

STATE OF MISSOURI  
**DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



**MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT**

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92<sup>nd</sup> Congress) as amended,

Permit No. MO-0125857

Owner: Gregory H. Gunn  
Address: 1085 State Highway 59, Carthage, MO 64836

Continuing Authority: same as above  
Address: same as above

Facility Name: Bronc Busters WWTF  
Facility Address: 1631 Highway 59, Diamond, MO 64840

Legal Description: SE¼, SE¼, Sec. 21, T27N, R31W, Newton County  
UTM Coordinates: X = 383137, Y= 4100311

Receiving Stream: Unnamed tributary to Fidelity Branch Creek (U) (losing)  
First Classified Stream and ID: Fidelity Branch Creek (P) (3206) (losing)  
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (11070207-0604)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

**FACILITY DESCRIPTION**

Outfall #001 - NON-POTW – SIC # 5812  
No Certified Operator Required.  
Recirculating sand filter/ Chlorination/ Dechlorination/ Sludge disposal by contract hauler  
Design population equivalent is 75 PE.  
Design flow is 2,200 gallons per day.  
Actual flow is 5,600 gallons per day.  
Design sludge production is 0.6 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

September 1, 2013  
Effective Date

  
Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources

June 30, 2018  
Expiration Date

  
John Madras, Director, Water Protection Program

<b>OUTFALL #001</b>	<b>TABLE A-1. INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS</b>
-------------------------	--

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The interim effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect through **August 31, 2016**. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/month	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand <sub>5</sub>	mg/L		15	10	once/month	grab
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		20	15	once/month	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*		*	once/month	grab
pH – Units	SU	**		**	once/month	grab
<i>E. coli</i> <sup>1</sup>	#/100 ml	126		126	once/month	grab
Total Residual Chlorine <sup>2</sup>	µg/L	17 (130ML)		8 (130ML)	once/month	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE OCTOBER 28, 2013. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	DAILY MINIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Dissolved Oxygen <sup>2</sup>	mg/L	*		*	once/month	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE OCTOBER 28, 2013.

- \* Monitoring requirement only.
- \*\* pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is limited to the range of 6.5-9.0 pH units.

<b>OUTFALL #001</b>	<b>TABLE A-2. FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS and MONITORING REQUIREMENTS for LOSING STREAMS 10 CSR 20-7.015(4)</b>
---------------------	--

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective **September 1, 2016**, and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/month	24 hr. estimate
Biochemical Oxygen Demand <sub>5</sub>	mg/L		15	10	once/month	grab
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		20	15	once/month	grab
pH – Units	SU	**		**	once/month	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L				once/month	grab
(April 1 – Sept 30)		3.6		1.4		
(Oct 1 – March 31)		7.5		2.9		
<i>E. coli</i> <sup>1</sup>	#/100 ml	126		126	once/month	grab
Total Residual Chlorine <sup>2</sup>	µg/L	17 (130ML)		8 (130ML)	once/month	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE OCTOBER 28, 2016. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	DAILY MINIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Dissolved Oxygen <sup>2</sup>	mg/L	*		*	once/month	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE OCTOBER 28, 2016.

\* Monitoring requirement only.

\*\* pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is limited to the range of 6.5-9.0 pH units.

<sup>1</sup> Effluent limits of 126 cfu per 100 ml daily maximum and monthly average for *E. coli* are applicable year round due to losing stream designation.

<sup>2</sup> Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) and Dissolved Oxygen (DO) only apply to facilities using the chlorine method of disinfection.

- This effluent limit is below the minimum quantification level (ML) of the most common and practical EPA approved CLTRC methods. The department has determined the current acceptable ML for total residual chlorine to be 130 µg/L when using the DPD Colorimetric Method #4500 – CL G. from Standard Methods for the Examination of Waters and Wastewater. The permittee will conduct analyses in accordance with this method, or equivalent, and report actual analytical values. Measured values greater than or equal to the minimum quantification level of 130 µg/L will be considered violations of the permit and values less than the minimum quantification level of 130 µg/L will be considered to be in compliance with the permit limitation. The minimum quantification level does not authorize the discharge of chlorine in excess of the effluent limits stated in the permit.
- Do not chemically de-chlorinate **if it is not needed to meet the limits in your permit.**
- If no chlorine was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as “0 µg/L” TRC.
- Disinfection is required year-round.

