MISSOURI AIR CONSERVATION COMMISSION

PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT

Under the authority of RSMo 643 and the Federal Clean Air Act the applicant is authorized to construct the air contaminant source(s) described below, in accordance with the laws, rules and conditions as set forth herein.

Permit Number: 112017-010 Project Number: 2017-07-054
Installation Number: 095-0298
Parent Company: Parker Hannifin
Parent Company Address: 6035 Parkland Blvd, Cleveland, OH 44124
Installation Name: CLARCOR Industrial Air
Installation Address: 417 SE Thompson Dr., Lee's Summit, MO 64082
Location Information: Jackson County, S17, T47N, R31W

Application for Authority to Construct was made for:
Four isopropyl alcohol coating lines, five plasma treatment lines, and associated equipment. This review was conducted in accordance with Section (6), Missouri State Rule 10 CSR 10-6.060, Construction Permits Required.

☐ Standard Conditions (on reverse) are applicable to this permit.
☒ Standard Conditions (on reverse) and Special Conditions are applicable to this permit.

Prepared by
David Little, PE
Environmental Engineer III
New Source Review Unit

Director of Designee
Department of Natural Resources

NOV 21 2017
Effective Date
STANDARD CONDITIONS:

Permission to construct may be revoked if you fail to begin construction or modification within two years from the effective date of this permit. Permittee should notify the Enforcement and Compliance Section of the Air Pollution Control Program if construction or modification is not started within two years after the effective date of this permit, or if construction or modification is suspended for one year or more.

You will be in violation of 10 CSR 10-6.060 if you fail to adhere to the specifications and conditions listed in your application, this permit and the project review. In the event that there is a discrepancy between the permit application and this permit, the conditions of this permit shall take precedence. Specifically, all air contaminant control devices shall be operated and maintained as specified in the application, associated plans and specifications.

You must notify the Enforcement and Compliance Section of the Department's Air Pollution Control Program of the anticipated date of start up of this (these) air contaminant source(s). The information must be made available within 30 days of actual startup. Also, you must notify the Department's regional office responsible for the area within which you are located within 15 days after the actual start up of this (these) air contaminant source(s).

A copy of the permit application and this permit and permit review shall be kept at the installation address and shall be made available to Department's personnel upon request.

You may appeal this permit or any of the listed special conditions to the Administrative Hearing Commission (AHC), P.O. Box 1557, Jefferson City, MO 65102, as provided in RSMo 643.075.6 and 621.250.3. If you choose to appeal, you must file a petition with the AHC within 30 days after the date this decision was mailed or the date it was delivered, whichever date was earlier. If any such petition is sent by registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is mailed. If it is sent by any method other than registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is received by the AHC.

If you choose not to appeal, this certificate, the project review and your application and associated correspondence constitutes your permit to construct. The permit allows you to construct and operate your air contaminant source(s), but in no way relieves you of your obligation to comply with all applicable provisions of the Missouri Air Conservation Law, regulations of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources and other applicable federal, state and local laws and ordinances.

The Air Pollution Control Program invites your questions regarding this air pollution permit. Please contact the Construction Permit Unit using the contact information below.

Contact Information:
Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Air Pollution Control Program
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176
(573) 751-4817

The regional office information can be found at the following website:
http://dnr.mo.gov/regions/
SPECIAL CONDITIONS:
The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

The special conditions listed in this permit were included based on the authority granted the Missouri Air Pollution Control Program by the Missouri Air Conservation Law (specifically 643.075) and by the Missouri Rules listed in Title 10, Division 10 of the Code of State Regulations (specifically 10 CSR 10-6.060). For specific details regarding conditions, see 10 CSR 10-6.060 paragraph (12)(A)10. "Conditions required by permitting authority."

CLARCOR Industrial Air
Jackson County, S17, T47N, R31W

1. Capture Device Requirement – Isopropyl Alcohol (IPA) Lines
   A. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall capture emissions from the dip tanks (4 per IPA line) of the IPA coating lines (4 coating lines EU-06, EU-07, EU-08, EU-09) using respective partial enclosures and exhaust fans.
   B. Each partial enclosure shall consist of a roof with side curtains/walls extending almost to the floor, and a minimum opening for product entry. Each exhaust fan shall draw from inside the enclosure.
   C. Negative pressure shall be demonstrated at the enclosures’ openings using a visual indicator such as an air velocity meter, streamers, smoke, powder puff, or other method preapproved by the Air Pollution Control Program.
   D. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall record the negative pressure demonstration method and indication of negative pressure status at at-least one location per each partial enclosure at least once every 24 hours of operation. Each 24 hour period without operation shall be indicated.
   E. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall capture emissions from the drying ovens of the IPA coating lines (EP-OU, EU-07, EU-08, EU-09) using totally enclosed drying ovens, except minimal openings for product entry.

2. Control Device Requirement – IPA Lines Catalytic Oxidizers
   A. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall control emissions from the IPA lines using catalytic oxidizers (catox) as indicated in Table 1.

   Table 1: IPA Lines and Catox
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IPA dip tanks and drying ovens</th>
<th>Regenerative thermal oxidizer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL-1 (EU-06)</td>
<td>Catox 1 (EP-10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-2 (EU-07)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-3 (EU-08)</td>
<td>Catox 2 (EP-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-4 (EU-09)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   B. The catoxs shall be operated and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer’s specifications. Each catox shall be equipped with a combustion zone temperature gauge and outlet hydrocarbon concentration gauge. The gauges shall be located such that Department of Natural Resources’ employees may easily observe them.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS:
The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

C. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall continuously monitor and record the combustion zone temperature and outlet hydrocarbon concentration at each catox. The combustion zone temperature and outlet hydrocarbon concentration shall be maintained within the design conditions specified by the manufacturer's performance warranty.

D. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall replace the catox catalyst within the design conditions specified by the manufacturer's performance warranty.

E. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall maintain a copy of each catox manufacturer's performance warranty on site.

F. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall maintain an operating and maintenance log for each catox which shall include the following:
   1) Incidents of malfunction, with impact on emissions, duration of event, probable cause, and corrective actions; and
   2) Maintenance activities, with inspection schedule, repair actions, and replacements, etc.
   3) Dates of all above.

3. Control Device Requirement – Plasma Lines Scrubbers
   A. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall control emissions from the plasma lines using wet venturi scrubbers as indicated in Table 2.

   Table 2: Plasma Lines and Scrubbers
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasma line</th>
<th>Scrubber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma line Z-3 (EU-12)</td>
<td>Scrubber 1 (EP-17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma line Z-6 (EU-15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma line Z-7 (EU-16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   B. The scrubbers shall be operated and maintained in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. Each scrubber shall be equipped with a gauge for fluid circulation rate and a gauge for air pressure drop. The gauges shall be located such that Department of Natural Resources' employees may easily observe them.

