STATE OF MISSOURI
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT

1. SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT	2. FISCAL YEAR PERIOD:
Ozark Foothills Solid Waste Management District, Region Q	FROM JULY 1, 2010 TO JUNE 30, 2011

GOALS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

3 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

Goal 1: Collect and process recyclable materials for proper reuse.

Goal 1 Accomplishments: Materials were collected and processed at the District's Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) for proper reuse. Each of the District's programs were used to accomplish this goal. As a direct result of the operation of the District's programs, 1,318.67 tons of recyclable materials were diverted from landfills. Without the existence of the Ozark Foothills Solid Waste Management District Q programs, the majority of these items would have been illegally dumped, burned, or transported to landfills. The District is, without a doubt, impacting the attitudes and practices of citizens of the region with regard to recycling. Evidence of this is the volume of materials processed at the Ozark Foothills Recycling Center.

Goal 2: Perform an efficiency review of the District's operations.

Goal 2 Accomplishments: An efficiency review was conducted. As a result of the review, white paper collection services were expanded by adding two new enclosed recycle trailers with placement upon two large school districts within the region. A grant from the United States Department of Agriculture, Rural Development was obtained to make this happen. As of the end of the fiscal year, community service workers continued to be utilitzed for emptying of the trailers and sorting of the recyclables, though the hours contributed were far less than what is needed.

Due to the relocation of the Butler County Landfill out of the five-county region and the consequential decrease in grant funding, the Solid Waste Management District decreased its current fleet of collection trailers during Fiscal Year 2011. Collection trailers continue to be located in each of the region's five counties--in Doniphan, Ellington, Piedmont, Poplar Bluff, and Van Buren.

As of the end of the fiscal year, community service workers continued to be utilized for emptying of the trailers and sorting of the recyclables, though the hours contribued were far less than what is needed. During the year, the District developed a relationship with the Federal Probation and Parole office in Cape Girardeau, Missouri and began receiving volunteer hours from this source. Such volunteer labor was used primarily for sorting. Supervision at the Center continues to be adequate although additional manpower is needed.

3 (b). What waste goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The Ozark Foothills Solid Waste Management District adopted the two before listed goals at its inception. While the goals have been reviewed and modified, the basic premise of collection and processing of recyclable materials "waste" for proper reuse continues to be the underlying purpose.

The upcoming fiscal year goals for the Ozark Foothills Solid Waste Management District Q will be similar, if not the same, as those stated above. Project proposals sought will have to prove contribution to the waste goals. Specifically, projects must be collection, process and reuse focused. In addition, the projects must be sustainable and present a long term commitment to the Ozark Foothills Region. Further, only projects from organizations located in the region will be considered. Though eligible, for-profit projects will receive low priority.

4 (a). What recycling goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

1.Goal 1. To collect recyclable goods.

Goal 1. Accomplishments: The five largest population centers within the Solid Waste Management District - Region Q selected a central collection site for placement of a recycling trailer to be used in residential recyclable collection. Also, Center personnel placed a collection trailer outside of the Center's during non-operating hours, thereby, increasing the ability to recycle to a 24/7 schedule for local residents.

Broadcast and print media provided information on the location, availability, and rules of use of the collection trailers. In addition, residents brought recyclable materials including magazines, newspaper, cardboard, aluminum, tin, and plastics to the Center's five trailers , as well as to the Materials Recovery Drop-Off Facility where electronics were also accepted. Recycling was also facilitated by the district through the support of a regional programs newly-created white paper collection effort, a cardboard and newspaper collection route, a series of community clean-ups, and a tire collection effort. The district facilitated the collection and diversion of nearly 1,319 tons of recyclable materials from landfills. The district's diversion goal for the project period was 1,350 tons.

All recyclables recovered via the collection trailers were transported by Center staff to the Materials Recovery Facility (MFR) in Poplar Bluff for handling and distribution. Community service workers, assigned by the county court system, as well as, community service workers assigned by the Federal court system provided some of the hours needed to sort collected recyclables. An average of 300 hours per month were provided by community service workers.

2.Goal 2. Items would continue to be delivered to the MRF for processing.

Goal 2. Accomplishments: Items collected through the District's recycling trailer, appliance, institutional generators, community clean-up, tire and drop-off programs were processed at the MRF. Center staff noticed an increase in the volume of materials dropped off through self-service at the Center by members of the public. This continued to create staffing challenges, but contributed to much-needed increases in project income. To ensure continual availability of recycling for residents of the community, Center mangement ordered the placement of a collection trailer outside of the Center's gates during evenings and weekends. Doing so, permitted increased and continuous collection of recyclable goods.

