

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended,

Permit No. MO-0089842

Owner: Yar M. and Kamela Mohabbat
Address: 2574 England Town Road, St. Louis, MO 63129

Continuing Authority: Same as above
Address: Same as above

Facility Name: Autumn's Haven
Facility Address: 3159A Lemehl Drive, DeSoto, MO 63020

Legal Description: NR ¼, SW ¼, NE ¼, Sec. 8, T39N, R5E, Jefferson County
UTM Coordinates: X= 719702, Y= 4221128

Receiving Stream: Tributary to Haverstick Creek (U)
First Classified Stream and ID: Haverstick Creek (C) (#1726) (**losing**)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (07140101-150004)

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

Outfall #001 - Mobile Home Park - SIC #6515 SIC
Four-cell lagoon / sludge is retained in lagoon
Design population equivalent is 65.
Design flow is 6500 gallons per day.
Actual flow is 8700 gallons per day.
Design sludge production is 1.0 dry tons/year.

This permit authorizes only wastewater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

May 13, 2011
Effective Date


Sara Parker Pauley, Director, Department of Natural Resources

May 12, 2016
Expiration Date


Mike Struckhoff, Director, St. Louis Regional Office

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					PAGE NUMBER 2 of 6	
					PERMIT NUMBER MO-0089842	
The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective upon issuance and remain in effect until December 31, 2013. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:						
OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #001</u>						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/month	24 hr total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		65	40	once/quarter***	grab
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		120	80	once/quarter***	grab
pH – Units	SU	**		**	once/quarter***	grab
Ammonia as N	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter***	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>JULY 28, 2011</u> . THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.						
B. STANDARD CONDITIONS						
IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED <u>Parts I & III</u> STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED <u>October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994</u> , AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.						

MO 780-0010 (8/91)

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS (continued)

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is to be maintained at or above 6.5 pH units.
- *** See table below for quarterly sampling.

Sample discharge at least once for the months of:	Report is due:
January, February, March (1st Quarter)	April 28
April, May, June (2nd Quarter)	July 28
July, August, September (3rd Quarter)	October 28
October, November, December (4th Quarter)	January 28

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective January 1, 2014 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

OUTFALL NUMBER AND EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
<u>Outfall #001</u>						
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/month	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		15	10	once/quarter****	24 hr. composite**
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		20	15	once/quarter****	24 hr. composite**
<i>E. coli</i> (Note 1)	#/100 mL	126		126	once/quarter****	grab
pH – Units	SU	***		***		
Ammonia as N (April 1 – Sept 30)	mg/L	3.7		1.4	once/quarter****	grab
(October 1 – March 31)	mg/L	7.5		2.8	once/quarter****	grab
Total Residual Chlorine (Note 2)	µg/L	16.5		8.2	once/quarter****	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED QUARTERLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE APRIL 28, 2014. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

B. STANDARD CONDITIONS

IN ADDITION TO SPECIFIED CONDITIONS STATED HEREIN, THIS PERMIT IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTACHED Parts I. & III STANDARD CONDITIONS DATED October 1, 1980 and August 15, 1994, AND HEREBY INCORPORATED AS THOUGH FULLY SET FORTH HEREIN.

* Monitoring requirement only.

** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

*** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged. The pH is limited to the range of 6.5-9.0 pH units.

**** See table below for quarterly sampling.

Sample discharge at least once for the months of:	Report is due:
January, February, March (1st Quarter)	April 28
April, May, June (2nd Quarter)	July 28
July, August, September (3rd Quarter)	October 28
October, November, December (4th Quarter)	January 28

Note 1 – Final effluent limits of 126 cfu per 100 ml daily maximum and monthly average applicable year round due to losing stream designation.

Note 2 - This permit contains a Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) limit.

