is limited to the range of 6.5 – 9.0 pH units.

Note 1 - Final limitations and monitoring requirements for E. coli is applicable year round. The Daily Maximum and Monthly Average Limit for E. coli shall not be exceeded and is **not** a geometric mean.

Note 2 - This permit contains a Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) limit.

- (a) This effluent limit is below the minimum quantification level (ML) of the most common and practical EPA approved CLTRC methods. The department has determined the current acceptable ML for total residual chlorine to be 0.13 mg/L when using the DPD Colorimetric Method #4500 – CL G. from Standard Methods for the Examination of Waters and Wastewater. The permittee will conduct analyses in accordance with this method, or equivalent, and report actual analytical values. Measured values greater than or equal to the minimum quantification level of 0.13 mg/L will be considered violations of the permit and values less than the minimum quantification level of 0.13 mg/L will be considered to be in compliance with the permit limitation. The minimum quantification level does not authorize the discharge of chlorine in excess of the effluent limits stated in the permit.
- (b) Disinfection is required year-round unless the permit specifically states that “Final limitations and monitoring requirements for Fecal Coliform are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31.” If your permit does not require disinfection during the non-recreational months, do not chlorinate in those months.
- (c) Do not chemically dechlorinate **if it is not needed to meet the limits in your permit.**
- (d) If no chlorine was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as “0 mg/L” TRC.

Note 3 - This effluent limit is below the minimum quantification level (ML) of the most common and practical EPA approved methods. The department has determined the current acceptable ML for Cyanide amenable to Chlorination to be 16 µg/L when using the Cyanide by Automated Colorimetric Method #335.3 from the U.S.EPA National Exposure Research Laboratory. The permittee will conduct analyses in accordance with this method, or equivalent, and report actual analytical values. Measured values greater than or equal to the minimum quantification level of 16 µg/L will be considered violations of the permit and values less than the minimum quantification level of 16 µg/L will be considered to be in compliance with the permit limitation. The minimum quantification level does not authorize the discharge of Cyanide in excess of the effluent limits stated in the permit.

C. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS			
The facility is required to meet a removal efficiency of 85% or more. The monitoring requirements shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. To determine removal efficiencies, the influent wastewater shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:			
SAMPLING LOCATION AND PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Influent</u>			
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L	once/month	24 hr. composite
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	once/month	24 hr. composite

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE January 28, 2011.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
 - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.
2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability.
4. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility and are subject to 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)(ii) and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B. subsection 2.b.
5. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels":
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
 - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.
 - (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.
6. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.
 7. Water Quality Standards
 - (a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
 - (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
 - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

8. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-8 and 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. If a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9 is needed, the permittee shall submit a written request to the department for review and, if deemed necessary, approval.
9. The permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permittee shall submit a report annually in November to the Southwest Regional Office with the Discharge and Monitoring reports which address measures taken to locate and eliminate sources of infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility.
10. The permittee shall implement and enforce its approved pretreatment program in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 403. The approved pretreatment program is hereby incorporated by reference.

The permittee shall submit to the Department on or before March 31st of each year a report briefly describing its pretreatment activities during the previous calendar year. At a minimum, the report shall include the following:

- (a) An updated list of the Permittee's Industrial Users, including their names and addresses, or a list of deletions and additions keyed to a previously submitted list. The Permittee shall provide a brief explanation of each deletion. This list shall identify which Industrial Users are subject to categorical pretreatment Standards and specify which Standards are applicable to each Industrial User. The list shall indicate which Industrial Users are subject to local standards that are more stringent than the categorical Pretreatment Standards. The Permittee shall also list the Industrial Users that are subject only to local Requirements;
- (b) A summary of the status of Industrial User compliance over the reporting period;
- (c) A summary of compliance and enforcement activities (including inspections) conducted by the Permittee during the reporting period; and
- (d) Any other relevant information requested by the Department.

11. Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Test shall be conducted as follows:

SUMMARY OF ACUTE WET TESTING FOR THIS PERMIT					
OUTFALL	AEC	LC50%*	FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE	MONTH
001	100%	100%	Annually	24 hr. composite	Sample Any Month, report in August.

* LC50 = AEC / 0.3.