Recovered materials were shipped both baled and loose. Program income was generated from the sale of the products and from collection services offered. In Fiscal Year 2011, program income amounted to $187,853.53, permitting the purchase of a new tractor for use at the Center during June 2011.

4 (b). What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The Ozark Foothills Solid Waste Management District adopted the above two goals at its inception. While the goals have been reviewed and modified, the basic premise of collection and processing of recyclable materials for proper reuse continues to be the underlying purpose.

The upcoming fiscal year goals for the Ozark Foothills Solid Waste Management District Q will be similar, if not the same, as those stated above. Project proposals sought will have to prove contribution to the goals. Specifically, projects must be collection, process and reuse focused. In addition, the projects must be sustainable and present a long term commitment to the Ozark Foothills Region. Further, only projects from organizations located in the region will be considered. Though eligible, for-profit projects will receive low priority.

RECEIVED BY

SEP 23 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

5 (a). What resource recovery goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

Gaol 1: Successfully collect recyclable goods at the local level.

Goal 1 Accomplishments: The population center of each county was idenitified as a central collection site to which local residents brought recoverable materials. Each site was provided with drop-off structure, or collection trailer. The existence of the recycling collection sites was widely advertised throughout the region primarily via word-of-mouth. Citizens were asked to bring their recoverable materials (including aluminum, newspapers, cardboard, tin, and plastics) to their local drop-off trailer. Once full, a truck was then used to haul the mobile drop-off trailers to the regional Materials Recovery Facility. There, the trailers were emptied and returned to the drop-off site. The trucks ran routes providing service to all five participating locations on an as-needed basis.

At the Materials Recovery Facility, all recyclables items mentioned above, including electronic devices, were accepted. Futhermore, a white paper collection effort was designed and implemented. The two-pronged effort consists of a local commercial collection route and and educational institutional generators program. Currently, two secondary school and one community college are participating in the collection effort. In total, the drop-off locations and special collection routes assisted the District in diverting 1,310.86 tons of recyclables, not including tires and whitegoods.

Goal 2: Continue appliance (white goods) collection program.

Goal 2 Accomplishments: In addition to the collection of recyclable materials within the participating cities, five community clean-ups were held throughout the project period specifically to collect appliances (or white goods). For applicances, the collection events utilized a semi-truck with a 24-foot dump bed equipped with a 1-ton knuckle boom. Appliances were collected in the Center's knuckle boom truck and transported to the Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) in Poplar Bluff. Once at the MRF, the appliances were processed and transported to a scrap metal dealer for reuse. More than five tons of appliances were diverted from landfills under this program during Fiscal Year 2011.

Goal 3: Maintain institutional generator's program.

Goal 3 Accomplishments: The institutional generators program was continued during the project period. Seventy-one eight-yard and one two-yard recyclable bins located at hospitals, schools, newspaper publishers and other mid-size organizations were used to collect significant volumes of cardboard and newspaper. This program was primarily responsible for the reuse of 899.99 tons of cardboard and 237.18 tons of newspaper. The volume of cardboard collected during the project year increased by 74.2% over the previous year, accounting for a large portion of the increase in project income.

Goal 4: Process and sell items brought to the Materials Recovery Facility.

Goal 4 Accomplishments: Waste items brought to the Materials Recovery Facility were processed and sold. To accomplish this task Center personnel continued to seek new markets and nurture relationships with brokers. The sale of items to be recycled generated $187,853.53 in project income over the twelve-month period. Total revenues for the project year equaled $354,379.49 and consisted of grant revenue from the Missouri Department of Revenue and the United States Department of Agriculture ($164,609.48), project income ($187,853.53), and miscellaneous revenue ($1,916.48).

Goal 5: Offer tire collection services to the general public and businesses.

Goal 5 Accomplishments: The small-scale tire collection program continued utilizing existing equipment and facility space to provide waste tire collection at community clean-ups and disposal services for commercial businesses, on an as-requested basis, throughout the 5-county service area. 13. 22 tons of tires (up slightly from the prior year) were collected through the effort and transported to Tire Shreddres Unlimited in Fenton, Missouri for processing.

Goal 6: Ensure proper use of collected goods.

Goal 6 Accomplishments: Items removed fromt the waste stream, were properly used. All recyclables obtained through the project were be sold or transported to end markets depending upon the type of material collected. The markets were accessed either through pickup by the local buyer or by transportation of such goods to buyers through use of the MRF's own equipment and operators.