   C. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall monitor and record the fluid circulation rate, air pressure drop, and fluid pH at each scrubber at least once every 24 hour period. Each 24 hour period without operation shall be indicated. The fluid circulation rate, pressure drop, and pH shall be maintained within the design conditions specified by the manufacturer's performance warranty. pH may be monitored using litmus strips.
SPECIAL CONDITIONS:
The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

D. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall maintain a copy of each scrubber manufacturer’s performance warranty on site.

E. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall maintain an operating and maintenance log for the scrubbers which shall include the following:
   1) Incidents of malfunction, with impact on emissions, duration of event, probable cause, and corrective actions; and
   2) Maintenance activities, with inspection schedule, repair actions, and replacements, etc.
   3) Dates of all above.

4. Fuel Requirement – Natural Gas
   All burners in the following table shall be fired exclusively with pipeline natural gas as defined in 40 CFR 72.2. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall demonstrate compliance using fuel SDS. All records shall be kept on site.

   Table 3: Units Fired with Natural Gas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU-06</td>
<td>IPA line drying oven DL-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-07</td>
<td>IPA line drying oven DL-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-08</td>
<td>IPA line drying oven DL-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-09</td>
<td>IPA line drying oven DL-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-21</td>
<td>Pellet melter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-20</td>
<td>Burn off oven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-10</td>
<td>Catox1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-11</td>
<td>Catox2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Operational Requirement - Burn Off Oven (EP-20)
   A. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall exclusively use the burn off oven to remove plastics/residue from tools/metal parts.
   B. No PVC or chlorinated materials shall be introduced into the oven.
   C. The burn off oven shall be operated with an afterburner/secondary combustion chamber. A temperature of at least 1,400 degrees Fahrenheit shall be maintained in the secondary combustion chamber.
   D. The burn off oven shall be operated with a digital gauge that continuously indicates the temperature in the secondary combustion chamber. The temperature shall be recorded at least twice per batch cycle while operating. The batch cycle start and stop times shall be recorded. The times that the temperature is recorded shall be indicated.
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SPECIAL CONDITIONS:
The permittee is authorized to construct and operate subject to the following special conditions:

6. Operational Requirement – VOC Materials
   CLARCOR Industrial Air shall keep the VOC containing materials in closed containers whenever the materials are not in use. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall provide and maintain suitable, easily read, permanent markings on all VOC material containers used with this equipment.

7. Record Keeping and Reporting Requirements
   A. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall maintain all records required by this permit for not less than five years and shall make them available immediately to any Missouri Department of Natural Resources' personnel upon request. These records shall include SDS for all materials used.

   B. CLARCOR Industrial Air shall report to the Air Pollution Control Program's Compliance/Enforcement Section, by mail at P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 or by email at aircompliance@dnr.mo.gov, no later than 10 days after the end of the month during which any record required by this permit shows an exceedance of a condition imposed by this permit.
REVIEW OF APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE
SECTION (6) REVIEW
Project Number: 2017-07-054
Installation ID Number: 095-0298
Permit Number: 112017-010

Installation Address:
CLARCOR Industrial Air
417 SE Thompson Dr
Lee’s Summit, MO 64082

Parent Company:
Parker Hannifin
6035 Parkland Blvd
Cleveland, OH 44124

REVIEW SUMMARY

- CLARCOR Industrial Air has applied for authority to install four isopropyl alcohol coating lines, five plasma treatment lines, and associated equipment.

- The application was deemed complete on August 4, 2017.

- HAP emissions are expected from plastic extrusion, plasma treatment, and natural gas combustion, but in amounts below respective SMALs.

- None of the NSPS apply to the project emission units.

- None of the NESHAPs apply to the project emission units.

- None of the MACTs apply to the project emission units.

- Two catalytic oxidizers for four IPA lines and two scrubbers for five plasma treatment lines are being used to control the IPA and HF emissions.

- This review was conducted in accordance with Section (6) of Missouri State Rule 10 CSR 10-6.060, Construction Permits Required. The project VOC PTE is above the de minimis level but below major.

- This installation is located in Jackson County, part of which is a nonattainment area for the 2010 SO2 standard. This installation is located outside of that area.

- This installation is not on the List of Named Installations found in 10 CSR 10-6.020(3)(B), Table 2. The installation’s major source level is 250 tons per year and fugitive emissions are not counted toward major source applicability.

- Ambient air quality modeling was not performed for this review. No model is readily available which can accurately predict ambient ozone concentrations caused by this
installation's VOC emissions.

- Emission testing is not required as a part of this permit.

- Submittal of an intermediate operating permit application is required within 90 days of IPA line startup. Alternatively, submittal of a part 70 operating permit application is required within 12 months of IPA line startup. The applicant indicated equipment installation may be conducted in phases spanning several months. Therefore, the 90 day period and 12 month period commence after the IPA lines are operated, as these emission units contribute the majority of the project VOC emissions which puts the installation-wide VOC potential emissions above 100 tpy, necessitating the intermediate or part 70 operating permit.

- Approval of this permit is recommended with special conditions.

INSTALLATION DESCRIPTION

The installation manufactures expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE) and nanofibers for use in a number of products including filters and the health care industry. The existing installation is a minor source of VOC for NSR permits and a basic source of VOC for operating permits. The following NSR (construction) permits have been issued to CLARCOR Industrial Air from the Air Pollution Control Program.

Table 4: Construction Permit History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>012004-009</td>
<td>ePTFE manufacturing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102014-003</td>
<td>Coating line, cure oven, thermal oxidizer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112016-003</td>
<td>Forcespining unit to produce nanofibers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112016-003A</td>
<td>Nanofiber plasma treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Under this application, the installation proposes to install a polypropylene extruder and blown fiber former, burn off oven, IPA mixing tanks, IPA coating lines and drying ovens to treat the blown polypropylene mat, plasma treatment units, and control devices consisting of catalytic oxidizers and scrubbers. This application is combined with previous projects to create one project as summarized in Table 5.

Under this application, the installation will receive polypropylene pellets in Gaylords. The pellets will be fed into the extruder, melted, and blown to form filter mat material. The melt-blown process MHDR is 900 pounds per 24 hours of production. The melter is natural gas fired at a maximum of 1.5 MMBtu/hr input. The burn off oven cleans the machine parts of plastic scrap. It is rated at 0.26 MMBtu/hr input of natural gas. The blown fiber is its own inherent control device because as the fibers are blown and collected they form a mat, and collection of the fibers is necessary to make a salable product. Blown fiber is wound onto rolls and sent to the IPA lines.
Four IPA lines are proposed, each consisting of four dedicated dip tanks and a dedicated drying oven. The first tank contains a 60/40 IPA/water solution. The subsequent tanks begin with water but naturally change to increasing IPA concentrations due to carryover. The last tank is maintained at 10% or less IPA. Each drying oven is fired with natural gas at a maximum of 1 MMBtu/hr input. The ovens are completely enclosed except for product entry and exit. The tanks are surrounded by a roof and curtains. Emissions are routed to one of two catalytic oxidizers. Each catox is fired with natural gas. One is rated at 2 MMBtu/hr input; the other at 1.7 MMBtu/hr input. Dried mat is wound onto rolls and shipped offsite or made into filters onsite using glues that don't contain VOC.

