



# Missouri Department of Natural Resources

## AGENDA

Missouri Soil and Water Districts Commission  
Lewis and Clark Building  
Conference Call  
June 28, 2013  
**8:30 a.m.**

- A. CALL TO ORDER**
- B. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING**
  - 1. April 3, 2013
- C. MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**
  - 1. Department Update
- D. MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE**
- E. SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS**
  - 1. State Average Cost for Earthmoving Components
  - 2. Cooperative Working Agreement Update
  - 3. Bridge Loan Program
  - 4. FY14 Area Meetings
- F. REQUESTS/REVIEW**
  - 1. Jackson SWCD - Request Change of Territory for Supervisor Election
  - 2. Supervisor Appointments  
(If a supervisor request is received in advance of meeting, it may be presented to the commission at that meeting.)
    - a. Jackson SWCD
    - b. Greene SWCD
    - c. Shelby SWCD
  - 3. Oregon SWCD
    - a. AgNPS SALT Streambank Group Project Proposal
    - b. Variance for a Landowner Streambank Stabilization Practice
  - 4. Atchison SWCD
    - a. Tiling Existing Terrace System
  - 5. Maries SWCD
    - a. Replacement of Water Source in a Grazing System
- G. PUBLIC COMMENTS**
- H. SUGGESTED DATE(S) OF NEXT MEETING(S)**
  - August 14, 2013

## **I. ADJOURNMENT**

Those wishing to address the commission on any of the above issues need to contact a program staff member, Christa Moody or sign up on the comment card at the commission meeting.

If you have any questions regarding this meeting, special accommodation needs, or would like a copy of any material provided at the commission meeting, please contact Christa Moody at 573-751-1172.

Soil & Water Districts Commission may go into closed session at this meeting if such action is approved by a majority vote of the commission members who constitute a quorum to discuss legal, confidential, or privileged matters under § 610.021(1), RSMo 2000; personnel actions under §610.021(3); personnel records or applications under §610.021(13), records under § 610.021(14), or audit issues under § 610.021(17), which are otherwise protected from disclosure by law.



# Missouri Department of Natural Resources

## MINUTES

### MISSOURI SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

Knights of Columbus Hall

Salisbury, Missouri

April 3, 2013

**COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT:** Thomas Bradley, H. Ralph Gaw, Gary Vandiver, and Richard Fordyce

**EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS:** SARA PARKER PAULEY, DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES: Harry Bozoian; DR. JON HAGLER, DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE: Judy Grundler; BOB ZIEHMER, DEPT. OF CONSERVATION: Clint Dalbom; DEAN THOMAS PAYNE, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI: Rich Hoormann

**ADVISORY MEMBERS PRESENT:** SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM: Colleen Meredith; NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE: Karen Brinkman; ATTORNEY GENERAL: Tim Duggan; MISSOURI ASSOCIATION OF SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICTS: Kenny Lovelace

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** April Brandt, Christa Moody, Kurt Boeckmann, Matt Elliott, Jennifer Pellett, Jim Plassmeyer, Ken Struempf, Cody Tebbenkamp; Leon Thompson

**OTHERS PRESENT:** DISTRICTS: Caldwell: Bill Hunt, Chariton: Bob Cooper, Carrie Henry, Kenny Reichert, Scott Callighan, Jefferson: George Engelbach; Lafayette: Phillip Boydston; Randolph: Ryan Britt; Natural Resources Conservation Service: Dick Purcell, Dwaine Gelnar, Mike Snellen; Missouri Department of Natural Resources: Van Beydler, Irene Crawford, Mary Culler; Missouri Farm Bureau: Kelly Smith; Missouri Land Improvement Contractors Association: Jeff Lance; University of Missouri: Dr. Ranjith Udawatta

#### A. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Richard Fordyce called the meeting to order in Salisbury, Missouri at the Knights of Columbus Hall at 8:42 a.m. Based on the attendance of four farmer Commissioners, Chairman Richard Fordyce determined that a quorum was present.

Commissioner Fordyce thanked the Chariton Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) for the invitation and work involved to hold the April Soil and Water Districts Commission meeting and tour. Kenny Reichert welcomed the Commission to Chariton SWCD. Mr. Reichert commented on winning the Olin Sims Conservation Leadership award; he expressed his thanks to the Soil and Water Districts Commission for their

## **MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION**

April 3, 2013

Page 2

support. He commented that the award is a reflection of the partnerships in the state of Missouri.

### **B. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING**

#### **1. February 6, 2013 Minutes**

Thomas Bradley made a motion to approve the minutes of the February 6, 2013 Commission meeting. Gary Vandiver seconded the motion. When asked by the chair, H. Ralph Gaw, Gary Vandiver, Thomas Bradley, and Richard Fordyce voted in favor of the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

### **C. MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

Harry Bozoian presented the Missouri Department of Natural Resources report. Mr. Bozoian reported that currently Senate Bill 416, which would consolidate certain Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Commissions, did not include the Soil and Water Districts Commission in the proposed bill.

Richard Fordyce asked if there is any bill currently that would affect the Commission such as House Bill 880. Colleen Meredith stated that the initial House Bill 880 would have put the Director of State Parks and Director of the Water Protection Program on the Soil and Water Districts Commission with voting privileges. Currently there are two bills that are very similar, House Bill 880 and House Bill 881. Kelly Smith from Farm Bureau stated he had heard that a substitute bill may be submitted with modifications to the portion regarding the Soil and Water Districts Commission that would replace the two positions mentioned earlier and place the Director of Agriculture and the Director of the Department of Natural Resources on the Soil and Water Districts Commission as voting members. The hearing was April 3, 2013 at 8 a.m.

Harry Bozoian formally recognized Richard Fordyce for receiving the 2012 Soil Conservationist of the Year awarded at the Conservation Federation of Missouri Annual Banquet. Richard was recognized for being a great advocate for soil and water conservation and his leadership in 2012 was instrumental to the success of several initiatives including the Drought Assistance Program.

Karen Brinkman formally recognized Kenny Reichert for receiving the Olin Sims Conservation Leadership award, it is a national award given by the NRCS Chief. This award is given to one board member in the nation annually. This award recognizes an individual, district or organization that shows superior service in the conservation community for promoting and leading conservation on private land.

### **D. SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM DIRECTOR'S COMMENTS**

The video from the February 6, 2013 Soil and Water Districts tour of Washington Carver Farms Lincoln University was shown. The same type of video will be created for this Soil and Water Districts Commission meeting.

# MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

April 3, 2013

Page 3

## 1. Drought Assistance Program Update

Kurt Boeckmann presented the Drought Assistance Program update. As of March 27, 2013, there were 5,304 livestock projects totaling approximately \$20.8 million and 84 crop projects totaling \$971,000 which totaled 5,388 Drought Assistance projects.

Mr. Boeckmann reported that 40 employees in 28 soil and water conservation districts received drought compensatory time payments totaling \$32,424.25.

Mr. Boeckmann also handed out the U.S. Drought Monitor for the Commissions information.

## 2. FY13/FY14 Cost-Share/SALT Fund Status

April Brandt presented the Fiscal Year (FY) 13/FY14 Cost-Share/SALT fund status update as of March 28, 2013. The FY13 Regular Cost-Share allocation was \$20,978,006, and \$16,876,929 was obligated. The comparison between FY12 and FY13 showed that percentages were similar between the two years.

Ms. Brandt also presented the AgNPS SALT fund status. Roughly, \$1.6 million dollars has been allocated out to 22 projects across the state. Approximately 63 percent has been obligated and 36 percent has been paid.

Ms. Brandt also presented the FY14 Advanced Allocations as of March 28, 2013. As of this date, 96 districts requested advanced allocations totaling \$5.1 million with \$1.5 million obligated. Districts could request 18 percent of their FY14 cost-share allocation be placed in any combination of resource concerns as long as the requested amount did not exceed the FY14 allocation amount for that resource concern. The two exceptions are Animal Waste Management and Nutrient and Pest Management that had the entire FY14 allocation amount provided in January.

The full FY14 allocation for Animal Waste Management is provided early due to the length of time needed to complete a project. The Nutrient and Pest Management allocation for FY14 is received in full on January 1 due to the April 15<sup>th</sup> deadline for board approval of these contracts. To date, 26 percent (\$239,000) of the approximately \$900,000 that was allocated has been obligated in Nutrient and Pest Management. Last year districts obligated \$450,000, so it is expected to see a substantial increase in obligations.

## 3. FY13/FY14 Budget Update

Colleen Meredith presented the FY13/FY14 Budget update. Ms. Meredith stated the department's budget of which the Soil and Water Conservation Program is included, had a few changes made by the House of Representatives. A revised pay plan for state employees of a flat \$500 per employee per year beginning in January of 2014 was approved. If this pay plan is approved for state employees, district grants may increase so district boards may provide the same increase to their employees that are funded through district grants. The FY14 budget has been

## MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

April 3, 2013

Page 4

sent to the Senate and the Senate mark-up has yet to be rescheduled. The FY14 budget must be finalized and sent to Governor Nixon by May 10, 2013. The current Legislative Session ends May 17, 2013.

The supplemental budget Bill (House Bill 14) could potentially be finalized very soon, which would increase the Soil and Water Conservation Program's cost-share appropriation by \$5.2 million because of the funds used for the Drought Assistance Program. If the supplemental budget is approved, most of the funds will be used for regular cost-share projects. The Commission at the February meeting had tentatively approved a seeding practice to be completed by April 15, 2013. Because the supplemental budget has not been finalized and with April 15<sup>th</sup> deadline, Ms. Meredith requested direction from the Commission.

Colleen Meredith presented an alternative option, to use the \$1 million to ensure every district has at least \$25,000 in unobligated funds. Districts with \$25,000 or more in unobligated funds as of April 1, 2013, would not receive any additional funding. The districts that have under \$25,000 would receive additional funds that would bring that district to \$25,000. Also these funds can be moved between resource concerns.

After some discussion, Gary Vandiver moved to concur with the Program office's option to allocate to all districts that have less than \$25,000 unobligated funds, up to \$25,000, with the ability to move the funds between resource concerns. Thomas Bradley seconded the concurrence. When asked by the chair, Thomas Bradley, H. Ralph Gaw, Gary Vandiver, and Richard Fordyce concurred.

#### 4. **Matching Grant Regional Workshops**

Ken Struempf presented a report summarizing the Matching Grant Regional Workshops. The Commission at the February 6, 2013 meeting directed the Program Office staff to carry out five regional meetings to obtain district input on matching grants in response to the many letters asking for potential reinstatement of this program to purchase equipment. The information gathered from these meetings will be provided to the Program Delivery and Operations subcommittee and will be available on the intranet site for all districts. The regional slide presentations reviewed and gathered input on the matching grant program by utilizing anonymous voting software. The regional sessions were conducted between February 25, 2013 and March 6, 2013 in: St. Joseph, Macon, Cape Girardeau, Springfield, and Jefferson City. Mr. Struempf presented the Commission with a summary of the questions and feedback received at the five Regional Matching Grants Workshops. In addition to other discussions, Mr. Struempf stated that districts had discussions on liability insurance for rental equipment and the differences between the districts. The Commission indicated that this was an area that more information needed to be made available to districts. Chairman Fordyce was asked if there have been issues with districts competing with local private enterprise. Mr. Fordyce responded stating there have been a few issues and this subject warrants future discussion.

## MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

April 3, 2013

Page 5

### 5. **Our Missouri Waters**

Mary Culler from the DNR Northeast Regional Office presented information on Our Missouri Waters Initiative, Lower Grand River Watershed. Ms. Culler introduced herself as the Watershed Coordinator. Watershed-Based Management is a systematic approach to watershed planning that includes various aspects such as: building partnerships, assessing and documenting concerns, setting watershed goals, identifying solutions, and developing an implementation plan for completing activities.

The three pilot watersheds were selected based on their diversity and opportunities to include projects where permitting, grants and other tools will be used to improve water quality and quantity. The three watersheds are: Lower Grand River, Big River, and Spring River. Ms. Culler reviewed the Lower Grand River watershed and some of the resource issues that have been identified.

The duties of the Watershed Coordinators are to: develop knowledge of watershed issues, develop working relationships, facilitate stakeholder meetings, provide community outreach and knowledge of grant opportunities, and serve as a communication point. Ms. Culler reviewed some recent efforts and the groups that she had met with during the past year.

Future activities that Ms. Culler will be working on include conducting a watershed inventory, developing outreach materials, field days and watershed conferences, 12 digit hydrologic unit code (HUC) nine-element watershed management plans, establishment of a Watershed Coordinating Committee (WCC), and providing support and coordination to local partners and stakeholders.

### 6. **Pilot Cover Crop Policy Review**

Matt Elliott presented a review of the Pilot Cover Crop Policy. Chariton County currently has 23 cooperators that have signed up for the Cover Crop Program with a total of 669.3 acres enrolled and \$50,197.50 obligated. The average cost to seed cover crops is approximately \$20 per acre. The incentive payment for the practice is set at \$75 per acre. This incentive payment covers seed cost, nutrient management costs, setting and calibrating drills, as well as the cost to make modifications to equipment. Each cooperator can enroll in a maximum of 40 acres.

There are two levels of participation for cooperators. Cooperators participating in Level 1 would be eligible for an annual incentive payment for three consecutive years of cooperation. The cooperator must implement no-till practices, nutrient management, establish cover crops, and implement at minimum a two-crop type rotation such as corn-soybeans for three years of participation. The cover crops may be a single species following corn or soybeans, but cannot be the same species two consecutive years. Also, in one year of Level 1 you must plant a minimum of two cover crop species.

## MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

April 3, 2013

Page 6

Cooperators in Level 2 would be eligible for an annual incentive payment for four years of cooperation. The cooperator must implement no-till practices, nutrient management, a conservation crop rotation that includes three distinct full season crops and includes three crop types, as well as a minimum of two species of cover crops following wheat, one of which must be a legume and must be at least 50 percent of the mixture.

Operators applying for the practice are ranked for eligibility. Cover crop seeding dates must be appropriate as stated in the NRCS Cover Crop 340 Standard. The cover crops may be terminated by frost or immediately prior to seeding the subsequent primary crop by chemical application, mowing and/or properly timed roller/crimper operations.

In response to a question on use of rye in Level 1, Matt and Scott Callighan responded to allowable seeding mix using rye.

Scott Callighan presented a review of district administration of the pilot project and an overview for the tour. Mike Snellen, District Conservationist for the Natural Resource Conservation Service, reviewed guidance for the pilot project, overview of the research farm and review of this past year's project and some of the lessons learned. Kenny Riechert and Bob Cooper of the Chariton SWCD Board of Supervisors talked about some of the challenges addressed during the past year.

### 7. **Cover Crop Research Project**

Dr. Ranjith Udawatta presented an update on the research aspects of the Chariton County demonstration farm funded through an NRCS Conservation Innovation Grant. The main focus of the research project is to show producers how cover crop systems can improve environmental diversity, soil quality, water quality, farm income and sustainability. In previous studies it has been found that 48 percent of annual phosphorus loss and 57 percent of annual nitrogen loss occurred during a crop free period. Runoff volume and sediment loss also contributed to rivers, lakes and estuaries becoming impaired. The research project hopes to show that cover crops will improve the soil's physical, chemical and biological properties while providing a protective cover that reduces nonpoint source pollution and improves water quality. There is great producer interest in the area, but less than 5 percent use of cover crops. Throughout the project producers will gain knowledge as well as have a visual example of the benefits involved in these management systems shown in the field.

On the research farm there have been 220 soil cores taken that will be analyzed and used to rate the soil quality. Eight flumes have been installed to monitor water quality and quantity that cover the 8 watersheds throughout the farm. Total nitrogen, dissolved nitrogen, total phosphorus, dissolved phosphorus, sediment, pesticide, herbicide and dissolved organic carbon will be measured. Throughout

## MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

April 3, 2013

Page 7

the life of the project these parameters will be plotted and compared to a system that is not using a cover crop system. Soil moisture sensors were inserted in the soil at different depths to measure soil infiltration rates and soil moisture holding capacity. A total weather station was also installed to monitor precipitation levels, wind speed and direction, temperature, humidity and net radiation. The research project will produce an online cover crop tool to guide landowner decisions for different systems as well as models demonstrating the economic benefits of a cover crop system in hopes of increasing regional adaptation of cover crop use.

Jim Plassmeyer gave an overview of the tour planned for after the Commission Meeting.

### E. REQUESTS/REVIEW

#### 1. Supervisor Appointments

##### a. Wright SWCD

Kurt Boeckmann presented the Wright Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD) supervisor appointment. The Wright SWCD board requested to appoint Mr. Jack Barnett to complete the unexpired term of Ms. Jacklyn Shaffer. Ms. Shaffer submitted a letter of resignation and Mr. Barnett and the board chairman have signed the new Verification of Supervisor Eligibility form, verifying the candidate meet the qualifications to serve on the board.

The following was presented for Commission consideration: Approve the appointment of Mr. Jack Barnett to complete the unexpired term of Ms. Jacklyn Shaffer.

Gary Vandiver made a motion to approve the appointment of Mr. Jack Barnett to the Wright SWCD Board of Supervisors. H. Ralph Gaw seconded the motion. When asked by the chair, Thomas Bradley, H. Ralph Gaw, Gary Vandiver, and Richard Fordyce voted in favor of the motion and the motion carried unanimously

### F. REPORTS

#### 1. University of Missouri

Richard Hoormann presented the University of Missouri report. Mr. Hoormann reported the staff implementation for the regional extension faculty is in progress and will start for campus staff in the fall. A hand out on activities related to soil health was distributed.

## MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

April 3, 2013

Page 8

### 2. **Department of Conservation**

Clint Dalbom presented the Department of Conservation report. Mr. Dalbom reported that youth turkey season is coming up and spring turkey season starts on the 15<sup>th</sup> of April. Missouri is the only state that is seeing an increase in hunter participation.

Mr. Dalbom also stated they have been experiencing some activity with spring fire season around the state.

### 3. **Department of Agriculture**

Judy Grundler presented the Department of Agriculture report. Ms. Grundler reported on Environmental Protection Agency Region 7 and 8 meeting held in Colorado, that she recently attended. The meeting included looking at what had been done in the Missouri's Drought Program as a possible model for other states.

Ms. Grundler also reported this is invasive species month and the Emerald Ash Borer surveys are starting. Also other surveys to be looked at will be Thousand Canker Disease of Black Walnut and for the Gypsy Moth.

### 4. **Natural Resources Conservation Service**

Karen Brinkman presented the Natural Resources Conservation Service report. Ms. Brinkman reported they are in the process of obligating the Environmental Quality Incentives Program with a request for \$6 million in addition to the \$25 million received.

Ms. Brinkman stated they are still working to implement the Emergency Watershed Program funds. All projects must be completed by May 1, 2013 totaling \$33.5 million.

Technology systems upgrade to Windows 7 is still in process, which will affect the engineering field tools used in the field offices. Deployment is May 1, 2013, with complete installation by August 2013.

Pilot highly erodible land, HEL, compliance reviews is being done in Missouri.

The state announcement for the Conservation Innovation Grant opportunities will be released soon.

J.R. Flores State Conservationist will return from his and resume his duties at the end of May.

### 5. **Missouri Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts**

Kenny Lovelace presented the Missouri Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts report. Mr. Lovelace reported that Beverly Dometrorch and he went to the National Association of Conservation Districts (NACD) Spring Legislative Fly-in. They met with legislators to discuss the Farm Bill...

**MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION**

April 3, 2013

Page 9

**G. PUBLIC COMMENTS**

None

**H. SUGGESTED DATE(s) OF NEXT MEETING(s)**

The suggested Soil and Water Districts Commission meeting is June 19, 2013 in Adair County, at Frank and Judy Oberle's Farm. The Commission consensus is to meet on that date at that location.