Dilution Series						
100%	50%	25%	12.5%	6.25%	(Control) 100% upstream, if available	(Control) 100% Lab Water, also called synthetic water

- (a) Test Schedule and Follow-Up Requirements
 - (1) Perform a MULTIPLE-dilution acute WET test in the months and at the frequency specified above. For tests which are successfully passed, submit test results using the Department's WET test report form #MO-780-1899 along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, including copies of chain-of-custody forms within 30 calendar days of availability to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102. If the effluent passes the test, do not repeat the test until the next test period.
 - (a) For discharges of stormwater, samples shall be collected within three hours from when discharge first occurs.
 - (b) Samples submitted for analysis of stormwater discharges shall be collected as a grab.
 - (c) For discharges of non-stormwater, samples shall be collected only when precipitation has not occurred for a period of forty-eight hours prior to sample collection. In no event shall sample collection occur simultaneously with the occurrence of precipitation excepting for stormwater samples.
 - (d) A twenty-four hour composite sample shall be submitted for analysis of non-stormwater discharges.
 - (e) Upstream receiving water samples, where required, shall be collected upstream from any influence of the effluent where downstream flow is clearly evident.
 - (f) Samples submitted for analysis of upstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriate to the nature of the discharge.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

11. WET testing (continued):

- (g) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping.
 - (h) Any and all chemical or physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% Effluent concentration in addition to analyses performed upon any other effluent concentration.
 - (i) All chemical analyses included in the Missouri Department of Natural Resources WET test report form #MO-780-1899 shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form.
 - (j) Where flow-weighted composite sample is required for analysis, the samples shall be composited at the laboratory where the test is to be performed.
 - (k) Where in stream testing is required downstream from the discharge, sample collection shall occur immediately below the established Zone of Initial Dilution in conjunction with or immediately following a release or discharge.
 - (l) Samples submitted for analysis of downstream receiving water may be collected as either a grab or twenty-four-hour composite as appropriate to the nature of the discharge.
 - (m) All instream samples, including downstream samples, shall be tested for toxicity at the 100% concentration in addition to any other assigned AEC for in-stream samples.
- (2) All failing test results along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory, INCLUDING THOSE TESTS CONDUCTED UNDER CONDITION (3) BELOW, shall be reported to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the availability of the results.
- (3) If the effluent fails the test, a multiple dilution test shall be performed for BOTH test species within 30 calendar days and biweekly thereafter (for storm water, tests shall be performed on the next and subsequent storm water discharges as they occur, but not less than 7 days apart) until one of the following conditions are met:
- (a) THREE CONSECUTIVE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS PASS. No further tests need to be performed until next regularly scheduled test period.
 - (b) A TOTAL OF THREE MULTIPLE-DILUTION TESTS FAIL.
- (4) Failure of at WET tests is a violation of this permit.
- (5) The permittee shall submit a summary of all test results for the test series along with complete copies of the test reports as received from the laboratory to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 within 14 calendar days of the third failed test.
- (6) Additionally, the following shall apply upon failure of the third MULTIPLE DILUTION test: A toxicity identification evaluation (TIE) or toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) is automatically triggered. The permittee shall contact THE WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 14 calendar days from availability of the test results to ascertain as to whether a TIE or TRE is appropriate. The permittee shall submit a plan for conducting a TIE or TRE to the WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM within 60 calendar days of the date of DNR's direction to perform either a TIE or TRE. This plan must be approved by DNR before the TIE or TRE is begun. A schedule for completing the TIE or TRE shall be established in the plan approval.
- (7) Upon DNR's approval, the TIE/TRE schedule may be modified if toxicity is intermittent during the TIE/TRE investigations. A revised WET test schedule may be established by DNR for this period.
- (8) If a previously completed TIE has clearly identified the cause of toxicity, additional TIEs will not be required as long as effluent characteristics remain essentially unchanged and the permittee is proceeding according to a DNR approved schedule to complete a TRE and reduce toxicity. Regularly scheduled WET testing as required in the permit, without the follow-up requirements, will be required during this period.
- (9) Submit a concise summary in tabular format of all WET test results with the annual report.
- (b) PASS/FAIL procedure and effluent limitations:
- (1) To pass a multiple-dilution test:
 - (a) For facilities with a computed percent effluent at the edge of the zone of initial dilution, Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) OF 30% OR LESS, the AEC must be less than three-tenths (0.3) of the LC₅₀ concentration for the most sensitive of the test organisms; **OR**,
 - (b) For facilities with an AEC greater than 30%, the LC₅₀ concentration must be greater than 100%; **AND**,

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

11. WET testing (continued):

- (c) All effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC must be nontoxic. Mortality observed in all effluent concentrations equal to or less than the AEC shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; $p = 0.05$) than that observed in the upstream receiving-water control sample. Where upstream receiving water is not available mortality observed in the AEC test concentration shall not be significantly different (at the 95% confidence level; $p = 0.05$) than that observed in the laboratory control. The appropriate statistical tests of significance shall be consistent with the most current edition of METHODS FOR MEASURING THE ACUTE TOXICITY OF EFFLUENTS AND RECEIVING WATERS TO FRESHWATER AND MARINE ORGANISMS or other federal guidelines as appropriate or required. Failure of one multiple-dilution test may be considered an effluent limit violation.