IPA will be received to an outside storage tank and piped inside to totes for mixing. This new system will also provide IPA for existing unrelated processes. The existing processes will not see a potential increase as a result of the new IPA storage/mixing method.

Five plasma treatment lines will be installed. Plasma is used to modify the surface of filter material fibers. Each line consists of a single treatment unit. The units will be loaded with filter rolls, vacuum purged, filled with hexafluoropropylene gas, treated, vacuum purged, and unloaded. The gas MHDR is 1.439 lbs/hr per unit. The plasma results in hydrogen fluoride emissions which are sent to one of two scrubbers.

Table 5: Project Emission Units

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Unit</th>
<th>Emission Unit Description</th>
<th>Emission Point</th>
<th>Control Device</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP-19</td>
<td>Polypropylene (PPE) pellet unloading into melter</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-21</td>
<td>PPE melting: material emissions and fuel combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-22</td>
<td>PPE blowing/fiber forming</td>
<td></td>
<td>Inherent fiber forming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-20</td>
<td>Burn-off oven</td>
<td></td>
<td>Integral afterburner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-06</td>
<td>IPA coating line DL-1: 4 tanks and drying oven</td>
<td>EP-10</td>
<td>Catox1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-07</td>
<td>IPA coating line DL-2: 4 tanks and drying oven</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-08</td>
<td>IPA coating line DL-3: 4 tanks and drying oven</td>
<td>EP-11</td>
<td>Catox2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-09</td>
<td>IPA coating line DL-4: 4 tanks and drying oven</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-12</td>
<td>Plasma treatment line Z-3</td>
<td>EP-17</td>
<td>Scrubber 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-13</td>
<td>Plasma treatment line Z-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-14</td>
<td>Plasma treatment line Z-5</td>
<td>EP-18</td>
<td>Scrubber 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-15</td>
<td>Plasma treatment line Z-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-16</td>
<td>Plasma treatment line Z-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-23</td>
<td>8,700 gal IPA storage tank</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-24</td>
<td>350 gal tote for 60% IPA, 40% water mixing</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-25</td>
<td>350 gal tote for 60% IPA, 40% water use</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-26</td>
<td>350 gal tote for IPA/water return from IPA coating lines</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-27</td>
<td>Existing paved haul roads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Projects Combined with 2017-07-054 as One Project</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Scrubbers preinstalled on unit but not federally enforceable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A = not applicable
EMISSIONS/CONTROLS EVALUATION

Emission factor references are provided at the end of this permit. Emission calculations are provided in the attached Excel document.

PM, PM$_{10}$, and PM$_{2.5}$ filterable emission factors for pellet handling were obtained from project 2009-07-026, 1 lb/ton. Melting emission factors were obtained from an AWMA document. Melting emissions include PM, PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, VOC, CO, and HAPs. These are in addition to the natural gas fired melter emissions.

PM, PM$_{10}$, and PM$_{2.5}$ filterable emission factors for fiber forming originated from the permit application’s mass balance of 0.0001 lb/lb blown equating to 99.99% control. This would be HEPA level control, without a HEPA being installed. Therefore, this review conservatively changed the control to 99.5%, for an emission factor of 0.005 lb/lb or 10 lb/ton blown. 99.5% was obtained from the permits section default PM and PM$_{10}$ filterable control for a baghouse. The control is inherent to the fiber forming operation, therefore no special condition is required.

The IPA lines’ emission factors were obtained from stack testing conducted on the same lines installed in another state. Those lines are being relocated to this installation for this project. The catox inlet value of 18.33 lb/hr for one line was reduced by a conservative 95% to obtain the stack emissions. Stack testing showed 96.5% control minimum. Fugitive emissions were calculated using the catox inlet value, with an assumed 95% capture efficiency. Potential fugitive IPA is 0.965 lb/hr per line. Potential IPA usage/loss rate for this project is approximately 19.30 lb/hr per line.

Plasma treatment results in hydrogen fluoride emissions, represented by a stack test. The controlled emission rate is 0.0006 lb/hr of hydrogen fluoride per unit. There are no fugitive emissions.


IPA storage and mixing tank emissions were calculated using the EPA program TANKS 4.09d.

Haul road emissions for receiving and shipping were calculated using AP-42, Chapter 13.2.1 Paved Roads, January 2011.

The following table provides an emissions summary for this project. Existing potential emissions were obtained from operating permit project 2017-06-010, which includes projects 2016-08-028 (permit 112016-003) and 2017-01-037 (permit 112016-003A). Existing actual emissions were obtained from the installation’s 2016 EIQ. Potential emissions of the project represent the potential of the IPA lines and plasma lines, summed with projects 2016-08-028 and 2017-01-037, as these three are one project for
construction permit purposes. The new installation conditioned potential is the sum of the existing potential plus just the new emission units in this project 2017-07-054.

Table 6: Emissions Summary (tpy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Regulatory De Minimis Levels and SMAL</th>
<th>Existing Potential Emissions</th>
<th>Existing Actual Emissions</th>
<th>Potential Emissions of the Project</th>
<th>New Installation Conditioned Potential</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>1.1E-03</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{10}</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.1E-03</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM\textsubscript{2.5}</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>1.1E-03</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1E-04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>11.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>92.81</td>
<td>32.20</td>
<td>45.03</td>
<td>126.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>10.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorides excluding HF</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>6.7E-05</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG (CO\textsubscript{2}e)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;&lt;75,000</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>4,903.65</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG (mass)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>4,874.87</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined HAPs</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>3.5E-03</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethyl formamide</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>3.5E-03</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>6.2E-03</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>1.3E-04</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaldehyde</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>2.6E-03</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionaldehyde</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>2.6E-04</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen fluoride (HF)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>4.5E-02</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>7.3E-02</td>
<td>N/D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/A = Not Applicable; N/D = Not Determined
Other individual HAPs are potentially emitted, each below the respective SMAL.

**APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS**

CLARCOR Industrial Air shall comply with the following applicable requirements. The Missouri Air Conservation Laws and Regulations should be consulted for specific record keeping, monitoring, and reporting requirements. Compliance with these emission standards, based on information submitted in the application, has been verified at the time this application was approved. For a complete list of applicable requirements for your installation, please consult your operating permit.

**GENERAL REQUIREMENTS**

- *Operating Permits*, 10 CSR 10-6.065
- *Start-Up, Shutdown, and Malfunction Conditions*, 10 CSR 10-6.050
• **Submission of Emission Data, Emission Fees and Process Information, 10 CSR 10-6.110**
  o Per 10 CSR 10-6.110(4)(B)2.B(II) and (4)(B)2.C(II) a full EIQ is required for the first full calendar year the equipment (or modifications) approved by this permit are in operation.