**I. ADJOURNMENT**

H. Ralph Gaw moved the meeting be adjourned at 12:26 p.m. Thomas Bradley seconded the motion. A poll vote was taken. Gary Vandiver, Thomas Bradley, H. Ralph Gaw, and Richard Fordyce voted in favor of the motion and the motion passed unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Colleen Meredith, Director  
Soil and Water Conservation Program

Approved by:

Richard Fordyce, Chairman  
Missouri Soil and Water Districts Commission  
/clm



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor • Sara Parker Pauley, Director

# DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

## MEMORANDUM

2013-021

DATE: May 29, 2013

TO: All Soil and Water Conservation Districts

FROM: <sup>BW</sup> Colleen Meredith, Director  
Soil and Water Conservation Program

SUBJECT: Department of Agriculture Bridge Loan Program for Cost-Share

Yesterday, the Missouri Department of Agriculture (MDA) announced an expansion of the Bridge Loan Program managed by the Missouri Agricultural and Small Business Development Authority (MASBDA). Landowners may now take out a Bridge Loan to complete soil and water conservation practices in cooperation with the Missouri Department of Natural Resources Soil and Water Conservation Program (SWCP). The program works similarly to the previous Bridge Loan Program which has been used with United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resource Conservation Service programs.

This loan program will assist landowners with another tool to implement conservation practices. Producers with an approved contract for a SWCP practice may review the specifics on the Bridge Loan Program located at the MDA website: <http://mda.mo.gov/abd/financial/bridge.php>. Attached is a press release from the MDA which includes additional information. If you have any further questions regarding this program, please contact your district coordinator or MASBDA staff at (573) 751-2129. Thank you.

## **Missouri Department of Agriculture**

May 28, 2013

### **Ag Loan Program Expands to Support More Missouri Farmers**

Missouri farmers working with USDA and the Missouri departments of Agriculture and Natural Resources to strengthen their operations have new opportunities to receive loans and reimbursement for making on-farm improvements. **The Bridge Loan Program**, a partnership between federal and state agencies, assists farmers by reducing the financial barriers to participating in some state and federal programs.

Recently, the Missouri Agricultural and Small Business Development Authority (MASBDA) voted to expand a 2012 loan program focused on produce to include a much wider range of programs, including cost-share programs available through the Missouri Department of Natural Resources Soil and Water Conservation Program (SWCP) and the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP).

"As Missouri farmers continue to persevere through tough growing seasons and the many other challenges of farm life, we want to ensure they have every tool necessary to succeed," said Missouri's Director of Agriculture Dr. Jon Hagler. "The Bridge Loan Program is one of the many opportunities the Department of Agriculture makes available to our producers as we move Missouri agriculture forward. Through partnerships, we are able to reduce financial hurdles and other barriers for Missouri farmers building their businesses and bringing agricultural products to market."

The Bridge Loan Program builds on 2012's High Tunnel Loan Program, which allowed producers to combine the loan with their participation in the USDA-NRCS program, reducing producers' out of pocket costs. Reimbursement funds issued after producers completed their projects were used to fulfill the short-term loan notes. Approximately one-third of Missouri producers approved for USDA high tunnel reimbursements in 2012 also participated in the loan program.

To be eligible for assistance through the Bridge Loan Program, producers must be approved for cost-share reimbursements through USDA-NRCS, EQIP and/or SWCP and show proof of financial ability to cover any gaps between reimbursement amounts and project costs, should a gap arise. Applicants must be materially participating in the farming operation and at risk for price or production costs and must commit reimbursement payments from NRCS or SWCP to fulfill the loan note.

Loans offered through the Bridge Loan Program will include monthly interest payments of 5.9 percent interest rate and a \$25 closing costs. Funds may be withdrawn only when practices are being implemented, and loan terms may be up to the duration of USDA-NRCS, EQIP and SWCP programs.

According to the last Census of Agriculture, Missouri's average farm income after expenses was \$13,600, with only 16 percent making more than \$50,000. The large upfront investment typically required by cost-share programs can make it difficult for many farmers and farm families to participate. The Bridge Loan Program lowers some of the financial hurdles associated with participation, making it easier for Missouri's farmers to access those resources.

Loan applications are available online, or by contacting the Missouri Agricultural and Small Business Development Authority at [masbda@mda.mo.gov](mailto:masbda@mda.mo.gov). For cost-share assistance, producers may also contact their local USDA-NRCS or Soil and Water Conservation District office for application information and forms. For more information on the Missouri Department of Agriculture and its programs, visit the Department online at [mda.mo.gov](http://mda.mo.gov).

G-1

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:AWM - ANIMAL WASTE MANAGEMENT	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
	FY: 2013									
47	BARRY SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
70	CASS SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
79	CHARITON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
107	COLE SWCD		\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	100.00%	2	\$25,000.00	50.00%	1	\$0.00
2	FRANKLIN SWCD		\$50,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
11	JOHNSON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
27	MCDONALD SWCD		\$34,564.30	\$34,564.30	100.00%	2	\$34,564.30	100.00%	2	\$0.00
96	MILLER SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
105	MONITEAU SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
111	MORGAN SWCD		\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00	100.00%	4	\$75,000.00	100.00%	4	\$0.00
40	NEWTON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
93	OSAGE SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
34	TEXAS SWCD		\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	100.00%	1	\$25,000.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00
77	WEBSTER SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
54	WRIGHT SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
			\$234,564.30	\$184,564.30	78.68%	9	\$159,564.30	68.03%	8	\$0.00
	FY: 2013									
	Fund Code:R	Project:CC - COVER CROP	\$55,000.00	\$50,197.50	91.27%	23	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
79	CHARITON SWCD		\$55,000.00	\$50,197.50	91.27%	23	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	FY: 2013									
	Fund Code:R	Project:DA - DROUGHT ASSISTANCE								
56	ADAIR SWCD		\$12,411.45	\$12,411.45	100.00%	6	\$12,411.45	100.00%	6	\$0.00
109	ANDREW SWCD		\$94,158.92	\$94,158.92	100.00%	30	\$94,158.92	100.00%	30	\$0.00
108	ATCHISON SWCD		\$87,869.96	\$87,869.96	100.00%	22	\$87,869.96	100.00%	22	\$0.00
74	AUDRAIN SWCD		\$154,878.94	\$154,878.94	100.00%	26	\$154,878.94	100.00%	26	\$0.00
47	BARRY SWCD		\$591,756.82	\$591,756.82	100.00%	123	\$591,756.82	100.00%	123	\$0.00
38	BARTON SWCD		\$119,541.14	\$112,041.14	93.73%	12	\$92,041.14	77.00%	11	\$1,720.22
71	BATES SWCD		\$361,961.49	\$361,961.49	100.00%	87	\$361,961.49	100.00%	87	\$0.00
95	BENTON SWCD		\$253,482.42	\$253,482.42	100.00%	65	\$253,482.42	100.00%	65	\$0.00
72	BOLLINGER SWCD		\$127,714.11	\$127,714.11	100.00%	35	\$127,714.11	100.00%	35	\$0.00
13	BOONE SWCD		\$93,100.92	\$93,100.92	100.00%	11	\$93,100.92	100.00%	11	\$0.00
104	BUCHANAN SWCD		\$61,856.66	\$47,875.93	77.40%	11	\$47,875.93	77.40%	11	\$0.00
46	BUTLER SWCD		\$94,260.85	\$94,260.85	100.00%	15	\$94,260.85	100.00%	15	\$0.00
26	CALDWELL SWCD		\$140,713.65	\$140,713.65	100.00%	48	\$140,713.65	100.00%	48	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

FY: 2013 Fund Code:R Project:DA - DROUGHT ASSISTANCE

District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
3 CALLAWAY SWCD	\$176,454.42	\$176,454.42	100.00%	44	\$176,454.42	100.00%	44	\$0.00
89 CAMDEN SWCD	\$105,936.84	\$105,936.84	100.00%	32	\$105,936.84	100.00%	32	\$0.00
12 CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD	\$233,647.16	\$233,647.16	100.00%	31	\$233,647.16	100.00%	31	\$0.00
29 CARROLL SWCD	\$46,995.57	\$46,995.57	100.00%	13	\$46,995.57	100.00%	13	\$0.00
45 CARTER SWCD	\$115,528.67	\$115,528.67	100.00%	32	\$115,528.67	100.00%	32	\$0.00
70 CASS SWCD	\$419,108.82	\$419,108.82	100.00%	88	\$419,108.82	100.00%	88	\$0.00
57 CEDAR SWCD	\$209,057.91	\$209,057.91	100.00%	53	\$209,057.91	100.00%	53	\$0.00
79 CHARITON SWCD	\$66,980.41	\$66,980.41	100.00%	20	\$66,980.41	100.00%	20	\$0.00
86 CHRISTIAN SWCD	\$402,253.17	\$402,253.17	100.00%	93	\$402,253.17	100.00%	93	\$0.00
10 CLARK SWCD	\$6,455.02	\$6,455.02	100.00%	2	\$6,455.02	100.00%	2	\$0.00
9 CLAY SWCD	\$15,981.11	\$15,981.11	100.00%	6	\$15,981.11	100.00%	6	\$0.00
84 CLINTON SWCD	\$141,529.50	\$141,529.50	100.00%	42	\$141,529.50	100.00%	42	\$0.00
107 COLE SWCD	\$92,399.27	\$92,399.27	100.00%	33	\$92,399.27	100.00%	33	\$0.00
103 COOPER SWCD	\$369,536.45	\$369,536.45	100.00%	81	\$369,536.45	100.00%	81	\$0.00
113 CRAWFORD SWCD	\$159,373.04	\$159,373.04	100.00%	49	\$159,373.04	100.00%	49	\$0.00
35 DADE SWCD	\$477,701.79	\$477,701.79	100.00%	129	\$477,701.79	100.00%	129	\$0.00
91 DALLAS SWCD	\$302,008.00	\$302,008.00	100.00%	86	\$302,008.00	100.00%	86	\$634.62
8 DAVIESS SWCD	\$33,141.00	\$33,141.00	100.00%	10	\$33,141.00	100.00%	10	\$0.00
7 DEKALB SWCD	\$105,301.67	\$105,301.67	100.00%	33	\$105,301.67	100.00%	33	\$0.00
41 DENT SWCD	\$421,163.38	\$421,163.38	100.00%	82	\$421,163.38	100.00%	82	\$0.00
94 DOUGLAS SWCD	\$458,473.41	\$458,473.41	100.00%	119	\$458,473.41	100.00%	119	-\$8,564.68
22 DUNKLIN SWCD	\$22,037.49	\$22,037.49	100.00%	4	\$22,037.49	100.00%	4	\$0.00
2 FRANKLIN SWCD	\$115,702.24	\$115,702.24	100.00%	35	\$115,702.24	100.00%	35	\$0.00
62 GASCONADE SWCD	\$119,691.59	\$119,691.59	100.00%	34	\$119,691.59	100.00%	34	\$0.00
14 GENTRY SWCD	\$38,140.26	\$38,140.26	100.00%	14	\$38,140.26	100.00%	14	\$0.00
90 GREENE SWCD	\$362,045.86	\$362,045.86	100.00%	81	\$362,045.86	100.00%	81	\$0.00
81 GRUNDY SWCD	\$47,912.51	\$47,912.51	100.00%	26	\$47,912.51	100.00%	26	\$1,098.45
1 HARRISON SWCD	\$100,583.72	\$100,583.72	100.00%	28	\$100,583.72	100.00%	28	\$0.00
48 HENRY SWCD	\$554,607.67	\$554,607.67	100.00%	114	\$554,607.67	100.00%	114	\$0.00
88 HICKORY SWCD	\$372,149.15	\$372,149.15	100.00%	78	\$372,149.15	100.00%	78	\$0.00
106 HOLT SWCD	\$51,685.21	\$51,685.21	100.00%	12	\$51,685.21	100.00%	12	\$0.00
85 HOWARD SWCD	\$50,118.18	\$50,118.18	100.00%	19	\$50,118.18	100.00%	19	\$0.00
82 HOWELL SWCD	\$514,251.25	\$514,251.25	100.00%	84	\$496,199.75	96.49%	84	-\$9,025.75
101 IRON SWCD	\$108,708.39	\$108,708.39	100.00%	32	\$108,708.39	100.00%	32	\$0.00
102 JACKSON SWCD	\$72,885.30	\$72,885.30	100.00%	15	\$72,885.30	100.00%	15	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:DA - DROUGHT ASSISTANCE	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
58	JASPER SWCD		\$237,853.90	\$237,853.90	100.00%	60	\$237,853.90	100.00%	60	\$0.00
112	JEFFERSON SWCD		\$122,160.49	\$122,160.49	100.00%	34	\$122,160.49	100.00%	34	\$0.00
11	JOHNSON SWCD		\$607,006.75	\$607,006.75	100.00%	118	\$607,006.75	100.00%	118	\$0.00
59	KNOX SWCD		\$126,503.26	\$126,503.26	100.00%	29	\$126,503.26	100.00%	29	\$0.00
73	LACLEDE SWCD		\$285,374.54	\$285,374.54	100.00%	80	\$285,374.54	100.00%	80	\$0.00
21	LAFAYETTE SWCD		\$39,633.66	\$39,633.66	100.00%	12	\$39,633.66	100.00%	12	\$0.00
51	LAWRENCE SWCD		\$409,118.07	\$409,118.07	100.00%	108	\$409,118.07	100.00%	108	\$0.00
36	LEWIS SWCD		\$30,531.19	\$30,531.19	100.00%	9	\$30,531.19	100.00%	9	\$0.00
24	LINCOLN SWCD		\$218,179.53	\$218,179.53	100.00%	56	\$218,179.53	100.00%	56	\$0.00
78	LINN SWCD		\$81,666.62	\$81,666.62	100.00%	14	\$81,666.62	100.00%	14	\$0.00
50	LIVINGSTON SWCD		\$97,090.94	\$97,090.94	100.00%	29	\$97,090.94	100.00%	29	\$0.00
43	MACON SWCD		\$4,517.46	\$4,517.46	100.00%	3	\$4,517.46	100.00%	3	\$0.00
87	MADISON SWCD		\$130,905.32	\$130,905.32	100.00%	38	\$130,905.32	100.00%	38	\$0.00
75	MARIES SWCD		\$291,319.65	\$291,319.65	100.00%	80	\$291,319.65	100.00%	80	\$0.00
20	MARION SWCD		\$43,760.30	\$43,760.30	100.00%	13	\$43,760.30	100.00%	13	\$0.00
27	MCDONALD SWCD		\$446,201.88	\$446,201.88	100.00%	122	\$446,201.88	100.00%	122	\$0.00
80	MERCER SWCD		\$31,996.94	\$31,996.94	100.00%	10	\$31,996.94	100.00%	10	\$0.00
96	MILLER SWCD		\$327,469.18	\$327,469.18	100.00%	92	\$327,469.18	100.00%	92	\$0.00
44	MISSISSIPPI SWCD		\$81,580.00	\$81,580.00	100.00%	6	\$81,580.00	100.00%	6	\$0.00
105	MONITEAU SWCD		\$295,270.41	\$295,270.41	100.00%	92	\$295,270.41	100.00%	92	\$0.00
60	MONROE SWCD		\$99,963.40	\$99,963.40	100.00%	23	\$99,963.40	100.00%	23	\$900.00
30	MONTGOMERY SWCD		\$153,585.62	\$153,585.62	100.00%	31	\$153,585.62	100.00%	31	\$0.00
111	MORGAN SWCD		\$285,791.44	\$285,791.44	100.00%	71	\$285,791.44	100.00%	71	\$0.00
32	NEW MADRID SWCD		\$98,209.50	\$98,209.50	100.00%	10	\$98,209.50	100.00%	10	\$0.00
40	NEWTON SWCD		\$568,980.81	\$568,980.81	100.00%	164	\$568,659.28	99.94%	164	-\$12.26
19	NODAWAY SWCD		\$358,626.26	\$358,626.26	100.00%	89	\$358,626.26	100.00%	89	\$0.00
66	OREGON SWCD		\$318,697.91	\$318,697.91	100.00%	70	\$318,697.91	100.00%	70	\$0.00
93	OSAGE SWCD		\$330,195.62	\$330,195.62	100.00%	84	\$330,195.62	100.00%	84	\$0.00
97	OZARK SWCD		\$181,794.29	\$181,794.29	100.00%	41	\$181,794.29	100.00%	41	\$0.00
15	PEMISCOT SWCD		\$56,110.00	\$56,110.00	100.00%	4	\$56,110.00	100.00%	4	\$0.00
64	PERRY SWCD		\$166,319.39	\$166,319.39	100.00%	50	\$166,319.39	100.00%	50	\$0.00
98	PETTIS SWCD		\$554,134.69	\$554,134.69	100.00%	130	\$554,134.69	100.00%	130	\$8,859.61
110	PELLIPS SWCD		\$247,347.79	\$247,347.79	100.00%	69	\$247,347.79	100.00%	69	\$0.00
18	PIKE SWCD		\$157,242.86	\$157,242.86	100.00%	25	\$157,242.86	100.00%	25	-\$14,051.98
99	PLATTE SWCD		\$48,897.36	\$48,897.36	100.00%	18	\$48,897.36	100.00%	18	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:DA - DROUGHT ASSISTANCE	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
67	POLK SWCD		\$483,965.63	\$483,965.63	100.00%	163	\$483,965.63	100.00%	163	\$0.00
61	PULASKI SWCD		\$144,251.70	\$144,251.70	100.00%	32	\$144,251.70	100.00%	32	\$0.00
52	PUTNAM SWCD		\$59,390.13	\$59,390.13	100.00%	16	\$59,390.13	100.00%	16	\$0.00
23	RALLS SWCD		\$73,895.88	\$73,895.88	100.00%	28	\$73,895.88	100.00%	28	\$0.00
76	RANDOLPH SWCD		\$39,360.86	\$39,360.86	100.00%	12	\$39,360.86	100.00%	12	\$0.00
17	RAY SWCD		\$143,631.70	\$143,631.70	100.00%	62	\$143,631.70	100.00%	62	\$0.00
25	REYNOLDS CO. SWCD		\$200,618.75	\$200,618.75	100.00%	50	\$200,618.75	100.00%	50	\$0.00
37	RIPLEY SWCD		\$132,768.96	\$132,768.96	100.00%	31	\$132,768.96	100.00%	31	\$0.00
100	SALINE SWCD		\$139,013.87	\$139,013.87	100.00%	38	\$139,013.87	100.00%	38	\$0.00
42	SCHUYLER SWCD		\$111,180.77	\$111,180.77	100.00%	38	\$111,180.77	100.00%	38	\$0.00
16	SCOTLAND SWCD		\$94,283.57	\$94,283.57	100.00%	26	\$94,283.57	100.00%	26	\$0.00
53	SCOTT SWCD		\$164,841.98	\$164,841.98	100.00%	16	\$164,841.98	100.00%	16	\$0.00
49	SHANNON SWCD		\$185,095.75	\$185,095.75	100.00%	44	\$185,095.75	100.00%	44	\$0.00
6	SHELBY SWCD		\$3,177.99	\$3,177.99	100.00%	1	\$3,177.99	100.00%	1	\$0.00
4	ST. CHARLES SWCD		\$42,277.69	\$42,277.69	100.00%	7	\$42,277.69	100.00%	7	\$0.00
63	ST. CLAIR SWCD		\$73,189.35	\$73,189.35	100.00%	20	\$73,189.35	100.00%	20	\$0.00
92	ST. FRANCOIS SWCD		\$91,005.41	\$91,005.41	100.00%	33	\$91,005.41	100.00%	33	\$0.00
31	ST. LOUIS SWCD		\$7,057.92	\$7,057.92	100.00%	2	\$7,057.92	100.00%	2	\$0.00
83	STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD		\$194,275.79	\$194,275.79	100.00%	55	\$194,275.79	100.00%	55	\$0.00
33	STODDARD SWCD		\$267,312.72	\$267,312.72	100.00%	35	\$267,312.72	100.00%	35	\$0.00
65	STONE SWCD		\$283,093.41	\$283,093.41	100.00%	76	\$283,093.41	100.00%	76	\$0.00
69	SULLIVAN SWCD		\$116,632.77	\$116,632.77	100.00%	43	\$116,632.77	100.00%	43	\$0.00
68	TANEY SWCD		\$292,974.43	\$292,974.43	100.00%	75	\$292,244.79	99.75%	75	\$8,442.76
34	TEXAS SWCD		\$352,830.78	\$352,830.78	100.00%	90	\$352,830.78	100.00%	90	\$1,423.80
39	VERNON SWCD		\$194,006.76	\$194,006.76	100.00%	42	\$194,006.76	100.00%	42	\$0.00
55	WARREN SWCD		\$243,382.10	\$243,382.10	100.00%	44	\$243,382.10	100.00%	44	\$0.00
114	WASHINGTON SWCD		\$32,536.95	\$32,536.95	100.00%	11	\$32,536.95	100.00%	11	\$0.00
28	WAYNE SWCD		\$171,809.48	\$171,809.48	100.00%	45	\$171,809.48	100.00%	45	\$0.00
77	WEBSTER SWCD		\$388,145.46	\$388,145.46	100.00%	103	\$388,145.46	100.00%	103	\$0.00
5	WORTH SWCD		\$9,021.96	\$9,021.96	100.00%	2	\$9,021.96	100.00%	2	\$0.00
54	WRIGHT SWCD		\$543,138.88	\$543,138.88	100.00%	118	\$543,138.88	100.00%	118	\$0.00
			\$21,895,459.19	\$21,873,978.46	99.90%	5387	\$21,834,875.79	99.72%	5386	-\$8,575.21