(c) Test Conditions

- (1) Test Type: Acute Static non-renewal
- (2) All tests, including repeat tests for previous failures, shall include both test species listed below.
- (3) Test species: *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Pimephales promelas* (fathead minnow). Organisms used in WET testing shall come from cultures reared for the purpose of conducting toxicity tests and cultured in a manner consistent with the most current USEPA guidelines. All test animals shall be cultured as described in the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.
- (4) Test period: 48 hours at the "Acceptable Effluent Concentration" (AEC) specified above.
- (5) Upstream receiving stream water shall be used as dilution water. If upstream water is unavailable or if mortality in the upstream water exceeds 10%, "reconstituted" water will be used as dilution water. Procedures for generating reconstituted water will be supplied by the MDNR upon request.
- (6) Multiple-dilution tests will be run with:
 - (a) 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25% effluent, unless the AEC is less than 25% effluent, in which case dilutions will be 4 times the AEC, two times the AEC, AEC, 1/2 AEC and 1/4 AEC;
 - (b) 100% receiving-stream water (if available), collected upstream of the outfall at a point beyond any influence of the effluent; and
 - (c) Reconstituted water.
- (7) If reconstituted-water control mortality for a test species exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun.
- (8) If upstream control mortality exceeds 10%, the entire test will be rerun using reconstituted water as the dilutant.

SUMMARY OF TEST METHODOLOGY FOR ACUTE WHOLE-EFFLUENT TOXICITY TESTS

Whole-effluent-toxicity test required in NPDES permits shall use the following test conditions when performing single or multiple dilution methods. Any future changes in methodology will be supplied to the permittee by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). Unless more stringent methods are specified by the DNR, the procedures shall be consistent with the most current edition of Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms.

Test conditions for Ceriodaphnia dubia:

Test duration:	48 h
Temperature:	25 ± 1°C Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3°C during the test.
Light Quality:	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod:	16 h light, 8 h dark
Size of test vessel:	30 mL (minimum)
Volume of test solution:	15 mL (minimum)
Age of test organisms:	<24 h old
No. of animals/test vessel:	5
No. of replicates/concentration:	4
No. of organisms/concentration:	20 (minimum)
Feeding regime:	None (feed prior to test)
Aeration:	None
Dilution water:	Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water modified to reflect effluent hardness.
Endpoint:	Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream water was not available at $p \leq 0.05$)
Test acceptability criterion:	90% or greater survival in controls

Test conditions for Pimephales promelas:

Test duration:	48 h
Temperature:	25 ± 1°C Temperatures shall not deviate by more than 3°C during the test.
Light Quality:	Ambient laboratory illumination
Photoperiod:	16 h light/ 8 h dark
Size of test vessel:	250 mL (minimum)
Volume of test solution:	200 mL (minimum)
Age of test organisms:	1-14 days (all same age)
No. of animals/test vessel:	10
No. of replicates/concentration:	4 (minimum) single dilution method 2 (minimum) multiple dilution method
No. of organisms/concentration:	40 (minimum) single dilution method 20 (minimum) multiple dilution method
Feeding regime:	None (feed prior to test)
Aeration:	None, unless DO concentration falls below 4.0 mg/L; rate should not exceed 100 bubbles/min.
Dilution water:	Upstream receiving water; if no upstream flow, synthetic water modified to reflect effluent hardness.
Endpoint:	Pass/Fail (Statistically significant Mortality when compared to upstream receiving water control or synthetic control if upstream water was not available at $p \leq 0.05$)
Test Acceptability criterion:	90% or greater survival in controls

E. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

1. The permittee shall come into compliance with the new metal limitations contained in this operating permit as soon as possible, but not to exceed two (2) years and 364 days.
2. Immediately upon issuance of this operating permit, the permittee shall began the process of re-evaluating its industrial pretreatment “local limits” based on the new lower limitations for metals contained in this operating permit’s Table A – Final Effluent Limitations.
3. Within (1) year of the issuance of this operating permit, the permittee shall submit the evaluation to the Department’s pretreatment coordinator documenting the steps that the permittee is implementing, if needed, to comply with this operating permit’s Table A – Final Effluent Limitations.
4. Within (2) years of the issuance of this operating permit, the permittee shall submit an evaluation to the Department’s pretreatment coordinator documenting the steps taken, if needed, to comply with this operating permit’s Table A – Final Effluent Limitations.
5. If the permittee comes into compliance prior to the effective date of this operating permit, then the permittee may request an operating permit modification to have applicable steps removed from this operating permit.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
FACT SHEET
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL
OF
MO-0040843
MARSHFIELD WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of storm water from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Major , Minor , Industrial Facility ; Variance ; Master General Permit ; General Permit Covered Facility ; and/or permit with widespread public interest .

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: POTW
Facility SIC Code(s): 4952

Facility Description:

This treatment facility is a complete mix activated sludge treatment plant. Wastewater enters the facility at the head-works structure and is then initially treated via one of two aeration basins. Wastewater from the aeration basins is then subjected to two (2) reactors units and later treated via two secondary clarifiers. After secondary treatment effluent is future treated via tertiary filters and is then later chlorinated and de-chlorinated.