• **Restriction of Particulate Matter to the Ambient Air Beyond the Premises of Origin, 10 CSR 10-6.170**

• **Restriction of Emission of Visible Air Contaminants, 10 CSR 10-6.220**

• **Restriction of Emission of Odors, 10 CSR 10-6.165**

**SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS**

• 10 CSR 10-2.215 **Control of Emissions from Solvent Cleanup Operations** does not apply. If the IPA coating lines are interpreted for purposes of this rule to be solvent cleanup, then the rule does not apply to any stationary source at which cleaning solvent VOCs are emitted at less than 500 pounds per day. The potential controlled VOC emissions plus fugitives from the combined four IPA coating lines are 180.6 pounds per day. 180.6 is less than 500, therefore the rule does not apply.

• 10 CSR 10-2.230 **Control of Emissions From Industrial Surface Coating Operations** applies to the four IPA coating lines. The permit application refers to the lines as coating lines, and the process meets the definition of coating in 10 CSR 10-6.020(2)(C)31. The combined uncontrolled VOC PTE exceeds the rule's applicability of 2.7 tpy. However, the coated substrate is non-woven polypropylene that does not meet the definition of any substrate in 10 CSR 10-2.230(4), including not meeting the definition of fabric. Fabric coating is defined in 10 CSR 10-6.020(2)(F)1. as being applied to a textile substrate. Textile is not defined in the CSR but has a general definition as being woven, knitted, or felted. This substrate is not woven, knitted, or felted, therefore it is not a textile, and not a fabric for the purposes of this rule. Therefore, there is no applicable VOC emission limit within the rule.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

On the basis of this review conducted in accordance with Section (6), Missouri State Rule 10 CSR 10-6.060, **Construction Permits Required**, it is recommended that this permit be granted with special conditions.
PERMIT DOCUMENTS

The following documents are incorporated by reference into this permit:

- The Application for Authority to Construct form, dated June 29, 2017, received July 18, 2017, designating Parker Hannifin as the owner and operator of the installation.
- The Application for Authority to Construct form, dated June 29, 2017, received August 4, 2017, designating Parker Hannifin as the owner and operator of the installation.

The following documents are permit references:

- 2017-07-054.xlsx
- 2017-07-054 draft 1.pdf
- 2017-07-054 draft 1 comments.pdf
- 2017-07-054 draft 2.pdf
- U.S. EPA software, TANKS version 4.09d
APPENDIX A

Abbreviations and Acronyms

\% ................ percent
\degree F ........ degrees Fahrenheit
acfm ........ actual cubic feet per minute
BACT ......... Best Available Control Technology
BMPs ........ Best Management Practices
Btu .......... British thermal unit
CAM .......... Compliance Assurance Monitoring
CAS .......... Chemical Abstracts Service
CEMS ...... Continuous Emission Monitor System
CFR .......... Code of Federal Regulations
CO .......... carbon monoxide
CO2 .......... carbon dioxide
CO2e .......... carbon dioxide equivalent
COMS ...... Continuous Opacity Monitoring System
CSR .......... Code of State Regulations
dscf ........ dry standard cubic feet
EIQ ........ Emission Inventory Questionnaire
EP .......... Emission Point
EPA .......... Environmental Protection Agency
EU .......... Emission Unit
fps .......... feet per second
ft .............. feet
GACT ...... Generally Available Control Technology
GHG ........ Greenhouse Gas
gpm ........ gallons per minute
gr .......... grains
GWP .......... Global Warming Potential
HAP .......... Hazardous Air Pollutant
hr .......... hour
hp .......... horsepower
lb ............ pound
lbs/hr ...... pounds per hour
MACT ......... Maximum Achievable Control Technology
µg/m³ .......... micrograms per cubic meter
m/s .......... meters per second
Mgal .......... 1,000 gallons
MW ........ megawatt
MHDR ....... maximum hourly design rate
MMBtu .... Million British thermal units
MMCF ...... million cubic feet
MSDS ...... Material Safety Data Sheet
NAAQS .... National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NESHAPs National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NOx .......... nitrogen oxides
NSPS ...... New Source Performance Standards
NSR .......... New Source Review
PM .......... particulate matter
PM2.5 ....... particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in aerodynamic diameter
PM10 .......... particulate matter less than 10 microns in aerodynamic diameter
ppm .......... parts per million
PSD .......... Prevention of Significant Deterioration
PTE .......... potential to emit
RACT ...... Reasonable Available Control Technology
RAL .......... Risk Assessment Level
SCC .......... Source Classification Code
scfm .......... standard cubic feet per minute
SDS .......... Safety Data Sheet
SIC .......... Standard Industrial Classification
SIP .......... State Implementation Plan
SMAL ...... Screening Model Action Levels
SOx .......... sulfur oxides
SO2 .......... sulfur dioxide
SSM .......... Startup, Shutdown & Malfunction
tph .......... tons per hour
tpy .......... tons per year
VMT .......... vehicle miles traveled
VOC .......... Volatile Organic Compound
Polypropylene / IPA lines

receive polypropylene pellets in gaylords, melt-blown on site using natural gas 1.5mbtu.

MHDR PPE pellets 900 pounds per day, for 162,000 square ft /day blown. Has a separate 260,000 btu natural gas burn off over.

4 new lines (EU-06 to EU-09) to coat continuous rolls of porous, non-woven polypropylene material with IPA/water mixture.

DL-2 and DL-3 to catox1. DL-4 and DL-5 to catox2.

2 new catalytic oxidizers, natural gas with catalyst bed

monitors for inlet temp, outlet temp, hydrocarbon conc.

each line to have open top tank of 60/40 IPA/water, and 3 water rinse tanks
two of the 60/40 tanks will be 50 gal, two will be 75 gal

the solution is drawn out of the PPE by extraction rollers prior to leaving 1st tank area

secondary containment at each of the 4 solution tanks will be dedicated recessed floor pits, with vapors exhausted to catox

goex coated, washed material will enter a dedicated drying oven (100,000 btu each), exhausted to catox

existing process 232 IPA storage and mixing to be removed and replaced with combined system for 4 lines and process 232