Delivered 2-8-12

5 (b). What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Please include the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.

The Ozark Foothills Solid Waste Management District adopted the above six goals for Fiscal Year 2011. While the goals have been reviewed and modified, the basic premise of collection and processing of recyclable materials for proper reuse continues to be the underlying purpose.

The upcoming fiscal year goals for the Ozark Foothills Solid Waste Management District Q will be similar, if not the same, as those stated above. The District will focus is efforts upon maintaining collection volumes with a special emphasis upon developing a white ledger paper recovery system. Project proposals sought will have to prove contribution to the goals. Specifically, projects must be collection, process and reuse focused. In addition, the projects must be sustainable and present a long term commitment to the Ozark Foothills Region. Further, only projects from organizations located in the region will be considered. While eligible, for-profit projects will receive low priority.

6. SUMMARIZE THE TYPES OF PROJECTS AND RESULTS DURING FISCAL YEAR (ADDITIONAL SHEETS MAY BE ATTACHED IF NEEDED.)

Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.	Cost of Project.	Number of Tons Diverted.	Average Cost Per Ton Diverted.
Ozark Foothills Recycling Program	$302,181.51	1,318.67	$229.16

RECEIVED BY

SEP 23 2011

SWMP OPERATIONS

Measurable outcomes achieved.

Measurable outcomes achieved included the tonnage and types of materials recovered throughout the five-county service area during the project year. The total tonnage figures reflect the weight of recyclable materials shipped from the Materials Recovery Facility during the twelve month project period. The weights shipped, as meansured in tons, were as follows:

Cardboard - 899.99

Newspaper - 237.18

Magazines - 42.54

Office Paper - 28.88

Glass - 20.97

Tin - 20.66

Plastic - 41.72

Electronics - 0

Tires - 13.22

White Goods - 5.41

Aluminum - 8.10

Total - 1,318.67

MO 780-1989 (06-08)

7. SUMMARIZE PROJECTS NOT RESULTING IN TONNAGE DIVERSION	
Projects not resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills.	**Cost of Project**
Ozark Foothills SWMD, Region Q Administration	$18,000

RECEIVED BY

MAY 02 2012

SWMP OPERATIONS

Felicity Brady 7-8-2012

Measurable outcomes achieved for these projects.

The project listed above represents the administrative portion of the regional solid waste management program which is necessary to oversee solid waste management funding within the designated service area of Butler, Carter, Reynolds, Ripley, and Wayne Counties. Measurable outcomes achieve for this project inlcude the number of subgrants awarded with district grant funding as well as the total number of tons of waste diverted from landfills as a result of such subgrants. For FY 2011, one large regional subgrant was awarded with the project monitored. As a result of the subsidization with grant funding, 1,318.67 tons of recyclable goods collected from the designated service area were diverted from landfills.

8. IDENTIFY SEPARATE STATISTICS FOR ITEMS BANNED FROM LANDFILLS

List projects resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills.	List cost of project resulting in tonnage diversion.	Number of tons diverted from project.	Average cost per ton diverted.
None			

9. IDENTIFY SEPARATE STATISTICS FOR ITEMS NOT BANNED FROM LANDFILLS

List projects resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills.	List cost of project resulting in tonnage diversion.	Number of tons diverted from project.	Average cost per ton diverted.
Ozark Foothills Recycling Program	$302,181.51	1,318.67	$229.16

10. Describe your district's grant proposal evaluation process.

Grant proposals received are presented to the Solid Waste Management District's Executive Board. Each proposal is evaluated on a 100-point scale. A copy of the evaluation form is attached. Proposals are scored by every member. The project with the highest point total based upon the evaluation criteria is awarded funds.

The District does fund projects presented by previous recipients. Funding is based on previous project performance, responsiveness to current proposal request, reasonable and attainable project goals, and alignment with established project criteria.

Funding of on-going operations is allowed. The project, however, must provide documentation that previous goals have been met, funds were used properly, and further funding will result in recyclable materials being diverted from landfills.