During wet weather events, flow at the head-works structure can be diverted to storm water basins for holding until flow in the facility allow for peak flows to be sent through the facility for proper treatment. These types of discharges are no longer authorized.

Application Date: July 13, 2006
Expiration Date: September 20, 2006
Last Inspection: 08/14/2008 In Compliance ; Non-Compliance

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
002	2.33	Advanced	Domestic	0.3

Outfall #002

Legal Description: NE ¼, SE ¼, Section 33, T31N, R18W, Webster County
UTM: X = 507697, Y = 4134773
Receiving Stream: Unnamed tributary to West Fork Niangua River (U) – Losing
First Classified Stream and ID: West Fork Niangua River (P) (01175)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10290110 – 010001)

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History:

Some effluent violations; however, very infrequent. Some violations related to the peak flow issue. The peak flow issue will be handled with a Voluntary Compliance Agreement between the permittee and the Department.

Comments:

Recent rulemaking has eliminated the provisions that previously allowed peak flow discharges (i.e., Outfall #001 & Outfall #003). This rule change was made in effort to align state regulations with federal requirements. The US EPA considers these discharges as bypasses (bypassing treatment), and has indicated they will not allow the State of Missouri to issue discharge permits that contain effluent limits for these outfalls.

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Check boxes below that are applicable to the facility;

- Owned or operated by or for:
 - Municipalities
 - Public Sewer District:
 - County
 - Public Water Supply Districts:
 - Private sewer company regulated by the Public Service Commission:
 - State or Federal agencies:

Each of the above entities are only applicable if they have a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200) and/or fifty (50) or more service connections.

This facility currently requires an operator with a C Certification Level. Please see **Appendix A - Classification Worksheet**. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

Operator's Name: Johnny R. Cooper
Certification Number: 3861
Certification Level: WW-A

Part III – Receiving Stream Information

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]:
- Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]:
- Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]:
- Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]:
- Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]:
- Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]:
- All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	8-DIGIT HUC	EDU**
West Fork Niangua River	U	----	Losing, General Criteria	10290110	Ozark / Osage
West Fork Niangua River	P	01175	AQL, LWW, WBC***		

* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND), Groundwater (GRW).

** - Ecological Drainage Unit

*** - UAA has not been conducted.

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:

RECEIVING STREAM (U, C, P)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
West Fork Niangua River (U)	0.0	0.0	0.0

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS TABLE:

Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(a)].

Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

RECEIVING STREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

No receiving water monitoring requirements recommended at this time.

Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable ;

The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

- Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.

ANTIDegradation:

In accordance with Missouri’s Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)], the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body’s available assimilative capacity is justified. Degradation is justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharging activity after determining the necessity of the discharge.

- Renewal no degradation proposed and no further review necessary.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)], ...An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, a statement waiving preferential status from each existing higher preference authority, providing the waiver does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.

BIO-SOLIDS, SLUDGE, & SEWAGE SLUDGE:

Bio-solids are solid materials resulting from wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sludge is any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility or any other such waste having similar characteristics and effect. Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works.

Applicable (renewal and modifications to existing operating permits) ;

This facility has been approved to land apply as per Permit Standard Conditions III and a Department approved bio-solids management plan.

BYPASSES:

A bypass is the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility [40 CFR 122.41(m)]. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential operation, which are not subject to 40 CFR Parts 122.41(m)(3) and (m)(4), [40 CFR 122.41(m)(2)]. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, the permittee shall submit prior notice, if possible at least ten (10) days before the date of the bypass, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)]. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) (24-hour notice).

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

Not Applicable ;

The permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee's pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users,
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

Applicable ;

This permittee has an approved pretreatment program in accordance with the requirements of [40 CFR Part 403] and [10 CSR 20-6.100] and is expected to implement and enforce its approved program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any give pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

Applicable ;

A RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see **APPENDIX B – RPA RESULTS**.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm.

Applicable ;

Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSOs), BYPASSES, INFLOW & INFILTRATION (I&I) – PREVENTION/REDUCTION:

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from “bypassing” untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater). A bypass, which includes blending, is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri's Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b.

Untreated or partially treated discharges from SSSs are commonly referred to as SSOs. SSO's are bypassing under state regulation and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSOs have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to overload the system, lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. A SSOs is defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release from a SSS. SSOs can occur at any point in an SSS, during dry weather or wet weather. SSOs include overflows that reach waters of the state. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations. SSSs can back up into buildings, including private residences. When sewage backups are caused by problems in the publicly-owned portion of an SSS, they are considered SSOs.

Applicable ;

This facility has two (2) previously permitted discharging outfalls that contained partially treated effluent. Discharging from these previously permitted outfalls is no longer authorized.

