APPENDIX A

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Scoring Matrix for MSGRP Springfield RFP

Project Identification

RFP Respondent/Proposal Title:	
Respondent Information:	
MDNR Review Staff:	

Scoring Matrix and Comments

	Eligibility	Y/N	Explain	
Base Project Eligibility: (Project Must Pass All Criteria Unanimously to Proceed)	**Does the project meet the following qualifying criteria?**			
	1. Does the proposal address injured natural resources or services targeted for restoration in the RFP?			
	2. Restoration actions are in compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws, policies & regulations?			
	3. The project occurs within the allowed geographic priority areas identified in the RFP?			
	4. Was the proposal received within the application deadline timeframe?			
	5. Is the project technically feasible?			
	6. Does the proposal contain a specific budget as required in the RFP?			
	7. Funds will go toward eligible activities and parties not prohibited (e.g. NOT CERCLA response, responsible parties, violators) in the RFP?			
	Location and Benefits 0 =criteria not addressed; 5 = criteria is fully met	**Score (0-5)**	**Weight**	**Weighted Score** (Score x Weight)
Project Location & Benefits	1. Project proximity to RFP geographic priorities (5 points for projects exclusively in Tier 1, 3 for Tier 2 and 1 for Tier 3. Projects in multiple Tiers shall be given an average weighted toward the Tier with the most impact.)		2	10
	2. Project addresses Restoration Goals identified in the RFP.		2	10
	3. Project provides connectivity or enhances targeted resources nearby.			5
	4. Restores or enhance lost human uses.			5
	5. Restoration benefits are self-sustaining.			5
	6. Provides the greatest scope of benefits to the largest area or natural resource concern.		2	10
	7. Provides specific resource benefits or enhancements not otherwise provided in the priority areas.			5
	8. Benefits karst areas, springs, sinkholes or losing streams.			5
			TOTAL	Max 55

APPENDIX A

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

	Timeline and Feasibility	Score (0-5)	Weight	Weighted Score (Score x Weight)
Project Timeline and Cost Effectiveness	1. Time required to provide resource benefits or return resources to baseline conditions is minimized.			5
	2. Timelines for project implementation and milestones are identified and reasonable.			5
	3. Utilizes cost-effective means for implementation.			5
	4. Additional funds (matching or scaled) or in-kind contributions are dedicated to the project.		2	10
	5. The applicant and key personnel have the qualifications and experience necessary to complete the project.		2	10
	6. Project identifies restoration goals and criteria to measure successful restoration.			5
	7. The monitoring plan is designed to adequately measure and evaluate project performance and success in a verifiable and reportable manner.			5
	8. If goals of restoration are not being achieved, the project identifies "next steps" to adjust actions to achieve restoration goals.			5
			TOTAL	Max 50
			COMBINED TOTAL	Max 105

Scoring

0	1	2	3	4	5
Criteria not Addressed	Poor		Average		Criteria Fully Met

Additional Comments

Use the space below to provide additional comments. Attach additional pages if necessary.