FY: 2013	Fund Code:R	Project:GM - GRAZING MANAGEMENT	Allocated	Obligated	% Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
56	ADAIR SWCD		\$6,441.00	\$548.86	8.52%	1	\$548.86	8.52%	1	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:GM - GRAZING MANAGEMENT	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
109	ANDREW SWCD		\$11,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$11,000.00
47	BARRY SWCD		\$32,824.20	\$31,125.95	94.83%	5	\$22,379.15	68.18%	4	\$0.00
38	BARTON SWCD		\$14,772.53	\$14,772.53	100.00%	5	\$2,057.62	13.93%	1	\$4,760.00
71	BATES SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
95	BENTON SWCD		\$18,470.00	\$17,958.49	97.23%	6	\$15,170.00	82.13%	4	\$0.00
72	BOLLINGER SWCD		\$10,304.00	\$7,866.75	76.35%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
13	BOONE SWCD		\$18,230.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
104	BUCHANAN SWCD		\$28,750.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
46	BUTLER SWCD		\$11,737.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
26	CALDWELL SWCD		\$16,902.87	\$16,902.87	100.00%	3	\$16,114.39	95.34%	2	\$0.00
3	CALLAWAY SWCD		\$10,972.00	\$248.94	2.27%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$2,805.00
89	CAMDEN SWCD		\$24,899.00	\$18,077.68	72.60%	12	\$18,077.68	72.60%	12	\$0.00
12	CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
29	CARROLL SWCD		\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
45	CARTER SWCD		\$44,529.00	\$44,310.42	99.51%	20	\$37,758.77	84.80%	19	\$0.00
70	CASS SWCD		\$12,987.71	\$7,654.86	58.94%	3	\$2,463.75	18.97%	1	\$0.00
57	CEDAR SWCD		\$27,893.00	\$27,599.64	98.95%	14	\$24,720.84	88.63%	12	\$0.00
79	CHARITON SWCD		\$18,444.00	\$12,866.85	69.76%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
86	CHRISTIAN SWCD		\$21,904.57	\$21,272.54	97.11%	5	\$1,521.50	6.95%	1	\$0.00
9	CLAY SWCD		\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
84	CLINTON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
107	COLE SWCD		\$18,878.00	\$16,871.06	89.37%	4	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
103	COOPER SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
113	CRAWFORD SWCD		\$59,260.97	\$50,846.70	85.80%	18	\$32,976.20	55.65%	12	\$0.00
35	DADE SWCD		\$71,429.06	\$69,271.45	96.98%	14	\$39,865.80	55.81%	8	\$0.00
91	DALLAS SWCD		\$93,243.00	\$80,272.89	86.09%	20	\$80,272.89	86.09%	20	\$0.00
8	DAVISS SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
7	DEKALB SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
41	DENT SWCD		\$194,009.80	\$194,009.80	100.00%	50	\$135,555.32	69.87%	38	-\$3,120.00
94	DOUGLAS SWCD		\$43,540.16	\$40,942.16	94.03%	7	\$34,892.56	80.14%	6	\$0.00
2	FRANKLIN SWCD		\$13,964.00	\$3,230.00	23.13%	1	\$3,230.00	23.13%	1	\$0.00
62	GASCONADE SWCD		\$19,662.00	\$19,613.22	99.75%	11	\$19,613.22	99.75%	11	\$0.00
14	GENTRY SWCD		\$8,803.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
90	GREENE SWCD		\$23,386.55	\$21,909.00	93.68%	15	\$14,104.17	60.31%	13	\$0.00
81	GRUNDY SWCD		\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Codes:R	Project:GM - GRAZING MANAGEMENT	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
1	HARRISON SWCD		\$20,882.00	\$18,348.35	87.87%	8	\$7,763.35	37.18%	3	-\$531.59
48	HENRY SWCD		\$25,589.43	\$21,577.36	84.32%	5	\$14,671.61	57.33%	2	\$0.00
88	HICKORY SWCD		\$38,257.89	\$28,324.61	74.04%	6	\$13,411.29	35.05%	2	\$0.00
85	HOWARD SWCD		\$9,501.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
82	HOWELL SWCD		\$93,787.09	\$86,097.17	91.80%	27	\$48,081.09	51.27%	23	\$0.00
101	IRON SWCD		\$12,731.00	\$11,524.05	90.52%	3	\$4,779.05	37.54%	2	\$0.00
58	JASPER SWCD		\$51,576.00	\$40,901.41	79.30%	10	\$14,334.04	27.79%	5	\$8,652.15
112	JEFFERSON SWCD		\$16,106.00	\$11,342.20	70.42%	4	\$5,437.93	33.76%	1	\$1,870.00
11	JOHNSON SWCD		\$41,187.90	\$36,527.72	88.69%	6	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
59	KNOX SWCD		\$248.97	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
73	LACLEDE SWCD		\$57,196.00	\$37,476.27	65.52%	15	\$37,476.27	65.52%	15	\$0.00
21	LAFAYETTE SWCD		\$4,140.00	\$3,578.50	86.44%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
51	LAWRENCE SWCD		\$55,190.35	\$45,625.22	82.67%	17	\$43,205.53	78.28%	16	\$0.00
36	LEWIS SWCD		\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
78	LINN SWCD		\$4,400.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
50	LIVINGSTON SWCD		\$1,410.04	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
43	MACON SWCD		\$13,113.00	\$3,266.28	24.91%	1	\$3,266.28	24.91%	1	\$0.00
87	MADISON SWCD		\$4,612.00	\$1,524.00	33.04%	1	\$1,524.00	33.04%	1	\$0.00
75	MARIES SWCD		\$23,947.55	\$22,710.04	94.83%	10	\$22,710.04	94.83%	10	\$0.00
27	MCDONALD SWCD		\$23,142.75	\$22,637.39	97.82%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0	-\$1,282.59
80	MERCER SWCD		\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
96	MILLER SWCD		\$26,385.37	\$20,811.70	78.88%	4	\$10,862.20	41.17%	3	\$0.00
105	MONITEAU SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
60	MONROE SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
30	MONTGOMERY SWCD		\$7,325.00	\$6,496.00	88.68%	4	\$3,306.00	45.13%	2	\$0.00
111	MORGAN SWCD		\$3,654.90	\$3,654.90	100.00%	1	\$1,827.45	50.00%	1	-\$1,827.45
40	NEWTON SWCD		\$10,150.00	\$0.00	0.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$825.00
66	OREGON SWCD		\$51,168.92	\$45,110.16	88.16%	22	\$23,697.32	46.31%	11	\$1,218.00
93	OSAGE SWCD		\$38,616.07	\$35,977.00	93.17%	11	\$31,257.16	80.94%	9	\$0.00
97	OZARK SWCD		\$68,142.71	\$57,205.21	83.95%	21	\$49,776.62	73.05%	16	\$0.00
98	PETTIS SWCD		\$7,416.00	\$6,793.80	91.61%	3	\$5,220.00	70.39%	2	\$0.00
110	PHELPS SWCD		\$90,962.21	\$83,095.12	91.35%	14	\$64,162.06	70.54%	11	\$0.00
18	PIKE SWCD		\$5,089.00	\$3,401.00	66.83%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
99	PLATTE SWCD		\$6,158.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
67	POLK SWCD		\$53,869.37	\$36,139.69	67.09%	8	\$22,735.69	42.21%	6	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
FY: 2013 Fund Code:R Project:GM - GRAZING MANAGEMENT								
61 PULASKI SWCD	\$12,561.00	\$5,197.70	41.38%	4	\$5,197.70	41.38%	4	\$0.00
52 PUTNAM SWCD	\$38,425.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
23 RALLS SWCD	\$4,425.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
76 RANDOLPH SWCD	\$15,541.00	\$11,812.88	76.01%	2	\$2,464.02	15.85%	1	\$0.00
17 RAY SWCD	\$3,808.68	\$3,808.68	100.00%	1	\$3,808.68	100.00%	1	\$0.00
25 REYNOLDS CO. SWCD	\$11,663.00	\$10,072.86	86.37%	10	\$10,072.86	86.37%	10	\$0.00
37 RIPLEY SWCD	\$26,485.00	\$8,956.00	33.82%	2	\$8,956.00	33.82%	2	\$0.00
100 SALINE SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
16 SCOTLAND SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
53 SCOTT SWCD	\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
49 SHANNON SWCD	\$40,048.00	\$37,153.83	92.77%	7	\$3,066.92	7.66%	2	\$0.00
4 ST. CHARLES SWCD	\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
63 ST. CLAIR SWCD	\$161,084.00	\$80,665.71	50.08%	18	\$68,878.25	42.76%	16	\$0.00
92 ST. FRANCOIS SWCD	\$81,656.00	\$47,032.92	57.60%	15	\$11,685.90	14.31%	4	\$0.00
31 ST. LOUIS SWCD	\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
83 STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD	\$7,073.00	\$4,651.89	65.77%	9	\$3,982.52	56.31%	7	\$0.00
65 STONE SWCD	\$43,841.00	\$41,596.49	94.88%	10	\$20,699.06	47.21%	6	\$0.00
69 SULLIVAN SWCD	\$4,631.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
68 TANEY SWCD	\$26,899.87	\$14,983.64	55.70%	10	\$7,102.93	26.41%	5	\$0.00
34 TEXAS SWCD	\$135,675.30	\$135,560.16	99.92%	48	\$133,778.16	98.60%	47	-\$200.00
39 VERNON SWCD	\$24,411.52	\$4,377.61	17.93%	2	\$4,377.61	17.93%	2	\$0.00
55 WARREN SWCD	\$12,075.00	\$12,075.00	100.00%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
114 WASHINGTON SWCD	\$46,727.00	\$18,792.49	40.22%	2	\$8,941.05	19.13%	1	\$0.00
28 WAYNE SWCD	\$39,712.00	\$36,230.32	91.23%	7	\$16,238.55	40.89%	4	\$0.00
77 WEBSTER SWCD	\$2,793.15	\$2,793.00	99.99%	4	\$2,793.00	99.99%	4	\$0.00
54 WRIGHT SWCD	\$87,695.79	\$84,260.10	96.08%	26	\$80,638.10	91.95%	25	\$0.00
	\$2,603,545.25	\$1,968,339.09	75.60%	612	\$1,323,509.01	50.83%	449	\$24,168.52
FY: 2013 Fund Code:R Project:IM - IRRIGATION MANAGEMENT								
38 BARTON SWCD	\$4,012.80	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
72 BOLLINGER SWCD	\$5,431.00	\$4,485.00	82.58%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
46 BUTLER SWCD	\$132,825.00	\$127,230.59	95.79%	18	\$124,978.34	94.09%	17	\$0.00
12 CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD	\$12,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
29 CARROLL SWCD	\$1,675.35	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
70 CASS SWCD	\$6,699.90	\$3,346.50	49.95%	1	\$3,346.50	49.95%	1	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:IM - IRRIGATION MANAGEMENT	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
22	DUNKLIN SWCD	\$179,347.00	\$178,316.52	99.43%	42	\$98,777.74	55.08%	31	-\$12,722.19	
106	HOLT SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
58	JASPER SWCD	\$11,500.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
112	JEFFERSON SWCD	\$7,763.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
59	KNOX SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
50	LIVINGSTON SWCD	\$20,700.00	\$14,020.38	67.73%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
44	MISSISSIPPI SWCD	\$57,356.00	\$25,841.39	45.05%	9	\$14,003.32	24.41%	5	\$0.00	
32	NEW MADRID SWCD	\$57,500.00	\$49,714.17	86.46%	9	\$48,132.35	83.71%	8	\$0.00	
15	PEMISCOT SWCD	\$120,750.00	\$119,288.63	98.79%	33	\$115,635.57	95.76%	30	\$0.00	
64	PERRY SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
17	RAY SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
37	RIPLEY SWCD	\$26,565.00	\$23,100.00	86.96%	3	\$23,100.00	86.96%	1	\$51,378.20	
53	SCOTT SWCD	\$56,925.00	\$56,925.00	100.00%	12	\$56,925.00	100.00%	12	\$0.00	
31	ST. LOUIS SWCD	\$1,150.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
33	STODDARD SWCD	\$76,973.60	\$76,973.60	100.00%	16	\$72,822.66	94.61%	14	\$0.00	
39	VERNON SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
		\$779,173.65	\$679,241.78	87.17%	146	\$557,721.48	71.58%	119	\$38,656.01	
FY: 2013 Fund Code:R Project:NP - NUTRIENT & PEST MANAGEMENT										
109	ANDREW SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$4,091.40	27.28%	2	\$4,091.40	27.28%	2	\$0.00	
108	ATCHISON SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	100.00%	2	\$15,000.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00	
47	BARRY SWCD	\$10,486.00	\$8,086.00	77.11%	7	\$8,086.00	77.11%	7	\$0.00	
38	BARTON SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	100.00%	19	\$15,000.00	100.00%	19	\$0.00	
71	BATES SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	100.00%	5	\$15,000.00	100.00%	5	\$0.00	
95	BENTON SWCD	\$12,600.00	\$5,099.00	40.47%	7	\$5,099.00	40.47%	7	\$0.00	
72	BOLLINGER SWCD	\$5,500.00	\$2,037.00	37.04%	4	\$2,037.00	37.04%	4	\$0.00	
26	CALDWELL SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$7,425.00	49.50%	10	\$7,425.00	49.50%	10	\$0.00	
89	CAMDEN SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$812.00	5.41%	2	\$812.00	5.41%	2	\$0.00	
12	CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$12,159.00	81.06%	8	\$12,159.00	81.06%	8	\$0.00	
45	CARTER SWCD	\$6,750.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
70	CASS SWCD	\$14,888.00	\$12,728.00	85.49%	17	\$12,728.00	85.49%	17	\$0.00	
57	CEDAR SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$14,086.00	93.91%	15	\$14,086.00	93.91%	15	\$0.00	
79	CHARITON SWCD	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
86	CHRISTIAN SWCD	\$5,467.00	\$3,883.00	71.03%	8	\$2,912.00	53.27%	6	\$0.00	
84	CLINTON SWCD	\$13,535.00	\$12,599.00	93.08%	5	\$12,599.00	93.08%	5	\$0.00	

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:NP - NUTRIENT & PEST MANAGEMENT	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
107	COLE SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$1,760.00	11.73%	3	\$1,760.00	11.73%	3	\$0.00
103	COOPER SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
113	CRAWFORD SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$12,554.00	83.69%	15	\$12,554.00	83.69%	15	\$0.00
35	DADE SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
91	DALLAS SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	100.00%	12	\$15,000.00	100.00%	12	\$0.00
41	DENT SWCD		\$14,985.00	\$10,223.00	68.22%	9	\$10,223.00	68.22%	9	\$0.00
94	DOUGLAS SWCD		\$6,728.00	\$4,276.00	63.56%	5	\$4,276.00	63.56%	5	\$0.00
2	FRANKLIN SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$6,605.00	44.03%	5	\$6,605.00	44.03%	5	\$0.00
62	GASCONADE SWCD		\$11,000.00	\$2,441.00	22.19%	3	\$2,441.00	22.19%	3	\$0.00
90	GREENE SWCD		\$14,339.00	\$10,810.00	75.39%	12	\$10,810.00	75.39%	12	\$0.00
1	HARRISON SWCD		\$9,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
48	HENRY SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
106	HOLT SWCD		\$9,499.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
82	HOWELL SWCD		\$6,113.00	\$5,593.00	91.49%	10	\$5,593.00	91.49%	10	\$0.00
101	IRON SWCD		\$3,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
58	JASPER SWCD		\$8,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
112	JEFFERSON SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$5,120.00	34.13%	1	\$5,120.00	34.13%	1	\$0.00
11	JOHNSON SWCD		\$14,356.00	\$13,876.00	96.66%	9	\$13,876.00	96.66%	9	\$0.00
59	KNOX SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
73	LACLEDE SWCD		\$12,800.00	\$12,800.00	100.00%	10	\$12,800.00	100.00%	10	\$0.00
21	LAFAYETTE SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
51	LAWRENCE SWCD		\$2,360.00	\$2,360.00	100.00%	7	\$1,609.00	68.18%	3	-\$751.00
78	LINN SWCD		\$1,294.00	\$1,294.00	100.00%	2	\$1,294.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00
50	LIVINGSTON SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$14,129.00	94.19%	15	\$14,129.00	94.19%	15	\$0.00
87	MADISON SWCD		\$12,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
75	MARIES SWCD		\$8,651.00	\$5,846.00	67.58%	13	\$5,846.00	67.58%	13	\$0.00
27	MCDONALD SWCD		\$2,450.00	\$2,450.00	100.00%	2	\$2,450.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00
96	MILLER SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$12,756.00	85.04%	13	\$12,756.00	85.04%	13	\$0.00
105	MONTEAU SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$12,256.00	81.71%	11	\$12,256.00	81.71%	11	\$0.00
30	MONTGOMERY SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$4,164.00	27.76%	7	\$4,164.00	27.76%	7	\$0.00
111	MORGAN SWCD		\$9,000.00	\$2,457.00	27.30%	3	\$2,457.00	27.30%	3	\$0.00
66	OREGON SWCD		\$14,875.00	\$13,879.00	93.30%	45	\$13,879.00	93.30%	45	\$0.00
93	OSAGE SWCD		\$7,769.00	\$7,517.00	96.76%	11	\$7,517.00	96.76%	11	\$0.00
97	OZARK SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$14,915.00	99.43%	14	\$14,915.00	99.43%	14	\$0.00
98	PETTIS SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:NP - NUTRIENT & PEST MANAGEMENT	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
110	PHELPS SWCD		\$14,974.00	\$13,502.00	90.17%	23	\$13,502.00	90.17%	23	\$0.00
18	PIKE SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$1,047.20	6.98%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	-\$1,047.20
67	POLK SWCD		\$12,272.00	\$10,628.00	86.60%	10	\$10,628.00	86.60%	10	\$0.00
61	PULASKI SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$550.00	3.67%	1	\$550.00	3.67%	1	\$0.00
52	PUTNAM SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$2,480.00	16.53%	1	\$2,480.00	16.53%	1	\$0.00
76	RANDOLPH SWCD		\$12,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
17	RAY SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$8,926.00	59.51%	6	\$8,926.00	59.51%	6	\$0.00
25	REYNOLDS CO. SWCD		\$1,200.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
37	RIPLEY SWCD		\$4,000.00	\$1,366.00	34.15%	2	\$1,366.00	34.15%	2	\$0.00
16	SCOTLAND SWCD		\$11,232.00	\$11,232.00	100.00%	8	\$11,232.00	100.00%	8	\$0.00
49	SHANNON SWCD		\$3,000.00	\$466.00	15.53%	2	\$466.00	15.53%	2	\$0.00
31	ST. LOUIS SWCD		\$1,200.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
65	STONE SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$14,605.00	97.37%	19	\$14,605.00	97.37%	19	\$0.00
69	SULLIVAN SWCD		\$10,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
68	TANEY SWCD		\$8,099.00	\$6,110.20	75.44%	8	\$6,110.20	75.44%	8	\$0.00
34	TEXAS SWCD		\$14,955.00	\$11,380.00	76.09%	15	\$11,380.00	76.09%	15	\$0.00
39	VERNON SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$4,294.00	28.63%	2	\$4,294.00	28.63%	2	\$0.00
55	WARREN SWCD		\$10,811.60	\$10,730.00	99.25%	12	\$10,730.00	99.25%	12	\$0.00
114	WASHINGTON SWCD		\$6,500.00	\$2,242.00	34.49%	1	\$2,242.00	34.49%	1	\$0.00
28	WAYNE SWCD		\$1,350.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
77	WEBSTER SWCD		\$8,402.00	\$7,348.00	87.46%	10	\$7,348.00	87.46%	10	\$0.00
54	WRIGHT SWCD		\$15,000.00	\$12,910.00	86.07%	20	\$12,910.00	86.07%	20	\$0.00
			\$777,440.60	\$442,902.80	56.97%	490	\$440,133.60	56.61%	482	-\$1,798.20
FY: 2013 Fund Code:R Project:SA - SENSITIVE AREAS										
56	ADAIR SWCD		\$2,484.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
109	ANDREW SWCD		\$6,600.00	\$6,593.34	99.90%	5	\$5,793.34	87.78%	4	\$0.00
47	BARRY SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
38	BARTON SWCD		\$10,565.00	\$10,565.00	100.00%	1	\$10,565.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00
71	BATES SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
95	BENTON SWCD		\$19,081.00	\$13,139.43	68.86%	3	\$9,640.36	50.52%	1	\$0.00
72	BOLLINGER SWCD		\$13,695.00	\$2,720.32	19.86%	1	\$2,720.32	19.86%	1	\$0.00
13	BOONE SWCD		\$13,011.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
104	BUCHANAN SWCD		\$11,905.00	\$11,499.08	96.59%	3	\$11,499.08	96.59%	3	\$0.00
26	CALDWELL SWCD		\$26,709.00	\$26,309.00	98.50%	7	\$12,767.85	47.80%	2	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