In accordance with 40 CFR Part 122.41(e), the permittee is required to develop and/or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system and shall be required in this operating permit by either means of a Special Condition or Schedule of Compliance. In addition, the Department considers the development of this program as an implementation of this condition. Additionally, 40 CFR Part 403.3(o) defines a POTW to include any device and systems used in the storage, treatment, recycling and reclamation of municipal sewage or industrial wastes of liquid nature. It also includes sewers, pipes, and other conveyances only if they convey wastewater to a POTW Treatment Plant.

At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA's Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002). The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used by the EPA to evaluate a collection system's management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium, and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Applicable ;

The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under Interim Effluent Limitation and Final Effluent Limitations were established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-6.010(7)].

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (Document number EPA 833-B-09-002) [published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in February 2009], BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Storm Water Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges.

Not Applicable ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

Not Applicable ;

This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable ;

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration
Cs = upstream concentration
Qs = upstream flow
Ce = effluent concentration
Qe = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

WLA for limits (continued):

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

Not Applicable ;

A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable ;

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing is also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following MCWL apply: §§644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; 644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc...); and 644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:

- Facility is a designated Major.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃)
- Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow ≥ 22,500 gpd.
- Other – please justify.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs. A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Not Applicable ;

This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

Part V – Effluent Limits Determination

Outfall #002 – Main Facility Outfall

Effluent limitations derived and established in the below Effluent Limitations Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supercedes the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit.

PARAMETER	UNIT	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MODIFIED	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	GPD	1	*		*	NO	
BOD ₅	MG/L	1		15	10	NO	
TSS	MG/L	1		20	15	NO	
pH (S.U.)	SU	1	6.5 – 9		6.5 – 9	NO	
TEMPERATURE (°C)	°C	1/8	N/A		N/A	NO	*
AMMONIA AS N (MAY 1 – OCT 31)	MG/L	2/3/5	*		*	YES	2.5/1.4
AMMONIA AS N (NOV 1 – APR 30)	MG/L	2/3/5	*		*	YES	3.5/2.2
E. COLI	**	1/2	1000		400	YES	FECAL COLIFORM
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL (MG/L)	MG/L	1/2	0.017		0.008	YES	0.01/0.01
OIL & GREASE (MG/L)	MG/L	1	15		10	NO	
CYANIDE, AMENABLE TO CHLORINATION	µg/L	2/3	9.2		3.2	YES	5 MDL
CHROMIUM (III), TOTAL RECOVERABLE	µg/L	2/8	*		*	YES	42 MDL
CHROMIUM (VI), TOTAL RECOVERABLE	µg/L	2/8	15		6.0	YES	****
COPPER, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	µg/L	2/3	26		14.1	YES	37 MDL
LEAD, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	µg/L	2/3	17.4		8.7	YES	29 MDL
ZINC, TOTAL RECOVERABLE	µg/L	2/3	168.6		84	YES	440 MDL
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST	Please see WET Test in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						

* - Monitoring requirement only

** - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for Fecal Coliform is a geometric mean.

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 7. Antidegradation Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 8. Water Quality Model |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 9. Best Professional Judgment |
| 4. Lagoon Policy | 10. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 5. Ammonia Policy | 11. WET Test Policy |
| 6. Dissolved Oxygen Policy | 12. Antidegradation Review |

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- **Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.
- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅).** Effluent limitations from the previous state operating permit have been reassessed and verified that they are still protective of the receiving stream’s Water Quality. Therefore, effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information.**

- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS).** Effluent limitations from the previous state operating permit have been reassessed and verified that they are still protective of the receiving stream's Water Quality. Therefore, effluent limitations have been retained, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information**.
- **pH.** Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information**. Staff have verified that the new pH range of 6.5 – 9.0 is attainable for this facility with no exceedances below 6.5 SU.
- **Temperature.** The monitoring requirement has been retained although the effluent limitations for Total Ammonia Nitrogen have been reduced to monitoring only due to the RPA. Even though Total Ammonia Nitrogen is now a monitoring only requirement, Ammonia toxicity is still varies by temperature.
- **Total Ammonia Nitrogen.** Reasonable Potential Analysis conducted for Total Ammonia Nitrogen. Please see **Appendix B – Reasonable Potential Analysis**. Due to no reasonable potential, Total Ammonia Nitrogen is being reduced to a monitoring only requirement.
- **E. coli.** In accordance with 10 CSR 20-7.015(4) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C), the facility shall not exceed the count of 126 colonies per 100 mL. This limit is applicable year round, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Receiving Stream Information**.
- **Total Residual Chlorine (TRC).** Warm-water Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = 10 µg/L, CMC = 19 µg/L [10 CSR 20-7.031, Table A]. Background TRC = 0.0 µg/L.