1 new 8,700 gal IPA tank

300ft² mixing room

Plasma / Z lines

five lines (EU-12 to EU-16) using hexafluoropropene gas as the plasma agent (results in hydrogen fluoride HAP emissions)

plasma units are sealed, vacuum purged, routed to 2 scrubbers


Plasma / Z Lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Melt/Blown</th>
<th>IPA Lines</th>
<th>Plasma Z Lines</th>
<th>Storage Tanks, Pumping</th>
<th>Natural Gas Combustion</th>
<th>Haul Roads</th>
<th>Sum of 2017-07-054</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>32.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0475436</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>33.37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorides excluding HF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG mass</td>
<td>4,874.87</td>
<td>4,874.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG CO2e</td>
<td>4,903.65</td>
<td>4,903.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined HAPs</td>
<td>0.00612817</td>
<td>0.01314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>0.0013718</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde</td>
<td>3.05E-03</td>
<td>6.2E-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acrolein</td>
<td>0.0013304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>0.00259515</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>proprionaldehyde</td>
<td>0.0002628</td>
<td>0.01314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3E-02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>8.53E-05</td>
<td>8.5E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexane</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission Unit</td>
<td>Emission Point</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Material</td>
<td>MHDR true (tpd)</td>
<td>MHDR Bottlenecked (tpd)</td>
<td>Pollutant</td>
<td>Emission Factor (lb/ton)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-19</td>
<td></td>
<td>polypropylene pellet unloading, gaylords into melter</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>0.01875</td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

factor obtained from project 2009-07-026. Close to SCC 3-01-018-11 Storage 0.8 lb/ton.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Unit</th>
<th>Emission Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>MHDR true (tpd)</th>
<th>MHDR Bottlenecked (tpd)</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission Factor (lb/ton)</th>
<th>Emission Factor Source</th>
<th>Available Emissions (lb/hr)</th>
<th>Control Device</th>
<th>Capture Efficiency</th>
<th>Control Efficiency</th>
<th>PTE (lb/hr)</th>
<th>PTE (tpy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP-21</td>
<td></td>
<td>polypropylene pellet melting</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>0.01875</td>
<td></td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>1.306</td>
<td>0.0244875</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.0244875</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>1.306</td>
<td>0.0244875</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.0244875</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>1.306</td>
<td>0.0244875</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.0244875</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>1.638</td>
<td>0.0307125</td>
<td>0.0307125</td>
<td>0.0307125</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Combined HAPs</td>
<td>0.07462</td>
<td>0.0013991</td>
<td>0.0013991</td>
<td>0.0013991</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>0.0362</td>
<td>0.0007163</td>
<td>0.0007163</td>
<td>0.0007163</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>acrolein</td>
<td>0.00162</td>
<td>3.038E-05</td>
<td>3.038E-05</td>
<td>3.038E-05</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
<td>0.0059225</td>
<td>0.0059225</td>
<td>0.0059225</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>propionaldehyde</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.00006</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PM, VOC, HAP factors from "Development of Emission Factors for Polypropylene Processing" AWMA 1999, Table 5. Assumed Test Run 3 at 605 degrees F for conservative worst case.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ug / gram</th>
<th>lb/MM lb</th>
<th>lb/ton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetald</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetald</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetald</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Applicant claimed PM emission factor of 0.0001 lb/lb poly. This equates to 99.99% control. Conservatively 99.5% was chosen instead, equates to 0.005 lb/lb emission factor, 0.5% loss. Same factor as project 2016-08-028.
### Drying Line 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Unit</th>
<th>Emission Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>MHDR True</th>
<th>MHDR Bottlenecked</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission Factor (lb/ton)</th>
<th>Emission Source</th>
<th>Available Emissions (lb/hr)</th>
<th>Control Device</th>
<th>Capture Efficiency, %</th>
<th>Control Efficiency</th>
<th>PTE (lb/hr)</th>
<th>PTE (tpy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL-1</td>
<td>catox1</td>
<td>stack portion, 50 gallon open top dip tank and 3 rinse tanks</td>
<td>60% IPA by volume in dip tank, down to 10% in last rinse tank</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>IPA - VOC</td>
<td>18.333333</td>
<td>catox1</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0.9166667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% control efficiency should be conservative, as testing from New Jersey for these units showed 96.71% and 97.10% DRE.

catox combustion emissions included on separate tab.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Unit</th>
<th>Emission Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>MHDR True</th>
<th>MHDR Bottlenecked</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission Factor (lb/ton)</th>
<th>Emission Source</th>
<th>Available Emissions (lb/hr)</th>
<th>Control Device</th>
<th>Capture Efficiency, %</th>
<th>Control Efficiency</th>
<th>PTE (lb/hr)</th>
<th>PTE (tpy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL-1</td>
<td>fugitive</td>
<td>stack portion, 50 gallon open top dip tank and 3 rinse tanks</td>
<td>60% IPA by volume in dip tank, down to 10% in last rinse tank</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>IPA - VOC</td>
<td>19.298246</td>
<td>catox1</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>0.9649123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% capture efficiency estimated, enclosure using hanging plastic strips.

Available emissions are what would emit from tank prior to considering capture/control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drying Line Number</th>
<th>Stack VOC PTE (tpy)</th>
<th>Fugitive VOC PTE (tpy)</th>
<th>Total (tpy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DL-1 EU06 to catox1 EP10</td>
<td>4.015</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-2 EU07 to catox1 EP10</td>
<td>4.015</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-3 EU08 to catox2 EP11</td>
<td>4.015</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-4 EU09 to catox2 EP11</td>
<td>4.015</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All 4 lines

- per IPA line
  - line speed 29.7 linear ft/min
  - max fabric width 60 inches
  - line speed 148.5 ft²/min
  - max dry fabric weight 36 gram/m²
  - max wet fabric weight 96 gram/m²
  - liquid net weight 60 gram/m²
  - liquid rate 0.0122893 lb/ft²
  - IPA volume 1.8249561 lb/min
  - water volume 60%
  - IPA density 6.53538 lb/gal
  - water density 8.34 lb/gal
  - liquid density 7.274148 lb/gal
  - liquid rate 0.2508825 gal/min
  - IPA rate 0.9880122 lb/min
  - IPA rate 0.996304 tph

- how much it can soak up or how much is released, 100% emitted?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Unit</th>
<th>Emission Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>MHDR true (lb/hr)</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Emission Factor (lb/ton)</th>
<th>Available Emissions (lb/hr)</th>
<th>Control Device</th>
<th>Capture Efficiency</th>
<th>Control Efficiency</th>
<th>PTE (lb/hr)</th>
<th>PTE (tpy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z-3</td>
<td>EP-17</td>
<td>vacuum plasma treatment of hexafluoropropylene gas</td>
<td></td>
<td>hydrogen fluoride</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td></td>
<td>scrubber 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.002628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-4</td>
<td>EP-17</td>
<td>vacuum plasma treatment of hexafluoropropylene gas</td>
<td></td>
<td>hydrogen fluoride</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td></td>
<td>scrubber 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.002628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-5</td>
<td>EP-18</td>
<td>vacuum plasma treatment of hexafluoropropylene gas</td>
<td></td>
<td>hydrogen fluoride</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td></td>
<td>scrubber 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.002628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-6</td>
<td>EP-18</td>
<td>vacuum plasma treatment of hexafluoropropylene gas</td>
<td></td>
<td>hydrogen fluoride</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td></td>
<td>scrubber 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.002628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-7</td>
<td>EP-18</td>
<td>vacuum plasma treatment of hexafluoropropylene gas</td>
<td></td>
<td>hydrogen fluoride</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td></td>
<td>scrubber 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.002628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HF controlled emission rate obtained from application citing 4/2/2007 stack test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scrubber 1</th>
<th>Scrubber 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z-3 EU12</td>
<td>Z-5 EU14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-4 EU13</td>
<td>Z-6 EU15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z-7 EU16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

per plasma unit

- HFP usage: 97 cc/min
- HFP vapor density: 0.0001644 lb/hr
- sds gas density (lb/ft³) converted to kg/m³: 398.1 kg/m³

