

**MINUTES OF THE
LAND RECLAMATION COMMISSION MEETING
LEWIS AND CLARK STATE OFFICE BUILDING
NIGHTINGALE CREEK CONFERENCE ROOM
January 24, 2008**

Chairman, Jim DiPardo called the meeting to order at 10:12 a.m., at the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, 1101 Riverside Drive, Jefferson City, Missouri.

Commissioners Present: Jim DiPardo; Dr. Gregory Haddock; Mimi Garstang; Kevin Mohammadi (alternate for Ed Galbraith), Bob Ziehmer, Nick Matherly and Col. John Riffle.
Absent: Ed Galbraith.

Staff Present: Larry Coen, Staff Director; Bill Zeaman, Chris Thiltgen, Larry Slechta, Bill Whipps, Mitch Roberts, Teri Bibbs, Mike Larsen, Andy Reed, Steve Femmer, Mike Mueller and Tina Stockman.

Others Present: Harry Bozoian, Attorney General's Office; Jubal and Rebecca Summers, S & A Construction; Mike Carlson, Gredell Engineering; Kevin Rohmer, Lafarge; Randy Baker, Christy Minerals; Robin Overkamp, Christ Minerals; Jeff Pirty, Christy Minerals; Rubon Mills, Christy Minerals; James Rolls, AECI; Mike Giovanini, AECI; Robert and Carol Provance, Paul Huey and Phyllis Lesh, neighbors to S & A Construction; and Randy Baker, Attorney for Christy Minerals.

**MINUTES OF THE NOVEMBER 14, 2007 MEETING AND DECEMBER 6, 2007
TELECONFERENCE MEETING**

Dr. Haddock made a motion to approve the November 14, 2007, and the December 6, 2007 meeting minutes as written. Bob Ziehmer seconded the motion. The motion was carried unanimously.

COAL BOND FORFEITURE STATUS UPDATE

Since the last update provided to the commission on November 14, 2007, staff have been working on the forfeiture situation involving a former clay mining company, National Refractories and Minerals Corporation. Over the past two months certain staff members of both the Projects and Inspection and the Industrial/Metallic Minerals units have been coordinating efforts to address this industrial mineral bond forfeiture. During this time there has been very little activity of importance concerning coal bond forfeiture work.

During the past two months staff has continued working to identify current landowners of record for all of the National Refractories mine sites as well as to identify those sites upon which the company had completed reclamation but had never requested release of the reclamation bond. A

number of those sites have been examined by members of both units and 12 of those sites will be presented for release under a separate agenda item to the commission.

Two of the sites where the company left reclamation to be completed, and which represent a concern of safety, have been the focus of staff during the past two months. These sites are known as the Baumgarth "G" pit and the Baumgarth "BS" pit. There is not enough money available to the program from the bond forfeited to fully reclaim both of these sites however, staff is working with the landowners of both sites in order to determine the most practical and cost effective solutions to this problem. National Refractories left the state with \$85,250.00 to reclaim these sites, which is not enough to do everything.

On-site meetings with the landowners have been held in order to examine various ideas for reclaiming the sites and to, at the very least, resolve the issues of safety which currently exist. The Baumgarth "G" pit represents the biggest challenge of the two pits. Dr. Haddock asked if the pit will ever fill with water. Mike Larsen explained that no, it never will. Jim DiPardo asked how far back does the cave go. Mike Larsen explained that no one really knows. Col. Riffle asked what safety issue is in place to protect this site now. Mike Larsen explained that there was none at this time. The "BS" pit represents a more conventional challenge to resolve the issue of reclamation and safety. Hopefully, the work on the "G" and the "BS" pits will be completed by May of this year.

There are also two other clay mine sites that will need to be addressed when the work is completed on the above two mine sites. Staff will consider work at those sites when we have a clearer idea of how much money will be available to us in order to perform work later on this year.

COAL BOND RELEASE REQUEST

Staff Director Larry Coen evaluated and approved the following liability releases. This was an informational summary that was presented to the Commission. There was no request for Commission action.

Prairie Hill Mine Permit No. 1981-02, PP-07-06 for a total of 630 acres and a total liability release of \$1,070,500.00, Prairie Hill Mine Permit No. 1982-09, PP-07-07 for a total of 5 acres and a total liability release of \$12,500.00, Prairie Hill Mine Permit No. 1983-24, PP-07-08 for a total of 13.2 acres and a total liability release of \$33,000.00, and Prairie Hill Mine Permit No. 1981-02, PP-07-09 for a total of 1244.1 acres and a total liability release of \$1,050,450.00.