## B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

In addition to specified conditions stated herein, this permit is subject to the attached Parts I & III standard conditions dated October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994, and hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

## C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
  - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
    - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
    - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
  - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
  - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.
  - (d) Incorporate the requirement to develop a pretreatment program pursuant to 40 CFR 403.8(a) when the Director of the Water Protection Program determines that a pretreatment program is necessary due to any new introduction of pollutants into the Publicly Owned Treatment Works or any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability.
4. Water Quality Standards
  - (a) To the extent required by law, discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
  - (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
    - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
    - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
    - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
    - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
    - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
    - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
    - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
    - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.
5. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances  
The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:
  - (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
    - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
    - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
    - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
    - (4) The level established by the Director in accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(f).

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.
- 6. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.
- 7. It is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law to fail to pay fees associated with this permit (644.055 RSMo).
- 8. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility and are subject to 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)(i), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2.b. Bypasses are to be reported to the appropriate Regional Office.
- 9. The facility must be sufficiently secured to restrict entry by children, livestock and unauthorized persons as well as to protect the facility from vandalism.
- 10. At least one gate must be provided to access the wastewater treatment facility and provide for maintenance and mowing. The gate shall remain locked except when opened by the permittee to perform operational monitoring, sampling, maintenance, mowing, or for inspections by the department.
- 11. At least one (1) warning sign shall be placed on each side of the facility enclosure in such positions as to be clearly visible from all directions of approach. There shall also be one (1) sign placed for every five hundred feet (500') (150 m) of the perimeter fence. A sign shall also be placed on each gate. Minimum wording shall be SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITY—KEEP OUT. Signs shall be made of durable materials with characters at least two inches (2") high and shall be securely fastened to the fence, equipment or other suitable locations.
- 12. An Operation and Maintenance (O & M) manual shall be maintained by the permittee and made available to the operator. The O & M manual shall include key operating procedures and a brief summary of the operation of the facility.
- 13. An all-weather access road shall be provided to the treatment facility.
- 14. The discharge from the wastewater treatment facility shall be conveyed to the receiving stream via a closed pipe or a paved or rip-rapped open channel. Sheet or meandering drainage is not acceptable. The outfall sewer shall be protected against the effects of floodwater, ice or other hazards as to reasonably insure its structural stability and freedom from stoppage. The outfall shall be maintained so that a sample of the effluent can be obtained at a point after the final treatment process and before the discharge mixes with the receiving waters.

#### D. SCHEDULES OF COMPLIANCE

##### Ammonia

The facility shall attain compliance with final effluent limitations as soon as reasonably achievable or no later than **3 years** of the effective date of this permit.

1. Within six months of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall report progress made in attaining compliance with the final effluent limits.
2. The permittee shall submit interim progress reports detailing progress made in attaining compliance with the final effluent limits every 12 months from issuance date.
3. Within **3 years** of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall attain compliance with the final effluent limits.

##### Hydraulic Overloading

An evaluation of the facility by an engineer registered in the State of Missouri is required due to routine exceedances of facility's design flow.

1. Within nine months of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall submit an engineer's evaluation detailing the reasons for exceeding design flow. The evaluation shall either determine whether upgrades are necessary to enable the facility to effectively treat the hydraulic loading to this facility, if reduction of inflow and infiltration will reduce the hydraulic overloading, or if the design flow can be adjusted.
2. If the engineer's evaluation allows for an adjustment of the design flow, within 60 days of the evaluation, the facility shall request a design flow adjustment in the form of an application to modify this permit. The facility shall submit a complete application to modify, supporting documentation, and the appropriate fees. If the engineer's evaluation does not allow for an adjustment of the design flow, the facility shall apply for a construction permit to upgrade the facility to meet the needs for the actual flow of the facility.
3. If the engineer's evaluation shows that inflow and infiltration is the cause for the hydraulic overloading, within 60 days of the evaluation, the permittee shall submit a proposal that lists the plan of action, including timelines, to be taken to comply with the findings of the engineering report, along with estimated costs for any actions to be taken. This proposal shall be submitted to the Department by **September 1, 2014**. The permittee is to have completed the improvements to the collection system mandated by the engineering study by **September 1, 2016**.

