

STATE OF MISSOURI

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No. MO-0135305

Owner: Boone County Regional Sewer District
Address: 1314 N. 7th Street, Columbia, MO 65201

Continuing Authority: Same as owner
Address: Same as owner

Facility Name: Brown Station WWTF
Facility Address: O'Rear Road & North Brown Station Road, Columbia, MO 65204

Legal Description: NW ¼, NW ¼, Sec. 10, T49N, R12W, Boone County
UTM Coordinates: X= 563938, Y = 4322492

Receiving Stream: Clays Fork (U)
First Classified Stream and ID: Rocky Fork (C) (1008) 303(d) List
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10300102 – 110005)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Outfall #001 - POTW- SIC #4952 – No Certified Operator Required
STEP system/recirculating sand filter/sludge disposal by contract hauler
Design population equivalent is 19
Design flow is 1,850 gallons per day.
Design sludge production is 0.37 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

March 11, 2011
Effective Date

Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources

March 10, 2016
Expiration Date

John Madras, Director, Water Pollution Control Branch

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				PAGE NUMBER 2 of 4		
				PERMIT NUMBER MO-0135305		
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:						
OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #001</u>						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/quarter**	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅ (Note 1)	mg/L		45	30	once/quarter**	24 hr. composite
Total Suspended Solids (Note 1)	mg/L		45	30	once/quarter**	24 hr. composite
pH – Units	SU	***		***	once/quarter**	grab
Ammonia as N (April 1 – September 30)	mg/L		4.5	1.7	once/quarter**	grab
(October 1 – March 31)			7.9	3.0		
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>July 28, 2011</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
B. STANDARD CONDITIONS						
IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I, II, & III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED <u>October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994</u> , AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.						

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** Sample once per quarter in the months of March, June, September, and December.
- *** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is limited to the range of 6.0-9.0 pH units.

Note 1 - A composite sample made up from a minimum of four grab samples collected within a 24 hour period with a minimum of two hours between each grab sample. Samples shall be collected and preserved in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136.

C. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS		PAGE NUMBER 3 of 4	
		PERMIT NUMBER MO-0135305	
The facility is required to meet a removal efficiency of 85% or more. The monitoring requirements shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. To determine removal efficiencies, the influent wastewater shall be monitored by the permittee as specified below:			
SAMPLING LOCATION AND PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Influent</u>			
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L	once/year****	grab
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	once/year****	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>ANNUALLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>July 28, 2011</u> .			

C. INFLUENT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

**** Sample once per year in the month of June.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
 - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to area-wide wastewater treatment system within 90 days of notice of its availability.
4. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
 - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.
- (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.

5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

6. Water Quality Standards

- (a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
- (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
 - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.

7. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-8.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
FACT SHEET
FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW FACILITY
OF
MO-0135305
BCRSD BROWN STATION WWTF

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of storm water from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Major , Minor , Industrial Facility ; Variance ;
Master General Permit ; General Permit Covered Facility ; and/or permit with widespread public interest .

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: POTW
Facility SIC Code(s): 4952

Facility Description:

STEP system/recirculating sand filter/sludge disposal by contract hauler
Design population equivalent is 19
Design flow is 1,850 gallons per day.
Design sludge production is 0.37 dry tons/year.

Application Date: 6-14-2008
Expiration Date: N.A.
Last Inspection: N.A. In Compliance ; Non-Compliance

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001	0.005	Secondary	domestic	4.5

Outfall #001

Legal Description: NW ¼, NW ¼, NW ¼, Sec. 10, T49N, R12W
UTM: X= 563938, Y = 4322492
Receiving Stream: Clays Fork (U)
First Classified Stream and ID: Rocky Fork (C) (1014)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (10300102 – 110005)

Water Quality History:

None

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Check boxes below that are applicable to the facility;

- Owned or operated by or for:
 - Municipalities
 - Public Sewer District:
 - County
 - Public Water Supply Districts:
 - Private sewer company regulated by the Public Service Commission:
 - State or Federal agencies:

Each of the above entities are only applicable if they have a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200) and/or fifty (50) or more service connections.