This represents a release from all liability the acreage described within permits 1981-02, 1982-09 and 1983-24. The Commission recognized AECI for the good work that they do.

PERMITS, CONTRACTS AND DESIGN

Coal Permitting Activities Update

This report covers coal permitting activities between October 29, 2007, the date of the last update, and the date this memorandum was prepared, January 8, 2008.

During this reporting period, LRP received four (4) new coal permit actions that require review and approval. Two (2) of these permit actions were finalized during this reporting period. The two (2) remaining permit actions were received near the end of the reporting period and were still under review as the reporting period ended. LRP staff reviews are proceeding on schedule.

Abandoned Mine Lands Status Update

Abandoned Mine Land (AML) Reclamation Projects

The Aurora Shaft Closure Project will close five (5) vertical lead/zinc mine openings located in the Baldwin Park area of the City of Aurora in Lawrence County. This project was being bid out through the Missouri Office of Administration as an “under \$100,000 - bidding by invitation only” contract. A pre-bid meeting with the contractors was scheduled for January 15, 2008, and the bid opening date was January 24, 2008. Project completion is expected by early spring 2008.

The Hillsboro Shaft Closure Project will close an extremely dangerous lead mine shaft located near the City of Hillsboro in Jefferson County. The landowner’s contacted the AML staff in late November 2007, and reported an abandoned lead mine shaft on their property that they would like LRP to close. During December 2007, the AML staff field investigated and added this shaft to the AML Inventory. The Hillsboro Shaft Project was then scored, ranked and selected for reclamation work under the authority of the current Governor’s letter requesting that LRP close Priority I (extremely dangerous) abandoned non-coal mine openings. This shaft is located less than 50 yards from the landowners’ house and has a 45 ft. vertical drop. A person could be killed or seriously injured if they fell into this open shaft. It would be very difficult to escape if a falling victim did survive the fall. Preliminary design work is underway and the shaft is expected to be closed by spring 2008.

Design work is nearing completion on the Billy Creek AML Reclamation Project (Adair County). The project is to be advertised for construction bids by early spring 2008.

The AML staff continues to conduct on-site investigation and design activities on the West Ken Coal Project (Jasper County). During January 2008, the nine-acre pit will be sampled at various locations and depths to determine if the entire water body is acidic or if the water in the pit is stratified due to its great depth. The information gained will allow the AML staff to better estimate potential neutralization costs.

The AML staff is preparing to negotiate an outside engineering services agreement for design of the proposed Harrisburg Reclamation Project (Boone County). The proposed scope of work for obtaining these services is nearing completion. Selection of an engineering consultant will take place in the near future.

The AML staff has issued a request for proposals to obtain aerial photography and mapping for two future AML reclamation project sites located near the cities of Calhoun and Montrose in Henry County. A contract was issued for \$14,520.00 and the sites will be flown before spring leaf out.

AML Emergency Program

The grouting work on the Mindenmines Emergency Subsidence Project resumed and was completed on December 19, 2007. An additional 20 cubic yards of grout was pumped into the coal mine voids beneath the house foundation at 1005 Smithers Street, Mindenmines, Missouri. A total of 260 cubic yards was pumped under this emergency project. As the reporting period ended, the contractor was putting together supporting documentation in order to submit a request for final payment.

AML Maintenance Activities

On September 27th, the construction contract to replace the failed culverts at the Old Bevier Wetland site was awarded to the successful low bidder, BRS Construction, of Edina, Missouri in the amount of \$49,600. The work was successfully completed on November 1, 2007, at a final cost of \$49,451.95.

INDUSTRIAL MINERALS

Industrial Bond Release Requests Approved by Staff Director

The Staff Director of the Land Reclamation Program has reviewed, evaluated, and approved the following Industrial Mineral bond release request. This summary was provided for the Commission's information. A bond release for Continental Cement Co. LLC, Permit 0321, Site #1, was approved for a total of 82.5 acres and a total sum of \$45,250.00.

Staff presented the above request for bond release to the Staff Director. The Staff Director approved the releases noted above following review of the requests along with photographs taken during the bond release inspections. Confirmation of the approval has been sent to the company and bonding entities.