FY: 2013		Fund Codes:R		Project:SA - SENSITIVE AREAS		Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
District													
3	CALLAWAY SWCD	\$2,300.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
89	CAMDEN SWCD	\$17,330.00	\$14,330.00	82.69%	1	\$14,330.00	82.69%	1	\$14,330.00	82.69%	1	\$0.00	
12	CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD	\$1,777.44	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
29	CARROLL SWCD	\$11,739.00	\$400.00	3.41%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
45	CARTER SWCD	\$13,043.00	\$12,340.99	94.62%	3	\$9,633.74	73.86%	2	\$9,633.74	73.86%	2	\$0.00	
70	CASS SWCD	\$8,500.00	\$7,540.30	88.71%	2	\$3,660.74	43.07%	1	\$3,660.74	43.07%	1	\$0.00	
57	CEDAR SWCD	\$13,695.00	\$2,632.12	19.22%	1	\$2,632.12	19.22%	1	\$2,632.12	19.22%	1	\$0.00	
79	CHARITON SWCD	\$2,036.90	\$2,036.90	100.00%	1	\$2,036.90	100.00%	1	\$2,036.90	100.00%	1	\$0.00	
86	CHRISTIAN SWCD	\$21,739.00	\$20,926.28	96.26%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
9	CLAY SWCD	\$3,105.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
84	CLINTON SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
107	COLE SWCD	\$26,907.00	\$22,654.72	84.20%	16	\$16,092.75	59.81%	13	\$16,092.75	59.81%	13	\$0.00	
103	COOPER SWCD	\$800.00	\$800.00	100.00%	2	\$400.00	50.00%	1	\$400.00	50.00%	1	\$0.00	
113	CRAWFORD SWCD	\$10,565.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
35	DADE SWCD	\$10,600.00	\$10,552.50	99.55%	1	\$10,552.50	99.55%	1	\$10,552.50	99.55%	1	\$0.00	
91	DALLAS SWCD	\$23,590.00	\$20,647.39	87.53%	10	\$20,647.39	87.53%	10	\$20,647.39	87.53%	10	\$0.00	
8	DAVISS SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
7	DEKALB SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
41	DENT SWCD	\$10,860.30	\$10,860.30	100.00%	1	\$10,860.30	100.00%	1	\$10,860.30	100.00%	1	\$0.00	
94	DOUGLAS SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
22	DUNKLIN SWCD	\$10,565.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
2	FRANKLIN SWCD	\$26,509.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
62	GASCONADE SWCD	\$12,326.00	\$2,672.93	21.69%	2	\$2,672.93	21.69%	2	\$2,672.93	21.69%	2	\$0.00	
14	GENTRY SWCD	\$8,150.38	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
90	GREENE SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
81	GRUNDY SWCD	\$874.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
1	HARRISON SWCD	\$800.00	\$800.00	100.00%	1	\$800.00	100.00%	1	\$800.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00	
48	HENRY SWCD	\$14,000.00	\$13,883.95	99.17%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
88	HICKORY SWCD	\$21,932.01	\$16,823.67	76.71%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
85	HOWARD SWCD	\$6,670.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
82	HOWELL SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
101	IRON SWCD	\$13,695.00	\$2,915.74	21.29%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
102	JACKSON SWCD	\$9,781.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
58	JASPER SWCD	\$6,334.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
112	JEFFERSON SWCD	\$14,176.00	\$4,290.20	30.26%	2	\$4,290.20	30.26%	2	\$4,290.20	30.26%	2	\$3,949.41	

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:SA - SENSITIVE AREAS	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
11	JOHNSON SWCD		\$7,500.00	\$7,309.37	97.46%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
59	KNOX SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
73	LACLEDE SWCD		\$13,581.23	\$6,149.41	45.28%	3	\$6,149.41	45.28%	3	\$0.00
51	LAWRENCE SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
24	LINCOLN SWCD		\$3,830.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
50	LIVINGSTON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
43	MACON SWCD		\$5,060.00	\$2,000.00	39.53%	4	\$2,000.00	39.53%	4	\$0.00
87	MADISON SWCD		\$10,684.00	\$2,915.74	27.29%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
75	MARIES SWCD		\$17,197.42	\$14,239.68	82.80%	7	\$14,239.68	82.80%	7	\$0.00
27	MCDONALD SWCD		\$2,103.00	\$2,103.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
80	MERCER SWCD		\$1,656.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
96	MILLER SWCD		\$19,887.98	\$7,170.60	36.05%	3	\$7,170.60	36.05%	3	\$0.00
105	MONITEAU SWCD		\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00	100.00%	2	\$1,200.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00
60	MONROE SWCD		\$400.00	\$400.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
30	MONTGOMERY SWCD		\$6,000.00	\$6,000.00	100.00%	2	\$5,220.45	87.01%	1	\$0.00
111	MORGAN SWCD		\$30,130.00	\$24,579.10	81.58%	4	\$9,257.92	30.73%	2	-\$400.00
40	NEWTON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
19	NODAWAY SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
66	OREGON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
93	OSAGE SWCD		\$15,065.00	\$14,800.00	98.24%	11	\$11,000.00	73.02%	8	\$0.00
97	OZARK SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
64	PERRY SWCD		\$101,111.66	\$82,401.27	81.50%	43	\$77,163.96	76.32%	39	\$0.00
98	PETTIS SWCD		\$7,079.00	\$3,743.07	52.88%	2	\$800.00	11.30%	1	\$0.00
110	PHELPS SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
18	PIKE SWCD		\$3,499.18	\$3,499.18	100.00%	3	\$2,000.00	57.16%	2	\$0.00
67	POLK SWCD		\$30,300.26	\$20,289.09	66.96%	4	\$18,294.89	60.38%	2	\$0.00
61	PULASKI SWCD		\$21,739.00	\$14,859.00	68.35%	6	\$11,739.00	54.00%	5	\$0.00
52	PUTNAM SWCD		\$13,695.00	\$10,800.00	78.86%	3	\$800.00	5.84%	2	\$0.00
23	RALLS SWCD		\$2,070.00	\$2,000.00	96.62%	3	\$2,000.00	96.62%	3	\$0.00
76	RANDOLPH SWCD		\$9,230.00	\$400.00	4.33%	1	\$400.00	4.33%	1	\$0.00
17	RAY SWCD		\$7,363.34	\$6,282.58	85.32%	4	\$6,282.58	85.32%	4	\$0.00
25	REYNOLDS CO. SWCD		\$10,565.00	\$2,795.68	26.46%	1	\$2,795.68	26.46%	1	\$0.00
37	RIPLEY SWCD		\$10,565.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
42	SCHUYLER SWCD		\$4,370.00	\$4,370.00	100.00%	7	\$3,200.00	73.23%	6	\$0.00
16	SCOTLAND SWCD		\$14,852.00	\$400.00	2.69%	1	\$400.00	2.69%	1	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:SA - SENSITIVE AREAS	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
49	SHANNON SWCD		\$13,695.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
4	ST. CHARLES SWCD		\$6,325.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
63	ST. CLAIR SWCD		\$13,695.00	\$5,451.61	39.81%	2	\$5,451.61	39.81%	2	\$0.00
92	ST. FRANCOIS SWCD		\$13,695.00	\$12,317.50	89.94%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
31	ST. LOUIS SWCD		\$7,283.00	\$400.00	5.49%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$400.00
83	STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD		\$22,945.00	\$22,680.84	98.85%	11	\$22,680.84	98.85%	11	\$0.00
33	STODDARD SWCD		\$400.00	\$400.00	100.00%	1	\$400.00	100.00%	1	\$0.00
65	STONE SWCD		\$10,565.00	\$6,821.48	64.57%	3	\$4,837.59	45.79%	2	\$0.00
68	TANEY SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
34	TEXAS SWCD		\$80,504.26	\$79,994.82	99.37%	9	\$79,994.82	99.37%	9	\$0.00
39	VERNON SWCD		\$10,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
55	WARREN SWCD		\$11,337.81	\$10,273.66	90.61%	2	\$10,273.66	90.61%	2	\$0.00
114	WASHINGTON SWCD		\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
28	WAYNE SWCD		\$15,065.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
77	WEBSTER SWCD		\$35,328.01	\$34,926.04	98.86%	7	\$34,926.04	98.86%	7	\$0.00
54	WRIGHT SWCD		\$8,638.62	\$8,638.62	100.00%	2	\$400.00	4.63%	1	\$0.00
			\$1,052,800.80	\$660,545.50	62.74%	229	\$492,674.25	46.80%	180	\$3,949.41

FY: 2013	Fund Code:R	Project:SGE - SHEET AND RILL / GULLY EROSION	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
56	ADAIR SWCD		\$131,987.00	\$131,987.00	100.00%	13	\$31,264.24	23.69%	3	\$0.00
109	ANDREW SWCD		\$304,615.03	\$301,162.02	98.87%	40	\$244,699.61	80.33%	32	\$0.00
108	ATCHISON SWCD		\$545,878.61	\$494,517.11	90.59%	52	\$313,393.66	57.41%	36	-\$11,115.71
74	AUDRAIN SWCD		\$354,055.54	\$325,178.98	91.84%	73	\$303,323.33	85.67%	68	\$0.00
47	BARRY SWCD		\$17,497.58	\$6,365.50	36.38%	4	\$4,841.61	27.67%	3	-\$1,523.89
38	BARTON SWCD		\$260,439.58	\$260,261.16	99.93%	143	\$258,474.06	99.25%	140	\$8,000.00
71	BATES SWCD		\$43,113.74	\$5,489.50	12.73%	2	\$5,489.50	12.73%	2	\$0.00
95	BENTON SWCD		\$65,093.59	\$63,967.55	98.27%	16	\$55,765.83	85.67%	14	\$0.00
72	BOLLINGER SWCD		\$146,891.00	\$145,232.39	98.87%	22	\$135,351.09	92.14%	18	\$0.00
13	BOONE SWCD		\$154,051.00	\$143,524.18	93.17%	13	\$130,850.28	84.94%	12	-\$10,000.00
104	BUCHANAN SWCD		\$240,459.00	\$240,459.00	100.00%	34	\$227,256.04	94.51%	30	\$0.00
46	BUTLER SWCD		\$84,885.00	\$83,998.24	98.96%	40	\$78,397.19	92.36%	38	\$0.00
26	CALDWELL SWCD		\$247,278.94	\$247,278.94	100.00%	25	\$227,817.71	92.13%	22	\$0.00
3	CALLAWAY SWCD		\$141,577.00	\$99,228.38	70.09%	14	\$35,439.14	25.03%	6	-\$12,326.80
89	CAMDEN SWCD		\$11,815.00	\$9,315.00	78.84%	2	\$9,315.00	78.84%	2	\$0.00
12	CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD		\$139,193.44	\$118,494.86	85.13%	36	\$87,551.41	62.90%	26	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:SGE - SHEET AND RILL / GULLY EROSION	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
29	CARROLL SWCD	\$345,819.00	\$345,819.00	100.00%	44	\$204,546.92	59.15%	29	\$0.00	
45	CARTER SWCD	\$56,618.00	\$43,632.53	77.06%	19	\$43,632.53	77.06%	19	\$0.00	
70	CASS SWCD	\$24,376.76	\$24,376.76	100.00%	3	\$9,376.76	38.47%	1	\$0.00	
57	CEDAR SWCD	\$39,838.00	\$17,323.94	43.49%	8	\$12,237.44	30.72%	5	-\$5,086.50	
79	CHARITON SWCD	\$456,594.82	\$414,110.96	90.70%	53	\$227,864.17	49.91%	31	\$0.00	
86	CHRISTIAN SWCD	\$40,021.00	\$38,042.76	95.06%	25	\$26,453.60	66.10%	16	\$0.00	
10	CLARK SWCD	\$223,273.00	\$200,133.51	89.64%	27	\$159,507.06	71.44%	21	\$0.00	
9	CLAY SWCD	\$70,743.29	\$54,312.54	76.77%	6	\$54,312.54	76.77%	6	\$0.00	
84	CLINTON SWCD	\$223,415.56	\$223,415.56	100.00%	32	\$215,215.56	96.33%	31	\$2,647.19	
107	COLE SWCD	\$111,401.00	\$83,024.55	74.53%	34	\$50,887.77	45.68%	19	\$8,250.00	
103	COOPER SWCD	\$39,536.53	\$39,389.05	99.63%	7	\$10,836.53	27.41%	1	\$0.00	
113	CRAWFORD SWCD	\$25,875.00	\$18,229.78	70.45%	6	\$10,702.75	41.36%	4	-\$2,227.46	
35	DADE SWCD	\$54,389.00	\$50,064.79	92.05%	19	\$43,367.32	79.74%	14	\$911.40	
91	DALLAS SWCD	\$16,861.14	\$12,263.88	72.73%	5	\$12,263.88	72.73%	5	\$0.00	
8	DAVISS SWCD	\$351,094.34	\$350,302.18	99.77%	32	\$315,120.36	89.75%	29	\$0.00	
7	DEKALB SWCD	\$240,178.62	\$239,596.07	99.76%	27	\$196,888.61	81.98%	18	-\$742.87	
41	DENT SWCD	\$48,619.68	\$46,742.67	96.14%	6	\$45,403.26	93.38%	5	\$0.00	
94	DOUGLAS SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
22	DUNKLIN SWCD	\$113,051.14	\$111,244.39	98.40%	46	\$102,003.63	90.23%	41	\$0.00	
2	FRANKLIN SWCD	\$93,921.00	\$32,334.82	34.43%	10	\$22,334.82	23.78%	8	\$0.00	
62	GASCONADE SWCD	\$51,694.00	\$26,679.36	51.61%	6	\$22,365.92	43.27%	5	\$0.00	
14	GENTRY SWCD	\$314,727.00	\$311,008.60	98.82%	32	\$249,524.65	79.28%	25	-\$1,461.01	
90	GREENE SWCD	\$4,811.15	\$3,260.92	67.78%	2	\$3,260.92	67.78%	2	\$0.00	
81	GRUNDY SWCD	\$239,519.00	\$239,388.31	99.95%	42	\$152,917.15	63.84%	26	\$0.00	
1	HARRISON SWCD	\$319,936.15	\$308,659.76	96.48%	40	\$240,485.57	75.17%	26	\$0.00	
48	HENRY SWCD	\$41,368.69	\$41,221.94	99.65%	10	\$30,531.96	73.80%	6	\$0.00	
88	HICKORY SWCD	\$50,184.60	\$49,787.01	99.21%	7	\$49,787.01	99.21%	7	\$0.00	
106	HOLT SWCD	\$340,400.04	\$334,498.46	98.27%	52	\$313,948.34	92.23%	49	\$806.12	
85	HOWARD SWCD	\$178,552.00	\$89,965.91	50.39%	20	\$34,069.34	19.08%	5	-\$9,708.33	
82	HOWELL SWCD	\$16,033.97	\$15,035.86	93.78%	5	\$11,562.66	72.11%	4	\$0.00	
101	IRON SWCD	\$37,200.00	\$25,287.65	67.98%	5	\$6,908.25	18.57%	3	\$0.00	
102	JACKSON SWCD	\$128,693.00	\$74,627.66	57.99%	13	\$23,528.01	18.28%	5	\$0.00	
58	JASPER SWCD	\$58,262.00	\$54,755.12	93.98%	22	\$54,755.12	93.98%	22	\$0.00	
112	JEFFERSON SWCD	\$29,719.00	\$7,598.24	25.57%	3	\$6,588.59	22.17%	1	\$4,354.02	
11	JOHNSON SWCD	\$103,617.02	\$103,552.69	99.94%	17	\$93,891.38	90.61%	16	-\$6,792.72	

# Master Fund Status

FY: 2013		Project:SGE - SHEET AND RILL / GULLY EROSION									
District	Fund Code:R	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending		
59	KNOX SWCD	\$259,929.00	\$257,746.96	99.16%	25	\$139,359.63	53.61%	14	\$0.00		
73	LACLEDE SWCD	\$16,388.00	\$8,696.80	53.07%	7	\$5,574.42	34.02%	5	\$0.00		
21	LAFAYETTE SWCD	\$404,732.57	\$245,880.95	60.75%	53	\$205,658.18	50.81%	36	\$55,871.62		
51	LAWRENCE SWCD	\$34,379.00	\$32,927.15	95.78%	14	\$30,848.15	89.73%	13	-\$2,079.00		
36	LEWIS SWCD	\$237,226.00	\$236,131.85	99.54%	29	\$216,366.00	91.21%	17	\$102,014.19		
24	LINCOLN SWCD	\$79,734.00	\$71,480.49	89.65%	18	\$18,154.74	22.77%	5	\$0.00		
78	LINN SWCD	\$317,005.04	\$300,067.93	94.66%	24	\$285,067.93	89.93%	23	\$0.00		
50	LIVINGSTON SWCD	\$280,898.17	\$269,569.64	95.97%	18	\$183,858.03	65.45%	13	\$0.00		
43	MACON SWCD	\$231,564.28	\$215,782.43	93.18%	17	\$205,782.43	88.87%	16	\$0.00		
87	MADISON SWCD	\$98,967.00	\$63,528.09	64.19%	11	\$38,218.63	38.62%	8	\$0.00		
75	MARIES SWCD	\$64,701.00	\$63,282.04	97.81%	24	\$63,282.04	97.81%	24	\$0.00		
20	MARION SWCD	\$175,975.00	\$175,974.64	100.00%	23	\$138,040.47	78.44%	19	\$0.00		
27	MCDONALD SWCD	\$9,315.00	\$3,228.75	34.66%	1	\$3,228.75	34.66%	1	\$0.00		
80	MERCER SWCD	\$158,664.00	\$139,123.52	87.68%	20	\$84,821.68	53.46%	12	-\$8,768.13		
96	MILLER SWCD	\$6,179.41	\$6,179.41	100.00%	1	\$6,179.41	100.00%	1	\$0.00		
44	MISSISSIPPI SWCD	\$144,700.21	\$144,429.55	99.81%	87	\$53,408.04	36.91%	29	\$0.00		
105	MONITEAU SWCD	\$108,625.77	\$75,021.45	69.06%	18	\$40,452.48	37.24%	9	\$0.00		
60	MONROE SWCD	\$345,524.42	\$333,836.02	96.62%	47	\$277,940.53	80.44%	39	\$0.00		
30	MONTGOMERY SWCD	\$210,127.64	\$209,600.80	99.75%	31	\$186,387.92	88.70%	28	\$0.00		
111	MORGAN SWCD	\$13,747.96	\$7,246.59	52.71%	1	\$5,650.41	41.10%	1	-\$1,596.18		
32	NEW MADRID SWCD	\$225,927.79	\$225,853.48	99.97%	109	\$201,866.65	89.35%	109	-\$23,986.83		
40	NEWTON SWCD	\$14,850.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00		
19	NODAWAY SWCD	\$301,087.54	\$300,902.60	99.94%	48	\$276,087.54	91.70%	43	\$99.89		
66	OREGON SWCD	\$58,003.75	\$58,003.75	100.00%	27	\$53,316.80	91.92%	23	-\$1,151.28		
93	OSAGE SWCD	\$74,087.87	\$66,378.64	89.59%	23	\$66,378.64	89.59%	16	\$0.00		
97	OZARK SWCD	\$111,242.00	\$110,049.94	98.93%	35	\$110,049.94	98.93%	35	\$0.00		
15	PEMISCOT SWCD	\$169,085.00	\$136,726.09	80.86%	88	\$80,878.22	47.83%	52	\$0.00		
64	PERRY SWCD	\$111,199.00	\$97,917.10	88.06%	37	\$89,192.50	80.21%	34	\$0.00		
98	PETTIS SWCD	\$393,224.58	\$392,533.62	99.82%	51	\$376,317.65	95.70%	47	\$7,978.11		
110	PHHELPS SWCD	\$4,778.18	\$4,752.91	99.47%	3	\$3,098.81	64.85%	1	\$0.00		
18	PIKE SWCD	\$208,971.00	\$208,971.00	100.00%	19	\$89,658.71	42.90%	7	\$0.00		
99	PLATTE SWCD	\$140,843.00	\$89,996.13	63.90%	10	\$17,670.08	12.55%	4	-\$1,696.98		
67	POLK SWCD	\$9,749.37	\$6,549.37	67.18%	5	\$6,549.37	67.18%	5	\$0.00		
61	PULASKI SWCD	\$51,956.00	\$44,352.38	85.37%	24	\$44,352.38	85.37%	24	\$0.00		
52	PUTNAM SWCD	\$204,450.00	\$181,433.42	88.74%	24	\$74,455.72	36.42%	12	-\$10,000.00		