- Department required:
The Department requires this facility to retain the services of a certified operator due to:

- This facility does not currently retain an operator with the correct level of certification required to operate the wastewater treatment facility. Missouri Clean Water Law and its implementing regulation 10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(F) allows the department to develop a schedule of activities including the date by which compliance shall be obtained. This schedule of activities shall be established in this operating permit as a Schedule of Compliance.

Not Applicable ; This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

Part III – Receiving Stream Information

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category list effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]:
- Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]:
- Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]:
- Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]:
- Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]:
- Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]:
- All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S):

Please see Water Quality Review Sheet (Appendix A) for receiving stream designated uses and mixing information.

RECEIVING STREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

No receiving water monitoring requirements recommended at this time.

Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Applicable ;

Not Applicable ;

The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

- Not Applicable, new facility

- Backsliding proposed in this Factsheet for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.

BIOSOLIDS, SLUDGE, & SEWAGE SLUDGE:

Bio-solids are solid materials resulting from wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sludge is any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility or any other such waste having similar characteristics and effect. Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address: <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pub/index.html>, items WQ422 through WQ449.

Applicable ;

This facility has been approved to land apply as per Permit Standard Conditions III and a department approved bio-solids management plan.

Applicable ;

The permittee has proposed that sludge and bio-solids are to be removed by a contract hauler for this facility, and hauled to a permitted wastewater treatment facility.

Not Applicable ;

This condition is not applicable to the permittee for this specific facility.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

Applicable ;

Not Applicable ;

The permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee's pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users,
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

Applicable ;

This permittee has an approved pretreatment program in accordance with the requirements of [40 CSR Part 403] and [10 CSR 20-6.100] and is expected to implement and enforce its approved program.

Not Applicable ;

The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

Applicable ;

A RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see **APPENDIX # – RPA RESULTS**.

Not Applicable ;

A RPA was not conducted for this facility. New facility, no data to analyze. Effluent limits based on expected pollutants.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm.

Applicable ;

Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

Applicable ;

Equivalent to Secondary Treatment is 65% removal [40 CFR Part 133.105(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

Applicable ;

This wastewater treatment facility is not a POTW; however, influent monitoring is being required to determine percent removal.

Not Applicable ;

This wastewater treatment facility is not a POTW. Influent monitoring is not being required to determine percent removal.

VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

Applicable ;

Not Applicable ;

This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the department to release into a given stream after the department has determined to total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable ;

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration
Cs = upstream concentration
Qs = upstream flow
Ce = effluent concentration
Qe = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

Not Applicable ;

Wasteload allocations were not calculated.

WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

Applicable ;

Not Applicable ;

A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable ;

In accordance with the Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. Furthermore, WET testing is a means by which the department determines that [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D, F, & G)] are being met by the permitted facility. In addition to justification for the WET testing, WET tests are required under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4] to be performed by specialist who are properly trained in conducting the test according to the methods prescribed by the Federal Government as referenced in [40 CFR Part 136]. WET test will be required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:

- Facility is a designated Major.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃)
- Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow \geq 22,500 gpd.
- Other – please justify.

Not Applicable ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Applicable ;

Rocky Fork is listed on the 1998 Missouri 303(d) List for sediment from Finger Lakes State Park.

– This facility will not contribute is not considered to be a source of the above listed pollutant(s) or considered to contribute to the impairment of Rocky Fork. The facility discharge will enter Rocky Fork downstream of the impaired segment, and will not be a significant source of sediment (Non-Volatile Suspended Sediment).