National Refractories' Sites

This action is necessary due to the bankruptcy of National Refractories and their failure to properly close permitted sites. National left a legacy of 32 sites under permit for which their reclamation bond was forfeited. One of the first steps in closing out the National sites is to ask the Commission to release responsibility on sites which do not really require further reclamation work. Some of the remaining sites will require significant work, but the sites listed below have been evaluated and determined to be complete needing no further action.

The Staff Director of the Land Reclamation Program has evaluated and approved the following responsibility releases. The Program is asking the Commission to formally release any further responsibility on these sites. Current landowners were notified 30 days in advance of the Commission's meeting of these releases by confirmation of certified mail, and none of the landowners involved have raised any objections.

Company	Permit #	Mine	Land Use	Acres Released
National Refractories	0389	Bade	Not Mined	7
National Refractories	0389	Love	Water & Pasture	9
National Refractories	0389	McGuire	Water & Pasture	6
National Refractories	0389	Nicks Pit 3	Not Mined	2
National Refractories	0389	Nicks Pit 3a	Not Mined	1.5
National Refractories	0389	Nicks Pit 4	Not Mined	1.5
National Refractories	0389	Nicks Pit 4A & 6	Not Mined	6.5
National Refractories	0389	Ray Pinet	Water & Pasture	1
National Refractories	0389	Rudolph	Water & Pasture	4
National Refractories	0389	Vohs Pit #2	Not Mined	3
National Refractories	0389	Wetherall	Water & Recreation	4
			Total	45.5

Col. Riffle made the motion to accept the staff recommendation to release the sites. Dr. Haddock seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

**HEARING REQUEST CONCERNING A 22-ACRE PERMIT EXPANSION
APPLICATION SOUGHT AFTER BY CHRISTY MINERALS, LLC., K. DEEKER SITE
IN MONTGOMERY COUNTY**

On August 13, 2007, the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Land Reclamation Program received a permit expansion application from Christy Minerals, LLC., proposing to add a new site known as the K. Deeker Site, for 22-acres in Montgomery County. After the application was deemed complete the company published the public notice once a week, beginning on September 19, 2007, for four consecutive weeks in the *Montgomery Standard*, a newspaper that is qualified to publish Public Notice’s pursuant to Section 493.050 RSMo., in Montgomery County. The company also sent by certified mail a notice of intent to operate a surface mine to the appropriate government officials and landowners who are considered contiguous and adjacent to the mine plan boundary. The proposed 22-acre permit expansion application is located in Sections 2 & 3, Township 47 North, Range 5 West in Montgomery County. The proposed mine operation timeframe is to the year 2028.

The Staff Director received a facsimile during the comment period concerning the proposed permit expansion application. The letter provided comments, a request for a public meeting and a request for a hearing. On November 16, 2007 Christy Minerals did hold a public meeting in accordance with The Land Reclamation Act.

According to the rules and regulations at 10 CSR 40-10.080(1)(E)&(F) the Staff Director did issue a Notice of Recommendation within thirty days after the close of the public meeting. The public meeting did not resolve the concerns expressed by the petitioner. We do have record on file that Mr. Steve Koslovsky, on behalf of his client Arjamand Kaleyeh, requests a hearing. Therefore we present a request for a hearing before the Commission. On January 2, 2008, the Staff Director did inform the person requesting a hearing of the time, location of and how to prepare for the January 24, 2008, commission meeting.

The Land Reclamation Act addresses the issues of affected land, performance bond requirements, reclamation requirements, a request for a public meeting and a request for a hearing. The Land Reclamation Act does not provide protection concerning mineral stockpile areas. Christy Minerals, LLC has never received a violation from the department's Land Reclamation Program.

The Land Reclamation Act at Section 444.773.3, RSMo, requires that the Staff Director make a formal recommendation regarding the issuance or denial of an applicant's permit. In addition, the "Act" at 444.773.1, RSMo, requires the Director to consider any written comments when making the notice of recommendation. After consideration of comments provided in the letter and discussion of concerns at the public meeting, it is the Director's recommendation to issue the permit expansion application involving 22 new acres in Montgomery County sought after by Christy Minerals, LLC.

The Staff Director did recommend approval of the pending permit expansion application because in fact the company has satisfied requirements for application completeness as identified in the Code of State Regulations and The Land Reclamation Act. Bill Zeaman stated that the company is present, but the landowner is not.