Please submit progress reports to the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Southwest Regional Office, 2155 North Westwood Blvd., Poplar Bluff, MO 63901.

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources**  
**FACT SHEET**  
**FOR RENEWAL OF**  
**BRONC BUSTERS WWTF**  
**MO-0125857**

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of storm water from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Minor Operating Permit covering non-POTW domestic Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTP).

**Part I – Facility Information**

Facility Type: NON-POTW SIC# - 5812

Facility Description:

Recirculating sand filter/ Chlorination/ Dechlorination/ Sludge disposal by contract hauler

**OUTFALL(S) TABLE:**

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE
#001	0.003	Secondary	Domestic (sanitary)

Comments:

The facility has recently had the following compliance issues: BOD exceedances in November 2012, Total Residual Chlorine exceedances in May 2012, and late or missing DMRs in October and November 2012.

According to the past 5 years of data, the facility has greatly exceeded its design flow. Please see the Schedule of Compliance (SOC) section in Part V for further explanation.

**Part II – Operator Certification Requirements**

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

**Part III– Operational Monitoring**

As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4)], the facility is not required to conduct operational monitoring.

**Part IV – Receiving Stream Information**

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1<sup>st</sup> classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

**APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:**

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section. This permit only applies to facilities discharging to the following categories of water body.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]:
- Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]:
- Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]:
- All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:

**RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:**

WATER-BODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	12-DIGIT HUC	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
Unnamed tributary to Fidelity Branch Creek	(U)	--	General Criteria	110702070604	2.8
Fidelity Branch Creek	(P)	3206	LWW, AQL, WBC(B)		

\* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND), Groundwater (GRW).

\*\* - Ecological Drainage Unit

**Part V – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions**

**ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:**

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Not Applicable; permit renewal.

**ANTI-BACKSLIDING:**

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

All limits in this operating permit are at least as protective as those previously established; therefore, backsliding does not apply.

**ANTIDegradation:**

In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)], the department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. Degradation is justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharging activity after determining the necessity of the discharge.

Antidegradation reviews are performed at the time of construction. No degradation proposed and no further review necessary. Facility did not apply for authorization to increase pollutant loading or to add additional pollutants to their discharge.

**AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:**

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)], ...An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, a statement waiving preferential status from each existing higher preference authority, providing the waiver does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the department.

**BIOSOLIDS & SEWAGE SLUDGE:**

Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address:  
<http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pub/index.html>, items WQ422 through WQ449.

With prior approval from the department, permittees are authorized to land apply biosolids, or utilize other methods of sludge disposal contained in Standard Conditions Part III.

**CONSERVATIVE ASSUMPTIONS:**

In order to ensure efficient processing of permit applications domestic wastewater treatment facilities under 50,000 receive an expedited permit renewal. If the permittee would prefer to have additional review conducted, such as reasonable potential analysis, or wish to submit time of travel calculations for the department to consider ammonia degradation, the department will accommodate such a request. The following conservative assumptions have been made regarding the facility:

- Ammonia is a constituent of domestic wastewater. Unless the facility is entitled to a large mixing zone/zone of initial dilution relative to the discharge volume, reasonable potential to violate water quality standards is assumed. If the facility is legally entitled to a mixing zone and zone of initial dilution, such dilution is documented in the effluent limit calculations.
- Reasonable Potential Analysis [statistical analysis] using facility data was not conducted. Default multipliers from EPA guidance utilized to calculate effluent limits.
- Where discharges are to an unclassified stream, no degradation of ammonia has been calculated.
- This facility was determined not to have other sources of wastewater which would introduce other pollutants. Only domestic wastewater is included in the influent to this facility.

**SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) AND INFLOW AND INFILTRATION (I&I):**

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(11)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSO's have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to (1) enter and overload the collection system, and (2) overload the treatment facility. Additionally, SSO's can be also be caused by lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Additionally, Missouri RSMo §644.026.1 mandates that the department require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities.

This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

**SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):**

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Applicable; The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under Interim Effluent Limitation and Final Effluent Limitations were established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(10)]. The facility has been given a schedule of compliance to meet final effluent limits for Ammonia as N. The final effluent limitations for the permit may not be met by the current treatment system. The facility may need upgrades requiring construction or replacement of the facility. The Department has determined that the SOC granted in the permit provides sufficient time to make any necessary upgrades to the treatment system in order to meet final effluent limitations. In addition to the final effluent limitations, this SOC includes an evaluation to determine the cause of the exceedance of the design flow of the facility. The application for operating permit renewal submitted by the permittee indicates an average of over two hundred and fifty percent (250%) greater flow than the original design flow of the facility. This exceedance of capacity will require action by the permittee to determine if the permit requires an adjusted design flow or if the facility needs to be upgraded to meet the capacity of wastewater influent from the service area.

**VARIANCE:**

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

**WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:**

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C_e = \frac{(Q_e + Q_s)C - (C_s \times Q_s)}{(Q_e)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration  
Cs = upstream concentration  
Qs = upstream flow  
Ce = effluent concentration  
Qe = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

**WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:**

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

**WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:**

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility.

**40 CFR 122.41(M) - BYPASSES:**

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from “bypassing” untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-2.010(11) defines a bypass as the diversion of wastewater from any portion of wastewater treatment facility or sewer system to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri’s Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar devices designed for peak wet weather flows.

This facility does not anticipate bypassing.

**303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):**

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

This permit does not apply within a watershed for which an approved Total Maximum Daily Load includes wasteload allocations for oxygen demand, nitrogen, phosphorus, or ammonia. These pollutants are discharged by domestic wastewater treatment facilities, and therefore it may be necessary to apply a lower wasteload allocation than appears in this permit to any new or existing discharge in order to protect water quality.

**Part VI – Effluent Limits Determination (ALL OUTFALLS)**

**EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE FOR LOSING STREAMS:**

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average
Flow	MGD	1	*		*
BOD <sub>5</sub>	mg/L	1, 2		15	10
TSS	mg/L	1, 2		20	15
Ammonia as N (April 1 – Sept 30) (Oct 1 – March 31)	mg/L	2, 3, 5	3.6 7.5		1.4 2.9
pH	SU	1, 2	6.5-9.0		6.5-9.0
Escherichia coli	***	1, 2, 3	126		126
Chlorine, Total Residual	µg/L	1, 3	17		8
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	3	**		**
Oil & Grease	mg/L	3, 9	15		10

\* - Monitoring requirement only.

\*\* - For DO the Daily Maximum is a Daily Minimum and the Monthly Average is a Monthly Average Minimum.

\*\*\* - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for *E. coli* is a geometric mean.

**Basis for Limitations Codes:**

- |  |                                    |
|--|------------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law     | 7. Antidegradation Policy          |
| 2. Water Quality Standard              | 8. Water Quality Model             |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 9. Best Professional Judgment      |
| 4. Lagoon Policy                       | 10. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 5. Ammonia Policy                      | 11. WET Test Policy                |
| 6. Antidegradation Review              |                                    |

**OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:**

- **Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)], the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.
- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>).** Effluents limits for each type of receiving water body were set according to 10 CSR 20-7.015(2)-(8).
- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS).** Effluents limits for each type of receiving water body were set according to 10 CSR 20-7.015(2)-(8).
- **pH.** Effluent limitation range is 6.5 – 9.0 Standard pH Units (SU), as per the applicable section of 10 CSR 20-7.015. pH is not to be averaged.

- **Total Ammonia Nitrogen.** Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C. & Table B3] default pH 7.8 SU. No mixing considerations allowed; therefore, WLA = appropriate criterion.