Not Applicable ;

This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

Part V – Effluent Limits Determination***Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall*****EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:**

PARAMETER	UNIT	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE
FLOW	GPD	1			
BOD ₅	MG/L	1		45	30
TSS	MG/L	1		45	30
PH	SU	1	6-9		6-9
AMMONIA AS N (APR 1 – SEP 30)	MG/L	2/3/5	4.5		1.7
AMMONIA AS N (OCT 1 – MAR 31)	MG/L	2/3/5	7.9		3.0
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.				

* - Monitoring requirement only

** - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for Fecal Coliform is a geometric mean.

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 7. Antidegradation Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 8. Water Quality Model |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 9. Best Professional Judgment |
| 4. Lagoon Policy | 10. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 5. Ammonia Policy | 11. WET Test Policy |
| 6. Dissolved Oxygen Policy | |

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- **Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.

Please see Appendix A, Water Quality Review Sheet for effluent limit derivation details. These effluent limits are protective of water quality.

- **Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements.**

A new mechanical treatment facility would normally have not less than once per month monitoring. However, because of the very small size of this facility and the proven type of technology, it is the judgment of this Permit Writer that once per quarter monitoring is appropriate.

PARAMETER	SAMPLING FREQUENCY	REPORTING FREQUENCY
FLOW	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER
BOD ₅	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER
TSS	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER
PH	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER
TEMPERATURE	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER
AMMONIA AS N (MAY 1 – OCT 31)	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER
AMMONIA AS N (NOV 1 – APR 30)	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER
FECAL COLIFORM	ONCE/QUARTER	ONCE/QUARTER

Part VI – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law, the Missouri Clean Water Commission, and the federal Clean Water Act, persons wishing to comment on Missouri State Operating Permits are directed to do so by a department approved Public Notice coversheet. This Public Notice coversheet is attached to a Missouri State Operating Permit during the Public Notice period.

The Public Notice of this permit is tentatively scheduled to begin on October 30, 2009

DATE OF FACT SHEET: 10-23-09

COMPLETED BY:

**CURT B. GATELEY, CHIEF
NPDES PERMITS UNIT
PERMITTING AND ENGINEERING SECTION
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
(573) 526-1155
curtis.gateley@dnr.mo.gov**

APPENDIX # – A



**Missouri Department of Natural Resources
 Water Protection Program
 NPDES PERMITS AND ENGINEERING SECTION**

Water Quality Review Sheet
Determination of Effluent Limits and Monitoring Requirements

FACILITY INFORMATION

FACILITY NAME: Brown Station WWTF NPDES #: NEW

FACILITY TYPE/DESCRIPTION: Domestic Wastewater / Treatment plant To Be Determined; DF = 1,850 GPD

EDU*: Ozark / Moreau / Loutre 8-DIGIT HUC: 10300102 COUNTY: Boone
 * - Ecological Drainage Unit

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: NW ¼ NW ¼ Sec. 10 T49N R12W LATITUDE/LONGITUDE: _____

WATER QUALITY HISTORY: New Facility

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	RECEIVING WATERBODY	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001	0.005735	Secondary	Clay's Fork	4.5

RECEIVING WATERBODY INFORMATION

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)			DESIGNATED USES**
			1Q10	7Q10	30Q10	
Clays Fork	U	N/A	0	0	0	General Criteria
Rocky Fork	C	1014	0	0	0.1	LWW, AQL, WBC (B)

** Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery (CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND)

COMMENTS: _____

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS

Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(a)].
 Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

PERMIT LIMITS AND INFORMATION

WASTELOAD ALLOCATION STUDY CONDUCTED (Y OR N): N USE ATTAINABILITY ANALYSIS CONDUCTED (Y OR N): Y WHOLE BODY CONTACT USE RETAINED (Y OR N): Y

OUTFALL #001

WET TEST (Y OR N): Y FREQUENCY: ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE AEC: 100 % METHOD: SINGLE

PARAMETER	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MONITORING FREQUENCY
FLOW	MONITOR			monthly
BOD ₅ (MG/L)		45	30	monthly
TSS (MG/L)		45	30	monthly
PH (S.U.)	6-9		6-9	monthly
AMMONIA AS N (MG/L) (MAY 1 – OCT 31)	4.5		1.7	monthly
AMMONIA AS N (MG/L) (NOV 1 – APR 30)	7.9		3.0	monthly

* This facility is required to meet a removal efficiency of 85% or more for BOD₅ & TSS. Influent BOD₅ and TSS data should be reported to ensure removal efficiency requirements are met.