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:SGE - SHEET AND RILL / GULLY EROSION	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
23	RALLS SWCD	\$82,477.09	\$82,374.34	99.88%	15	\$48,963.11	59.37%	8	\$0.00	
76	RANDOLPH SWCD	\$174,630.00	\$113,422.42	64.95%	14	\$99,925.04	57.22%	11	\$1,214.60	
17	RAY SWCD	\$301,721.23	\$301,721.23	100.00%	27	\$264,754.76	87.75%	25	\$0.00	
25	REYNOLDS CO. SWCD	\$12,128.00	\$6,687.25	55.14%	2	\$6,687.25	55.14%	2	\$0.00	
37	RIPLEY SWCD	\$63,056.00	\$60,859.07	96.52%	34	\$40,144.14	63.66%	27	\$1,202.85	
100	SALINE SWCD	\$624,109.09	\$545,071.98	87.34%	80	\$446,617.91	71.56%	67	-\$7,354.17	
42	SCHUYLER SWCD	\$212,472.00	\$211,866.49	99.72%	25	\$145,676.79	68.56%	16	\$0.00	
16	SCOTLAND SWCD	\$295,067.64	\$293,506.33	99.47%	33	\$284,919.64	96.56%	32	\$0.00	
53	SCOTT SWCD	\$113,888.00	\$105,340.84	92.50%	40	\$96,742.69	84.95%	37	\$0.00	
49	SHANNON SWCD	\$30,326.00	\$13,781.01	45.44%	6	\$11,631.14	38.35%	5	\$0.00	
6	SHELBY SWCD	\$234,386.40	\$222,917.21	95.11%	26	\$136,048.01	58.04%	17	\$0.00	
4	ST. CHARLES SWCD	\$21,262.00	\$2,202.21	10.36%	1	\$2,202.21	10.36%	1	\$0.00	
63	ST. CLAIR SWCD	\$47,316.00	\$15,743.76	33.27%	6	\$15,743.76	33.27%	6	\$0.00	
92	ST. FRANCOIS SWCD	\$34,424.00	\$10,000.00	29.05%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
31	ST. LOUIS SWCD	\$23,575.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
83	STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD	\$107,301.00	\$85,133.07	79.34%	29	\$39,018.08	36.36%	19	-\$4,217.06	
33	STODDARD SWCD	\$81,842.78	\$81,517.73	99.60%	37	\$56,773.73	69.37%	26	\$0.00	
65	STONE SWCD	\$27,882.00	\$25,571.00	91.71%	8	\$25,571.00	91.71%	8	\$0.00	
69	SULLIVAN SWCD	\$227,281.00	\$218,913.21	96.32%	27	\$126,882.50	55.83%	18	-\$20,000.00	
68	TANEY SWCD	\$19,235.98	\$18,063.05	93.90%	11	\$18,063.05	93.90%	11	\$0.00	
34	TEXAS SWCD	\$30,493.70	\$30,448.53	99.85%	9	\$30,448.53	99.85%	9	\$0.00	
39	VERNON SWCD	\$159,337.15	\$144,407.84	90.63%	38	\$100,635.54	63.16%	32	-\$23,549.20	
55	WARREN SWCD	\$67,946.35	\$64,342.59	94.70%	16	\$37,556.07	55.27%	6	\$17,349.23	
114	WASHINGTON SWCD	\$12,075.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
28	WAYNE SWCD	\$106,991.00	\$106,985.83	100.00%	34	\$106,985.83	100.00%	34	\$0.00	
77	WEBSTER SWCD	\$22,215.13	\$9,613.91	43.28%	5	\$4,841.61	21.79%	3	\$0.00	
5	WORTH SWCD	\$185,803.50	\$169,592.14	91.27%	23	\$124,049.35	66.76%	17	\$0.00	
54	WRIGHT SWCD	\$26,058.05	\$26,058.05	100.00%	9	\$20,214.08	77.57%	7	\$0.00	
		\$16,002,315.19	\$14,456,443.62	90.34%	2742	\$11,175,558.78	69.84%	2126	\$45,315.10	
FY: 2013 Fund Code:R Project:WE - WOODLAND EROSION										
56	ADAIR SWCD	\$4,140.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
47	BARRY SWCD	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
71	BATES SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	
95	BENTON SWCD	\$2,324.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	

# Master Fund Status

District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
FY: 2013 Fund Code:R Project:WE - WOODLAND EROSION								
72 BOLLINGER SWCD	\$12,226.00	\$10,307.85	84.31%	11	\$10,307.85	84.31%	11	\$0.00
13 BOONE SWCD	\$4,370.00	\$4,370.00	100.00%	1	\$3,590.22	82.16%	1	\$0.00
46 BUTLER SWCD	\$12,075.00	\$4,089.08	33.86%	1	\$4,089.08	33.86%	1	\$0.00
3 CALLAWAY SWCD	\$5,175.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
89 CAMDEN SWCD	\$6,652.00	\$4,652.00	69.93%	2	\$4,652.00	69.93%	2	\$0.00
12 CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
45 CARTER SWCD	\$14,531.00	\$13,610.20	93.66%	4	\$13,610.20	93.66%	4	\$0.00
70 CASS SWCD	\$4,967.00	\$517.00	10.41%	1	\$517.00	10.41%	1	\$0.00
57 CEDAR SWCD	\$4,025.00	\$3,644.25	90.54%	2	\$3,644.25	90.54%	2	\$0.00
79 CHARITON SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
86 CHRISTIAN SWCD	\$6,417.00	\$6,257.63	97.52%	3	\$1,085.40	16.91%	1	\$0.00
9 CLAY SWCD	\$2,300.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
107 COLE SWCD	\$8,756.12	\$8,369.19	95.58%	1	\$8,369.19	95.58%	1	\$0.00
103 COOPER SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
113 CRAWFORD SWCD	\$18,975.00	\$18,292.72	96.40%	6	\$10,384.97	54.73%	4	\$0.00
35 DADE SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
91 DALLAS SWCD	\$4,600.00	\$2,986.62	64.93%	2	\$2,986.62	64.93%	2	\$0.00
41 DENT SWCD	\$23,511.00	\$23,445.60	99.72%	9	\$5,740.50	24.42%	5	\$0.00
94 DOUGLAS SWCD	\$2,649.24	\$1,347.91	50.88%	1	\$1,347.91	50.88%	1	\$0.00
2 FRANKLIN SWCD	\$5,168.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
62 GASCONADE SWCD	\$5,684.00	\$5,547.47	97.60%	3	\$5,547.47	97.60%	3	\$0.00
90 GREENE SWCD	\$3,008.62	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
1 HARRISON SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
88 HICKORY SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
85 HOWARD SWCD	\$1,475.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
82 HOWELL SWCD	\$5,200.00	\$4,587.48	88.22%	2	\$1,075.01	20.67%	1	\$0.00
101 IRON SWCD	\$11,196.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
102 JACKSON SWCD	\$725.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
58 JASPER SWCD	\$5,687.00	\$2,162.00	38.02%	1	\$2,162.00	38.02%	1	\$0.00
112 JEFFERSON SWCD	\$11,737.00	\$10,779.00	91.84%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
11 JOHNSON SWCD	\$2,725.00	\$2,560.18	93.95%	2	\$2,560.18	93.95%	2	\$0.00
59 KNOX SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
73 LACLEDE SWCD	\$7,859.11	\$7,859.10	100.00%	2	\$741.99	9.44%	1	\$0.00
51 LAWRENCE SWCD	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
24 LINCOLN SWCD	\$3,450.00	\$2,088.00	60.52%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:WE - WOODLAND EROSION	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
87	MADISON SWCD		\$9,517.00	\$4,903.75	51.53%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
75	MARIES SWCD		\$19,605.93	\$9,517.33	48.54%	9	\$9,517.33	48.54%	9	\$0.00
27	MCDONALD SWCD		\$2,000.00	\$1,991.96	99.60%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
80	MERCER SWCD		\$4,600.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
96	MILLER SWCD		\$21,735.00	\$20,571.81	94.65%	5	\$20,571.81	94.65%	5	\$0.00
105	MONITEAU SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
60	MONROE SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
30	MONTGOMERY SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
111	MORGAN SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
40	NEWTON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
66	OREGON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
93	OSAGE SWCD		\$16,264.84	\$7,766.10	47.75%	4	\$7,766.10	47.75%	4	\$0.00
97	OZARK SWCD		\$7,684.00	\$6,527.54	84.95%	4	\$6,527.54	84.95%	4	\$0.00
110	PEHELPS SWCD		\$25,504.14	\$25,361.18	99.44%	7	\$19,134.32	75.02%	3	-\$3,027.37
18	PIKE SWCD		\$4,600.00	\$4,285.23	93.16%	5	\$3,072.88	66.80%	4	\$0.00
67	POLK SWCD		\$3,867.00	\$3,867.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	-\$3,867.00
61	PULASKI SWCD		\$6,011.74	\$3,155.66	52.49%	2	\$856.73	14.25%	1	\$0.00
52	PUTNAM SWCD		\$4,748.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
76	RANDOLPH SWCD		\$5,414.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
17	RAY SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
25	REYNOLDS CO. SWCD		\$10,931.00	\$1,212.03	11.09%	1	\$1,212.03	11.09%	1	\$0.00
37	RIPLEY SWCD		\$8,856.00	\$2,213.01	24.99%	1	\$2,213.01	24.99%	1	\$0.00
49	SHANNON SWCD		\$12,498.00	\$2,680.70	21.45%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
4	ST. CHARLES SWCD		\$3,623.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
92	ST. FRANCOIS SWCD		\$4,909.00	\$4,340.60	88.42%	4	\$3,748.81	76.37%	3	\$0.00
31	ST. LOUIS SWCD		\$2,070.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
83	STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD		\$17,533.00	\$17,497.60	99.80%	11	\$13,490.60	76.94%	9	\$0.00
33	STODDARD SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
65	STONE SWCD		\$7,452.00	\$7,066.50	94.83%	6	\$5,562.75	74.65%	5	\$0.00
68	TANEY SWCD		\$22,449.16	\$2,601.94	11.59%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
34	TEXAS SWCD		\$11,095.94	\$10,847.14	97.76%	5	\$10,847.14	97.76%	5	\$0.00
39	VERNON SWCD		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
55	WARREN SWCD		\$4,600.00	\$4,600.00	100.00%	2	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
114	WASHINGTON SWCD		\$7,361.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$6,398.28
28	WAYNE SWCD		\$6,190.00	\$5,942.55	96.00%	5	\$5,942.55	96.00%	5	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:R	Project:WE - WOODLAND EROSION	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
FY: 2013	77	WEBSTER SWCD	\$15,168.00	\$7,715.38	50.87%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	-\$3,388.86
	54	WRIGHT SWCD	\$7,651.93	\$6,945.89	90.77%	5	\$6,945.89	90.77%	5	\$0.00
			\$479,547.77	\$299,084.18	62.37%	143	\$199,821.33	41.67%	108	-\$3,884.95
<b>Subtotal for R</b>			\$43,879,846.75	\$40,615,297.23	92.56%	9781	\$36,183,858.54	82.46%	8858	\$97,830.68
FY: 2013	64	PERRY SWCD	\$17,700.00	\$12,600.00	71.19%	42	\$12,600.00	71.19%	42	\$0.00
	83	STE. GENEVIEVE SWCD	\$900.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
			\$18,600.00	\$12,600.00	67.74%	42	\$12,600.00	67.74%	42	\$0.00
FY: 2013	29	CARROLL SWCD	\$28,200.73	\$26,630.46	94.43%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
			\$28,200.73	\$26,630.46	94.43%	3	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2013	95	BENTON SWCD	\$65,000.00	\$28,553.40	43.93%	13	\$28,553.40	43.93%	13	\$0.00
			\$65,000.00	\$28,553.40	43.93%	13	\$28,553.40	43.93%	13	\$0.00
FY: 2013	84	CLINTON SWCD	\$36,600.00	\$36,392.53	99.43%	3	\$36,392.53	99.43%	3	\$0.00
			\$36,600.00	\$36,392.53	99.43%	3	\$36,392.53	99.43%	3	\$0.00
FY: 2013	107	COLE SWCD	\$80,000.00	\$70,035.64	87.54%	17	\$4,371.76	5.46%	3	\$0.00
			\$80,000.00	\$70,035.64	87.54%	17	\$4,371.76	5.46%	3	\$0.00
FY: 2013	43	MACON SWCD	\$71,000.00	\$70,302.60	99.02%	8	\$19,865.95	27.98%	4	\$0.00
			\$71,000.00	\$70,302.60	99.02%	8	\$19,865.95	27.98%	4	\$0.00
FY: 2013	30	MONTGOMERY SWCD	\$55,000.00	\$47,769.32	86.85%	12	\$33,587.76	61.07%	7	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
			\$55,000.00	\$47,769.32	86.85%	12	\$33,587.76	61.07%	7	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN088 - LITTLE MARIES CREEK										
	93	OSAGE SWCD	\$40,000.00	\$36,934.30	92.34%	10	\$27,833.05	69.58%	7	\$0.00
			\$40,000.00	\$36,934.30	92.34%	10	\$27,833.05	69.58%	7	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN089 - PEMISCOT CLAY ROOT										
	15	PEMISCOT SWCD	\$70,000.00	\$67,747.86	96.78%	37	\$43,654.63	62.36%	23	\$0.00
			\$70,000.00	\$67,747.86	96.78%	37	\$43,654.63	62.36%	23	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN090 - SALT FORK CREEK										
	100	SALINE SWCD	\$60,000.00	\$58,917.73	98.20%	10	\$58,917.73	98.20%	10	\$0.00
			\$60,000.00	\$58,917.73	98.20%	10	\$58,917.73	98.20%	10	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN091 - ST. JOHN'S BAYOU										
	53	SCOTT SWCD	\$55,000.00	\$25,727.59	46.78%	5	\$25,727.59	46.78%	5	\$0.00
			\$55,000.00	\$25,727.59	46.78%	5	\$25,727.59	46.78%	5	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN092 - BESS SLOUGH										
	33	STODDARD SWCD	\$65,000.00	\$64,924.22	99.88%	9	\$53,105.27	81.70%	5	\$0.00
			\$65,000.00	\$64,924.22	99.88%	9	\$53,105.27	81.70%	5	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN093 - HURRICANE CREEK AND LITTLE WHITEWATER										
	72	BOLLINGER SWCD	\$66,000.00	\$61,303.85	92.88%	10	\$47,148.53	71.44%	8	\$0.00
			\$66,000.00	\$61,303.85	92.88%	10	\$47,148.53	71.44%	8	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN094 - BYRD CREEK										
	12	CAPE GIRARDEAU SWCD	\$91,700.00	\$62,906.70	68.60%	22	\$62,906.70	68.60%	22	\$0.00
			\$91,700.00	\$62,906.70	68.60%	22	\$62,906.70	68.60%	22	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN095 - UPPER BIG CREEK										
	70	CASS SWCD	\$100,000.00	\$13,410.93	13.41%	7	\$1,639.00	1.64%	6	\$0.00
			\$100,000.00	\$13,410.93	13.41%	7	\$1,639.00	1.64%	6	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN096 - CROWLEY'S RIDGE										
22	DUNKLIN SWCD		\$68,155.00	\$47,749.65	70.06%	18	\$11,020.94	16.17%	8	-\$4,262.79
			\$68,155.00	\$47,749.65	70.06%	18	\$11,020.94	16.17%	8	-\$4,262.79
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN097 - PEARSON CREEK										
90	GREENE SWCD		\$55,000.00	\$23,450.83	42.64%	8	\$23,450.83	42.64%	8	\$0.00
			\$55,000.00	\$23,450.83	42.64%	8	\$23,450.83	42.64%	8	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN098 - WARM FORK OF SPRING RIVER										
66	OREGON SWCD		\$76,450.00	\$17,077.74	22.34%	24	\$16,762.74	21.93%	24	\$0.00
			\$76,450.00	\$17,077.74	22.34%	24	\$16,762.74	21.93%	24	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN099 - HEATHS CREEK										
98	PETTIS SWCD		\$86,500.00	\$76,497.51	88.44%	13	\$73,727.22	85.23%	12	\$0.00
			\$86,500.00	\$76,497.51	88.44%	13	\$73,727.22	85.23%	12	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN100 - ELK FORK SALT RIVER & COON CREEK										
76	RANDOLPH SWCD		\$47,500.00	\$13,776.37	29.00%	5	\$13,776.37	29.00%	5	\$0.00
			\$47,500.00	\$13,776.37	29.00%	5	\$13,776.37	29.00%	5	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN101 - CROOKED RIVER										
17	RAY SWCD		\$80,000.00	\$80,000.00	100.00%	6	\$80,000.00	100.00%	6	\$0.00
			\$80,000.00	\$80,000.00	100.00%	6	\$80,000.00	100.00%	6	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN102 - SOUTH WYACONDA										
16	SCOTLAND SWCD		\$80,000.00	\$78,320.04	97.90%	12	\$78,320.04	97.90%	12	\$0.00
			\$80,000.00	\$78,320.04	97.90%	12	\$78,320.04	97.90%	12	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN103 - LOWER HEADWATERS OF JAMES RIVER										
77	WEBSTER SWCD		\$73,740.00	\$17,344.53	23.52%	9	\$17,344.53	23.52%	9	\$0.00
			\$73,740.00	\$17,344.53	23.52%	9	\$17,344.53	23.52%	9	\$0.00
FY: 2013 Fund Code:SN Project:SN104 - CLARK / WOLF CREEK										
54	WRIGHT SWCD		\$107,514.00	\$14,047.82	13.07%	14	\$10,981.52	10.21%	11	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status

District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
	\$107,514.00	\$14,047.82	13.07%	14	\$10,981.52	10.21%	11	\$0.00
Subtotal for SN	\$1,576,959.73	\$1,052,421.62	66.74%	317	\$781,688.09	49.57%	253	-\$4,262.79

## Master Fund Status Summary (2013)

Subtotal for R	\$43,879,846.75	\$40,615,297.23	92.56%	9781	\$36,183,858.54	82.46%	8858	\$97,830.68
Subtotal for SN	\$1,576,959.73	\$1,052,421.62	66.74%	317	\$781,688.09	49.57%	253	-\$4,262.79
Report Totals	\$45,456,806.48	\$41,667,718.85	91.66%	10098	\$36,965,546.63	81.32%	9111	\$93,567.89