Chronic WLA: $C_c = 10 \mu\text{g/L}$
Acute WLA: $C_c = 19 \mu\text{g/L}$

$LTA_c = 10 (0.527) = 5.3 \mu\text{g/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
 $LTA_a = 19 (0.321) = 6.1 \mu\text{g/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

MDL = 5.3 (3.11) = 16.5 µg/L [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
AML = 5.3 (1.55) = 8.2 µg/L [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 4]

Total Residual Chlorine effluent limits of 0.017 mg/L daily maximum, 0.008 mg/L monthly average are recommended if chlorine is used as a disinfectant. Standard compliance language for TRC, including the minimum level (ML), should be included in the permit.

- **Oil & Grease.** Conventional pollutant, effluent limitation for protection of aquatic life; 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L daily maximum.
- **Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination.** Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA) conducted for Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination, please see **Appendix B – Reasonable Potential Analysis**. Due to a reasonable potential existing, effluent limitations are applicable. A CV value of 1.397 was calculated in the RPA and was rounded up to 1.4 due to significant digits. Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = 5 µg/L, CMC = 22 µg/L.

Chronic WLA: $C_c = 5 \mu\text{g/L}$
Acute WLA: $C_c = 22 \mu\text{g/L}$

$LTA_c = 5 (0.281) = 1.4 \mu\text{g/L}$ [CV = 1.4, 99th Percentile]
 $LTA_a = 22 (0.153) = 3.4 \mu\text{g/L}$ [CV = 1.4, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

MDL = 1.4 (6.56) = 9.2 µg/L [CV = 1.4, 99th Percentile]
AML = 1.4 (2.31) = 3.2 µg/L [CV = 1.4, 95th Percentile, n = 4]

- **Metals**

Effluent limitations for total recoverable metals were developed using methods and procedures outlined in EPA/505/2-90-001 and “The Metals Translator: Guidance For Calculating A Total Recoverable Permit Limit From A Dissolved Criterion” (EPA 823-B-96-007). General warm-water fishery criteria apply and water hardness = 242.5 mg/L. Hardness data provided by the City of Marshfield.

Due to the absence of contemporaneous effluent and instream data for total recoverable metals, dissolved metals, hardness, and total suspended solids with which to calculate metals translators, partitioning between the dissolved and absorbed phases was assumed to be minimal (Section 5.7.3, EPA/505/2-90-001). Freshwater criteria conversion factors for dissolved metals were used as the metals translator as recommended in guidance (Section 1.3, 1.5.3, and Table 1, EPA 823-B-96-007). If concurrent site-specific data for total recoverable metals, dissolved metals, hardness, and total suspended solids are provided to the department, partitioning evaluations may be considered and site-specific translators developed.

METAL	CONVERSION FACTORS	
	ACUTE	CHRONIC
Copper	0.960	0.960
Lead	0.662	0.662
Zinc	0.978	0.986

Conversion factor for Pb is hardness dependent. Values calculated using equation found in Section 1.3 of EPA 823-B-96-007 and hardness = 242.5 mg/L.

- **Chromium III, Total Recoverable.** Reasonable Potential Analysis (RPA) conducted for Total Chromium without regard for Chromium’s different chemical species, and determined that Total Chromium does not have a reasonable potential to cause or contribute to violations of Missouri’s Water Quality Standards for Chromium (III), please see **Appendix B – Reasonable Potential Analysis**. Due to no reasonable potential, Chromium (III) is being reduced to a monitoring only requirement.
- **Chromium VI, Total Dissolved.** RPA conducted for Total Chromium without regard for Chromium’s different chemical species, and determined that Total Chromium does have Reasonable Potential to cause or contribute to violations of Missouri’s Water Quality Standards for Chromium (VI), please see Appendix B – Reasonable Potential Analysis. Therefore, this operating permit will contain limitations for Chromium (VI), Total Dissolved. RPA determined a CV of 0.957. Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = 10 µg/L; CMC = 15 µg/L.

$$LTA_c = 10(0.386) = 3.86 \mu\text{g/L} \quad [\text{CV} = 0.957, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

$$LTA_a = 15(0.212) = 3.18 \mu\text{g/L} \quad [\text{CV} = 0.957, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

$$\text{MDL} = 3.18(4.71) = 15 \mu\text{g/L} \quad [\text{CV} = 0.957, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

$$\text{AML} = 3.18(1.90) = 6.0 \mu\text{g/L} \quad [\text{CV} = 0.957, 95^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile, } n = 4]$$

- **Copper, Total Recoverable** Reasonable Potential (RPA) conducted for Cu, please see **Appendix B – Reasonable Potential Analysis**. Cu found to have a reasonable potential to violation receiving stream’s water quality. A CV value of 0.471 was calculated in the RPA and was rounded up to 0.5 due to significant digits. Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = 16 µg/L, CMC = 31 µg/L.