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)
Summer	26	7.8	1.5	12.1
Winter	6	7.8	3.1	12.1

Summer: April 1 – September 30

Chronic WLA:  $C_e = 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$

Acute WLA:  $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 1.5 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = 1.17 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.6, 99<sup>th</sup> Percentile, 30 day avg.]

$LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.321) = 3.89 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.6, 99<sup>th</sup> Percentile]

Use most protective number of  $LTA_c$  or  $LTA_a$ .

MDL =  $1.17 \text{ mg/L} (3.11) = 3.6 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.6, 99<sup>th</sup> Percentile]

AML =  $1.17 \text{ mg/L} (1.19) = 1.4 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.6, 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile, n=30]

Winter: October 1 – March 31

Chronic WLA:  $C_e = 3.1 \text{ mg/L}$

Acute WLA:  $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 3.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = 2.42 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.6, 99<sup>th</sup> Percentile, 30 day avg.]

$LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (.0321) = 3.89 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.6, 99<sup>th</sup> Percentile]

Use most protective number of  $LTA_c$  or  $LTA_a$ .

MDL =  $2.42 \text{ mg/L} (3.11) = 7.5 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.6, 99<sup>th</sup> Percentile]

AML =  $2.42 \text{ mg/L} (1.19) = 2.9 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.6, 95<sup>th</sup> Percentile, n=30]

- **Dissolved Oxygen.** Monitoring included to determine if the facility has the reasonable potential to cause a violation of water quality standards in the receiving stream. Dechlorination chemicals have the potential to reduce dissolved oxygen concentrations in the discharge, resulting in an anoxic discharge, unless carefully controlled. Data will be reviewed upon renewal to determine if an effluent limitation is necessary to protect water quality.
- **Escherichia coli (E. coli).** Discharges to losing streams shall not exceed 126 per 100 ml as a Daily Maximum and Monthly Average at any time, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C).
- **Total Residual Chlorine (TRC).** Warm-water Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = 10 µg/L, CMC = 19 µg/L [10 CSR 20-7.031, Table A]. Background TRC = 0.0 µg/L. Due to minimum detection limits, TRC effluent limits of 17 µg/L daily maximum, 8 µg/L monthly average are recommended if chlorine is used as a disinfectant.
- **Oil & Grease.** Conventional pollutant, effluent limitation for protection of aquatic life; 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L daily maximum.

## Part VII – Finding of Affordability

Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo., the department is required to determine whether a permit or decision is affordable and makes a finding of affordability for certain permitting and enforcement decisions. This requirement applies to discharges from combined or separate sanitary sewer systems or publically-owned treatment works.

The department is not required to determine findings of affordability because the facility is not a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for a publically-owned treatment works.

## **Part VIII – Administrative Requirements**

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

### **PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:**

The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is that all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together will all expire in the same fiscal year. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future.

### **PUBLIC NOTICE:**

The department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing.

The department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit.

For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from 7/5/2013-8/5/2013. No comments were received.

**DATE OF FACT SHEET:** JUNE 26, 2013

### **COMPLETED BY:**

**ANGELA FALLS, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST  
DOMESTIC WASTEWATER UNIT  
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM  
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
(573) 751-1419  
[angela.falls@dnr.mo.gov](mailto:angela.falls@dnr.mo.gov)**

AP 13235



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH  
FORM B - APPLICATION FOR CONSTRUCTION OR OPERATING PERMIT FOR  
FACILITIES WHICH RECEIVE PRIMARILY DOMESTIC WASTE (≤100,000 gallons per  
day) UNDER MISSOURI CLEAN WATER LAW

FOR AGENCY USE ONLY	
CHECK NUMBER	NO FEE required
DATE RECEIVED	9/16/12
FEE SUBMITTED	0

(R)