# Master Fund Status Summary

District	Fund Code:R	Project:AWM - ANIMAL WASTE MANAGEMENT	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
FY: 2014	Fund Code:R	Project:AWM - ANIMAL WASTE MANAGEMENT	\$695,000.00	\$48,067.20	6.92%	6	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$67,049.70
FY: 2014	Fund Code:R	Project:GM - GRAZING MANAGEMENT	\$3,069,250.00	\$276,971.44	9.02%	121	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$211,515.87
FY: 2014	Fund Code:R	Project:IM - IRRIGATION MANAGEMENT	\$952,122.00	\$79,263.46	8.32%	14	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$12,722.19
FY: 2014	Fund Code:R	Project:NP - NUTRIENT & PEST MANAGEMENT	\$904,750.00	\$450,810.61	49.83%	499	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$566.00
FY: 2014	Fund Code:R	Project:PM - PEST MANAGEMENT	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014	Fund Code:R	Project:SA - SENSITIVE AREAS	\$1,774,788.00	\$28,766.64	1.62%	26	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$92,489.30
FY: 2014	Fund Code:R	Project:SGE - SHEET AND RILL / GULLY EROSION	\$16,549,948.00	\$1,418,118.68	8.57%	321	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$191,561.59
FY: 2014	Fund Code:R	Project:WE - WOODLAND EROSION	\$949,363.00	\$90,119.36	9.49%	22	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$11,393.20
<b>Subtotal for R</b>			\$24,895,221.00	\$2,392,117.39	9.61%	1009	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$587,297.85
FY: 2014	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN083 - LOWER COLE CAMP	\$35,000.00	\$3,575.00	10.21%	5	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN085 - GRAYS CREEK	\$44,770.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014	Fund Code:SN	Project:SN086 - MIDDLE FORK SALT RIVER								

# Master Fund Status Summary

District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN087 - LOWER LOUTRE	\$49,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN088 - LITTLE MARIES CREEK	\$33,500.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN089 - PEMISCOT CLAY ROOT	\$36,225.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN090 - SALT FORK CREEK	\$68,700.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN091 - ST. JOHN'S BAYOU	\$57,000.00	\$9,300.78	16.32%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN092 - HURRICANE CREEK AND LITTLE WHITEWATER	\$54,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN093 - BYRD CREEK	\$74,000.00	\$10,000.00	13.51%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN094 - UPPER BIG CREEK	\$21,700.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN095 - CROWLEY'S RIDGE	\$75,000.00	\$16,028.00	21.37%	22	\$0.00	0.00%	0	-\$1,506.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN096 - PEARSON CREEK	\$44,655.00	\$0.00	0.00%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$4,599.92
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN097 - WARM FORK OF SPRING RIVER	\$62,000.00	\$11,494.00	18.54%	8	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00

# Master Fund Status Summary

District	Allocated	Obligated	%Obligated	# Contracts	Contract Payment	% Contract Payment	# Contract Payments	Pending
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN099 - HEATHS CREEK								
	\$51,450.00	\$4,469.00	8.69%	17	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
	\$66,505.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN100 - ELK FORK SALT RIVER & COON CREEK								
	\$37,300.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN101 - CROOKED RIVER								
	\$70,000.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN102 - SOUTH WYACONDA								
	\$55,432.00	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN103 - LOWER HEADWATERS OF JAMES RIVER								
	\$73,740.00	\$24,993.38	33.89%	11	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
FY: 2014 Fund Code:SN Project:SN104 - CLARK / WOLF CREEK								
	\$64,980.00	\$47,513.33	73.12%	1	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$0.00
<b>Subtotal for SN</b>		<b>\$1,074,957.00</b>	<b>\$127,373.49</b>	<b>11.85%</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>\$3,093.92</b>

# Master Fund Status Summary

## Master Fund Status Summary (2014)

Subtotal for R	\$24,895,221.00	\$2,392,117.39	9.61%	1009	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$587,297.85
Subtotal for SN	\$1,074,957.00	\$127,373.49	11.85%	67	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$3,093.92
Report Totals	\$25,970,178.00	\$2,519,490.88	9.70%	1076	\$0.00	0.00%	0	\$590,391.77



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor • Sara Parker Pauley, Director

# DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

## MEMORANDUM

2013-020

DATE: May 28, 2013

TO: All Soil and Water Conservation Districts

FROM:  Colleen Meredith, Director  
Soil and Water Conservation Program

SUBJECT: Area Meetings

Please mark your calendars because it is time for the 2013 Area Meetings. The schedule detailing the dates, times and locations is attached. Please note where and when the meeting for your area will be held. Directions to locations are available on the Soil and Water Conservation Program Website at <http://www.dnr.mo.gov/env/swcp/areameetings.htm>. A meal will be provided at each meeting.

The agenda includes a presentation/discussion with multiple partners: the Soil and Water Districts Commission, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Departments of Conservation and Agriculture, the Missouri Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts, and the Missouri Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts Employees Association and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources Soil and Water Conservation Program. A Plan for the Future session will be included using Turning Point voting software to obtain partner input regarding the future of conservation practices as part of the meeting.

If you have questions, please contact Christa Moody, Soil and Water Conservation Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176 or by phone at 573-751-1172. To aid in planning of the number of meals and any special dietary needs, please contact Christa Moody at [christa.moody@dnr.mo.gov](mailto:christa.moody@dnr.mo.gov) for attendance from your district by July 12, 2013.

Again, the partnership looks forward to visiting with all the soil and water conservation districts. The summer is a busy time with many competing interests. Districts may attend any Area Meeting that best fits your schedule. Your attendance is much appreciated! Thank you.

CM:cm

Attachment



MASWCD AREAS	Time of day	Town	Facility	Date
1	9:00 a.m.	St. Joseph	Remington Nature Center 1502 McArthur Drive St. Joseph, MO 64505 816-676-3204	07/29/13
2	9:00 a.m.	Trenton	North Central College Barton Farm 96 South East 8th Avenue Trenton, MO 64683 660-359-3948 ext 1415	07/30/13
3	5:30 p.m.	Shelbina	American Legion Hall Business 36 East Shelbina, MO 63468	08/01/13
4	5:30 p.m.	Sedalia	State Fair Community College 3201 W. 16th Sedalia, MO 65301 877-311-7322	08/05/13
5	5:30 p.m.	Hermann	Gasconade County R-1 District High School 170 Blue Pride Drive Hermann, MO 65041 573-486-211	07/31/13
6	9:00 a.m.	Springfield	Springfield Conservation Center 4601 S. Nature Center Springfield, MO 65804 417-888-4237	08/06/13
7	9:00 a.m.	Licking	Intercounty Electric Cooperative Licking Headquarters 102 Maple Avenue Licking, MO 65542 573-674-2211	08/07/13
8	9:00 a.m.	Dexter	First Baptist Church 11 W. Castor St. Dexter, MO 63841 573-624-7436	08/08/13



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor • Sara Parker Pauley, Director

# DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

www.dnr.mo.gov

## MEMORANDUM

2013-016

DATE: April 26, 2013

TO: All Soil and Water Conservation Districts

FROM:  Colleen Meredith, Director  
Soil and Water Conservation Program

**SUBJECT: Cooperative Working Agreement**

A workgroup made up of representatives from the Missouri Association of Soil and Water Conservation Districts, Missouri Soil and Water Conservation Districts Employees Association, United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), Soil and Water Districts Commission (Commission) and the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) has updated the Cooperative Working Agreement (formerly Memorandum of Understanding).

The workgroup obtained input from the soil and water conservation districts and met multiple times to recommend and implement changes to the original document. Changes to the document include the following: adding the Department of Natural Resources as an active partner, defining the roles of each partner for the overall benefit of conservation activities, managing personnel, updating language contained in the Freedom of Information Act and Privacy Act, defining equipment/transportation sharing at the local level, updating facility management, communicating among partners, and updating language to be consistent with current policies and laws. Through these efforts, the agreement is ready to be finalized by each soil and water conservation district through signature by the board chair.

An electronic copy of the Cooperative Working Agreement is attached and includes signatures from the Department, NRCS and the Commission. Please complete the following actions by July 1, 2013, to finalize the agreement: print two copies of the agreement, enter the district name on the first page of each copy, and review and sign both copies.

After the board has signed both copies of the agreement, the district should: 1) keep a signed copy; 2) email [Patty.Chapman@dnr.mo.gov](mailto:Patty.Chapman@dnr.mo.gov) an electronic copy for the Department's records, and 3) give a signed copy to your NRCS District Conservationist.

If you have any questions regarding this agreement, please contact your district coordinator. Thank you for your continuing partnership.

CM:afd

Attachment



**COOPERATIVE WORKING AGREEMENT**  
**Between the**  
**NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE**  
**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**  
**And**

**THE \_\_\_\_\_ COUNTY**  
**SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT**  
**and**  
**THE MISSOURI SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION**  
**and**  
**THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

**For their Cooperation in the**  
**Conservation of Natural Resources**

THIS AGREEMENT is between the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), an agency of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), referred to as the "Service" and the local County Soil and Water Conservation District referred to as the "District," and the Missouri Soil and Water Districts Commission referred to as the "Commission," and the Missouri Department of Natural Resource's Soil and Water Conservation Program referred to as the "Department," herein jointly referred to as the "Partnership" or "Partner/s."

**PURPOSE AND SCOPE**

The purpose of this agreement is to replace any previous Memorandum of Understanding or Cooperative Working Agreements between the USDA's NRCS, the Soil and Water Conservation District, and the Soil and Water Districts Commission of Missouri. This cooperative working agreement documents those areas of common interest to the state, Federal, and local partnership in natural resource conservation. The purpose of this Cooperative Working Agreement is to define the roles and responsibilities of the **Partnership** in addressing the specific natural resource conservation needs of our customers.

The customers of the **Partnership** are individual landowners, other land users, Federal and state land management agencies, local units of government, and the general public.

This agreement is not a legally binding document, but a mutual pledge of cooperation in providing leadership and assistance in natural resource conservation.

Each **Partner** may have specific responsibilities and function differently, yet will rely on each other for the successful delivery system of conservation programs related to improving and protecting Missouri's natural resources.

An Operational Agreement may be developed by the **Partners** at the local level that outlines specific policy and procedures as agreed upon by all partners involved.

## MISSION

The mission of the conservation **Partnership** is to provide leadership and administer programs to help people conserve, improve, and sustain our natural resources and environment.

## VISION

It is our intent to be leaders in providing quality, innovative service for the conservation and enhancement of Missouri's natural resources.

## COMMUNICATION

Good communication is the basis of success in carrying out the goals and objectives of this Cooperative Working Agreement among the conservation **Partners**. Since it is a partnership, communication is a vital part of the cooperation that is necessary for the **Partners'** programs to be implemented and administered successfully. All aspects of the conservation **Partners'** programs are dependent upon using communication in an effective and positive way, whether it is between the **Partners** themselves or at the level of the customer. The conservation **Partners** will examine their communication needs and find the most effective ways to establish and maintain good communication practices in order to deliver the best programs and services possible.

## AUTHORITIES, STATUTES, LAWS

The **Service** is authorized to cooperate and furnish assistance to the parties in the conservation of natural resources as provided in the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act, 16 United States Code (USC), Section 590; The Department of Agriculture Reorganization Act of 1994, Public Law 103-354; and Secretary's Memorandum No. 1010-1, Reorganization of the Department of Agriculture, dated October 20, 1994.

The **District** has been organized pursuant to the "Missouri Soil and Water Conservation Districts Law" [278.060 – 278.155, Missouri Revised Statute (RSMo)] to promote all reasonable measures for the saving of soil and protecting the water resources within that soil and water conservation district and to exercise all public powers in connection with soil and water conservation objectives. The **District** is also responsible for the administration and accountability of local or other funds secured by the district.

The **Commission** is authorized by "The Soil and Water Conservation Districts Law" (278.060 – 278.155, RSMo) to formulate policies and general programs for the saving of Missouri's soil and protecting the water resources by the soil and water conservation districts and to exercise all public powers in connection with soil and water conservation.

The **Department** is charged with developing a budget for the Soil and Water Conservation Program under the authority granted under the "Omnibus State Reorganization Act of 1974." The **Department** is also responsible for the administration and accountability of the funds collected and/or provided through the department.

## ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The **Service** provides technical assistance through local soil and water conservation districts to assist land users in the conservation and management of natural resources utilizing the Service Standards

and Specifications in conjunction with the guidance provided within the state and Federal program regulations and policies. The **Service** agrees to provide resource data, analysis, technical information, assistance, and available USDA programs to support the **District**. It will also utilize the **District's** direction in developing the means for the effective delivery of programs and services.

The **District** agrees to assist with the delivery of state and Federal program implementation at the local level based on natural resource and customer needs. The **District** will provide input to the **Commission** and the Federal partners for program administration and long-range planning. **District** programs will be administered by the **District** board with the input of the conservation **Partners**. The **District** has the responsibility to provide local leadership in identifying the resource needs of their district. These resource needs should be communicated to the **Commission** through an annual needs assessment.

The **Commission** agrees to formulate policy and general programs and allocate appropriated funds to assist the **District** in addressing its resource needs. This includes effectively administering a state-funded soil and water conservation cost-share program. It will also convey to the **District** any other available aid. The **Commission** will provide support for its programs through **Department** staff.

The **Department** will provide support for the Commission-authorized programs.

The **Partners** may utilize the services of other agencies or organizations to carry out the programs.

## **GUIDING PRINCIPLES**

The **Partnership** will provide state and local leadership in resource conservation and mutually agree to:

- Develop and maintain a comprehensive long-range plan to conserve the soil and protect the water resources on Missouri's agricultural land. This will guide the **Partnership** in addressing priority local natural resource issues with effective strategies which are acceptable to the customers.
- Develop a district annual needs assessment, which may include priorities that are compatible with the long-range plan.
- Maintain and improve a grassroots delivery system that supports state and Federal programs.
- Build new alliances to strengthen the **Partnership**.
- Involve each **Partner** in the decision-making process. Maintain decision making at the local level whenever possible and empower people to make decisions at the appropriate level.
- Ensure the stewardship of the taxpayers' funds through reviewing practice options with optimal long-term effects of protecting our natural resources.
- Promote economically and technically sound science based conservation measures.

- Foster a spirit of cooperation and maintain a professional work environment by advancing team building and practicing teamwork through a mutually respectful manner.
- The **Partnership** will coordinate the implementation of Federal and state program funds.
- Advocate comprehensive resource management planning which meets customer needs and addresses natural resource concerns through the needs assessment process.
- Work toward effective customer service by listening, anticipating, and responding to our customers' natural resource needs. This commitment will be a basis for decision making at each level of organization.
- Develop and maintain an effective communication system throughout the **Partnership**.

## **SERVICE (PROGRAM) DELIVERY**

### **Natural Resource Data and Planning**

The **Partners** will coordinate with public and private groups, other resource agencies, and interested parties to share information and resources in developing natural resource plans.

Pertinent natural resource, economic, and social data from credible sources will be collected and used in natural resource planning. The **Partnership** will review data to ensure reliability.

The **Partners** agree to identify, define, and coordinate the collection and use of resource inventory data. They will cooperate in monitoring and validating the resource inventory to ensure the data meets the needs of resource planning and evaluation processes.

The **Service** will have leadership responsibility for the maintenance of natural resource information. The **Partners** agree to work toward establishing and maintaining accessible databases.

The **Partners** will coordinate their efforts in the communication of program information to their customers.

### **Technical Standards**

The **Partners** agree to adopt the **Service's** Field Office Technical Guide as the standard for planning and implementing resource management systems and practices. The **Service** will have primary responsibility for developing and maintaining the Technical Guide. The **Service** will consult with the **Partners** and the scientific community in making revisions or additions.

The **Partners** will work collectively in the assignment of conservation planning and application responsibilities. The **Service** can grant any technician NRCS job approval authority based on the employee's knowledge, skill, and ability level for the applicable conservation practice.

The **Department** will work with the **Service** in development of standardized testing aptitudes for non-engineering practices for technician certification.

The **Partners** will follow the technical standards and specifications within the guidance of state and Federal program rules and policies.

### **Technical Assistance**

The **Partners** will work together to determine the amount of technical and administrative assistance needed and available for program delivery at each level. Work organization and staff assignments and responsibilities for technical assistance will be coordinated by the **Partners** at the appropriate level.

The **Service** will provide technical assistance to conservation and tribal districts in accordance with NRCS General Manual 180 Part 401.36 entitled, "Assistance to Conservation and Tribal Districts." This assistance will be based on funds availability and mandated workload priorities. The **District** will assist with the administrative planning support of related Farm Bill activities through case file management, development of conservation plans and supporting documents as well as reporting technical assistance in **Service** databases. Assistance may also include scheduling appointments with customers and tracking workload requests.

The **Partnership** will not recommend vendors/contractors to landowners who are implementing soil and water conservation practices. The Federal law referred to as the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 prohibits this type of action.

The **Partnership** will not charge an application fee or deposit to landowners for clerical and technical assistance in processing cost-share program payments.

### **Geographical Boundaries**

The district will be the basic service boundary for district personnel. If the **District** would like to share staff expertise with other districts, they may develop a stand-alone Memorandum of Understanding. **Districts** may elect to develop multi-district/NRCS program plans for a common resource area or Field Office Service Area. The **Service** will provide assistance with an interdisciplinary team working across district boundaries.

## **OPERATING PROCEDURES**

### **Equipment and Supplies Necessary for Administration of the State and Federal Programs**

The **Partners** agree to provide equipment and supplies within limitations of funds necessary to carry out their programs.

The **Partners** will authorize employees to use each other's office and technical equipment, software, or supplies available when such use will increase program effectiveness, is in accordance with policy, and consistent with the mission.

The **Partners** will require their employees to follow each other's management regulations and procedures as required in the management of office and technical equipment, software, supplies, and office space.

The **Department** will provide the **District** with the necessary computer software and hardware needed to deliver state program.

### **Transportation**

The **District** and **Service** will follow the **District's Agreement for Intermittent Use of Transportation Equipment**. Other non-Federal employees, including **Department** staff, working in cooperation with the NRCS under this agreement may operate or be passengers in NRCS-owned or leased vehicles and transport the necessary equipment for the design and certification of conservation practices. The restrictions, regulations, and guidelines that pertain to NRCS employees' official use also apply to non-Federal employees working under the authority of this agreement. Under no circumstance shall NRCS-owned or leased vehicles or any equipment transported using those vehicles, be used for personal use or revenue-making activities of the non-Federal partner.

The **Service** agrees to provide transportation within fund limitations and service guidelines.

The **District** agrees to provide transportation as needed and available beyond that which is provided by the **Service** as funding allows.

Non-state employees working in cooperation with the **Department** in conducting state business are authorized passengers in a state owned or leased vehicle according to Missouri Department of Natural Resources' *Administrative Policies and Procedures* 6.01.

### **Facilities and Records**

The **Service** will direct its primary resources toward technical staff, office space, and transportation for both **Service** and **District** needs within fund limitations and authorities.

The **District** will provide clerical and technical assistance for both **Service** and **District** needs within fund limitations and authorities.

The **Service** agrees to provide office space and related services when such space and/or service can be provided within funds limitations and authorities. The **Service** will permit conservation **Partners** to use **Service** communications for official business.

The **Partners** agree to consult with each other on office space needs.

If the **District** is a stand-alone office, they agree to provide workspace for the **Service**.

Each **Partner** will provide guidance and technology necessary for reports, records management, and other administrative needs of the programs.

The **Service** shall grant the **Department** access to pertinent files related to state cost-share practices for reviewing and auditing purposes. The **Department** agrees to comply with the Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) as discussed later in this document.

The **Partnership** agrees to comply with RSMo 278.135, related to the marketing or buying and selling of farm products.

## FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

The **Partners** will work together to maximize available resources to accomplish natural resource priorities.

Neither the: **Service**, the **District**, the **Commission**, nor the **Department** is bound by any obligation in this agreement which will involve the expenditure of funds in excess of the amounts made available to any **Partner**.

The **Partners** agree to be accountable for funding and/or resources available to them.

The **Department** will provide for surety bonds for all **District** board members and employees entrusted with funds or property.

## PERSONNEL

The responsibility of employment of personnel will be determined by each **Partner** for its employees. The **Partners** will work together to coordinate staffing that supports identified resource needs.