$$\text{Chronic} = 16/0.960 = 16.7 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$\text{Acute} = 31/0.960 = 32.3 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$\text{Chronic: } WLA_c = 16.7 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$\text{Acute: } WLA_a = 32.3 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$LTA_c = 16.7(0.581) = 9.7 \mu\text{g/L} \quad [\text{CV} = 0.5, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

$$LTA_a = 32.3(0.373) = 12.1 \mu\text{g/L} \quad [\text{CV} = 0.5, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

$$\text{MDL} = 9.7(2.68) = 26 \mu\text{g/L}$$
$$\text{AML} = 9.7(1.45) = 14.1 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$[\text{CV} = 0.5, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$
$$[\text{CV} = 0.5, 95^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}, n = 4]$$

- **Lead, Total Recoverable.** Reasonable Potential (RPA) conducted for Pb, please see **Appendix B – Reasonable Potential Analysis.** Pb found to have a reasonable potential to violate receiving stream's water quality. A CV value of 0.577 was calculated in the RPA and was rounded up to 0.6 due to significant digits. Protection of Aquatic Life Chronic Criteria = 7 $\mu\text{g/L}$, Acute Criteria = 167 $\mu\text{g/L}$.

$$\text{Chronic} = 7/0.662 = 10.6 \mu\text{g/L}$$
$$\text{Acute} = 167/0.662 = 252.3 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$\text{Chronic: } \text{WLA}_c = 10.6 \mu\text{g/L}$$
$$\text{Acute: } \text{WLA}_a = 252.3 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$\text{LTA}_c = 10.6(0.527) = \mathbf{5.6 \mu\text{g/L}}$$
$$\text{LTA}_a = 252.3(0.321) = 81 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$[\text{CV} = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$
$$[\text{CV} = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

$$\text{MDL} = 5.6(3.11) = 17.4 \mu\text{g/L}$$
$$\text{AML} = 5.6(1.55) = 8.7 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$[\text{CV} = 0.6, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$
$$[\text{CV} = 0.6, 95^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}, n = 4]$$

- **Zinc, Total Recoverable** Reasonable Potential (RPA) conducted for Zn, please see **Appendix B – Reasonable Potential Analysis.** Zn found to have reasonable potential to violate receiving stream's water quality. A CV value of 0.445 was calculated in the RPA and was rounded up to 0.5 due to significant digits. Protection of Aquatic Life Chronic Criteria = 227 $\mu\text{g/L}$, Acute Criteria = 248 $\mu\text{g/L}$.

$$\text{Chronic} = 227/0.986 = 230.2 \mu\text{g/L}$$
$$\text{Acute} = 248/0.978 = 253.6 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$\text{Chronic: } \text{WLA}_c = 230.2 \mu\text{g/L}$$
$$\text{Acute: } \text{WLA}_a = 253.6 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$\text{LTA}_c = 230.2(0.581) = 133.7 \mu\text{g/L}$$
$$\text{LTA}_a = 253.6(0.373) = \mathbf{94.6 \mu\text{g/L}}$$

$$[\text{CV} = 0.5, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$
$$[\text{CV} = 0.5, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

$$\text{MDL} = 94.6(2.68) = 253.5 \mu\text{g/L}$$
$$\text{AML} = 94.6(1.45) = 137.2 \mu\text{g/L}$$

$$[\text{CV} = 0.5, 99^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}]$$
$$[\text{CV} = 0.5, 95^{\text{th}} \text{ Percentile}, n = 4]$$

- **WET Test.** WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring*. It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.

Acute

No less than ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE:

- Municipality or domestic facility with a design flow $\geq 22,500$ gpd, but less than 1.0 MGD.
- Other, please justify.

No less than ONCE/YEAR:

- Facility is designated as a Major facility or has a design flow ≥ 1.0 MGD.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds their design flow.
- Facility exceeds its design population equivalent (PE) for BOD_5 whether or not its design flow is being exceeded.
- Facility has Water Quality-based effluent limitations for toxic substances (other than NH_3).

- No less than TWICE/YEAR:**
 - Facility is subject to production processes alterations throughout the year.
 - Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
 - Facility has been granted seasonal relief of numeric limitations.

Acute and/or Chronic Allowable Effluent Concentrations (AECs) for facilities that discharge to unclassified, Class C, Class P (with default Mixing Considerations), or Lakes [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] are 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, & 6.25%.

- **Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements.** As per 10 CSR 20-7.015(4), the Department shall establish a minimum sampling and reporting required based on 1 sample per year per 25,000 gpd. Therefore, the sampling regiment is calculated as follows:

1,500,000 gpd / 25,000 gpd = 60 samples per year;
60 samples per year / 12 months = 5 samples per month
5 samples per month / 4 weeks = 1.25. (With considerations to rounding and significant figures, this becomes 1 sample/week.)

The previous state operating permit required an once per week sampling regiment with monthly reporting, the sampling regiment will be retained.

Part VI – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing.

The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit.