Note 1: Colonies/100mL; monthly average is calculated as a geometric mean.

RECEIVING WATER MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

No receiving water monitoring requirements recommended at this time.

DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS

Wasteload allocations were calculated using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration
 C_s = upstream concentration
 Q_s = upstream flow
 C_e = effluent concentration
 Q_e = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall

- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅).** 30 mg/L monthly average, 45 mg/L weekly average [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)(B)1].
- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS).** 30 mg/L monthly average, 45 mg/L weekly average [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)(B)1].
- **pH.** pH shall be maintained in the range from six to nine (6 – 9) standard units [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)(B)2.]
- **Total Ammonia Nitrogen.** Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C. & Table B3]. Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L.

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration
 Cs = upstream concentration
 Qs = upstream flow
 Ce = effluent concentration
 Qe = effluent flow

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)
Summer	26	7.8	1.5	12.1
Winter	6	7.8	3.1	12.1

Summer: May 1 – October 31, Winter: November 1 – April 30

Staff used a modified Feed Forward Reaction decay formula to allow degradation for ammonia prior to reaching the first classified water body:

$$[\text{NH}_3\text{N}]_t = [\text{NH}_3\text{N}]_{t=0} * e^{-kt}$$

Where

$[\text{NH}_3\text{N}]_t$ = ammonia concentration at confluence with classified segment

$[\text{NH}_3\text{N}]_{t=0}$ = ammonia concentration at pipe = C_e

$$k = \text{NH}_3 \text{ oxidation per day} = (k_{1,20}) \Theta_1^{(\text{Temp}-20)}$$

$$k_{1,20} = 0.3(\text{day}^{-1})$$

$$\Theta_1 = \text{temperature correction factor} = 1.083$$

t = time in days = 0.45

Summer Temp. = 26°C.

$$C_e = (1.5 \text{ mg/L}) / 0.8028 = 1.87 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$\text{LTA}_c = 1.87 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = \mathbf{1.46 \text{ mg/L}}$$
 [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]

$$\text{MDL} = 1.46 \text{ mg/L} (3.11) = 4.5 \text{ mg/L}$$
 [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

$$\text{AML} = 1.46 \text{ mg/L} (1.19) = 1.7 \text{ mg/L}$$
 [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Winter, Temp. = 6°C

$$C_e = (3.1 \text{ mg/L}) / 0.9564 = 3.24 \text{ mg/L}$$

$$\text{LTA}_c = 3.24 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = \mathbf{2.53 \text{ mg/L}}$$
 [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]

$$\text{MDL} = 2.53 \text{ mg/L} (3.11) = 7.9 \text{ mg/L}$$
 [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

$$\text{AML} = 2.53 \text{ mg/L} (1.19) = 3.0 \text{ mg/L}$$
 [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 30]

Season	Maximum Daily Limit (mg/l)	Average Monthly Limit (mg/l)
Summer	4.5	1.7
Winter	7.9	3.0

Reviewer: Alan Moreau
 Date: March 26, 2007, revised August 5, 2008, CBG
 Unit Chief: Refaat Mefrakis

Monitoring and effluent limits contained within this document have been developed in accordance with EPA guidelines using the best available data and are believed to be consistent with Missouri's Water Quality Standards and Effluent Regulations. If additional water quality data or anecdotal information are available that may affect the recommended monitoring and effluent limits, please forward these data and information to the author.