All employees will receive an orientation and be provided with a detailed job description.

Each **Partner** will develop a personnel policy to serve their respective employees with issues such as benefits, salaries, leave policies, work scheduling, hiring, termination, and any other issue they deem to be pertinent.

Employees will receive a scheduled job performance evaluation, which may include participation of **Partnership** agencies. Overall supervision of employees will be the responsibility of each **Partner** for its own employees. Daily work coordination may be established upon the agreement of all involved.

Training will be made available to employees to meet the duty requirements of each job and to improve efficiency and effectiveness of conservation programs and services. All **Partners** should make training opportunities available, within funding limitations; that will result in improved quality and quantity of service to the customer.

## COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS

Each **Partner** will comply with all Federal, State, and Local Laws and Regulations.

The **Service** is authorized to cooperate and furnish assistance to the parties in the conservation of natural resources as provided in the Soil Conservation and Domestic Allotment Act, 16.590; The Department of Agriculture Reorganization Act of 1994, Public Law 103-354; and Secretary's Memorandum No. 1010-1, Reorganization of the Department of Agriculture, dated October 20, 1994.

The Missouri Soil and Water Conservation Districts Law (Section 278.060-278.300, RSMo) authorize the **Districts** to enter into agreements with the United States and its agencies.

The **Partners** will each assume liability for the actions of their respective officials and employees acting within the scope of their duties to the extent provided by law.



**NATURAL RESOURCES CONSERVATION SERVICE  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SECTION 1619 COMPLIANCE**

**Purpose and Background**

The purpose of this Acknowledgment of Section 1619 compliance (hereinafter “Acknowledgment”) is to require acknowledgment by the Soil and Water Conservation Districts (SWCD) of the requirements of Section 1619 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (the 2008 Farm Bill), which prohibits disclosure of certain information by the Department of Agriculture (USDA) and its cooperators. The SWCD assists Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in the delivery of conservation-related services (for example, services that sustain agricultural productivity, improve environmental quality, reduce soil erosion, enhance water supplies, improve water quality, increase wildlife habitat, and reduce damages caused by floods and other natural disasters) or with monitoring, assessing, or evaluating of conservation benefits from USDA conservation programs under a Cooperative Working Agreement. Those individuals or organizations (governmental or nongovernmental) that assist NRCS with providing conservation-related services are known as NRCS Conservation Cooperators.

**NRCS Conservation Cooperator**

As an NRCS Conservation Cooperator, SWCD is authorized access to otherwise-protected agricultural information. Such protected information must be strictly limited to only that information necessary for SWCD to provide conservation related services or to perform monitoring, assessing, or evaluating of conservation benefits. Disclosure to the SWCD can include receiving the protected information either: 1) directly from NRCS; 2) directly from the producer or owner as part of the process required to enable a producer or owner to participate in a USDA program; or 3) in another manner with the producer’s permission.

**Section 1619 of the 2008 Farm Bill**

Section 1619 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (Exhibit 1) hereinafter “section 1619” provides that USDA, or any “contractor or cooperator” of USDA, “shall not disclose—(A) information provided by an agricultural producer or owner of agricultural land concerning the agricultural operation, farming or conservation practices, or the land itself, in order to participate in the programs of the Department; or (B) geospatial information otherwise maintained by the Secretary about agricultural land or operations for which information described in subparagraph (A) is provided.” The Department of Agriculture may disclose protected information to a USDA cooperator when such cooperator is “providing technical or financial assistance with respect to the agricultural operation, agricultural land, or farming or conservation practices” if USDA determines that the protected information will not be subsequently disclosed, except in accordance with the exceptions contained in Section 1619. The SWCD is a “contractor or cooperator” of USDA within the meaning of Section 1619. Accordingly, the SWCD may not subsequently disclose any information protected by section 1619. By signature on this Acknowledgment, the SWCD is certifying future compliance with the statutory obligations under Section 1619. Upon execution of this Acknowledgment, NRCS may continue to provide to the SWCD the protected information provided under this agreement.

## Responsibilities

The SWCD (hereinafter the “Conservation Cooperator”) certifies that:

- Signature on this Cooperative Working Agreement indicates acknowledgment and understanding that the Conservation Cooperator is legally bound by Federal statute to comply with the provisions of Section 1619 and that the Conservation Cooperator will not subsequently disclose information protected by section 1619 to any individual or organization that is not directly covered by this Acknowledgment. Any such subsequent disclosure of the protected information (except as permitted under Section 1619) will be considered a violation of Section 1619. The Conservation Cooperator will be held responsible should disclosure of the protected information occur.
- Signature on this Acknowledgment legally binds every owner, manager, supervisor, employee, contractor, agent, and representative of the Conservation Cooperator to comply with the provisions in Section 1619. The Conservation Cooperator must consult with NRCS prior to providing protected information to an entity or individual outside of the Conservation Cooperator and as necessary to implement the program to ensure that such release is permissible.
- The Conservation Cooperator will use the protected information only to perform work that is directly connected to provide conservation related services or perform monitoring, assessing, or evaluating conservation benefits. Use of the protected information to perform work that is not directly connected to provide conservation related services or perform monitoring, assessing, or evaluating conservation benefits is expressly prohibited.
- The Conservation Cooperator must internally restrict access to the protected information to only those individuals who have a demonstrated need to know the protected information in order to provide conservation related services or perform monitoring, assessing, or evaluation of conservation benefits.
- The provisions in Section 1619 are continuing obligations. Even when the Conservation Cooperator is no longer an NRCS Conservation Cooperator, or when individuals currently affiliated with the Conservation Cooperator become no longer so affiliated, every person having been provided access to the protected information will continue to be legally bound to comply with the provisions of this Acknowledgment.
- The Conservation Cooperator must notify all managers, supervisors, employees, contractors, agents, and representatives about this Acknowledgment and the requirements of Section 1619. For the duration of this Acknowledgment, notifications about the existence of this Acknowledgment must be made to those individuals who are new to the organization and periodic notifications must be sent throughout the organization (as well as to all contractors and agents) to remind all about the ongoing and continuing requirements.
- When the Conservation Cooperator is unsure whether particular information is covered or protected by Section 1619, the Conservation Cooperator must consult with NRCS to determine whether the information must be withheld.

- This Acknowledgment is nontransferable and may not be bought, sold, traded, assigned, extended to, or given free of charge to any other individual or organization not directly covered by this Acknowledgment.
- Use of the protected information for any purpose is expressly prohibited when an individual or organization is no longer an NRCS Conservation Cooperator. When the Conservation Cooperator is no longer an NRCS Conservation Cooperator, any protected information provided under this Acknowledgment must be immediately destroyed or returned to NRCS. The Conservation Cooperator must provide to NRCS written certification that the protected information (paper copy, electronic copy, or both) has been properly destroyed, removed from any electronic storage media, or both.
- The State's "sunshine law," "open records act" or other version of the FOIA is superseded by section 1619 under the Supremacy Clause of the U.S. Constitution. Accordingly, information protected from disclosure by section 1619 must not be released under such State laws.
- Note: If the Secretary of Agriculture cannot determine that the protected information will be properly withheld by a State governmental agency, (for example., State policy indicating that public disclosure of information will not be required for records that are specifically required by the Federal Government to be kept confidential), then section 1619 prohibits the disclosure of the protected information to the State governmental agency. Acknowledgement of this provision by a State agency/employee's signature confirms a presumption for that determination. Conversely, failure or refusal to sign undermines the determination and prevents information sharing.

### **Protected Information**

An example of the type of information prohibited by disclosure under Section 1619 includes, but is **not limited to**, the following:

- State identification and county number (where reported and where located).
- Producer or landowner name, business full address, phone number, Social Security Number, and similar personal identifying information.
- Farm, tract, field, and contract numbers.
- Production shares and share of acres for each Farm Serial Number field.
- Acreage information, including crop codes.
- All attributes for Common Land Units in USDA's Geospatial Information System
- Any photographic, map, or geospatial data that, when combined with other maps, can be used to identify a landowner.
- Location of conservation practices.

Section 1619 allows disclosure of "payment information (including payment information and the names and addresses of recipients of payments) under any Department program *that is otherwise authorized by law*" (emphasis added). The names and payment information of producers generally may be provided to the public; however the Conservation Cooperator shall consult with NRCS if there is any uncertainty as to the provision of such information.

Section 1619 also allows disclosure of otherwise protected information if “the information has been transformed into a statistical or aggregate form without naming any—(i) individual owner, operator, or producer; or (ii) specific data gathering cite.” The Conservation Cooperator must consult with NRCS as to whether specific information falls within this exception prior to relying on this exception.

### **Violations**

The Conservation Cooperator will be held responsible for violations of this Acknowledgment and Section 1619. A violation of this Acknowledgment by the Conservation Cooperator may result in action by NRCS, including termination of the underlying this agreement.

### **Effective Period**

This Acknowledgment will be in effect on the date of the final signature and continues until NRCS notifies the Conservation Cooperator that the Acknowledgment is no longer required based on changes in applicable Federal law.

## **SECTION 1619. INFORMATION GATHERING.**

(a) **GEOSPATIAL SYSTEMS**—The Secretary shall ensure that all the geospatial data of the agencies of the Department of Agriculture are portable and standardized.

### **(b) LIMITATION ON DISCLOSURES—**

(1) **DEFINITION OF AGRICULTURAL OPERATION**—In this subsection, the term “agricultural operation” includes the production and marketing of agricultural commodities and livestock.

(2) **PROHIBITION**—Except as provided in paragraphs (3) and (4), the Secretary, any officer or employee of the Department of Agriculture, or any contractor or cooperator of the Department, shall not disclose—

(A) Information provided by an agricultural producer or owner of agricultural land concerning the agricultural operation, farming or conservation practices, or the land itself, in order to participate in programs of the Department; or

(B) Geospatial information otherwise maintained by the Secretary about agricultural land or operations for which information described in subparagraph (A) is provided.

### **(3) AUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES—**

(A) **LIMITED RELEASE OF INFORMATION**—If the Secretary determines that the information described in paragraph (2) will not be subsequently disclosed except in accordance with paragraph (4), the Secretary may release or disclose the information to a person or Federal, State, local, or tribal agency working in cooperation with the Secretary in any Department program—

(i) When providing technical or financial assistance with respect to the agricultural operation, agricultural land, or farming or conservation practices; or

(ii) When responding to a disease or pest threat to agricultural operations, if the Secretary determines that a threat to agricultural operations exists and the disclosure of information to a person or cooperating government entity is necessary to assist the Secretary in responding to the disease or pest threat as authorized by law.

### **(4) EXCEPTIONS—**Nothing in this subsection affects—

(A) The disclosure of payment information (including payment information and the names and addresses of recipients of payments) under any Department program that is otherwise authorized by law;

(B) The disclosure of information described in paragraph (2) if the information has been transformed into a statistical or aggregate form without naming any—

(i) Individual owner, operator, or producer; or

(ii) Specific data gathering site; or

(C) The disclosure of information described in paragraph (2) pursuant to the consent of the agricultural producer or owner of agricultural land.

(5) **CONDITION OF OTHER PROGRAMS**—The participation of the agricultural producer or owner of agricultural land in, or receipt of any benefit under, any program administered by the Secretary may not be conditioned on the consent of the agricultural producer or owner of agricultural land under paragraph 4(c).

**(6) WAIVER OF PRIVILEGE OR PROTECTION**—The disclosure of information under paragraph (2) shall not constitute a waiver of any applicable privilege or protection under Federal law, including trade secret protection.



**MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION**

January 8, 2008

Page 3

Mr. Foster stated that the computers and DSL lines for the 114 districts have been installed. He also stated that they were very fortunate that they did what they did because other states are having the same issues. Roger Hansen informed the commission that the other states had two choices, either pay \$4,000 per person per year or it would be unplugged. Mr. Foster stated the relationship between NRCS and DNR is not weakening, but is as good as it has ever been.

Ken Struempfh informed the commission of changes to his unit called District Operations and introduced the members of the unit. Next, he updated the commission on the MoSWIMS progress and the five year needs assessment.

Mr. Foster proceeded to inform the commission on the loan interest-share changes. Mr. Foster stated that the interest in changing to a rebate program had been very positive. The rebate would be for equipment that saves soil.

Mr. Foster informed the commission that the program office staff had been reduced by six FTEs, which is a \$325,000 per year reduction in salaries and benefits. Mr. Foster stated that Bill Wilson was now the Deputy Program Director, and Ken Struempfh was in charge of the coordinators.

Mr. Foster stated that the program was looking at developing a new process to eliminate receipts for practices. In the past, a landowner was required to provide receipts for items used in a practice. What the program is looking at now is an estimation of what the practice should cost and the landowner would be paid according to the estimate. A contract will be developed between the district and the landowner using the estimated statewide average costs.

**F. REVIEW/EVALUATION**

**1. District Operation Section**

**a. Cost-Share**

**1. Monthly Cost-Share Usage and Fund Status Report**

Colette Weckenborg reported that the districts had been allocated approximately \$24,800,000 for FY08. As of December 31, 2007, \$6,200,000 had been processed in claims, which is \$300,000 less than the \$6,500,000 that was projected. As of January 4, 2008, \$6,300,000 had been received in claims.

**2. Use of the State List for FY09 County Average Costs**

Ron Redden reported that for approximately six years, NRCS has compiled a state list that represents the 114 county average costs for each component. This was used for the cost-share program when a district did not have their own county average costs for a specific component. In the spring, NRCS will no longer have each DC prepare county average costs. The program will be able to get

# MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION

January 8, 2008

Page 4

information online to generate a state list of average costs without each district submitting their own county average cost for each component.

Mr. Redden stated that to increase efficiency, the program would like to adopt the same state cost list used in the federal programs for the state cost-share program beginning July 1, 2008. If there are instances where the state cost does not closely reflect the costs of certain components, the program will work with NRCS and FSA in making the necessary adjustments.

Mr. Redden asked the commission for concurrence to proceed for FY09 using a state list for state cost-share components.

When asked which component would have a wide range of costs around the state. Mr. Redden answered earthwork. After further discussion, a motion was made.

Kathryn Braden made a motion that is would seem with working toward contracts you have to use statewide cost-share. Baughn Merideth seconded the motion. When asked by the chair, Kathryn Braden, Kathleen Carpenter, Dan Devlin, Richard Fordyce, Baughn Merideth, and Leon Kreisler voted in favor of the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

## G. REQUESTS

### 1. District Operation Section

#### b. Cost-Share

#### 1. Livingston SWCD - Cost-Share Assistance to Reconstruct a Tiled Terrace System with no Excessive Erosion

Ron Redden presented a request from Livingston SWCD and Chariton SWCD asking that they be allowed to use cost-share funds to replace tile in an existing terrace system on a 70-acre field that was designed prior to 1986.

Mr. Redden stated that terraces constructed prior to 1986 were not built to the same design standards that are used today. Before 1986, terraces were designed with less storage capacity and designed with smaller tile, risers, and outlets. This results in water not moving off the field as fast and may overtop more often during a heavy rainfall.

Terraces that are outside the design life or maintenance period are eligible for cost-share assistance if they have gully or sheet and rill

**MINUTES--MISSOURI SOIL & WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION**

January 16, 2008

Page 2

**B. COMMISSION CLARIFICATION ON MAKING  
LANDOWNER CONTRCTS AND PAYMENTS BASED UPON  
STATE AVERAGE COSTS**

Bill Foster briefly covered the issue of the motion that was made at the January 8, 2008, commission meeting regarding the use of the state average costs for practice components. This meeting was called to clarify the above motion what was made.

Kathryn Braden made a motion that beginning July 1 2008, for any cost-share landowner payments, that we use approve contracts with state average costs and begin a rule process to pay 75 percent of the estimated costs on the contract for the components implement in the practice. Baughn Merideth seconded the motion. It was the consensus of the commission to proceed.

When asked if the rule change had begun, Mr. Foster answered that was part of the motion.

**C. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 7:40 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,



Bill Foster, Director  
Soil and Water Conservation Program

Approved by:



Leon Kreisler, Chairman  
Missouri Soil & Water Districts Commission

/tm



# Missouri Department of Natural Resources

## MINUTES

### MISSOURI SOIL AND WATER DISTRICTS COMMISSION TELEPHONE CONFERENCE CALL LEWIS AND CLARK STATE OFFICE BUILDING JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI JANUARY 16, 2008

**COMMISSION MEMBERS PRESENT VIA TELEPHONE:** Kathryn Braden, Kathleen Carpenter, Dan Devlin, and Baughn Merideth

**EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS VIA TELEPHONE:** KATIE SMITH, DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE: Dan Engemann

**ADVISORY MEMBERS PRESENT VIA TELEPHONE:** MASWCD: Fred Feldmann

**ADVISORY MEMBERS PRESENT:** SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM: Bill Foster;

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:** Milt Barr, April Brandt, Colleen Merideth, Theresa Mueller, James Plassmeyer, Ron Redden, Ken Struempfler, Bill Wilson

**OTHERS PRESENT VIA TELEPHONE: DISTRICTS:** Dallas: Tony Rosen; Lafayette: Joyce Gross; Oregon: Sarah Wiggs; Schuyler: Connie Kethe; Wright: Missy Woolard; STATE OF MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE: Tim Duggan

#### A. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at the Lewis and Clark State Office Building, in Jefferson City, MO, in the Gosling Lake Conference Room at 7:33 a.m.

H-2-a



Jackson County  
Soil and Water  
Conservation District

1974 NW Copper Oaks Circle  
Blue Springs, MO 64015-8300  
816-228-1836 Fax: 229-2384  
[www.swcd.mo.gov/jackson](http://www.swcd.mo.gov/jackson)

June 6, 2013

Program Office  
Department of Natural Resources  
PO Box 176  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Greetings:

The Jackson County District Board would like to request a change in the supervisor area boundaries. There is a vacancy in that area and due to urbanization we are unable to find an eligible candidate.

We would like for John Campbell to take over the vacant board member position of which he meets the qualifications to be a supervisor of the Jackson County SWCD pending the approval of the new Jackson County SWCD Supervisor area map.

John Campbell and Jackson County Chairman of the Board, Melvin Dickmeyer has signed the Supervisor eligibility form.

Thanks for your consideration,  
Jackson County Board of Supervisors

*Lauren*  
*Melvin Dickmeyer*  
*Dave Stolt*  
*John Campbell*

**VERIFICATION OF SUPERVISOR ELIGIBILITY**

**To qualify for office, according to Missouri's Code of State Regulations,  
10 CSR 70-2.020, Conduct of Supervisor Elections, a candidate shall:**

- 1) Be a land representative as defined by "The owner, or representative authorized by power of attorney, of any farm lying within the soil and water conservation district (SWCD); provided, however, that any land representative must be a taxpayer of the county within which the SWCD is located," and
- 2) Be a resident taxpaying citizen within that SWCD for two (2) years preceding the appointment to the District Board of Supervisors by the Commission, and
- 3) Be a cooperator of the SWCD defined as "A person who is actively involved in farming and practices conservation activities related to agriculture," and
- 4) Reside in or own a farm lying in the same territory where the board position is vacant.

---

The undersigned certify that the candidate meets all of the above stated eligibility requirements to serve as a supervisor for the Jackson County Soil and Water Conservation District.

Chairperson (or acting) Signature: Malvin Dickmejer Date: 6-6-13

Candidate Signature: [Signature] Date: 6-4-13

March 13, 2013

Dear Dave,

Per our recent conversation concerning my resigning as a District Supervisor I offer these comments.

I agreed to take on the supervisor responsibility starting March 2012 not knowing exactly what to expect as far as time required. I informed you at that time that I would try it for one year to see if I could make it work.

Being recently retired and wanting to complete numerous projects as well as travel, I found the meeting schedule difficult to maintain.

Because of these reasons, please except my resignation as a Soil and Water Conservation District Supervisor.

I enjoyed working with you and Linda and also getting to know the other supervisors. Being a Jackson County resident, I very much appreciate everyone's service concerning soil and water conservation.

Sincerely,

William O'Brien

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "William M. O'Brien". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, prominent "W" and "O".