**NOTE** PLEASE READ THE ACCOMPANYING INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM

1. This application is for:

- An operating permit and antidegradation review public notice.
- A construction permit following an appropriate operating permit and antidegradation review public notice.
- A construction permit and a concurrent operating permit and antidegradation review public notice.
- A construction permit (submitted before Aug. 30, 2008 or antidegradation review is not required).
- An operating permit for a new or unpermitted facility.
- An operating permit renewal: Permit # MO-0125857 Construction Permit # \_\_\_\_\_
- An operating permit modification: Permit #MO- \_\_\_\_\_ Expiration Date SEP 6 2012

Reason: \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED

1.1 Is this a Federal/State Funded Project?  YES  NO Funding Agency/Project # \_\_\_\_\_  
 1.2 Is the appropriate fee included with the application (See instructions for appropriate fee)?  YES  NO

2. FACILITY (Outfall of )

NAME BROOK BUSTERS TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE 417-358-5350  
 ADDRESS (PHYSICAL) 1631 Highway 59 CITY DIAMOND STATE MO ZIP CODE 64840

2.1 LEGAL DESCRIPTION: NE 1/4, SE 1/4, SE 1/4, Sec. 21, T 27, R 31 County NEWTON

2.2 UTM Coordinates Easting (X): \_\_\_\_\_ Northing (Y): \_\_\_\_\_  
 For Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM), Zone 15 North referenced to North American Datum 1983 (NAD83)

2.3 Name of receiving stream: UNNAMED TRIBUTARY TO FIDELITY BRANCH CREEK

3. OWNER

NAME GREGORY H GUNN E-MAIL ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE 417-358-5350  
 ADDRESS 1035 STATE HWY 59 CITY CALTHROP STATE MO ZIP CODE 64830

3.1 Request review of draft permit prior to Public Notice?  YES  NO

4. CONTINUING AUTHORITY: Permanent organization which will serve as the continuing authority for the operation, maintenance and modernization of the facility.

NAME OWNER TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE \_\_\_\_\_  
 ADDRESS \_\_\_\_\_ CITY \_\_\_\_\_ STATE \_\_\_\_\_ ZIP CODE \_\_\_\_\_

5. OPERATOR

NAME OWNER CERTIFICATE NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_ TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE \_\_\_\_\_

6. FACILITY CONTACT

NAME GREGORY H GUNN TITLE OWNER TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE 417-358-5350

7.0 ADDITIONAL FACILITY INFORMATION

7.1 Description of facilities (Attach additional sheet if required). Attach a 1" = 2,000' scale U.S. Geological Survey topographic map showing location of all outfalls and downstream landowners. (See item 9.)  
 7.2 Facility SIC code: 5812; Discharge SIC code: 4822; Facility NAICS code: \_\_\_\_\_; Discharge NAICS code: \_\_\_\_\_  
 7.3 Number of people presently connected or population equivalent (P.E.) 25 Design P.E. 25  
 Number of units presently connected: Homes \_\_\_\_\_ Trailers \_\_\_\_\_ Apartments \_\_\_\_\_ Other RESTAURANTS  
 Design flow for this outfall: 2200 gpd Total design flow for the facility: 2200 gpd Actual flow for this outfall: 100 gpd  
 Commercial Establishment: Daily number of employees working 5 Daily number of customers/guests \_\_\_\_\_  
 7.4 Length of pipe in the sewer collection system? 112 feet/miles (Please denote which unit is appropriate.)  
 7.5 Does any bypassing occur in the collection system or at the treatment facility?  Yes  No (if yes, attach explanation.)  
 7.6 Does significant infiltration occur in the collection system?  Yes  No (if yes, attach explanation and proposed repair.)  
 7.7 Is industrial waste discharged to the facility identified in item 2?  Yes  No (if yes, see instructions.)  
 7.8 Will the discharge be continuous through the year?  Yes  No  
 a. Discharge will occur during the following months: \_\_\_\_\_  
 b. How many days of the week will the discharge occur? \_\_\_\_\_  
 7.9 Is wastewater land applied?  Yes  No (if yes, attach Form I.)  
 7.10 Will chlorine be added to the effluent?  Yes  No  
 a. If chlorine is added, what is the resulting residual? \_\_\_\_\_ µg/l (micrograms per liter) DE-CHLORINATED prior to discharge  
 7.11 Does this facility discharge to a losing stream or sinkhole?  Yes  No  
 7.12 Attach a flow chart showing all influents, treatment facilities and outfalls.  
 7.13 Has a waste load allocation study been completed for this facility?  Yes  No  
 7.14 List all permit violations, including effluent limit exceedances in the last five years. Attach a separate sheet if necessary.  
 If none, write none. LETTERS OF VIOLATION ONLY, THEY WERE CORRECTED IMMEDIATELY