For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

- The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from September 15, 2006, to October 15, 2006. Effluent regulations and Water Quality Standards have been revised since the this Public Notice period.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: 07/16/2007; 12/27/2007; 07/06/2010; & 10/12/2010

COMPLETED BY:

MICHAEL ABBOTT, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST III
NPDES PERMITS UNIT
PERMITTING AND ENGINEERING SECTION
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
(573) 526-1139
michael.abbott@dnr.mo.gov

Part VII – Appendices

APPENDIX A - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET:

ITEM	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
Maximum Population Equivalent (P.E.) served (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt./10,000 PE or major fraction thereof.	2.0
Maximum: 10 pt Design Flow (avg. day) or peak month; use greater (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt. / MGD or major fraction thereof.	1.0
EFFLUENT DISCHARGE RECEIVING WATER SENSITIVITY:		
Missouri or Mississippi River	0	--
All other stream discharges except to losing streams and stream reaches supporting whole body contact	1	--
Discharge to lake or reservoir outside of designated whole body contact recreational area	2	--
Discharge to losing stream, or stream, lake or reservoir area supporting whole body contact recreation	3	3
PRELIMINARY TREATMENT - Headworks		
Screening and/or comminution	3	
Grit removal	3	
Plant pumping of main flow (lift station at the headworks)	3	
PRIMARY TREATMENT		
Primary clarifiers	5	--
Combined sedimentation/digestion	5	--
Chemical addition (except chlorine, enzymes)	4	--
REQUIRED LABORATORY CONTROL – performed by plant personnel (highest level only)		
Lab work conducted outside of plant	0	--
Push – button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, Settleable solids	3	--
Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, titrations, solids, volatile content	5	--
More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	7	7
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph	10	--
ALTERNATIVE FATE OF EFFLUENT		
Direct reuse or recycle of effluent	6	--
Land Disposal – low rate	3	--
High rate	5	--
Overland flow	4	--
Total from page ONE (1)	----	13

APPENDIX A - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET (CONTINUED):

ITEM	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
VARIATION IN RAW WASTE (highest level only) (DMR exceedances and Design Flow exceedances)		
Variation do not exceed those normally or typically expected	0	--
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100 to 200 % in strength and/or flow	2	--
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200 % in strength and/or flow	4	--
Raw wastes subject to toxic waste discharge	6	--
SECONDARY TREATMENT		
Trickling filter and other fixed film media with secondary clarifiers	10	--
Activated sludge with secondary clarifiers (including extended aeration and oxidation ditches)	15	15
Stabilization ponds without aeration	5	--
Aerated lagoon	8	--
Advanced Waste Treatment Polishing Pond	2	--
Chemical/physical – without secondary	15	--
Chemical/physical – following secondary	10	--
Biological or chemical/biological	12	--
Carbon regeneration	4	--
DISINFECTION		
Chlorination or comparable	5	5
Dechlorination	2	2
On-site generation of disinfectant (except UV light)	5	--
UV light	4	--
SOLIDS HANDLING - SLUDGE		
Solids Handling Thickening	5	--
Anaerobic digestion	10	--
Aerobic digestion	6	--
Evaporative sludge drying	2	--
Mechanical dewatering	8	--
Solids reduction (incineration, wet oxidation)	12	--
Land application	6	6
Total from page TWO (2)	----	22
Total from page ONE (1)	---	13
Grand Total	---	35

- A: 71 points and greater
- B: 51 points – 70 points
- C: 26 points – 50 points
- D: 0 points – 25 points

APPENDIX B – RPA RESULTS:

Parameter	CMC*	RWC Acute*	CCC*	RWC Chronic*	n**	Range max/min	CV***	MF	RP Yes/No
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Summer) mg/L	12.1	0.7	1.5	0.7	25	0.43/0.09	0.393	1.682	No
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Winter) mg/L	12.1	1.3	3.1	1.3	28	0.63/0.09	0.639	2.128	No
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination	22	20	5	20	51	7/1	1.397	2.893	Yes
Chromium III, Total Recoverable	1177	33.6	153	33.3	53	16/1	0.957	2.101	No
Chromium VI, Total Recoverable	1177	15	153	10	53	16/1	0.957	2.101	Yes
Copper, Total Recoverable	31	42.3	16	42.0	53	28/1	0.471	1.511	Yes
Lead, Total Recoverable	167	40.9	7	40.5	53	25/5	0.577	1.638	Yes
Zinc, Total Recoverable	248	333.9	227	330.7	53	226/27	0.445	1.479	Yes

N/A – Not Applicable

* - Units are (µg/L) unless otherwise noted.

** - If the number of samples is greater than 10, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.

*** - Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the sample set by the Mean of the same sample set.

RWC – Receiving Water Concentration. It is the concentration of a toxicant or the parameter toxicity in the receiving water after mixing (if applicable).

n – Is the number of samples.

MF – Multiplying Factor. 99% Confidence Level and 99% Probability Basis.

RP – Reasonable Potential. It is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors including, as a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii).

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2). A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request.