Randy Baker, Attorney for Christy Minerals spoke on behalf of the Company. He explained that at a Public Meeting on November 16, 2007, Mr. Arjamand Kaleyeh, owner of the land surface to be mined, expressed concern about the old stock piles from previous mining. He stated that in order to have standing, the Petitioner must express how his health, safety or livelihood would be impaired. Mr. Arjamand brought concerns about the stockpiles, but not about issues related to standing. He also explained that while Christy Minerals offered to assist with the clean up of these old stock piles, the company wanted to make clear to the Commission that they have no responsibility for the old mining effects. Dr. Haddock asked if Mr. Baker was present at the meeting in November. Mr. Baker explained his partner was present but he was not. Dr. Haddock asked if there was a question about access. Jeff Porter explained how the deed works, that Christy Minerals has a legal right to mine the mineral. Mimi Garstang asked if Christy Minerals did the mining that created the stockpiles. Jeff Porter explained that mining was done by National Refractories.

Dr. Haddock made a motion to deny the Hearing Request, and requested that the staff send a cover letter with the permit to Christy Minerals stating that the issuance of this permit does not resolve any legal disputes that may arise between the surface land owner and Christy Minerals. They must settle this kind of dispute in a court of law. Col. Riffle seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

HEARING REQUEST CONCERNING THE PERMIT EXPANSION APPLICATION FOR A NEW SITE SOUGHT AFTER BY S & A CONSTRUCTION, LTD, DBA ALLENDALE QUARRY, BIG CREEK QUARRY IN HARRISON COUNTY – FOR A TOTAL OF 162.7-ACRES.

On October 12, 2007, the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Land Reclamation Program received a permit expansion application from S & A Construction, LTD, dba Allendale Quarry, proposing to open a new limestone mine site on 162.7-acres in Harrison County. After

the application was deemed complete the company published the public notice once a week, beginning on October 31, 2007 for four consecutive weeks in the *Bethany Republican Clipper*, a newspaper that is qualified to publish Public Notice's pursuant to Section 493.050 RSMo., in Harrison County. The company also sent by certified mail a notice of intent to operate a surface mine to the appropriate government officials. Letters were sent by certified mail to landowners considered adjacent and contiguous to the mine plan area. This proposed permit expansion application of a 162.7-acre limestone mining operation is located in Sections 03,04,33 & 34, Townships 63 & 64 North, Range 28 West in Harrison County. The proposed mine operation timeframe is to the year 2027.

The Staff Director received letters during the comment period concerning the proposed permit expansion application. Many letters provided comments, a request for a public meeting and a request for a hearing. During a telephone contact on December 19, 2007 we learned that S & A Construction, LTD, dba Allendale Quarry respectfully declined to hold a public meeting. We are aware that the operator did attempt to make contact with the petitioners.

According to the rules and regulations at 10 CSR 40-10.080 if the applicant does not agree to the public meeting then the petition may be referred to the commission for a formal public hearing. All of the letters that requested a public meeting within public notification timeframe requirements also requested a hearing. We have hearing request records on file that were signed by Mark Starmer, Carol J. Provance, Bruce Provance and Aaron & Sue Coleman. Therefore we present a request for a hearing before the commission at the January 24, 2008 meeting. On January 2, 2008 the Staff Director did send a letter informing the people requesting a hearing of the time, location of and how to prepare for the January 24, 2008 commission meeting.

The Land Reclamation Act addresses the issues of public notification requirements, permit expansion denial, a request for a public meeting, and requests for a hearing. The Missouri Department of Natural Resources does provide protection concerning sediment and run off in to the creek system, air pollution or excessive dust emissions that originate from within the property of the proposed mine site. The Department does not provide protection concerning noise pollution, traffic safety, road maintenance, dust created outside the property boundary of the proposed mine site, disruption of recreational activities or blasting. Regarding blasting, note that in 2007 House Bill 298 enacted legislation to regulate blasting, which became effective August 28th of 2007, as it was signed by the Governor on June 21, 2007.