BOARD AND COUNCIL MEMBERS

☐ Board Member ☐ Council Member Name: See Attached Listing	Address:
Representative of: ☐County ☐Public ☐City ☐Other _____	City: / State: / ZIP: Phone: / Fax:
Officer: ☐Chair ☐Vice-Chair ☐Secretary ☐Treasurer ☐Other_____	E-mail: Comments:
Official Title:	

☐ Board Member ☐ Council Member Name:	Address:
Representative of: ☐County ☐Public ☐City ☒Other _____	City: / State: / ZIP: Phone: / Fax:
Officer: ☐Chair ☐Vice-Chair ☐Secretary ☐Treasurer ☐Other_____	E-mail: Comments:
Official Title:	

MO 780-1989 (06-08)

Ozark Foothills Solid Waste Management District
Board of Directors

Board Member	Board or Council Member	Office Position	Representation (All Located in State of Missouri)	Address	City/State/Zip	Phone/ Fax	Email
Ed Strenfel	Board	None	Presiding Commissioner, Butler County	100 North Main	Poplar Bluff, MO 63901	573-686-8050 573-686-8066	tdbutler@tcmax.net
Ed DeGaris	Board	None	Mayor, City of Poplar Bluff	101 Oak Street	Poplar Bluff, MO 63901	573-686-8620 573-686-8605	cityofpb@pbutilities.com
Susan Williams	Board	None	Mayor, City of Fisk	P.O. Box 584	Fisk, MO 63940	573-967-3810 573-967-9695	cityoffisk@semo.net
Lee McComb, Jr.	Board	None	Mayor, City of Neelyville	P.O. Box 36	Neelyville, MO 63954	573-989-6222 573-989-4006	neelyville@windstream.net
Glen Sedrick	Board	None	Mayor, City of Qulin	P.O. Box 172	Qulin, MO 63961	573-328-4488 573-328-4488	mayor@qulinmo.net
John Bailiff	Board	None	Presiding Commissioner, Carter County	P.O. Box 517	Van Buren, MO 63965	573-323-4527 573-323-4527	carter@sos.mo.gov
David Bowman	Board	None	Mayor, City of Ellsinore	11 W. Cleveland Avenue	Ellsinore, MO 63937	573-322-5333 573-322-8376	ellsinore@centurytel.net
Ellene Personette	Board	None	Mayor, City of Grandin	P.O. Box 59	Grandin, MO 63943	573-593-4237 573-593-4235	grandincity@windstream.net
Randy Grassham	Board	None	Mayor, City of Van Buren	P.O. Box 40	Van Buren, MO 63965	573-323-4335 573-323-8656	vbch@centurytel.net
Joe Loyd	Board	None	Presiding Commissioner, Reynolds County	P.O. Box 10	Centerville, MO 63633	573-648-2494 573-648-2449	cityofcenterville@semo.net
Linda Vest	Board	None	Mayor, City of Bunker	P.O. Box 102	Bunker, MO 63629	573-689-2444 573-689-2901	cityofbunker@centurylink.net
Denny Caruso	Board	None	Mayor, City of Centerville	P.O. Box 172	Centerville, MO 63633	573-648-2441 573-648-2464	cityofcenterville@semo.net
Ben Pickett	Board	None	Mayor, City of Ellington	P.O. Box 7	Ellington, MO 63638	573-663-7715 573-663-2565	city@mcmo.net
William Kennon	Board	None	Presiding Commissioner, Ripley County	101 Courthouse Square	Doniphan, MO 63935	573-996-3215 573-996-9774	ripley@sos.mo.gov
Larry Ponder	Board	None	Mayor, City of Doniphan	124 Jefferson Street	Doniphan, MO 63935	573-996-2623 573-996-5753	donclerk@windstream.net