- (a) This effluent limit is below the minimum quantification level (ML) of the most common and practical EPA approved CLTRC methods. The department has determined the current acceptable ML for total residual chlorine to be 130 µg/L when using the DPD Colorimetric Method #4500 – CL G. from Standard Methods for the Examination of Waters and Wastewater. The permittee will conduct analyses in accordance with this method, or equivalent, and report actual analytical values. Measured values greater than or equal to the minimum quantification level of 130 µg/L will be considered violations of the permit and values less than the minimum quantification level of 130 µg/L will be considered to be in compliance with the permit limitation. The minimum quantification level does not authorize the discharge of chlorine in excess of the effluent limits stated in the permit.

- (b) Disinfection is required year-round unless the permit specifically states that “Final limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31.” If your permit does not require disinfection during the non-recreational months, do not chlorinate in those months.
- (c) Do not chemically dechlorinate **if it is not needed to meet the limits in your permit**.
- (d) If no chlorine was used in a given sampling period, an actual analysis is not necessary. Simply report as “0 µg/L” TRC.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.
 - (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.

The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field.
3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability.
4. Changes in Discharges of Toxic Substances

The permittee shall notify the Director as soon as it knows or has reason to believe:

- (a) That any activity has occurred or will occur which would result in the discharge of any toxic pollutant which is not limited in the permit, if that discharge will exceed the highest of the following "notification levels:"
 - (1) One hundred micrograms per liter (100 µg/L);
 - (2) Two hundred micrograms per liter (200 µg/L) for acrolein and acrylonitrile; five hundred micrograms per liter (500 µg/L) for 2,5 dinitrophenol and for 2-methyl-4, 6-dinitrophenol; and one milligram per liter (1 mg/L) for antimony;
 - (3) Five (5) times the maximum concentration value reported for the pollutant in the permit application;
 - (4) The level established in Part A of the permit by the Director.
 - (b) That they have begun or expect to begin to use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct any toxic pollutant, which was not reported in the permit application.
5. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period.
 6. Water Quality Standards
 - (a) Discharges to waters of the state shall not cause a violation of water quality standards rule under 10 CSR 20-7.031, including both specific and general criteria.
 - (b) General Criteria. The following general water quality criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. No water contaminant, by itself or in combination with other substances, shall prevent the waters of the state from meeting the following conditions:
 - (1) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (2) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (3) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses;
 - (4) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life;
 - (5) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water;
 - (6) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering;
 - (7) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community;
 - (8) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247.

C. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

7. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-8 and 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. If a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9 is needed, the permittee shall submit a written request to the department for review and, if deemed necessary, approval.
8. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility and are subject to 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3)(i), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2.b.

D. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

1. By **October 31, 2011**, the permittee shall submit an engineering report to describe facility upgrades and modifications that will be necessary to allow the facility to meet the final effluent limitations described in Section X on page X. (If they are close enough to connect to someone else I'll mention that the engineering report has to include that. If its a small facility I'll mention that they evaluate conversion to a no discharge system)
2. By **April 30, 2012**, the permittee shall submit an application for a construction permit to construct facilities as necessary to enable the treatment facility to comply with the final effluent limitations described herein.
3. By **April 30, 2013**, the permittee shall submit a construction progress report.
4. By **December 31, 2013**, the permittee shall complete construction of whatever facilities are needed to comply with the final effluent limitations described herein.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
FACT SHEET
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL
OF
MO-0089842
AUTUMN'S HAVEN

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of storm water from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Major , Minor , Industrial Facility ; Variance ;
Master General Permit ; General Permit Covered Facility ; and/or permit with widespread public interest .

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: NON-POTW
Facility SIC Code(s): 6515

Facility Description: The facility is of the facultative lagoon type. There are four cells, two of which receive raw sewage from different parts of the collection system. One of these two cells discharges to the other "primary" cell and that cell in turn discharges water to the 3rd and 4th cells, respectively. There is no disinfection.

Have any changes occurred at this facility or in the receiving water body that effects effluent limit derivation?

- Yes; Per DGLS Geohydrologic Evaluation LWE11042 prepared on Nov 8, 2010, the receiving stream was found to be losing (See Appendix A).

- No.