The Land Reclamation Act at Section 444.773.3, RSMo, requires that the Staff Director make a formal recommendation regarding the issuance or denial of an applicant's permit. In addition, the "Act" at 444.773.1, RSMo, requires the Director to consider any written comments when making the notice of recommendation. After consideration of issues provided in letters, it is the Director's recommendation to issue the permit expansion application, for a new site involving 162.7-acres in Harrison County sought after by S & A Construction, LTD, dba Allendale Quarry. The Director's recommendation for approving this expansion application for a new site is based on the fact that the company has satisfied the requirements for application completeness. This completes the first step of a two step process.

The second step in the process involves the Land Reclamation Commission making the final decision on whether or not people have standing to grant a public hearing.

Mr. Jubal Summers of S & A Construction stated he received letters from all concerned individuals and he has visited with all of them. He understands that the main concerns are the access road, dust, noise, traffic, blasting, and vibrations. He stated that he realizes the need to keep dust under control, but instead of using water, which he realizes does not last long, using Calcium Chloride which is effective in controlling the dust for up to six months. He also consulted a blasting expert about his blasting and was told that his blasting practices are well below the required vibration limits. He stated that he operates another quarry and has no complaints.

Mrs. Carol Provance provided the Commission with two letters from concerned neighbors who were unable to attend the meeting. She explained that her farm is located near the city limits and has a property value of \$500,000.00 and she is very concerned that this would decrease her property value. She believes that her home value will drop so low that she will not be able to sell. A neighbor was planning on building a home but now is afraid to do so.

The road that she uses is dangerous and narrow and not well maintained. She believes that truck traffic from the quarry will make it worse. She is also concerned that increased truck traffic will become a safety issue for other drivers and the truck noise will be a disturbance to her cattle. She is particularly concerned about the safety of children in the area. She believes that the road will have to be widened for the quarry trucks, fences will have to be moved, and the waterlines will end up being covered by the road. She is concerned that no one would want to donate land in order to widen the roads. She believes that putting chemicals on the road will be hazardous to breathe. Mr. DiPardo asked who maintains the road. Mrs. Provance explained that the County is responsible for maintenance but have no funds to do so.

She heard that blasting kills trees, especially walnut trees and she has a grove of walnut trees. Dr. Haddock asked who told her that blasting kills walnut trees. Paul Huey explained that blasting was used on a city street project and some walnut trees died.

She is concerned about protecting the water lines in the area, which were purchased by the area owners. She is concerned that vibrations will cause waterline ruptures and she has had this happen before. Col. Riffle asked Mrs. Provance who owns the water lines. She explained that the homeowners paid for the lines and will have to maintain them. She felt vibration from blasting from another quarry that was further away. Col. Riffle then asked how far the mine will be from the water lines. Mrs. Provance explained about a ¼ mile.

She asked if the operator can start before a permit is issued and she wanted to know if there had been problems at the other quarry. She believes that 50 or 75 people would want to participate in a public hearing if it is held. She lives in a quiet neighborhood and wants to keep it that way.

Dr. Haddock asked if Harrison County has planning and zoning. Mrs. Provance asked Mr. Paul Huey to answer that question.

Mr. Paul Huey explained that when he was planning to build a home, he was told that the only requirement was that the septic system must be certified, otherwise, there were no zoning restrictions. He is also concerned about his property value and was concerned that his realtor did not tell him that a quarry was being planned. Now he has learned that if he wants to sell his property he will lose \$300.00 an acre from what he paid for it. Then he explained that a bridge on the road has a weight limit of 18 tons and he does not know how the quarry is going to resolve that problem. Mr. Huey stated that he does not want to live next to a quarry and has put his building plans on hold.

Col. Riffle asked what kind of septic systems are being used. Mrs. Provance uses a lagoon and Mr. Huey is more familiar with the lateral system.