Bernard Allen	Board	None	Mayor, City of Naylor	P.O. Box 8	Naylor, MO 63953	573-399-2592 573-399-2592	cityofnaylor@windstream.net
Brian Polk	Board	Chairman	Presiding Commissioner, Wayne County	P.O. Box 48	Greenville, MO 63944	573-224-5600 573-224-5609	wayne@sos.mo.gov
Don Scowden	Board	None	Mayor, City of Greenville	P.O. Box 427	Greenville, MO 63944	573-224-3911 573-224-3470	greenville@boycomonline.com
Jim Ward	Board	None	Chairman, Village of Mill Spring	P.O. Box 26	Mill Spring, MO 63952	573-223-2832 573-223-2122	villageclerk@semo.net
William Kirkpatrick	Board	None	Mayor, City of Piedmont	P.O. Box 25	Piedmont, MO 63957	573-223-7660 573-223-4052	tammy@cityofpiedmont.com mayor@cityofpiedmont.com
Angela Smith	Board	None	Mayor, City of Williamsville	P.O. Box 88	Williamsville, MO 63967	573-998-2449 573-998-2745	smithfam2745@windstream.net Angela.smith10@va.gov
Wayne Gibbs	Board	None	Agriculture Sector, Farmer	P.O. Box 743	Van Buren, MO 63965	573-323-4719 573-996-8361	
Darrell Dement	Board	1st Vice Chairman	Business Sector, Entrepreneur	1160 W. Walnut	Ellington, MO 63638	573-648-1014 573-701-3330	ablueberry2007@yahoo.com
Dr. Gene Oakley	Board	2nd Vice Chairman	Education Sector, MO Broadband Deputy Director	P.O. Box 249	Van Buren, MO 63965	573-714-4844	ejoakley@centurytel.net
Joe Knodell	Board	None	Education Sector, Lobbyist	3663 McLane Drive	Poplar Bluff, MO 63901	573-429-1262 573-778-1333	JKnodell@mycitycable.com
Margaret Carter	Board	None	Finance Sector, Vice President 1st Midwest Bank	704 North Westwood	Poplar Bluff, MO 63901	573-785-8461	Mcarter@1midwest.com
Edward Coursey	Board	Treasure r	Minority Sector, Retired	P.O. Box 971	Poplar Bluff, MO 63902	573-785-9551	
Mildred Coursey	Board	None	Minority Sector, Retired	P.O. Box 971	Poplar Bluff, MO 63902	573-785-9551	
Russell French	Board	None	Professions Sector, Owner, SEMO Risk Management	204 North Grand	Doniphan, MO 63935	573-996-5001	
Paul Johnson	Board	Secretary	Retired Sector, Former Electric Cooperative Manager	P.O. Box 358	Ellington, MO 63638	573-663-7840 573-701-5287	paulwj@yahoo.com
Reverend Gregory Kirk	Board	None	Social Agencies Sector, Director, United Gospel Rescue Mission	P. O. Box 924	Poplar Bluff, MO 63902	573-785-4683 573-300-7170	rescueofman@tcmax.net
Sr. Anne	Board	None	Social Agencies Sector,	62143 Highway	Ellington, MO	573-663-3257	SisterAnne@wholekidsoutreach.org

Francioni			Executive Director, Whole Kids Outreach	21	63638	573-776-5106	
Mike Gossett	Board	None	Solid Waste Management Sector, Federal Employee	P.O. Box 275	Van Buren, MO 63965	573-323-8406 573-323-4236 573-300-9639	Mikegosset1@gmail.com Mike_gossett@nps.gov

OZARK FOOTHILLS REGIONAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT
GRANT PROJECTS EVALUATION REVIEW FORM

PROJECT TITLE: Ozark Foothills Resource Recovery Program - 2011

ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS, INCLUDING COUNTY: Ozark Foothills Regional Planning Commission, 3019 Fair Street, Poplar Bluff, Butler County, MO 63901

AMOUNT REQUESTED: $120,000 TOTAL PROJECT COSTS: $210,000

GRANT MATCH: $90,000 PROJECT TYPE: City, County, District

PRIMARY PURPOSE OF THE PROJECT: (Waste Reduction - WR; Collection/Processing - CP; Composting - CO; Market Development -MD; Education - ED; Research and Development - RD; Energy Recovery - ER) CP

This list of evaluation criteria should be used by the executive board to evaluate each grant proposal that is determined to be eligible and complete.

1. Conformance with the State of Missouri integrated waste management hierarchy. -10 points _____

2. Conformance with the District Targeted Materials List. - 5 points _____

3. Degree to which the project contributes to community-based economic development. - 5 points _____

4. Degree to which the project promotes waste reduction or recycling through the proposed process. - 5 points _____

5. Demonstrates cooperative efforts through a public/private partnership or among political subdivisions. - 5 points _____

6. Compliance with federal, state or local requirements. - 5 points _____

7. Transferability of results. - 5 points _____

8. The need for the information. - 5 points _____

9. Technical ability of the applicant. - 5 points _____

10. Managerial ability of the applicant. - 5 points _____

11. Ability to implement in a timely manner. - 5 points _____

12. Technical feasibility. - 5 points _____

13. Availability of feedstock. - 5 points _____

14. Level of commitment for financing. - 5 points _____

15. Type of contribution by applicant. - 5 points _____

16. Effectiveness of marketing strategy. - 5 points _____

17. Quality of budget. - 5 points _____

18. Selected financial ratios (e.g., ratio of projected program income to program expenditures) - 5 points _____

19. Degree to which funding the project will adversely affect existing entities in the market segment. - 5 points _____

20. Total Points _____

_____

Reviewer's Signature (Please print and sign)