Application Date: December 8, 2009
Expiration Date: October 28, 2009
Last Inspection: September 20, 2010 In Compliance ; Non-Compliance

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
001	0.01	Lagoon	Domestic	4.1

Outfall #001

Legal Description: NE ¼, SW ¼, NE ¼, Sec. 8, T39N, R5E, Jefferson County
UTM Coordinates: X = 719702, Y = 4221128
Receiving Stream: Tributary to Haverstick Creek (U)
First Classified Stream and ID: Haverstick Creek (C) (01726)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (07140101 – 150004)

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality & Facility Performance History: The lagoon system was originally constructed in 1966 to serve the Hiland Mobile Home Park. The owner at that time did not obtain a construction permit and the owner was order to stop construction by a letter from the then Missouri Water Pollution Board, dated November 17, 1966. The facility does not meet the current design guidelines for wastewater stabilization ponds and would not be approved as it currently is under current regulations. Subsequent investigations of the facility have found numerous violations and Notice of Violation #2610 was issued on June 26, 2009 to the current owner and a follow-up inspection on October 28, 2010 by staff from the St. Louis Regional Office for bypassing.

The St. Louis Office staff also suspected that the receiving stream was losing by looking at the Department's web-based Geographical Information System which showed that another nearby creek was considered to be losing. A geohydrologic investigation by the Division of Geology and Land Survey was requested by the St. Louis Regional Office and staff subsequently learned that the receiving stream to which this facility discharges is also losing.

Comments: It was decided, based on the above, to revise the previous draft permit which was placed on public notice on October 22, 2010. The revision would be to include losing stream effluent limitations and a disinfection requirement. As it is very unlikely that the current facility will be able to meet such effluent limitations, it will have to be upgraded or replaced.

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], permittees shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Check boxes below that are applicable to the facility;

- Owned or operated by or for:
 - Municipalities
 - Public Sewer District:
 - County
 - Public Water Supply Districts:
 - Private sewer company regulated by the Public Service Commission:
 - State or Federal agencies:

Each of the above entities are only applicable if they have a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200) and/or fifty (50) or more service connections.

- Department required:
The Department requires this facility to retain the services of a certified operator due to:

- This facility does not currently retain an operator with the correct level of certification required to operate the wastewater treatment facility. Missouri Clean Water Law and its implementing regulation 10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(F) allows the Department to develop a schedule of activities including the date by which compliance shall be obtained. This schedule of activities shall be established in this operating permit as a Schedule of Compliance.

Not Applicable ; This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

Part III – Receiving Stream Information

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri’s Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall’s Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]:
- Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]:
- Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]:
- Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]:
- Special Stream [10 CSR 20-7.015(6)]:
- Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]:
- All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]:

10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the Department defines the Clean Water Commission water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and/or 1st classified receiving stream’s beneficial water uses to be maintained are located in the Receiving Stream Table located below in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)].

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE:

WATERBODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	8-DIGIT HUC	EDU**
Tributary to Haverstick Creek	U	NA	General Criteria	07140101	Ozark/Apple/ Joachim
Haverstick Creek	C	1726	LWW, AQL, WBC-B***		

* - Irrigation (IRR), Livestock & Wildlife Watering (LWW), Protection of Warm Water Aquatic Life and Human Health-Fish Consumption (AQL), Cool Water Fishery(CLF), Cold Water Fishery (CDF), Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBC), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Drinking Water Supply (DWS), Industrial (IND), Groundwater (GRW).

** - Ecological Drainage Unit

*** - UAA has not been conducted.

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES TABLE:

RECEIVING STREAM (U, C, P)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Tributary to Haverstick Creek	0.0	0.0	0.0

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS:

Mixing Zone: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(a)].

Zone of Initial Dilution: Not Allowed [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(A)4.B.(I)(b)].

RECEIVING STREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

No receiving water monitoring requirements recommended at this time.

Part IV – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

Applicable - See Appendix A.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(c); 40 CFR Part 122.44(I)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

- New facility, backsliding does not apply.

- All limits in this operating permit are at least as protective as those previously established; therefore, backsliding does not apply.

- Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.

ANTIDegradation:

In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(2)], the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. Degradation is justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharging activity after determining the necessity of the discharge.

- Renewal no degradation proposed and no further review necessary.

- New and/or expanded discharge, please see **APPENDIX # – ANTIDegradation ANALYSIS**.

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)], ...An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, a statement waiving preferential status from each existing higher preference authority, providing the waiver does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.

BIOSOLIDS, SLUDGE, & SEWAGE SLUDGE:

Bio-solids are solid materials resulting from wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sludge is any solid, semi-solid, or liquid waste generated from a municipal, commercial, or industrial wastewater treatment plant, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility or any other such waste having similar characteristics and effect. Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address: <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/pub/index.html>, items WQ422 through WQ449.

- Permittee land applies biosolids in accordance with Standard Conditions III and a Department approved biosolids management plan.

- Sludge/biosolids are removed by contract hauler or are stored in the lagoon.

Not applicable;

This condition is not applicable to the permittee for this facility.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

Applicable ;

The permittee/facility is currently under enforcement action due to: NA

Not Applicable ;

The permittee/facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee's pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users,
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

Applicable ;

This permittee has an approved pretreatment program in accordance with the requirements of [40 CSR Part 403] and [10 CSR 20-6.100] and is expected to implement and enforce its approved program.

Not Applicable ;

The permittee, at this time, is not required to have a Pretreatment Program or does not have an approved pretreatment program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any give pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

Applicable ;

A RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see **APPENDIX # – RPA RESULTS**.

Not Applicable ;

A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. Please see the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) website for interpretation of percent removal requirements for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Application Requirements for Publicly Owned Treatment Works and Other Treatment Works Treating Domestic Sewage @ www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/EPA-WATER/1999/August/Day-04/w18866.htm.

Applicable ;

Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

Applicable ;

Equivalent to Secondary Treatment is 65% removal [40 CFR Part 133.105(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

Applicable ;

This wastewater treatment facility is not a POTW; however, influent monitoring is being required to determine percent removal.

Not Applicable ;

Influent monitoring is not being required to determine percent removal.

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) AND INFLOW AND INFILTRATION (I&I):

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as an untreated or partially treated sewage release are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(11)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSO's have a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that allow excess storm water and ground water to (1) enter and overload the collection system, and (2) overload the treatment facility. Additionally, SSO's can be also be caused by lapses in sewer system

operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs also include overflows out of manholes and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Additionally, Missouri RSMo §644.026.1 mandates that the Department require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities.

- In accordance with Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(15) and 40 CFR Part 122.41(e), the permittee is required to develop and/or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system and shall be required in this operating permit by either means of a Special Condition or Schedule of Compliance. In addition, the Department considers the development of this program as an implementation of this condition. Additionally, 40 CFR Part 403.3(o) defines a POTW to include any device and systems used in the storage, treatment, recycling and reclamation of municipal sewage or industrial wastes of liquid nature. It also includes sewers, pipes, and other conveyances only if they convey wastewater to a POTW Treatment Plant.

At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA's Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002). The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used by the EPA to evaluate a collection system's management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium, and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

- Not applicable. This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

A schedule of remedial measures included in a permit, including an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit.

Applicable .

The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under Interim Effluent Limitation and Final Effluent Limitations were established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(10)].

STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (Document number EPA 833-B-09-002) [published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in February 2009], BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Storm Water Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of storm water discharges.

Applicable .

Not Applicable .

At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

Applicable .

Not Applicable ;

This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

Applicable ;

Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C = \frac{(C_s \times Q_s) + (C_e \times Q_e)}{(Q_e + Q_s)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration

Cs = upstream concentration

Qs = upstream flow

Ce = effluent concentration

Qe = effluent flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA's "Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control" (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples "n":

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of "n" for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for "n" must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is "n = 4" at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, "n = 30" is used.

Not Applicable ;

Wasteload allocations were not calculated.

WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

Not Applicable ; A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones.

Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Applicable ;

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing is also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(3)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following MCWL apply: §§644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; 644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc...); and 644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by all facilities meeting the following criteria:

- Facility is a designated Major.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- Facility (industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃)
- Facility is a municipality or domestic discharger with a Design Flow \geq 22,500 gpd.
- Other – please justify.

Not Applicable ;

At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility.

40 CFR 122.41(M) - BYPASSES:

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from “bypassing” untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass, which includes blending, is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-2.010(11) defines a bypass as the diversion of wastewater from any portion of wastewater treatment facility or sewer system to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(1)(6) and per Missouri’s Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar devices designed for peak wet weather flows.

- The permittee has meet the criteria as established in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), and (C).

- Outfall #XXX is no longer authorized to discharge as it is a Bypass. The Department has developed a Voluntary Compliance Agreement (VCA) for communities that believe they need time to eliminate this discharge. The VCA requires communities to develop and submit bypass elimination plans, to make progress, and to report on this progress. The terms of the VCA is for five (5) years, and is renewable for another five (5) years assuming that adequate progress is being made. In return, the State of Missouri will not initiate enforcement actions for the terms contained in the VCA. The permittee has entered into a VCA.

- The permittee has not entered or does not meet the necessary requirements for entering into a VCA with the Department.

- Not Applicable, this facility does not bypass.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

Applicable ;

(Receiving water body's name) or (1st classified water body's name) is listed on the (YEAR) Missouri 303(d) List for (pollutant).

– This facility is not considered to be a source of the above listed pollutant(s) or considered to contribute to the impairment of (stream name).

– This facility is considered to be a source of or has the potential to contribute to the above listed pollutant(s).

Not Applicable ;

This facility does not discharge to a 303(d) listed stream.

Part V – Effluent Limits Determination

Outfall #001 – Main Facility Outfall

Effluent limitations derived and established in the below Effluent Limitations Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit.

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	UNIT	BASIS FOR LIMITS	DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MODIFIED	PREVIOUS PERMIT LIMITATIONS
FLOW	gpd	1	*		*	NO	
BOD ₅	mg/L	2		15	10	YES	65/40
TSS	mg/L	2		20	15	YES	120/80
pH	SU	1,2	6.5 – 9.0		6.5 – 9.0	YES	> 6.5
AMMONIA AS N (APRIL 1 – SEPT 30)	mg/L	1,3	3.7		1.4	YES	****
AMMONIA AS N (OCT 1 – MARCH 31)	mg/L	1,3	7.5		2.8	YES	****
ESCHERICHIA COLI	***	2	126		126	YES	****
CHLORINE, TOTAL RESIDUAL	µg/L	1,3	16.5		8.2	YES	****
MONITORING FREQUENCY	Please see Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements in the Derivation and Discussion Section below.						

* - Monitoring requirement only.

** - For DO the Daily Maximum is a Daily Minimum and the Monthly Average is a Monthly Average Minimum.

*** - # of colonies/100mL; the Monthly Average for *E. coli* is a geometric mean.

**** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | |
|--|------------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 7. Antidegradation Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 8. Water Quality Model |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 9. Best Professional Judgment |
| 4. Lagoon Policy | 10. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 5. Ammonia Policy | 11. WET Test Policy |
| 6. Dissolved Oxygen Policy | 12. Antidegradation Review |

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- **Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.
- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅).** 15 mg/L as a Weekly Average and 10 mg/L as a Monthly Average per 10 CSR 20-7.015(4).
- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS).** 20 mg/L as a Weekly Average and 15 mg/L as a Monthly Average per 10 CSR 20-7.015(4).
- **pH.** The pH must be maintained between 6.5 and 9.0 standard pH units per 10 CSR 20-7.015(4).

- **Total Ammonia Nitrogen.** Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(B)7.C. & Table B3] default pH 7.8 SU No mixing allowed with receiving stream.