This has to be the vapor cc/min for calculation to work, not liquid cc otherwise need to use the liquid density, not vapor

can't follow the application's calcs, not necessary as there was a stack test and no fugitives.

application says hydrogen fluoride PTE is 7.196 lb/yr
### Table: Emission Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Unit</th>
<th>Emission Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>MHDRC (MMBtu/hr)</th>
<th>Combined MHDRC (MMBtu/hr)</th>
<th>MHDRC (MMBtu/hr)</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>CAS</th>
<th>HAP?</th>
<th>Factor (lb/hr)</th>
<th>Factor Source (SCC)</th>
<th>Available Pollutant (lb/hr)</th>
<th>Control Device</th>
<th>PTE (lb/hr)</th>
<th>PTE (kg/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU-06</td>
<td>IPA line drying oven</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>PM Filters</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.0176</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>6.48E-06</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.84E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-07</td>
<td>IPA line drying oven</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>6.48E-06</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.84E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-08</td>
<td>IPA line drying oven</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>PM12</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>6.48E-06</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.84E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU-09</td>
<td>IPA line drying oven</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>SO2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>6.48E-06</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.84E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-11</td>
<td>Pellet mill</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>0.9275</td>
<td>0.9275</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.9275</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>6.48E-06</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.84E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-20</td>
<td>Burn off oven</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>VOC</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.0510</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.0510</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>6.48E-06</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.84E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-10</td>
<td>Caten1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.7791</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.7791</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>6.48E-06</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.84E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-11</td>
<td>Caten1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>Combined HAPs</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0.0175</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>6.48E-06</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.84E-05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Natural gas HHV is 1,020 Btu/scf. The values are cited from AP-42 Section 1.4, July 1998.
see below

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EP-24</th>
<th>350 gal tote</th>
<th>60/40 mix tank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EP-25</td>
<td>350 gal tote</td>
<td>60/40 holding tank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP-26</td>
<td>350 gal tote</td>
<td>return water from drying lines, contains the IPA being rinsed out of the PPE substrate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPA tank MHDR is sum of tested RTO inlet (lb/hr) and calculated fugitives from the lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTO Inlet VOC (lb/hr)</th>
<th>IPA fugitive (lb/hr)</th>
<th>total IPA lost (lb/hr)</th>
<th>IPA density (lb/gal)</th>
<th>total IPA lost (gal/hr)</th>
<th>number of lines</th>
<th>total IPA usage rate (gal/hr)</th>
<th>total IPA usage rate (gal/yr)</th>
<th>one 5,000 gal tank (turnovers/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

per line per line per line per line

---

This 18.33 is not the fugitive. It is the total available prior to considering capture/control. Therefore the 18.33 should be deleted, and the total IPA lost is only 19.30, not 37.63.

Assume resulting PTE is 19.30/37.63 of the values listed below, for tanks total 0.048 tpy not 0.093 tpy.

Run TANKS program for one 5,000 gal tank at the total IPA usage rate.
Run TANKS program for one 350 gal tote at 100% IPA with turnovers per year to match total usage, double it for having two totes.

TANKS losses (lb/yr)

| 5,000 gal tank | 330.68 |
| 350 gal       | 27.37  |
| 350 gal       | 27.37  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>total VOC (tpy)</th>
<th>0.09021</th>
<th>0.0475436</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>incorrect</td>
<td></td>
<td>correct</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

draft 1 comments received 9/25/2017. Instead of two 5,000 gal tanks there will be one 8,700 gal tank (EP-23). I decided to not redo calcs.
Natural gas already counted. Do we have emission factors for burn off ovens? Use crematory, msw incinerator?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>make</th>
<th>model</th>
<th>model number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollution Control Products Co.</td>
<td>Controlled Pyrolysis</td>
<td>SCTR6 4160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

no burn off oven emission factors could be found, AP-42, webfire, vendor website
Nebraska says less HAPs than MSW incinerator
several states have permit exemptions, others general permits
traditional APCP practice has been to count just the fuel combustion emissions with no PVC or chlorinated material allowed
There is no added control % for cleaning paved roads, but the SL decreases.
SL of 2.0 g/m² is assumed for light industry (except more fugitive materials such as grain, aggregate, coal, etc may have higher SL).
SL lower than 2.0 can be used with a permit limit, cleaning, and testing required.

There are no added control % for cleaning paved roads, but the SL decreases. SL of 2.0 g/m² is assumed for light industry (except more fugitive materials such as grain, aggregate, coal, etc may have higher SL). SL lower than 2.0 can be used with a permit limit, cleaning, and testing required.

There is no added control % for cleaning paved roads, but the SL decreases. SL of 2.0 g/m² is assumed for light industry (except more fugitive materials such as grain, aggregate, coal, etc may have higher SL). SL lower than 2.0 can be used with a permit limit, cleaning, and testing required.

There is no added control % for cleaning paved roads, but the SL decreases. SL of 2.0 g/m² is assumed for light industry (except more fugitive materials such as grain, aggregate, coal, etc may have higher SL). SL lower than 2.0 can be used with a permit limit, cleaning, and testing required.
Haul Road ID No.: 1 2 3 4

Wt. (lbs/2): 12.50 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

P: 100 100 100 100 100 100 365 365

N: 365 365 365 365 365 365 365 365

Table 13.2.1-1 PARTICLE SIZE MULTIPLIERS FOR PAVED ROAD EQUATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle Size</th>
<th>Multiplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM15</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM30</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ext = \[ k(sL)^{0.91} \times (W)^{1.02} \] \times [1-P/(4N)] where:

\( k, sL, W \) and \( N \) are as defined above and

Ext = annual average emission factor in the same units as \( k \)

\( P \) = number of "wet" days with at least 0.01 inch of precipitation during the averaging period

\( N \) = number of days in the averaging period (365 for annual)

The equations retain the quality rating of A (D for PM2.5), if applied within the range of source

Silt loading:

0.03-400 g/m²

0.04-570 grains/square foot (ft²)

Mean vehicle weight:

1.8-38 megagrams (Mg)

2.0-42 tons

Mean vehicle speed:

1-88 kilometers per hour (kph)