**8. SLUDGE HANDLING, USE AND DISPOSAL**

8.1 Is the sludge a hazardous waste as defined by 10 CSR 25?  Yes  No

8.2 Sludge Production, including sludge received from others: N/A Design Dry Tons/Year N/A Actual Dry Tons/Year

8.3 Capacity of sludge holding structures:  
 Sludge storage provided: \_\_\_\_\_ cubic feet; \_\_\_\_\_ days of storage; \_\_\_\_\_ average percent solids of sludge;  
 No sludge storage is provided.

8.4 Type of Storage:  Holding tank  Building  
 Basin  Other (Please describe) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Concrete Pad

8.5 Sludge Treatment:  
 Anaerobic Digester  Lagoon  Composting  
 Storage Tank  Aerobic Digester  Other (Attach description)  
 Lime Stabilization  Air or Heat Drying

8.6 Sludge Use or Disposal:  
 Land Application  Surface Disposal (Sludge Disposal Lagoon, Sludge held for more than two years)  
 Contract Hauler  Incineration  
 Hauled to Another Treatment Facility  Sludge Retained in Wastewater treatment lagoon  
 Solid Waste Landfill  Other \_\_\_\_\_ Attach explanation sheet.

8.7 PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR HAULING SLUDGE TO DISPOSAL FACILITY  
 By Applicant  By Others (complete below)

NAME N/A

ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE	PERMIT NO. MO-	

8.8 SLUDGE USE OR DISPOSAL FACILITY  
 By Applicant  By Others (Please complete below.)

NAME N/A

ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE	PERMIT NO. MO-	

8.9 Does the sludge or biosolids disposal comply with federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503?  
 Yes  No (Please attach explanation) N/A

**9. DOWNSTREAM LANDOWNER (S). ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NECESSARY. SEE INSTRUCTIONS.**

NAME MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ADDRESS <u>PO BOX 1445</u>	CITY <u>SPALDAN</u>	STATE <u>MO</u>	ZIP CODE <u>64480-3</u>
----------------------------	---------------------	-----------------	-------------------------

**10. DRINKING WATER SUPPLY INFORMATION**


10.1 WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF YOUR DRINKING WATER SUPPLY:  
 A. Public supply (municipal or water district water) \_\_\_\_\_  
 If public, please give name of the public supply  
 B. Private well BRONC BUSYERS WELL  
 C. Surface water (lake, pond or stream) \_\_\_\_\_

10.2 Does your drinking water source serve at least 25 people at least 60 days per year (not necessarily consecutive days)?  
 Yes  No

10.3 Does your supply serve housing which is occupied year round by the same people? This does not include housing which is occupied seasonally?  Yes  No

11. I certify that I am familiar with the information contained in the application, that to the best of my knowledge and belief such information is true, complete and accurate, and if granted this permit, I agree to abide by the Missouri Clean Water Law and all rules, regulations, orders and decisions, subject to any legitimate appeal available to applicant under the Missouri Clean Water Law.

NAME AND OFFICIAL TITLE (TYPE OR PRINT) <u>GREGORY H. BROWN</u>	TELEPHONE WITH AREA CODE <u>417-358-5350</u>
SIGNATURE <u>Gregory H. Brown</u>	DATE SIGNED <u>8-30-2012</u>



BRONX BUSTERS  
OUTFALL  
LOCATION

FF

Liberty Ch.

RAILROAD

Stone Camp

Fidelity

Grove

ASPER CO.  
EWTON CO.

18

17

16

19

20

21

22

29

28

27