VERIFICATION OF SUPERVISOR ELIGIBILITY

To qualify for office, according to Missouri's Code of State Regulations, 10 CSR 70-2.020, Conduct of Supervisor Elections, a candidate shall:

- 1) Be a land representative as defined by "The owner, or representative authorized by power of attorney, of any farm lying within the soil and water conservation district (SWCD); provided, however, that any land representative must be a taxpayer of the county within which the SWCD is located," and
- 2) Be a resident taxpaying citizen within that SWCD for two (2) years preceding the appointment to the District Board of Supervisors by the Commission, and
- 3) Be a cooperater of the SWCD defined as "A person who is actively involved in farming and practices conservation activities related to agriculture," and
- 4) Reside in or own a farm lying in the same territory where the board position is vacant.


~~Tom A Huff~~ Joann K Siskin David W. Hood

The undersigned certify that the candidate meets all of the above stated eligibility requirements to serve as a supervisor for the County Name Soil and Water Conservation District.

Chairperson (or acting) Signature: David W. Hood Date: June 4, 2013

Candidate Signature: Tom A Huff Date: 6-4-2013

6679 E Farm Road 44  
FAIR GROVE, MO 65648



## Greene County Soil and Water Conservation District

Greene County SWCD  
688 South St. Hwy B, suite 200  
Springfield, MO 65802  
Phone: 417-831-5246  
Fax: 417-831-4022

6/6/2013

*Board of Supervisors*

Shanda Feemster

*Chairman*

Joann Pipkin

*Treasurer*

Pat Byers

*Secretary*

Adrian Murray

*1<sup>st</sup> Vice Chairman*

David Hall

*2<sup>nd</sup> Vice Chairman*

*District Staff*

Deneen Jenkins

*District Manager*

deneen.jenkins@swcd.mo.gov

Eric Morris

*District Technician*

eric.morris@swcd.mo.gov

Will Rhodes

*Grant Project Manager*

will.rhodes@swcd.mo.gov

To the DNR Soil and Water Program Commission,

The Greene SWCD board would like to appoint Mr. Tom Huff to complete the unexpired term of Ms. Shanda Feemster. Ms. Feemster has submitted a letter of resignation. Mr. Huff and the board chairman have signed the new Verification of Supervisor Eligibility form, verifying the candidate meets the qualifications to serve on the board.

Thank you,

Greene SWCD

June 6<sup>th</sup>, 2013

To the Greene County SWCD Board,

I regret to inform you that I am resigning my position as a member of the Greene County Soil and Water Conservation District board effective immediately.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Shanda Feemster". The first letter 'S' is large and loops around the first few letters of the name.

Shanda Feemster

# Oregon County Soil & Water Conservation District

Rt 2 Box 2924 Alton, MO 65606 (417-778-7561 Ext 3)

---


May 31, 2013

---

Missouri Soil and Water District Commission  
P. O. Box 176  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Dear Soil and Water Commission:

The Oregon County Soil and Water Conservation District Board of Supervisors is sending this letter to request to speak to the Commission on June 19, 2013. We would like to discuss the Streambank Stabilization project for the current Warm Fork of Spring River AgNPS SALT. This request is for the AgNPS SALT area only, not county or state cost share funds.



We have a need for repairing the streambank in the SALT Watershed with 14 landowners who have contacted our district staff. Attached to this request are photos of the landowner names and their streambank issues.

At the current time, the cap for the C650-Streambank Stabilization (C650) practice is \$5,000.00 per landowner. Due to the costs for these projects, we are requesting approval of \$20,000.00 cost share per streambank issue for the C650 project. This would include rock riprap, liners, trees, or any materials that would be necessary to stabilize the streambank.

We completed one streambank project in this area, which cost the landowner out-of-pocket \$28,000.00. The landowner was only reimbursed \$5,000.00 due to the limit on the practice. The district would like to request a waiver of one C650 practice per landowner. Attached are before and after photos of the original project, as well as photos of the second streambank issue that needs to be addressed that is impacting adjoining landowners.

Below is a table itemizing our goals and accomplishments of the Warm Fork of Spring River AgNPS SALT project.

Resource Concern	Goals	Achievements	% Complete As of 5 <sup>th</sup> Year
Grassland Management	2,167 acres	7,785 acres	359%
Forest Management	3,348 acres	217 acres	7%
Stream & Riparian Area Protection	135 acres	130 acres	96%
Groundwater Protection	9	1	11%
Erosion Control	50 acres	0 acres	0%
Nutrient & Pest Management	4,011 acres	3,709 acres	93%
Streambank Protection	500 feet	510 feet	102%
Information/Education	27 events	23 events	85%

The proposed expansion of the C650 project could be partially funded with the unused cost share funds. The funds for fiscal years 2010-2013 are as follows:

2010	\$10,490
2011	\$ 2,428
2012	\$36,619
2013	<u>\$59,395</u>
Total Funds	\$108,932

The budgeted funding for fiscal years 2014 and 2015 are as follows:

2014	\$51,450
2015	<u>\$11,450</u>
Total Funds	\$62,900

We would like to request these funds be available for the C650 streambank project for fiscal year 2014 and 2015.

With each landowner request for C650 assistance, there will also be necessary work completed through the WQ10-Stream Protection and N391-Riparian Forest Buffer practices.

At a steering committee meeting on May 21, 2013, Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) officials spoke with and indicated the availability of \$10,000.00 for engineering purposes, with the likelihood of additional funding upon re-evaluation of their funds.

We want to thank you in advance for your consideration of our C650 project request.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Glenn R. Jenkins Chairman". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Oregon County Soil and Water  
Conservation District  
Board of Supervisors

cc: Colleen Meredith  
Jim Plassmeyer  
Jennifer Pellett  
Leon Thompson










# C650-Streambank Stabilization


## Warm Fork of Spring River

### AGNPS SALT






**Landowner #1**









**Landowner #1 cont.**









**Landowner #2**









### Landowner #3









# Landowner #4








**Landowner #5**








## Landowner #6









## Landowner #7








**Landowner #7 cont.**











**Landowner #8**









**Landowner #9**








**Landowner #10**





**Landowner #11**




# Completed Project





**Landowner #12**  
**(Already has one completed project)**



# Oregon County Soil & Water Conservation District

Rt 2 Box 2924 Alton, MO 65606 (417-778-7561 Ext 3)

May 30, 2013

Missouri Soil and Water District Commission  
PO Box 176  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Dear Soil and Water Commission,

The Oregon County Soil and Water Conservation District Board of Supervisors is sending this letter requesting to speak to the Commission on June 19, 2013. We would like the opportunity to discuss a variance for Mark A. Homer Revocable Trust and Carolyn R. Homer Revocable Trust on contract #SA 066-13-0178 for practice N391-Riparian Forest Buffer.

Upon approval of the contract, the landowner was erroneously informed of buffer width. The specifications state 50 feet, however the information was confused with the WQ10-Stream Protection specifications which states 25 feet. The landowner was informed, and plan was developed, for a 25 foot buffer. We are requesting a variance to allow the Homer farm to keep the already constructed fence at 25 feet. This would result in no additional funds needed for the contract. Therefore, the contract would remain as \$2,077.47 coming from the fiscal year 2014 advanced allocation.

We would like to thank you in advanced for considering this request. If you have any questions please feel free to contact our staff at 417-778-7561 Ext. 3.

Sincerely,

  
Glenn R. Jenkins, Chairman  
Oregon County Soil and Water  
Conservation District  
Board of Supervisors



**Atchison County Soil & Water Conservation District**  
**302 East Highway 136**  
**Rock Port, Missouri 64482**

---

May 1, 2013

Missouri Department of Natural Resources  
P.O. Box 176  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

To DNR:

Two years ago in fiscal year 2011 a cost share contract 108-11-0068 was made for Floyd and Charlotte Schooler Revocable Trust for 17,240 feet of level broad base terraces. Since the passing of Floyd and Charlotte their daughter Judy Curry has taken over ownership of this tract. The contract 108-11-0068 was approved and the terrace system was constructed to NRCS specification and standards. The level terraces are holding significant amounts of water causing severe crop lose. Therefore the landowner would like to add tile to the current terrace system and has approached the Atchison County Soil and Water District about receiving cost share for the tiling. NRCS demes this minimal maintenance necessary for continuous proper function of this terrace system. The total cost of this project is \$7,419.02 since just installing tile isn't a complete system, the Atchison County Soil & Water District requests permission to create and fund a contract for this project. Attached you will find the cost share application to show the exact amount of tile footage and cost associated with this project.

If you have any further questions please contract Jessica Livengood at (660)-744-6201 ext. 3

Thank you,

Atchison County Soil & Water Board





## Maries County Soil and Water Conservation District

June 5, 2013

Soil and Water Districts Commission  
Soil & Water Conservation Program  
P.O. Box 176  
Jefferson City, MO 65102

Dear Commissioners,

The Maries County Soil and Water Board and Office Staff would like to request, on behalf of Maries County landowners Donnie Wieberg and Louis (deceased) and Mary Wieberg, a review of the attached information.

Donnie is requesting cost-share on a well to hook his and Louis' livestock waterers to. Currently, the waterers are supported by Donnie's house well and he is concerned that if we have another year like 2012, he may run out of water, putting his home and his livestock in a bad situation.

Donnie requested assistance with the 2012 Drought Assistance Program through Governor Nixon's office. However, he did not qualify as he currently had water. This is when he requested the well through cost-share.

The Maries County Soil and Water District Board and Office Staff would like the Commission's guidance on the Landowner's request to receive a new well for livestock water purposes through cost-share.

Thank you for your time and we look forward to hearing your thoughts.

Sincerely,  
*Bernard Brune Chairman*


Bernard Brune, Chairman  
Maries County Soil and Water District  
105 Parkway  
Vienna, MO 65582  
(573) 422-3342

105 Parkway  
Vienna, MO 65582  
(573) 422-3342  
Fax : (573) 422-3590

# Donnie Wieberg Request

District: MARIES COUNTY SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Assisted By: Amy Neier




### Legend

- Existing Well
- ▭ Tank
- ▭ Tank (CS)
- ✕ Fence
- ▭ Donnie Wieberg's Acres
- ▭ Louis & Mary Wieberg's Acres
- ▭ Consplan

Louis & Mary Wieberg Farm  
42.0 acres (grazeable)

Donnie Wieberg Farm  
22.5 acres (grazeable)



## Donnie Wieberg Presentation from the Maries County SWCD

### History:

Donnie has a long – term lease on Louis and Mary Wieberg’s property.

Donnie set up the waterline, tanks, and fence on HIS property on his own **without** any cost-share assistance. Donnie set up the fence on LOUIS’ property on his own **without** any cost-share assistance. **Louis did receive cost-share on the waterline and tanks on his property.**

In the Summer of 2012, Donnie relied solely on his house well to supply water to his livestock (~35 adult cows plus calves and replacement heifers). He shut the drinkers on the ponds off so that he could protect the fish and their habitat in those ponds.

Donnie Wieberg Property: (Depicted in **BLUE** on the map)

5 Drinkers

Fields included in acres served – 3, 4

Total Acres on Donnie’s – 22.5

22.5 acres X \$95.00/acre = \$2,137.50

Louis Wieberg’s Property: (Depicted in **RED** on the map)

3 Drinkers

Fields included in acres served – 1, 2

Total Acres on Louis’ – 42.0

42.0 acres X \$95.00/acre = \$3,990.00

\$2,137.50 + \$3,990.00 = \$6,127.50 available in Cost-Share

\*\* Please see attached information and documentation.

Mantle Well Service  
660 County Road 621  
Linn Mo. 65051

Maries Co. SWCD  
105 Parkway Vienna, Mo. 65582


5/23/2013

From: Amy Dieter

In 2012 Mantle Well Service completed a check on Donnie Wieberg's water well. In doing this I found that his well is 248-250 ft. deep, water level = 146ft, pump depth is 190-210ft, with 42-43 ft. of standing water. His well pumps around 30gals/min. Lowering the pump is not recommended due to its distance from the bottom of the well. The closer the pump gets to the bottom of the well the more likely it will suck up sediments and ruin the pump.

If you need any further assistance please contact me at 573-897-2172 or 573-680-0373.

Sincerely,



Mantle Well Service  
660 County Road 621

**Neier, Amy**

---

**From:** Meyer, Paul  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 12, 2013 7:45 AM  
**To:** Neier, Amy  
**Subject:** RE: Well Question from Maries County

*Paul's Response*

I discussed this with Molly Starkey, one of our geologists.

She commented: "the actual aquifer decline would affect both wells, but they would probably drill the new one deeper. The water level in his present well is lowered both because of drought and high usage, so if your pumping less water it probably will decline less.

May want to consider drilling the existing well deeper."

I take this to mean if he drills another well the water table will be lowered less because the draw down is less using two wells. However, the drought will draw down the aquifer regardless, and a deeper well should be considered.

Hope this helps. If you need more info let me know.

PAUL D. MEYER; Technical Assistant IV  
Division of Geology And Land Survey  
Geological Survey Program  
Wellhead Protection Section  
P.O. Box 250, Rolla, MO 65402  
573/368-2159  
573/368-2317 (fax)  
email: [paul.meyer@dnr.mo.gov](mailto:paul.meyer@dnr.mo.gov)

---

**From:** Neier, Amy  
**Sent:** Monday, March 11, 2013 3:28 PM  
**To:** Meyer, Paul  
**Subject:** Well Question from Maries County

*Initial email to Paul Meyer with  
Wellhead Protection Section - DNR*

Good Afternoon Paul!!

I have a question about some of these wells that we are drilling or have drilled through cost-share. If we drill a new well 250 ft. in any direction from an existing well, are we solving any low-water issues?

The reason that I ask this is:

I have a landowner that was not eligible for the Emergency Water Program that the Governor enacted back in the summer. His situation is that all of his livestock waterers are hooked to his house well and he is worried that if we have another summer like the past summer, his well may go dry. He would like to drill a new well and hook his livestock waterers to that well, leaving the existing well to only supply the house with water.

I am wondering if we are really solving any problems if he would get regular cost-share to drill another well.


He did have his well scoped. The well was drilled in 1976, it has a total depth of 248-250 ft., water level is at 146ft., pump depth is 190-210ft., and there is about 42-43 ft. of standing water. The well pumps 30gals/min.

What is your opinion?

Thanks

*Amy Neier*

**District Tech II  
Maries Co. SWCD  
105 Parkway  
Vienna, MO 65582  
573-422-3342**

 <b>MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES</b> DIVISION OF <b>GEOLOGY AND LAND SURVEY</b> (573) 368-2165	REF NO 00332457	DATE RECEIVED 02/17/2004
	CR NO 00332458	
	STATE CERT NO APPROVED DATE A122488 03/16/2004	CHECK NO. 3997
	DATE ENTERED PHASE 1 PHASE 2 PHASE 3 02/20/2004 02/20/2004 03/24/2004	ROUTE PCD
INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY WELL OR PUMP INSTALLATION CONTRACTOR		DNR VARIANCE NUMBER 0
OWNER NAME	TELEPHONE (OPTIONAL)	CASING DEPTH NUMBER 0 Applicable only if casing depth or variance were obtained from DNR
OWNER ADDRESS	CITY	STATE MO ZIP
ADDRESS OF WELL (IF DIFFERENT THAN ABOVE)	CITY	STATE MO ZIP
PROPOSED USE OF WELL SEE BACK OF FORM FOR WELL CLASSIFICATIONS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY TO A PRIVATE RESIDENCE OR UP TO 3 PRIVATE RESIDENCES (DOMESTIC WELL) <input type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY TO 4 PRIVATE RESIDENCES OR UP TO 14 PRIVATE RESIDENCES AT A NON-COMMERCIAL FACILITY (MULTIFAMILY WELL) <input type="checkbox"/> WATER SUPPLY TO A CHURCH WITH A CONGREGATION LESS THAN 25 PEOPLE (DOMESTIC WELL-CHURCH) WATER USE INFORMATION VERIFIED BY OWNER SIGNATURE X _____ (SIGNATURE REQUIRED) ALL WELLS MUST PRODUCE LESS THAN 70 GPM TO THE SURFACE, SERVE LESS THAN 25 PEOPLE AND HAVE LESS THAN 15 CONNECTIONS-otherwise use high yield/public well record DATE: _____		
<b>CASING DETAILS</b> CASING LENGTH 0.0 FT. O.D. OF CASING 0.0 IN. DIAMETER OF DRILL HOLE 8.75 IN. CASING MATERIAL <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC <input type="checkbox"/> CONCRETE POSITION OF GROUT SEAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BOTTOM <input type="checkbox"/> FULL LENGTH <input type="checkbox"/> TOP CASING GROUT MATERIAL CEMENT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TYPE 1 <input type="checkbox"/> HI-EARLY <input type="checkbox"/> BENTONITE SLURRY <input type="checkbox"/> CHIPS <input type="checkbox"/> GRANULAR PELLETS METHOD OF GROUT INSTALLATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GRAVITY OPEN HOLE <input type="checkbox"/> POS. DISPLACEMENT TREMIE <input type="checkbox"/> PRESSURE GROUT THROUGH CASING <input type="checkbox"/> THROUGH TREMIE <input type="checkbox"/> DRILLING SUSPENDED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES 0 HRS NO. OF SACKS USED 5.0 POUNDS PER SACK _____		
<b>LINER DETAILS</b> LENGTH 0.0 FT. O.D. OF LINER 0.0 IN. LINER MATERIAL <input type="checkbox"/> STEEL <input type="checkbox"/> PLASTIC POSITION OF SEAL <input type="checkbox"/> FULL LENGTH <input type="checkbox"/> BOTTOM <input type="checkbox"/> TOP LINER GROUT MATERIAL CEMENT <input type="checkbox"/> TYPE 1 <input type="checkbox"/> HI-EARLY <input type="checkbox"/> BENTONITE SLURRY <input type="checkbox"/> CHIPS <input type="checkbox"/> GRANULAR PELLETS METHOD OF GROUT INSTALLATION <input type="checkbox"/> GRAVITY OPEN HOLE <input type="checkbox"/> POS. DISPLACEMENT TREMIE <input type="checkbox"/> LINER IS INSTALLED LINER USED TO: <input type="checkbox"/> HOLD BACK FORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> SEAL OUT UNDESIREABLE AQUIFER CONDITIONS <input type="checkbox"/> PREVENT RUST NO. OF SACKS USED 0.0 POUNDS PER SACK 94 ABANDONED WELL ON SITE? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> PLUGGED? <input type="checkbox"/> YES		
<b>LOCATION OF WELL</b> LAT. 38° 14' 55.0" LONG. 92° 0' 19.4" COUNTY MARIES Please be aware that we do not guarantee the accuracy of the data. It is submitted to us by a third party and has not been field verified.		
DEPTH TO FIRST GROUNDWATER 0.0 FEET WELL YIELD 40.0 GPM STATIC WATER LEVEL 0.0 FEET WELL COMPLETION DATE 12/11/2003		PUMP RATE 10.0 GPM PUMP SET DEPTH 240.0 FEET PUMP INSTALLATION DATE pump info required this record or on pump card
DEPTH FROM TO FORMATION DESCRIPTION 0.0 5.0 DRT 5.0 200.0 LS 200.0 346.0 LS,FLT		(OPTIONAL) ELEVATION _____ LEGAL LOCATION (OPTIONAL) _____ NW 1/4 _____ SE 1/4 _____ SW 1/4 _____ 840 FT. SEC. 35 TWN. 41 RANG. 10 W AREA 1A _____ C DATA REQ'D <input type="checkbox"/>
DEPTH TO BEDROCK 0.0 FEET TOTAL DEPTH 346.0 FEET		
OTHER INFORMATION OR LOCATION DATA (OPTIONAL)		
<b>HEREBY CERTIFY THE WELL/PUMP INFORMATION DESCRIBED HEREIN IS TRUE AND ACCURATE</b>		
PRIMARY CONTRACTOR SIGNATURE GREGORY BONNOT		PERMIT NUMBER 002022
WELL DRILLER SIGNATURE GREGORY BONNOT		PERMIT NUMBER 002022
PUMP INSTALLER SIGNATURE		PERMIT NUMBER
APPRENTICE DRILLER SIGNATURE		PERMIT NUMBER
APPRENTICE PUMP SIGNATURE		PERMIT NUMBER

\* Only Well listed in the same Section, Township & Range on DNR's website.

Info obtained from MO DNR Well Search website :  
[www.dnr.mo.gov/mowells/wimsSearchLanding.do](http://www.dnr.mo.gov/mowells/wimsSearchLanding.do)