1-55 miles per hour (mph)

The upper 95% confidence levels of equation 1 for PM10 is best described with equations using

\[ E_{95\%} = k(sL)^{1.4} \times (W)^{1.19} \]

\[ E_{95\%}(PM_{10})(lb/vmt) = 0.0240 \times 0.0000 \times 0.0000 \times 0.0000 \times 0.0000 \]

\[ E_{95\%}(PM_{2.5})(lb/vmt) = 0.0979 \times 0.0000 \times 0.0000 \times 0.0000 \times 0.0000 \]
\[ E = k \left( \frac{s}{12} \right)^a \left( \frac{W}{3} b \right) \]

where:
- \( E \) = sieve-specific emission factor (lb/VMT)
- \( s \) = surface material silt content (%)
- \( W \) = mean vehicle weight (tons)

\[ E_{\text{ext}} = E \left( \frac{365-P}{365} \right) \]

where \( E \) is defined above and:
- \( E_{\text{ext}} \) = annual sieve-specific emission factor extrapolated for natural mitigation (lb/VMT)
- \( P \) = number of days in a year with at least 0.01 inch of precipitation

### Constants for Equation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle Size</th>
<th>( k ) (lb/VMT)</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM2.5</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM10</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM30</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Calculation Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haul Road (ID No.)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W (tons)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s (%)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (days)</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{PM2.5}} ) (lb/VMT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{PM10}} ) (lb/VMT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{PM30}} ) (lb/VMT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{ext(10)}} ) (lb/VMT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{\text{ext(30)}} ) (lb/VMT)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TANKS 4.0 Report, 5,000 gal.txt

TANKS 4.0 Report

Emissions Report - Detail Format
Tank Indentification and Physical Characteristics

Identification
User Identification: clarcor 5000
City:
State:
Company:
Type of Tank: Vertical Fixed Roof Tank
Description:

Tank Dimensions
Shell Height (ft): 13.30
Diameter (ft): 8.00
Liquid Height (ft): 13.30
Avg. Liquid Height (ft): 6.60
Volume (gallons): 5,000.98
Turnovers: 40.17
Net Throughput (gal/yr): 200,889.17
Is Tank Heated (y/n): N

Paint Characteristics
Shell Color/Shade: White/White
Shell Condition: Good
Roof Color/Shade: White/White
Roof Condition: Good

Roof Characteristics
Type: Dome
Height (ft): 0.00
Radius (ft) (Dome Roof): 8.00

Breather Vent Settings
Vacuum Settings (psig): -0.03
Pressure Settings (psig): 0.03

Meteorological Data used in Emissions Calculations: Kansas City, Missouri
(Avg Atmospheric Pressure = 14.27 psia)

TANKS 4.0.9d
Emissions Report - Detail Format
Liquid Contents of Storage Tank
clarcor 5000 - Vertical Fixed Roof Tank

Daily Liquid Surf.
Temperature (deg F) Liquid
Bulk
Temp Vapor Pressure (psia) Vapor
Mol. Liquid
Mass Vapor
Mass Mol. Basis for Vapor Pressure
Fract. Weight Calculations

Isopropyl alcohol All 55.455.0 2560.6553.6 0.40590.33340.491860.0900
60.09 Option 2: A=8.1177, B=1580.92, C=219.61

Page 1
TANKS 4.0 Report, 5,000 gal.txt

TANKS 4.0.d
Emissions Report - Detail Format
Detail Calculations (AP-42)

clarcor 5000 - Vertical Fixed Roof Tank

Annual Emission Calculations

Standing Losses (lb): 24,1021
  Vapor Space Volume (cu ft): 364.3606
  Vapor Density (lb/cu ft): 0.0044
  Vapor Space Expansion Factor: 0.0475
  Vented Vapor Saturation Factor: 0.8651

Tank Vapor Space Volume:
  Vapor Space Volume (cu ft): 364.3606
  Tank Diameter (ft): 8.0000
  Vapor Space Outage (ft): 7.2487
  Tank Shell Height (ft): 13.3000
  Average Liquid Height (ft): 6.6000
  Roof Outage (ft): 0.5487

Roof Outage (Dome Roof):
  Roof Outage (ft): 0.5487
  Dome Radius (ft): 8.0000
  Shell Radius (ft): 4.0000

Vapor Density
  Vapor Density (lb/cu ft): 0.0044
  Vapor Molecular Weight (lb/lb-mole): 60.0900
  Vapor Pressure at Daily Average Liquid Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4059
  Daily Average Ambient Temp. (deg. F): 53.6167
  Ideal Gas Constant R
    (psia cu ft / (lb-mol-deg R)): 10.731
  Liquid Bulk Temperature (deg. R): 513.3067
  Tank Paint Solar Absorptance (Shell): 0.1700
  Tank Paint Solar Absorptance (Roof): 0.1700
  Daily Total Solar Insulation Factor (Btu/sq/ft day): 1,356.9109

Vapor Space Expansion Factor
  Vapor Space Expansion Factor: 0.0475
  Daily Vapor Temperature Range (deg. R): 20.7989
  Daily Vapor Pressure Range (psia): 0.1584
  Breather Vent Press. Setting Range (psia): 0.0600
  Vapor Pressure at Daily Average Liquid Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4059
  Vapor Pressure at Daily Minimum Liquid Surface Temperature (psia): 0.3334
  Vapor Pressure at Daily Maximum Liquid Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4918
  Daily Avg. Liquid Surface Temp. (deg R): 515.1202
  Daily Min. Liquid Surface Temp. (deg R): 509.9205
  Daily Max. Liquid Surface Temp. (deg R): 520.3199
  Daily Ambient Temp. Range (deg R): 19.9167
Vented Vapor Saturation Factor
Vented Vapor Saturation Factor: 0.8651
Vapor Pressure at Daily Average Liquid:
   Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4059
Vapor Space Outage (ft): 7.2487

Working Losses (lb): 106.5797
Vapor Molecular Weight (lb/lb-mole): 60.0900
Vapor Pressure at Daily Average Liquid
   Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4059
Annual Net Throughput (gal/yr.): 200,889.1700
Annual Turnovers: 40.1700
Turnover Factor: 0.9135
Maximum Liquid Volume (gal): 5,000.9751
Maximum Liquid Height (ft): 13.3000
Tank Diameter (ft): 8.0000
Working Loss Product Factor: 1.0000

Total Losses (lb): 130.6817

TANKS 4.0.9d
Emissions Report - Detail Format
Individual Tank Emission Totals

Emissions Report for: Annual
clarcor 5000 - Vertical Fixed Roof Tank

Losses (lbs)
Components Working Loss Breathing Loss Total Emissions
Isopropyl alcohol 106.58 24.10 130.68
TANKS 4.0 Report, 350 gal.txt

Emissions Report - Detail Format
Tank Identification and Physical Characteristics