Dr. Haddock explained that zoning would protect the public from these concerns. He further explained to the audience that this Commission cannot control speeding or the condition of public roads. Col. Riffle further explained that the Commission does not control blasting. Blasting is controlled by the State Fire Marshalls Office and complaints can be addressed to them. Mimi Garstang asked about the compliance history at the Allendale Quarry. Chris Thiltgen explained that we have no issues with them at this site. Jim DiPardo asked how long the Allendale Quarry has been in operation. Mr. Summers stated 10 years. Nick Matherly asked how long the road is that the quarry would be using. Mr. Summers stated that there is about a ½ mile of road in front of the residences and another mile of road to Highway W. Nick Matherly asked S & A Construction if they want to resolve all of these issues and Mr. Summers responded yes, they do. He furthered explained that he has a family business with 4 employees. They want to get along with all their neighbors. Dr. Haddock stated that calcium chloride is a salt and asked how safe it is. Mr. Summers explained that it is a salt that is widely used for dust control. Col. Riffle asked why S & A declined to hold a public meeting. Mr. Summers explained that some people planned to request a hearing no matter what, so he felt that agreeing to a public meeting would have delayed the Commissions decision today. Nick Matherly asked if he had talked to everyone about these issues. Mr. Summers explained that he talked with Mrs. Provance and a few others but did not disclose his plans in order to keep information from his competition that he was not trying to keep information from his neighbors. Mr. DiPardo asked how many tons of rock he plans to produce. Mr. Summers explained that right now at the Allendale quarry he produces about 30,000 tons a year. With this quarry he hopes to produce 50,000 – 100,000 tons per year. He stated this would be about 500 to 1000 ton a day, five days a week.

Robert Hodges, husband of Carol, stated that he had the following concerns: property values, blasting near brick homes and basements, narrow roads, traffic and dust is a nuisance and a danger to pets, Jade Road is soft and in poor condition, blasting kills trees and right now things are quiet and he wants to keep it that way.

Jim DiPardo stated that blasting must be managed by the Fire Marshall's Office. He asked S & A if they were going to set up seismographs for the neighbors who are concerned. Mr. Summers stated that they do not have access to seismographs. Mr. DiPardo reminded him that if he does not have a way to measure his blasts, then neighbors will certainly claim that the quarry blasting has damaged their homes, if there are problems. Mr. DiPardo reminded the audience that the Commission cannot act on the issues of road conditions and property values.

Dr. Haddock made a motion to deny the hearing request and recommend issuance of the permit for the expansion. Col. Riffle seconded the motion. The motion was carried unanimously.

UP-DATE ON THE PUBLIC MEETING CONCERNING THE NEW PERMIT APPLICATION FOR DCBC L.L.C.; IN POLK COUNTY.

On September 7, 2007 the Missouri Department of Natural Resources Land Reclamation Program deemed complete a new permit application involving an 8-acre In-stream mine site north of Bolivar. The new permit application is sought after by DCBC L.L.C. As a result of the published public notices and letters of intent to operate a surface mine sent via certified mail; one person wanted to better understand the proposed mine operation and requested a public meeting and a hearing.

The Staff Director and DCBC L.L.C., did organize a public meeting that was held on November 20, 2007, at Citizens Memorial Hospital in Bolivar, Missouri. A total of six people attended the meeting – two people each representing the Peggy Gilden Trust, DCBC L.L.C. and state. The concern that prompted the Peggy Gilden Trust to request the meeting was that they believed a portion of the area to be permitted was located on the Gilden property.

A determination was made by all in attendance at the meeting, that the area of concern was located on the Gilden property. The representatives of DCBC L.L.C. agreed to withdraw the area of concern from their permit application upon this determination. On January 2, 2008 the Land Reclamation Program received a letter from Ms. Peggy Gilden withdrawing her request for a hearing. Program staff want to inform the commission that public meetings do, at times, have favorable outcomes, which prevent hearing request presentations. This memorandum is provided for information only and does not require the Land Reclamation Commission to take an action at this moment.

OTHER BUSINESS

CLOSED SESSION

Mr. Mohammadi made a motion that the Land Reclamation Commission meet in Closed Teleconference session on February 13, 2008, at 9:00 a.m. for the purpose of discussing personnel actions, legal actions or causes of actions or litigation as provided for in Section 610.021, RSMo 2003. Dr. Haddock seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Mohammadi made a motion that the Land Reclamation Commission meet in Closed session on March 27, 2008, prior to the open meeting for the purpose of discussing personnel actions, legal actions or causes of actions or litigation as provided for in Section 610.021, RSMo 2003. Col. Riffle seconded the motion. Motion carried unanimously.

Clint Bishop's Retirement Resolution

Larry Coen read the Commissions Resolution to Clint Bishop honoring his 27 years of state service. Col. Riffle stated for the minutes that Clint Bishop is to be congratulated and commended for his years of service.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

No comments from the public.

ADJOURN

Col. Riffle made a motion to adjourn. The motion was seconded by Mimi Garstang and motion carried unanimously. The meeting adjourned at 12:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Chairman