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)
Summer	26	7.8	1.5	12.1
Winter	6	7.8	3.1	12.1

Summer: April 1 – September 30

Chronic WLA: $C_c = 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$
 Acute WLA: $C_c = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 1.5 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = 1.2 \text{ mg/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]
 $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.321) = 3.9 \text{ mg/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

MDL = 1.2 mg/L (3.11) = **3.7 mg/L** [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
 AML = 1.2 mg/L (1.19) = **1.4 mg/L** [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n=30]

Winter: October 1 – March 31

Chronic WLA: $C_c = 3.1 \text{ mg/L}$
 Acute WLA: $C_c = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 3.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.780) = 2.4 \text{ mg/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]
 $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.321) = 3.9 \text{ mg/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

MDL = 2.4 mg/L (3.11) = **7.5 mg/L** [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
 AML = 2.4 mg/L (1.19) = **2.8 mg/L** [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n=30]

- ***Escherichia coli (E. coli)*.** Discharges to losing streams shall not exceed 126 per 100 ml as a Daily Maximum and Monthly Average at any time, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(C).
- **Total Residual Chlorine (TRC).** Warm-water Protection of Aquatic Life CCC = 10 µg/L, CMC = 19 µg/L [10 CSR 20-7.031, Table A]. Background TRC = 0.0 µg/L.

Chronic WLA: $C_c = 10 \text{ µg/L}$
 Acute WLA: $C_c = 19 \text{ µg/L}$

$LTA_c = 10 (0.527) = 5.3 \text{ µg/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
 $LTA_a = 19 (0.321) = 6.1 \text{ µg/L}$ [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

MDL = 5.3 (3.11) = 16.5 µg/L [CV = 0.6, 99th Percentile]
 AML = 5.3 (1.55) = 8.2 µg/L [CV = 0.6, 95th Percentile, n = 4]

Total Residual Chlorine effluent limits of 0.017 mg/L daily maximum, 0.008 mg/L monthly average are recommended if chlorine is used as a disinfectant. Standard compliance language for TRC, including the minimum level (ML), should be included in the permit.

- **Minimum Sampling and Reporting Frequency Requirements.** Sampling and reporting frequency requirements have been retained from previous state operating permit.

Part VI – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing.

The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit.

For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

- The Public Notice period for this operating permit is tentatively schedule to begin on (DATE) or is in process.

- The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from (DATE) to (DATE). Responses to the Public Notice of this operating permit warrant the modification of effluent limits and/or the terms and conditions of this permit. (Please explain). (Also if applicable – Due to the major modifications of this permit, this operating permit is to be placed on Public Notice again, which is tentatively scheduled to begin on (DATE) or is in process.

- The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from (DATE) to (DATE). No responses received or responses to the Public Notice of this operating permit do not warrant the modification of effluent limits and/or the terms and conditions of this permit.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: FEBRUARY 18, 2011

COMPLETED BY:

James A. Rhodes, P.E.
Environmental Engineer
St. Louis Regional Office
(314) 416-2960
jim.rhodes@dnr.mo.gov

APPENDIX A – GEOHYDROLOGIC EVALUATION



Missouri Department Of Natural Resources

Division of Geology and Land Survey
 P.O. Box 250
 Rolla, Missouri 65402-0250
 Phone - 573.368.2161 Fax - 573.368.2111
 E-mail - gspgeol@dnr.mo.gov

Project ID Number

LWE11042

County

JEFFERSON

Geohydrologic Evaluation of Liquid Waste Treatment Site

Project **Autumn's Haven** Quadrangle **HALIFAX**
 Location **NW1/4 NW1/4 NE1/4** Section **8** Township **39 N** Range **5 E**
 Additional Location Information **3355 LeMehl Drive, DeSoto, MO 63020**
 Latitude **38** Deg **6** Min **41** Sec Longitude **90** Deg **29** Min **38** Sec

Owner

Yar Mohabbal
 P.O. Box 510414, St. Louis, MO 63151

Requestor

MDNR, St. Louis Regional Office
 Jim Rhodes (314) 416-2960
 7545 S. Lindbergh, Suite 210, St. Louis, MO 63125