Identification
User Identification: 350 clarcor mix
City: 
State: 
Company: 
Type of Tank: Vertical Fixed Roof Tank
Description:

Tank Dimensions
Shell Height (ft): 5.00
Diameter (ft): 3.45
Liquid Height (ft): 5.00
Avg. Liquid Height (ft): 2.50
Volume (gallons): 349.65
Turnovers: 574.00
Net Throughput (gal/yr): 200,698.06
Is Tank Heated (y/n): N

Paint Characteristics
Shell Color/Shade: White/White
Shell Condition: Good
Roof Color/Shade: White/White
Roof Condition: Good

Roof Characteristics
Type: Dome
Height (ft): 0.00
Radius (ft) (Dome Roof): 3.45

Breather Vent Settings
Vacuum Settings (psig): -0.03
Pressure Settings (psig): 0.03

Meteorological Data used in Emissions Calculations: Kansas City, Missouri
(Avg Atmospheric Pressure = 14.27 psia)

TANKS 4.0.9d
Emissions Report - Detail Format
Liquid Contents of Storage Tank

350 clarcor mix - Vertical Fixed Roof Tank

Daily Liquid Surf.
Temperature (deg F) Liquid
Bulk
Temp Vapor Pressure (psia) Vapor
Mol. Liquid
Mass Vapor
Mass Mol. Basis for Vapor Pressure

Isopropyl alcohol All 55.4550.2560.6553.64 0.40590.33340.491860.0900
60.09 Option 2: A=8.1177, B=1580.92, C=219.61
Page 1
Annual Emission Calculations

Standing Losses (lb): 1.8474
Vapor Space Volume (cu ft): 25.5826
Vapor Density (lb/cu ft): 0.0044
Vapor Space Expansion Factor: 0.0475
Vented Vapor Saturation Factor: 0.9444

Tank Vapor Space Volume:
- Vapor Space Volume (cu ft): 25.5826
- Tank Diameter (ft): 3.4500
- Vapor Space Outage (ft): 2.7366
- Tank Shell Height (ft): 5.0000
- Average Liquid Height (ft): 2.5000
- Roof Outage (ft): 0.2366

Roof Outage (Dome Roof):
- Roof Outage (ft): 0.2366
- Dome Radius (ft): 3.4500
- Shell Radius (ft): 1.7250

Vapor Density
- Vapor Density (lb/cu ft): 0.0044
- Vapor Molecular Weight (lb/lb-mole): 60.0900
- Vapor Pressure at Daily Average Liquid Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4059
- Daily Average Ambient Temp. (deg. F): 53.6167
- Ideal Gas Constant R
  - (psia cuft / (lb-mol-deg R)): 10.731
- Liquid Bulk Temperature (deg. R): 513.3067
- Tank Paint Solar Absorptance (Shell): 0.1700
- Tank Paint Solar Absorptance (Roof): 0.1700
- Daily Total Solar Insulation Factor (Btu/sqft day): 1,356.9109

Vapor Space Expansion Factor
- Vapor Space Expansion Factor: 0.0475
- Daily Vapor Pressure Range (psia): 0.1584
- Breather Vent Press. Setting Range (psia): 0.0600
- Vapor Pressure at Daily Average Liquid Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4059
- Vapor Pressure at Daily Minimum Liquid Surface Temperature (psia): 0.3334
- Vapor Pressure at Daily Maximum Liquid Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4918
- Daily Avg. Liquid Surface Temp. (deg R): 515.1202
- Daily Min. Liquid Surface Temp. (deg R): 509.9205
- Daily Max. Liquid Surface Temp. (deg R): 520.3199
TANKS 4.0 Report, 350 gal.txt

Vented Vapor Saturation Factor
Vented Vapor Saturation Factor: 0.9444
Vapor Pressure at Daily Average Liquid:
  Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4059
Vapor Space Outage (ft): 2.7366

Working Losses (lb): 25.5190
  Vapor Molecular Weight (lb/lb-mole): 60.0900
  Vapor Pressure at Daily Average Liquid
    Surface Temperature (psia): 0.4059
  Annual Net Throughput (gal/yr.): 200,698.0553
  Annual Turnovers: 574.0000
  Turnover Factor: 0.2189
  Maximum Liquid Volume (gal): 349.6482
  Maximum Liquid Height (ft): 5.0000
  Tank Diameter (ft): 3.4500
  Working Loss Product Factor: 1.0000

Total Losses (lb): 27.3664

TANKS 4.0.9d
Emissions Report - Detail Format
Individual Tank Emission Totals

Emissions Report for: Annual
350 clarcor mix - Vertical Fixed Roof Tank

Losses (lbs)
Component Working Loss Breathing Loss Total Emissions
Isopropyl alcohol 25.521.8527.37
NOV 21 2017

Ms. Kathy French  
Director EHS  
CLARCOR Industrial Air  
417 SE Thompson Dr  
Lee's Summit, MO 64082

RE: New Source Review Permit - Project Number: 2017-07-054

Dear Ms. French:

Enclosed with this letter is your permit to construct. Please study it carefully and refer to Appendix A for a list of common abbreviations and acronyms used in the permit. Also, note the special conditions on the accompanying pages. The document entitled, "Review of Application for Authority to Construct," is part of the permit and should be kept with this permit in your files. Operation in accordance with these conditions, your new source review permit application and with your operating permit is necessary for continued compliance. The reverse side of your permit certificate has important information concerning standard permit conditions and your rights and obligations under the laws and regulations of the State of Missouri.

This permit may include requirements with which you may not be familiar. If you would like the department to meet with you to discuss how to understand and satisfy the requirements contained in this permit, an appointment referred to as a Compliance Assistance Visit (CAV) can be set up with you. To request a CAV, please contact your local regional office or fill out an online request. The regional office contact information can be found at the following website: http://dnr.mo.gov/regions/. The online CAV request can be found at http://dnr.mo.gov/cav/compliance.htm.

If you were adversely affected by this permit decision, you may be entitled to pursue an appeal before the administrative hearing commission pursuant to Sections 621.250 and 643.075.6 RSMo. To appeal, you must file a petition with the administrative hearing commission within thirty days after the date this decision was mailed or the date it was delivered, whichever date was earlier. If any such petition is sent by registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is mailed; if it is sent by any method other than registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is received by the administrative hearing commission, whose contact information is: Administrative Hearing Commission, United States Post Office Building, 131 West High Street, Third Floor, P.O. Box 1557, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, phone: 573-751-2422, fax: 573-751-5018, website: www.oa.mo.gov/ahc.
If you have any questions regarding this permit, please do not hesitate to contact David Little, at the Department of Natural Resources’ Air Pollution Control Program, P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102 or at (573) 751-4817. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL PROGRAM

Susan Heckenkamp
New Source Review Unit Chief

SH:dlj

Enclosures

c: Kansas City Regional Office
   PAMS File: 2017-07-054

Permit Number: 112017-010