Previous Reports Not Applicable

Date	12/12/2007	3/8/2000
Identification Number	LWE08108	23400
Fiscal Year		00

Facility Type

- Mechanical treatment plant
- Recirculating filter bed
- Earthen lagoon with discharge
- Earthen holding basin
- Land application
- Other type of facility

Type of Waste

- Animal
- Human
- Process or industrial
- Leachate
- Other waste type

Funding Source

- PPG
- WWLF-SRF
- Non-Point Source

Other Information

- Plans were submitted
- Site was investigated by NRCS
- Soil or geotechnical data were submitted

Date of Field Visit 10/19/2010

Stream Classification Gaining Losing No discharge

Overall Geologic Limitations

- Slight
- Moderate
- Severe

Collapse Potential

- Not applicable
- Slight
- Moderate
- Severe

Topography

- < 4%
- 4% to 8%
- 8% to 15%
- > 15%

Landscape Position

- Broad uplands
- Ridgetop
- Hillslope
- Narrow ravine
- Floodplain
- Alluvial plain
- Terrace
- Sinkhole

Bedrock The uppermost bedrock is Ordovician-age Jefferson City-Cotter Dolomite

Surficial Materials

Unconsolidated surficial materials are silty-clay residuum with some reworked loess (MH/ML-CH/CL).



Recommended Construction Procedures

- Installation of clay pad
- Diversion of subsurface flow
- Rock excavation
- Compaction
- Artificial sealing
- Limit excavation depth

Required Geologic Exploration

(Missouri Clean Water Commission 10 CSR 20-8.200 Wastewater Treatment Ponds)

Determine Overburden Properties

- Particle size analysis
- Standard Proctor density
- Permeability coefficient for undisturbed sample
- Atterburg limits
- Overburden thickness
- Permeability coefficient for remolded sample

Determine Hydrologic Conditions

- Groundwater elevation
- Direction of groundwater flow
- 25-year flood level
- 100-year flood level

Notify Geologist

- Before exploration
- During construction
- After construction
- Not necessary

Remarks

The Autumn's Haven earthen lagoon with discharge is located on a ridge at the head of an unnamed tributary of Haverstick Creek. The site is 0.82 miles west of the intersection of US Highway 67 and Flucom Road. The site elevation is 740 feet msl.

Discharge from the site is to an unnamed tributary of Haverstick Creek, and is classified as a losing stream for two miles below the site. Other streams in the area, including Haverstick Creek, were also observed to exhibit losing conditions. Fault structures and springs are mapped in close proximity to the site. No sinkholes or caves were observed in the vicinity of the site.

The surficial materials at the site indicate 10 to 15 feet of moderately permeable silty-clay residuum (MH/ML-CH/CL) derived from Ordovician-age dolomite, with some reworked loess (ML). The uppermost bedrock is the Ordovician-age Jefferson City-Cotter Dolomite, which generally exhibits moderate to high permeability in a weathered zone, with low vertical permeability at depth. This formation consists of gray, medium-grained, medium-bedded, stromatolitic dolomite with solution-weathering around the cryptalgal structures. Underlying the Jefferson City-Cotter Dolomite is the Ordovician-age Roubidoux Formation, which exhibits moderate permeability in this area. This unit is typically a sandy dolomite with interbedded chert.

The site is currently a mobile home park with four lagoons. Each lagoon is connected to another downgradient lagoon via a PVC discharge pipe. Each was observed to discharge into another, with the lagoon farthest downgradient discharging into a tributary of Haverstick Creek below the site.

This site receives a moderate collapse potential for the earthen lagoons and a severe overall geologic limitations rating due to the losing conditions observed at the site and the moderate permeability of the surficial materials and bedrock. Should this facility fail to operate properly, regional groundwater supplies could be impacted.

This document is a preliminary report. It is not a permit. Additional data may be required by the Department of Natural Resources prior to the issuance of a permit. This report is valid only at the above location and becomes invalid one year after the report date below.

Report By: **Blake Smotherman**

Report Date: 11/8/2010

CC WPP, SLRO

